

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1	1/22/08 9:40	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	I just got this. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 9:33 AM Subject: Re: No thank you -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 9:33 AM Subject: Do you want some tea while I'm up here?
2	1/22/08 9:41	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	It showed me that you did not receive it
3	1/22/08 9:50	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	I notice that Riley only looks at lisa and matt when he speaks
4	1/22/08 9:55	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	I think that is true. He doesn't think he can get Katrina, and thinks he has you and Skop. So, he's only worried about the "chairs." -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 9:50 AM Subject: Re: I notice that Riley only looks at lisa and matt when he speaks -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 9:41 AM Subject: Re: I just got this. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 9:33 AM Subject: Re: No thank you -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 9:33 AM Subject: Do you want some tea while I'm up here?
5	1/22/08 10:21	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Is hoffman right?
6	1/22/08 10:24	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	No he does not
7	1/22/08 10:26	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Yes. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:21 AM Subject: Re: Is hoffman right? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 9:56 AM Subject: Re: I think that is true. He doesn't think he can get Katrina, and thinks he has you and Skop. So, he's only worried about the "chairs." -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 9:50 AM Subject: Re: I notice that Riley only looks at lisa and matt when he speaks -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 9:41 AM Subject: Re: I just got this. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 9:33 AM Subject: Re: No thank you -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 9:33 AM Subject: Do you want some tea while I'm up here?
8	1/22/08 10:26	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	What staff said. Rely is trying to ignore the ruling on his motion and get the changed testimony into evidence. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:21 AM Subject: Re: Is hoffman right? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 9:56 AM Subject: Re: I think that is true. He doesn't think he can get Katrina, and thinks he has you and Skop. So, he's only worried about the "chairs." -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 9:50 AM Subject: Re: I notice that Riley only looks at lisa and matt when he speaks -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 9:41 AM Subject: Re: I just got this. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 9:33 AM Subject: Re: No thank you -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 9:33 AM Subject: Do you want some tea while I'm up here?
9	1/22/08 10:27	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Can't blame him for trying.
10	1/22/08 10:31	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	I'm not very impressed with Rely at this point. Wasted alot of your time. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:24 AM Subject: Re: No he does not -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:18 AM Subject: He doesn't look much like a P.E. teacher to me
11	1/22/08 10:53	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	He is a good witness
12	1/22/08 10:54	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Yes. Almost as good as the power companies. He won't give Ken anything. I'm glad OPC finally got a professional. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:53 AM Subject: Re: He is a good witness -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:28 AM Subject: Re: I'm not very impressed with Rely at this point. Wasted alot of your time. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:24 AM Subject: Re: No he does not -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:18 AM Subject: He doesn't look much like a P.E. teacher to me
13	1/22/08 10:55	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	He knows what he is talking about
14	1/22/08 10:57	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	I agree, for engineering. Not sure that he understands ratesetting, but that is not his area. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: He knows what he is talking about -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: Yes. Almost as good as the power companies. He won't give Ken anything. I'm glad OPC finally got a professional. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:53 AM Subject: Re: He is a good witness -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:28 AM Subject: Re: I'm not very impressed with Rely at this point. Wasted alot of your time. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:24 AM Subject: Re: No he does not -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:18 AM Subject: He doesn't look much like a P.E. teacher to me
15	1/22/08 11:00	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	He is not trying to convince us about his knowledge of rate setting. He is here speaking to his knowledge on the engineering and doing a good job at it. Hoffman should not try to challenge him on his engineering skills
16	1/22/08 11:07	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	I agree. He is doing a good job of separating his knowledge base from PSC ratesetting. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:00 AM Subject: Re: He is not trying to convince us about his knowledge of rate setting. He is here speaking to his knowledge on the engineering and doing a good job at it. Hoffman should not try to challenge him on his engineering skills -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:57 AM Subject: Re: I agree, for engineering. Not sure that he understands ratesetting, but that is not his area. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: He knows what he is talking about -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: Yes. Almost as good as the power companies. He won't give Ken anything. I'm glad OPC finally got a professional. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:53 AM Subject: Re: He is a good witness -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:28 AM Subject: Re: I'm not very impressed with Rely at this point. Wasted alot of your time. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:24 AM Subject: Re: No he does not -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:18 AM Subject: He doesn't look much like a P.E. teacher to me
17	1/22/08 11:09	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Are you going to ask him to explain why as an engineer he thinks 24 hour pumping makes sense? -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:00 AM Subject: Re: He is not trying to convince us about his knowledge of rate setting. He is here speaking to his knowledge on the engineering and doing a good job at it. Hoffman should not try to challenge him on his engineering skills -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:57 AM Subject: Re: I agree, for engineering. Not sure that he understands ratesetting, but that is not his area. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: He knows what he is talking about -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: Yes. Almost as good as the power companies. He won't give Ken anything. I'm glad OPC finally got a professional. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:53 AM Subject: Re: He is a good witness -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:28 AM Subject: Re: I'm not very impressed with Rely at this point. Wasted alot of your time. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:24 AM Subject: Re: No he does not -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:18 AM Subject: He doesn't look much like a P.E. teacher to me
18	1/22/08 11:10	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	If he does not address it
19	1/22/08 11:11	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	I think he just did. Staff might have more questions, but the utilities are past it. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:10 AM Subject: Re: If he does not address it -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:09 AM Subject: Re: Are you going to ask him to explain why as an engineer he thinks 24 hour pumping makes sense? -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:00 AM Subject: Re: He is not trying to convince us about his knowledge of rate setting. He is here speaking to his knowledge on the engineering and doing a good job at it. Hoffman should not try to challenge him on his engineering skills -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:57 AM Subject: Re: I agree, for engineering. Not sure that he understands ratesetting, but that is not his area. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: He knows what he is talking about -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: Yes. Almost as good as the power companies. He won't give Ken anything. I'm glad OPC finally got a professional. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:53 AM Subject: Re: He is a good witness -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:28 AM Subject: Re: I'm not very impressed with Rely at this point. Wasted alot of your time. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:24 AM Subject: Re: No he does not -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:18 AM Subject: He doesn't look much like a P.E. teacher to me
20	1/22/08 11:40	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	I think staffs questions are sort of lacking and lame

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
21	1/22/08 11:46	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Yes they are. They're asking them to get certain evidence into the record so they can write the recommendation. Plus, Rosanne is smart but not the most exciting speaker. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:40 AM Subject: Re: I think staffs questions are sort of lacking and lame -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:12 AM Subject: Re: I think he just did. Staff might have more questions, but the utilities are past it. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:10 AM Subject: Re: If he does not address it -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:09 AM Subject: Re: Are you going to ask him to explain why as a engineer he thinks 24 hour pumping makes sense? -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:00 AM Subject: Re: He is not trying to convince us about his knowledge of rate setting. He is here speaking to his knowledge on the engineering and doing a good job at it. Hoffman should not try to challenge him on his engineering skills -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:57 AM Subject: Re: I agree, for engineering. Not sure that he understands ratesetting, but that is not his area. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: He knows what he is talking about -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: Yes. Almost as good as the power companies. He won't give Ken anything. I'm glad OPC finally got a professional. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:53 AM Subject: Re: He is a good witness -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:28 AM Subject: Re: I'm not very impressed with Reily at this point. Wasted alot of your time. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:24 AM Subject: Re: No he does not -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:18 AM Subject: He doesn't look much like a P.E. <i>teacher to me.</i>
22	1/22/08 11:47	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	I've told Mike he needs to train the attorneys on the need to make sure you'll understand how the questions relate to the case and the discussion. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:40 AM Subject: Re: I think staffs questions are sort of lacking and lame -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:12 AM Subject: Re: I think he just did. Staff might have more questions, but the utilities are past it. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:10 AM Subject: Re: If he does not address it -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:09 AM Subject: Re: Are you going to ask him to explain why as a engineer he thinks 24 hour pumping makes sense? -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:00 AM Subject: Re: He is not trying to convince us about his knowledge of rate setting. He is here speaking to his knowledge on the engineering and doing a good job at it. Hoffman should not try to challenge him on his engineering skills -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:57 AM Subject: Re: I agree, for engineering. Not sure that he understands ratesetting, but that is not his area. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: He knows what he is talking about -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: Yes. Almost as good as the power companies. He won't give Ken anything. I'm glad OPC finally got a professional. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:53 AM Subject: Re: He is a good witness -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:28 AM Subject: Re: I'm not very impressed with Reily at this point. Wasted alot of your time. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:24 AM Subject: Re: No he does not -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:18 AM Subject: He doesn't look much like a P.E. <i>teacher to me.</i>
23	1/22/08 11:47	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Questions did not get response she hoped for
24	1/22/08 11:48	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Yep - he is a very good witness. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:47 AM Subject: Re: Questions did not get response she hoped for -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:46 AM Subject: Re: Yes they are. They're asking them to get certain evidence into the record so they can write the recommendation. Plus, Rosanne is smart but not the most exciting speaker. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:40 AM Subject: Re: I think staffs questions are sort of lacking and lame -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:12 AM Subject: Re: I think he just did. Staff might have more questions, but the utilities are past it. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:10 AM Subject: Re: If he does not address it -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:09 AM Subject: Re: Are you going to ask him to explain why as a engineer he thinks 24 hour pumping makes sense? -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:00 AM Subject: Re: He is not trying to convince us about his knowledge of rate setting. He is here speaking to his knowledge on the engineering and doing a good job at it. Hoffman should not try to challenge him on his engineering skills -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:57 AM Subject: Re: I agree, for engineering. Not sure that he understands ratesetting, but that is not his area. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: He knows what he is talking about -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: Yes. Almost as good as the power companies. He won't give Ken anything. I'm glad OPC finally got a professional. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:53 AM Subject: Re: He is a good witness -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:28 AM Subject: Re: I'm not very impressed with Reily at this point. Wasted alot of your time. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:24 AM Subject: Re: No he does not -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:18 AM Subject: He doesn't look much like a P.E. <i>teacher to me.</i>
25	1/22/08 11:48	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Yes, but she was way off on the questions.
26	1/22/08 11:49	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Do you want to ask him if he knows how many hours the average system is designed to pump? Any standards for older systems vs newer ones? -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:40 AM Subject: Re: If he does not address it -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:09 AM Subject: Re: Are you going to ask him to explain why as a engineer he thinks 24 hour pumping makes sense? -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:00 AM Subject: Re: He is not trying to convince us about his knowledge of rate setting. He is here speaking to his knowledge on the engineering and doing a good job at it. Hoffman should not try to challenge him on his engineering skills -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:57 AM Subject: Re: I agree, for engineering. Not sure that he understands ratesetting, but that is not his area. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: He knows what he is talking about -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: Yes. Almost as good as the power companies. He won't give Ken anything. I'm glad OPC finally got a professional. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:53 AM Subject: Re: He is a good witness -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:28 AM Subject: Re: I'm not very impressed with Reily at this point. Wasted alot of your time. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:24 AM Subject: Re: No he does not -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:18 AM Subject: He doesn't look much like a P.E. <i>teacher to me.</i>
27	1/22/08 11:55	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Riley should have known better
28	1/22/08 11:59	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Yep. I'm very unimpressed with his handling of this case - look at the problems with getting his testimony right. A great witness doesn't deserve this poor representation. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:55 AM Subject: Re: Riley should have known better -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:48 AM Subject: Re: Yep - he is a very good witness. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:47 AM Subject: Re: Questions did not get response she hoped for -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:46 AM Subject: Re: Yes they are. They're asking them to get certain evidence into the record so they can write the recommendation. Plus, Rosanne is smart but not the most exciting speaker. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:40 AM Subject: Re: I think staffs questions are sort of lacking and lame -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:12 AM Subject: Re: I think he just did. Staff might have more questions, but the utilities are past it. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:10 AM Subject: Re: If he does not address it -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:09 AM Subject: Re: Are you going to ask him to explain why as a engineer he thinks 24 hour pumping makes sense? -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:00 AM Subject: Re: He is not trying to convince us about his knowledge of rate setting. He is here speaking to his knowledge on the engineering and doing a good job at it. Hoffman should not try to challenge him on his engineering skills -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:57 AM Subject: Re: I agree, for engineering. Not sure that he understands ratesetting, but that is not his area. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: He knows what he is talking about -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: Yes. Almost as good as the power companies. He won't give Ken anything. I'm glad OPC finally got a professional. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:53 AM Subject: Re: He is a good witness -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:28 AM Subject: Re: I'm not very impressed with Reily at this point. Wasted alot of your time. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:24 AM Subject: Re: No he does not -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:18 AM Subject: He doesn't look much like a P.E. <i>teacher to me.</i>
29	1/22/08 11:59	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Should mike be here as council?
30	1/22/08 12:01	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	What is the name of the attorney on the far end?

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
31	1/22/08 12:01	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	No, thats what Mary Anne is here for. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:59 AM Subject: Re: Should mike be here as council? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:50 AM Subject: Re: Do you want to ask him if he knows how many hours the average system is designed to pump? Any standards for older systems vs newer ones? -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:10 AM Subject: Re: If he does not address it -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:09 AM Subject: Re: Are you going to ask him to explain why as a engineer he thinks 24 hour pumping makes sense? -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:00 AM Subject: Re: He is not trying to convince us about his knowledge of rate setting. He is here speaking to his knowledge on the engineering and doing a good job at it. Hoffman should not try to challenge him on his engineering skills -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:57 AM Subject: Re: I agree, for engineering. Not sure that he understands ratesetting, but that is not his area. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: He knows what he is talking about -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: Yes. Almost as good as the power companies. He won't give Ken anything. I'm glad OPC finally got a professional. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:53 AM Subject: Re: He is a good witness -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:28 AM Subject: Re: I'm not very impressed with Reily at this point. Wasted alot of your time. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:24 AM Subject: Re: No he does not -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:18 AM Subject: He doesn't look much like a P.E. teacher to me.
32	1/22/08 12:02	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Gottcha
33	1/22/08 12:05	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Sucking up to staff is not appropriate for a witness
34	1/22/08 12:13	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Marty Friedman. His engineer Frank Seidman is next to him. They represent Utilities Inc. with about 100 systems in FL. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 12:01 PM Subject: Re: What is the name of the attorney on the far end? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:58 AM Subject: Re: Yep, I'm very unimpressed with his handling of this case - look at the problems with getting his testimony right. A great witness doesn't deserve this poor representation. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:55 AM Subject: Re: Riley should have known better -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:48 AM Subject: Re: Yep - he is a very good witness. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:47 AM Subject: Re: Questions did not get response she hoped for -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:46 AM Subject: Re: Yes they are. They're asking them to get certain evidence into the record so they can write the recommendation. Plus, Rosanne is smart but not the most exciting speaker. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:40 AM Subject: Re: I think staffs questions are sort of lacking and lame -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:12 AM Subject: Re: I think he just did. Staff might have more questions, but the utilities are past it. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:10 AM Subject: Re: If he does not address it -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:09 AM Subject: Re: Are you going to ask him to explain why as a engineer he thinks 24 hour pumping makes sense? -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:00 AM Subject: Re: He is not trying to convince us about his knowledge of rate setting. He is here speaking to his knowledge on the engineering and doing a good job at it. Hoffman should not try to challenge him on his engineering skills -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:57 AM Subject: Re: I agree, for engineering. Not sure that he understands ratesetting, but that is not his area. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: He knows what he is talking about -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: Yes. Almost as good as the power companies. He won't give Ken anything. I'm glad OPC finally got a professional. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:53 AM Subject: Re: He is a good witness -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:28 AM Subject: Re: I'm not very impressed with Reily at this point. Wasted alot of your time. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:24 AM Subject: Re: No he does not -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:18 AM Subject: He doesn't look much like a P.E. teacher to me.
35	1/22/08 12:13	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Yes. It is your rule, not staffs. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 12:05 PM Subject: Re: Sucking up to staff is not appropriate for a witness -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 12:00 PM Subject: Re: No, thats what Mary Anne is here for. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:59 AM Subject: Re: Should mike be here as council? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:50 AM Subject: Re: Do you want to ask him if he knows how many hours the average system is designed to pump? Any standards for older systems vs newer ones? -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:10 AM Subject: Re: If he does not address it -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:09 AM Subject: Re: Are you going to ask him to explain why as a engineer he thinks 24 hour pumping makes sense? -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:00 AM Subject: Re: He is not trying to convince us about his knowledge of rate setting. He is here speaking to his knowledge on the engineering and doing a good job at it. Hoffman should not try to challenge him on his engineering skills -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:57 AM Subject: Re: I agree, for engineering. Not sure that he understands ratesetting, but that is not his area. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: He knows what he is talking about -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: Yes. Almost as good as the power companies. He won't give Ken anything. I'm glad OPC finally got a professional. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:53 AM Subject: Re: He is a good witness -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:28 AM Subject: Re: I'm not very impressed with Reily at this point. Wasted alot of your time. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:24 AM Subject: Re: No he does not -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:18 AM Subject: He doesn't look much like a P.E. teacher to me.
36	1/22/08 12:14	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Thanks. I could not remember his name
37	1/22/08 12:16	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Its not about ownership, since he and staff mostly agree, it would be better that he did not blow smoke up their you know what
38	1/22/08 12:53	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Keep those eyes open.
39	1/22/08 13:03	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Oh, they're open. I just wish the witness would make it easier. The next 2 are going to be worse. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 12:53 PM Subject: Re: Keep those eyes open. -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 12:09 PM Subject: Re: Yes. It is your rule, not staffs. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 12:05 PM Subject: Re: Sucking up to staff is not appropriate for a witness -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 12:00 PM Subject: Re: No, thats what Mary Anne is here for. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:59 AM Subject: Re: Should mike be here as council? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:50 AM Subject: Re: Do you want to ask him if he knows how many hours the average system is designed to pump? Any standards for older systems vs newer ones? -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:10 AM Subject: Re: If he does not address it -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:09 AM Subject: Re: Are you going to ask him to explain why as a engineer he thinks 24 hour pumping makes sense? -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 11:00 AM Subject: Re: He is not trying to convince us about his knowledge of rate setting. He is here speaking to his knowledge on the engineering and doing a good job at it. Hoffman should not try to challenge him on his engineering skills -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:57 AM Subject: Re: I agree, for engineering. Not sure that he understands ratesetting, but that is not his area. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: He knows what he is talking about -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:55 AM Subject: Re: Yes. Almost as good as the power companies. He won't give Ken anything. I'm glad OPC finally got a professional. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:53 AM Subject: Re: He is a good witness -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:28 AM Subject: Re: I'm not very impressed with Reily at this point. Wasted alot of your time. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:24 AM Subject: Re: No he does not -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 10:18 AM Subject: He doesn't look much like a P.E. teacher to me.
40	1/22/08 14:34	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA		That was smart of Ken. He saw how much attention you were paying Woodcock and is limiting his damage.
41	1/22/08 14:35	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Yes it was
42	1/22/08 14:51	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Frank tries to be tricky and smart, but I think he just comes across as evasive and confused/confusing. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 2:35 PM Subject: Re: Yes it was -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 2:25 PM Subject: That was smart of Ken. He saw how much attention you were paying Woodcock and is limiting his damage.
43	1/22/08 14:52	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	He made a strange face when he was asked if he read Hoofnagle's direct
44	1/22/08 15:06	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	I didn't see it. I heard puzzlement in his voice. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 2:52 PM Subject: Re: He made a strange face when he was asked if he read Hoofnagle's direct -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 2:41 PM Subject: Re: Frank tries to be tricky and smart, but I think he just comes across as evasive and confused/confusing. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 2:35 PM Subject: Re: Yes it was -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 2:25 PM Subject: That was smart of Ken. He saw how much attention you were paying Woodcock and is limiting his damage.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
45	1/22/08 15:06	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	He has no clue of the backwashing schedule
46	1/22/08 15:09	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	None. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 3:06 PM Subject: Re: He has no clue of the backwashing schedule -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 2:56 PM Subject: Re: I didn't see it. I heard puzzlement in his voice. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 2:52 PM Subject: Re: He made a strange face when he was asked if he read Hoofnagle's direct -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 2:41 PM Subject: Re: Frank tries to be tricky and smart, but I think he just comes across as evasive and confused/confusing. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 2:35 PM Subject: Re: Yes it was -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 2:25 PM Subject: That was smart of Ken. He saw how much attention you were paying Woodcock and is limiting his damage.
47	1/22/08 15:13	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	??	What the heck?? Riley seems to be flying by the seat of his pants. He should have had an argument for admission of the handout.
48	1/22/08 15:13	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re: ??	Was staff correct that it was outside the scope? If so what could he have argued?
49	1/22/08 15:16	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re: ??	Staff was correct, but rely should have had a reason why it wasn't. He should have been able to make a claim that it is revelent to or part of the cross of RPRPE's testimony. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 22, 2008 3:13 PM Subject: Re: ?? Was staff correct that it was outside the scope? If so what could he have argued? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jan 22, 2008 3:13 PM Subject: ?? What the heck?? Riley seems to be flying by the seat of his pants. He should have had an argument for admission of the handout.
50	1/29/08 11:52	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F		Jim hunter may have called our office or some number for the PSC. He is a reporter with the citrus chronicle. He is also a friend. I think he and his Editor are coming up next week let me know the particulars or advise steve to let me know. I may meet with them for lunch
51	1/29/08 13:09	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Will do. I haven't heard from him, but there are some messages on steve's voicemail. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jan 29, 2008 11:52 AM Subject: Jim hunter may have called our office or some number for the PSC. He is a reporter with the citrus chronicle. He is also a friend. I think he and his Editor are coming up next week let me know the particulars or advise steve to let me know. I may meet with them for lunch
52	1/30/08 15:13	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	I liked the part about people being radioactive.
53	1/30/08 17:47	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F		What time do they start tomorrow? I may come in if I feel better and if the red face and blotches go away. I don't know if my flu is still contagious
54	2/1/08 10:59	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	I don't know. He has been gone too long to be in the bathroom. And I didn't see bill. Skop is doing something, occupying his attention, not paying any attention to the witness. I haven't seen Bridget all day. Katrina and LE look bored. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 1, 2008 10:56 AM Subject: Re: Where did he go? Is he behind the bench? Upstairs? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 1, 2008 10:53 AM Subject: Since LE came back, she and Matt have been having a huddle. It just ended, and he got up and left.
55	2/1/08 12:11	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F		12:30 start time?
56	2/4/08 20:33	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F		What is happening in gainesville? Several people are asking me if I am going?
57	2/4/08 20:56	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	The annual public utilities research center conference at UF. Dr. Jameson's thing. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 4, 2008 8:33 PM Subject: What is happening in gainesville? Several people are asking me if I am going?
58	2/12/08 10:29	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA		Important to vote the PAA, not go straight to hearing. The FCC needs the PAA to withhold this month's payment. Harold wants a delay so they can withdraw from FL without a written order. That's what they did in WA and OR.
59	2/12/08 16:48	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA		Well said! If you get the chance to add that comm practice has been to allow recovery of prudent costs, and purchased water sounds like it might be prudent, but the company should take the first step, that might be icing on the cake, but I think you made your point well.
60	2/12/08 16:54	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA		Perfect! Your motion is to deny staff's recommendation, with explicit permission to refile for cost recovery when they have completed the interconnection. (This also combines phases 1 and 2 of the staff rec.), so no delay if company relies on regulatory certainty of recovery of prudent costs.
61	2/14/08 16:42	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F		I want the transcript for the aloha especilly when swm fasano was there. His whole time there. Asap
62	2/14/08 16:43	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	OK. I'll call the court reporter and ask her to get it done right away. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 4:42 PM Subject: I want the transcript for the aloha especilly when swm fasano was there. His whole time there. Asap
63	2/14/08 16:44	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	I read fasanos email and I want to place it in thew correspondence side of the docket
64	2/14/08 16:57	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Will do. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 4:44 PM Subject: Re: I read fasanos email and I want to place it in thew correspondence side of the docket -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 14, 2008 4:43 PM Subject: Re: OK. I'll call the court reporter and ask her to get it done right away. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 4:42 PM Subject: I want the transcript for the aloha especilly when swm fasano was there. His whole time there. Asap
65	2/14/08 16:59	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	As soon as you get the tra,script I want it.
66	2/14/08 17:00	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Got it. I'll see if I van get it by email so I can forward it. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 4:59 PM Subject: Re: As soon as you get the tra,script I want it. -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 14, 2008 4:57 PM Subject: Re: Will do. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 4:44 PM Subject: Re: I read fasanos email and I want to place it in thew correspondence side of the docket -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 14, 2008 4:43 PM Subject: Re: OK. I'll call the court reporter and ask her to get it done right away. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 4:42 PM Subject: I want the transcript for the aloha especilly when swm fasano was there. His whole time there. Asap
67	2/14/08 17:30	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F		Isn't cost recovery allowed by statute
68	2/14/08 18:03	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Yes. You are required to allow rates that let the company recover prudent costs necessary to serve the customers. You are also required to set rates that are just, reasonable, and not unduely discriminatory, including to the company. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 5:30 PM Subject: Isn't cost recovery allowed by statute
69	2/14/08 18:35	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Is there a regulatory school coming up in Portland?
70	2/14/08 18:38	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	The NARUC conference is in portland this summer (I think July). There is some nuclear and renewables stuff tentitavely scheduled. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:35 PM Subject: Re: Is there a regulatory school coming up in Portland? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:04 PM Subject: Re: Yes. You are required to allow rates that let the company recover prudent costs necessary to serve the customers. You are also required to set rates that are just, reasonable, and not unduely discriminatory, including to the company. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 5:30 PM Subject: Isn't cost recovery allowed by statute
71	2/14/08 18:39	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	I may be interested in going rto that. What do you think? Wantn to go?
72	2/14/08 18:47	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Hell yes! NARUC is OK, but Portland would rock. I have been looking at NARUC and there are probably enough things you are interested in to justify it. We have actually attracted some attention for not going. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:39 PM Subject: Re: I may be interested in going rto that. What do you think? Wantn to go? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:38 PM Subject: Re: The NARUC conference is in portland this summer (I think July). There is some nuclear and renewables stuff tentitavely scheduled. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:35 PM Subject: Re: Is there a regulatory school coming up in Portland? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:04 PM Subject: Re: Yes. You are required to allow rates that let the company recover prudent costs necessary to serve the customers. You are also required to set rates that are just, reasonable, and not unduely discriminatory, including to the company. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 5:30 PM Subject: Isn't cost recovery allowed by statute
73	2/14/08 18:49	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Who's attention?
74	2/14/08 18:49	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Staff, the other Aides and Commissioners. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:49 PM Subject: Re: Who's attention? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:47 PM Subject: Re: Hell yes! NARUC is OK, but Portland would rock. I have been looking at NARUC and there are probably enough things you are interested in to justify it. We have actually attracted some attention for not going. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:39 PM Subject: Re: I may be interested in going rto that. What do you think? Wantn to go? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:38 PM Subject: Re: The NARUC conference is in portland this summer (I think July). There is some nuclear and renewables stuff tentitavely scheduled. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:35 PM Subject: Re: Is there a regulatory school coming up in Portland? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:04 PM Subject: Re: Yes. You are required to allow rates that let the company recover prudent costs necessary to serve the customers. You are also required to set rates that are just, reasonable, and not unduely discriminatory, including to the company. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 5:30 PM Subject: Isn't cost recovery allowed by statute
75	2/14/08 18:51	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	I don't really care what they say. I just wanted to know. We saved tax dollars. But the one in portland sounds like a good one to go to. Get the agenda so I can check it out please

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
76	2/14/08 18:53	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Will do. I think if you're ever going to go to one, this might be the best. Skop says Seattle is 18 months from now, but I haven't seen that. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:51 PM Subject: Re: I don't really care what they say. I just wanted to know. We saved tax dollars. But the one in portland sounds like a good one to go to. Get the agenda so I can check it out please -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:50 PM Subject: Re: Staff, the other Aides and Commissioners. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:49 PM Subject: Re: Who's attention? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:47 PM Subject: Re: Hell yes! NARUC is OK, but Portland would rock. I have been looking at NARUC and there are probably enough things you are interested in to justify it. We have actually attracted some attention for not going. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:39 PM Subject: Re: I may be interested in going rto that. What do you think? Want to go? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:38 PM Subject: Re: The NARUC conference is in portland this summer (I think July). There is some nuclear and renewables stuff tentatively scheduled. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:35 PM Subject: Re: Is there a regulatory school coming up in Portland? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:04 PM Subject: Re: Yes. You are required to allow rates that let the company recover prudent costs necessary to serve the customers. You are also required to set rates that are just, reasonable, and not unduly discriminatory, including to the company. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 5:30 PM Subject: Isn't cost recovery allowed by statute
77	2/14/08 18:55	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Sounds good. Is this something steve can go to
78	2/14/08 18:57	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	It would be hard to justify him. I would say if I couldn't go, you might could take him, but it would still be hard. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:55 PM Subject: Re: Sounds good. Is this something steve can go to -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:54 PM Subject: Re: Will do. I think if you're ever going to go to one, this might be the best. Skop says Seattle is 18 months from now, but I haven't seen that. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:51 PM Subject: Re: I don't really care what they say. I just wanted to know. We saved tax dollars. But the one in portland sounds like a good one to go to. Get the agenda so I can check it out please -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:50 PM Subject: Re: Staff, the other Aides and Commissioners. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:49 PM Subject: Re: Who's attention? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:47 PM Subject: Re: Hell yes! NARUC is OK, but Portland would rock. I have been looking at NARUC and there are probably enough things you are interested in to justify it. We have actually attracted some attention for not going. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:39 PM Subject: Re: I may be interested in going rto that. What do you think? Want to go? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:38 PM Subject: Re: The NARUC conference is in portland this summer (I think July). There is some nuclear and renewables stuff tentatively scheduled. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:35 PM Subject: Re: Is there a regulatory school coming up in Portland? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 14, 2008 6:04 PM Subject: Re: Yes. You are required to allow rates that let the company recover prudent costs necessary to serve the customers. You are also required to set rates that are just, reasonable, and not unduly discriminatory, including to the company. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 14, 2008 5:30 PM Subject: Isn't cost recovery allowed by statute
79	2/14/08 18:58	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	No. If other comm do not take more then one then we won't either
80	2/15/08 10:07	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F		Call me and read me the ltr please
81	2/15/08 10:58	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F		Where are you
82	2/15/08 11:18	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F		Direct me to the ststute that requires us to give cost recovery to utls
83	2/15/08 12:33	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Steve and I were in a 2 hour meeting with some union guys. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 15, 2008 10:58 AM Subject: Where are you
84	2/15/08 12:36	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	367.081, 367.0822 is limited proceedings (which was Tuesday's item.) -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 15, 2008 11:18 AM Subject: Direct me to the ststute that requires us to give cost recovery to utls
85	2/15/08 12:41	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Do you mean "in" not"ls"?
86	2/15/08 12:44	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	081 is rates in general; 0822 is the statute that allows limited proceedings. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 15, 2008 12:41 PM Subject: Re: Do you mean "in" not"ls"? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 15, 2008 12:36 PM Subject: Re: 367.081, 367.0822 is limited proceedings (which was Tuesday's item.) -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 15, 2008 11:18 AM Subject: Direct me to the ststute that requires us to give cost recovery to utls
87	2/15/08 12:45	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Were they here on a docketed matter? If not, what was their issue? Where was your phone?
88	2/15/08 12:47	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	No, they wanted to come and complain about staffing and training issues. Phone was in the office - we were in the conference room. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 15, 2008 12:45 PM Subject: Re: Were they here on a docketed matter? If not, what was their issue? Where was your phone? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 15, 2008 12:34 PM Subject: Re: Steve and I were in a 2 hour meeting with some union guys. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 15, 2008 10:58 AM Subject: Where are you
89	2/15/08 12:52	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Larry - is this accurate: 081 provides for recovery of costs, pertinent to limited proceedings as provided for by 0822.
90	2/15/08 12:58	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	LP are a special type of rate case. They don't have some of the procedures full rate cases have. They are for specific costs or issues. 0822 incorporates the cost recovery language of 081. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 15, 2008 12:52 PM Subject: Re: Larry - is this accurate: 081 provides for recovery of costs, pertinent to limited proceedings as provided for by 0822. -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 15, 2008 12:44 PM Subject: Re: 081 is rates in general; 0822 is the statute that allows limited proceedings. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 15, 2008 12:41 PM Subject: Re: Do you mean "in" not"ls"? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 15, 2008 12:36 PM Subject: Re: 367.081, 367.0822 is limited proceedings (which was Tuesday's item.) -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 15, 2008 11:18 AM Subject: Direct me to the ststute that requires us to give cost recovery to utls
91	2/15/08 12:58	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	We are going to get you a tether to hang phone around your neck, it should be like a third hand, non detachable. Capeche?
92	2/15/08 12:59	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Got it. I'll talk to my Dr about a surgical implant. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 15, 2008 12:58 PM Subject: Re: We are going to get you a tether to hang phone around your neck, it should be like a third hand, non detachable. Capeche? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 15, 2008 12:47 PM Subject: Re: No, they wanted to come and complain about staffing and training issues. Phone was in the office - we were in the conference room. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 15, 2008 12:45 PM Subject: Re: Were they here on a docketed matter? If not, what was their issue? Where was your phone? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 15, 2008 12:34 PM Subject: Re: Steve and I were in a 2 hour meeting with some union guys. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 15, 2008 10:58 AM Subject: Where are you
93	2/15/08 13:06	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Larry - how did they think we could help them with that?
94	2/15/08 13:08	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	I'm not quite sure. I think they are laying the groundwork for next year's rate cases. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 15, 2008 1:06 PM Subject: Re: Larry - how did they think we could help them with that? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 15, 2008 12:59 PM Subject: Re: Got it. I'll talk to my Dr about a surgical implant. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 15, 2008 12:58 PM Subject: Re: We are going to get you a tether to hang phone around your neck, it should be like a third hand, non detachable. Capeche? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 15, 2008 12:47 PM Subject: Re: No, they wanted to come and complain about staffing and training issues. Phone was in the office - we were in the conference room. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 15, 2008 12:45 PM Subject: Re: Were they here on a docketed matter? If not, what was their issue? Where was your phone? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 15, 2008 12:34 PM Subject: Re: Steve and I were in a 2 hour meeting with some union guys. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 15, 2008 10:58 AM Subject: Where are you
95	2/16/08 19:33	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA		This is Mike's blackberry number. He will be around all weekend. 850-320-4136
96	2/16/08 21:25	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F		Am't all recovery costs estimated? If so, if they are lower then estimated we ask them to pay back with interest right?
97	2/16/08 22:08	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F		350. 001. Legislative intent. I want this printed out. I will include this in my response to fasano and a ltr I do to the Sen pres, spkr and gov. It states while we are a arm of the Leg. We also shall perform our duties independently. I want to talk to press on this issue. I will push the envelope on this. We cannt continue to be threatened
98	2/16/08 22:17	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	I agree. This stuff doesn't need to be happening. It is hard enough to make these decisions without people playing politics. I also agree with using the press - you have a whole division that can work on those contacts and do press releases. We need to get them working. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 16, 2008 10:08 PM Subject: 350. 001. Legislative intent. I want this printed out. I will include this in my response to fasano and a ltr I do to the Sen pres, spkr and gov. It states while we are a arm of the Leg. We also shall perform our duties independently. I want to talk to press on this issue. I will push the envelope on this. We cannt continue to be threatened
99	2/16/08 22:19	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	I know so many members of the press and have apretty good relationship with them. Putting pedal to the medal on this one

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
100	2/16/08 22:21	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	It depends on the type of cost. If it is a limited proceeding for specific costs, that is usually subject to refund. If they are general costs over a lengthy period of time, they are usually approved as a general rate increase w/ the only oversight being staff's surveillance. General rate increases are not subject to refund. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 16, 2008 9:25 PM Subject: Amn't all recovery costs estimated? If so, if they are lower then estimated we ask them to pay back with interest right?
101	2/16/08 22:22	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Thanks
102	2/16/08 22:22	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	I agree that there are good grounds here. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 16, 2008 10:19 PM Subject: Re: I know so many members of the press and have a pretty good relationship with them. Putting pedal to the medal on this one -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 16, 2008 10:18 PM Subject: Re: I agree. This stuff doesn't need to be happening. It is hard enough to make these decisions without people playing politics. I also agree with using the press - you have a whole division that can work on those contacts and do press releases. We need to get them working. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 16, 2008 10:08 PM Subject: 350.001. Legislative intent. I want this printed out. I will include this in my response to fasano and a ltr I do to the Sen pres, spkr and gov. It states while we are an arm of the Leg. We also shall perform our duties independently. I want to talk to press on this issue. I will push the envelope on this. We cannot continue to be threatened.
103	2/21/08 15:06	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F		Do we have IA next monday?
104	2/21/08 15:08	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	It is scheduled for a week from Monday - 3 March. You don't have anything until next Wednesday (the FPUC rate case hearing.) -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 21, 2008 3:06 PM Subject: Do we have IA next monday?
105	2/26/08 15:05	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Alex Villalobos: 240C3CF6		Already 9 accidents in Miami.
106	2/26/08 15:08	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Alex Villalobos: 240C3CF6		11 counties have no power. Some already restored. News showing crazy driving conditions in Miami
107	2/26/08 15:09	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Alex Villalobos: 240C3CF6		Nuke plant had to shut down.
108	2/26/08 15:09	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Alex Villalobos: 240C3CF6		Saying it is a "technical problem". Who knows.
109	2/26/08 15:11	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Alex Villalobos: 240C3CF6		This does not bode well for florida to have a technical problem at one substation in FPL territory that effects 11 counties.
110	2/26/08 15:19	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Alex Villalobos: 240C3CF6		Lights back on at 14th and 10th in miami. People were panicking. Good thing you got out early
111	2/26/08 15:32	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F		Why is it that they shut down a nuke plant in S. Fl and it causes outages all through the state?
112	2/26/08 15:35	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Alex Villalobos: 240C3CF6		Technical failure at substation, not at the nuke plant. 700K affected not the 2 to 3 mill first reported.
113	2/26/08 15:36	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	It shut itself down to protect itself from the overvoltage when the trasmission line failed. Because the state is connected, electricity has to go somewhere until generators stop making it, so different parts of the state start blowing fuses, then the power overflows and the next blows, etc. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 26, 2008 3:32 PM Subject: Why is it that they shut down a nuke plant in S. Fl and it causes outages all through the state?
114	2/26/08 15:37	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Got it. Thanks. It did what it is designed to do
115	2/26/08 15:41	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Yes it did. Generators will burn up when load drops suddenly, or when supply drops suddenly. Here, the transmission failed, so load cut off but the generator also did, so worst of both. Note that there is a difference between customers and people - a customer is usually a family, which has 3 or 4 people in it. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 26, 2008 3:37 PM Subject: Re: Got it. Thanks. It did what it is designed to do -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 26, 2008 3:36 PM Subject: Re: It shut itself down to protect itself from the overvoltage when the trasmission line failed. Because the state is connected, electricity has to go somewhere until generators stop making it, so different parts of the state start blowing fuses, then the power overflows and the next blows, etc. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 26, 2008 3:32 PM Subject: Why is it that they shut down a nuke plant in S. Fl and it causes outages all through the state?
116	2/26/08 16:38	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Start tomorrow at 9:30?
117	2/26/08 16:39	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Yes. Should be a barrel of laughs. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 26, 2008 4:38 PM Subject: Re: Start tomorrow at 9:30? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 26, 2008 3:42 PM Subject: Re: Yes it did. Generators will burn up when load drops suddenly, or when supply drops suddenly. Here, the transmission failed, so load cut off but the generator also did, so worst of both. Note that there is a difference between customers and people - a customer is usually a family, which has 3 or 4 people in it. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 26, 2008 3:37 PM Subject: Re: Got it. Thanks. It did what it is designed to do -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 26, 2008 3:36 PM Subject: Re: It shut itself down to protect itself from the overvoltage when the trasmission line failed. Because the state is connected, electricity has to go somewhere until generators stop making it, so different parts of the state start blowing fuses, then the power overflows and the next blows, etc. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 26, 2008 3:32 PM Subject: Why is it that they shut down a nuke plant in S. Fl and it causes outages all through the state?
118	2/26/08 16:40	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Always love laughing. I will try to be there before 9.
119	2/26/08 17:34	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F		News reporting that nuke plant was shut down for safety reasons and that is what started the brown outs. What safety reasons? I thought it was shut down because of the substation malfunction.
120	2/26/08 20:35	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	The reactor shut itself down b/c of the substation failure. The safety reason is the need of the nuc plant to have external power in case the nuc plant fails. So, the nuc could have kept running except for safety rules and having somewhere to dump the electricity. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 26, 2008 5:34 PM Subject: News reporting that nuke plant was shut down for safety reasons and that is what started the brown outs. What safety reasons? I thought it was shut down because of the substation malfunction.
121	2/26/08 20:36	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Then the news report was wrong
122	2/26/08 20:38	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Yes. I was watching the news all afternoon and they were wrong quite a bit. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 26, 2008 8:36 PM Subject: Re: Then the news report was wrong -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 26, 2008 8:35 PM Subject: Re: The reactor shut itself down b/c of the substation failure. The safety reason is the need of the nuc plant to have external power in case the nuc plant fails. So, the nuc could have kept running except for safety rules and having somewhere to dump the electricity. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 26, 2008 5:34 PM Subject: News reporting that nuke plant was shut down for safety reasons and that is what started the brown outs. What safety reasons? I thought it was shut down because of the substation malfunction.
123	2/26/08 20:40	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Wonderful. I just wanted to make sure after hearing their report. I had told a reporter earlier that it worked that was it was designed to. The news made it sound like the cause was the nuke plant. They scare the public because they want to hurry and scoop the story before another competitive station does. Have a good night
124	2/26/08 20:46	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	You are right. See you in the AM. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 26, 2008 8:40 PM Subject: Re: Wonderful. I just wanted to make sure after hearing their report. I had told a reporter earlier that it worked that was it was designed to. The news made it sound like the cause was the nuke plant. They scare the public because they want to hurry and scoop the story before another competitive station does. Have a good night -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 26, 2008 8:38 PM Subject: Re: Yes. I was watching the news all afternoon and they were wrong quite a bit. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 26, 2008 8:36 PM Subject: Re: Then the news report was wrong -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 26, 2008 8:35 PM Subject: Re: The reactor shut itself down b/c of the substation failure. The safety reason is the need of the nuc plant to have external power in case the nuc plant fails. So, the nuc could have kept running except for safety rules and having somewhere to dump the electricity. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 26, 2008 5:34 PM Subject: News reporting that nuke plant was shut down for safety reasons and that is what started the brown outs. What safety reasons? I thought it was shut down because of the substation malfunction.
125	2/27/08 10:48	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA		So, is Fasano being politically smart or not very, with this kind of email exchange?
126	2/27/08 10:50	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	He can't help himself. He can never be wrong and hates constructive criticism. He also has an election, the more he seems to fight, the more he cranks up the citizens
127	2/27/08 10:53	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	But does it make it impossible to support some type of compromise on the anion project? -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 27, 2008 10:50 AM Subject: Re: He can't help himself. He can never be wrong and hates constructive criticism. He also has an election, the more he seems to fight, the more he cranks up the citizens -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 27, 2008 10:48 AM Subject: So, is Fasano being politically smart or not very, with this kind of email exchange?
128	2/27/08 10:54	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	He doesn't care about that at the moment. That can always be addressed after he makes a fuss
129	2/27/08 10:54	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	In other words he gets more play out of it this way
130	2/27/08 10:58	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA		The transformer fight is what percentage should be allowed into rate base. OPC is arguing that since it wasn't in service for the entire 13 months of the 2007 test year, the company shouldn't get all the money for the next 10 years.
131	2/27/08 11:00	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Why shouldn't they get the money it cost them? They should not get operating expenses if it was not operating

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
132	2/27/08 11:01	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	I agree opc just wants to cut all the \$\$ they can, even though this particular cut wouldn't really be fair. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 27, 2008 11:00 AM Subject: Re: Why shouldn't they get the money it cost them? They should not get operating expenses if it was not operating -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 27, 2008 10:58 AM Subject: The transformer fight is what percentage should be allowed into rate base. OPC is arguing that since it wasn't in service for the entire 13 months of the 2007 test year, the company shouldn't get all the money for the next 10 years.
133	2/27/08 11:02	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Is opc balking about the cost recovery of the transformer?
134	2/27/08 11:06	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Yes. If the transformer cost \$100k, but was only in service for 7 of 13 months, they want to disallow 6/13 of the cost, or about \$40k, from rate base. This means the company won't get that 40k back in rates and depreciation and profits. Instead they would have to eat it, even though the transformer will be in service for the next 10 years. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 27, 2008 11:02 AM Subject: Re: Is opc balking about the cost recovery of the transformer? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 27, 2008 11:01 AM Subject: Re: I agree opc just wants to cut all the \$\$ they can, even though this particular cut wouldn't really be fair. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 27, 2008 11:00 AM Subject: Re: Why shouldn't they get the money it cost them? They should not get operating expenses if it was not operating -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 27, 2008 10:58 AM Subject: The transformer fight is what percentage should be allowed into rate base. OPC is arguing that since it wasn't in service for the entire 13 months of the 2007 test year, the company shouldn't get all the money for the next 10 years.
135	2/27/08 11:07	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Got it
136	2/27/08 12:08	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F		I can tell who you are texting.
137	2/27/08 12:08	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA		I know this guy is an economist, but could he be more boring!!!
138	2/27/08 12:08	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA		Wait for it - Patty's going to ask a zinger! (No, not really. Just wishful thinking)
139	2/27/08 12:25	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA		You know, the sad thing is Patty is actually doing a pretty good job of moving along with her questions.
140	2/27/08 12:26	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	I just got this.
141	2/27/08 12:37	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	I'm having horrible data problems - more than 1/2 the time it shows no data connection. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 27, 2008 12:26 PM Subject: Re: I just got this. -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 27, 2008 12:19 PM Subject: What about Wilford Brimley's younger brother? He looks like a guy from Marianna.
142	2/27/08 12:40	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larken	Is a trip. He won't answer any questions, fights with the attorneys, and lectures Commissioners. This might be fun - especially if he is fired up today. Fun in the sense of watching an ass be a jerk.
143	2/27/08 12:43	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re: Larken	Is he right?
144	2/27/08 12:46	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re: Larken	Not really. He is right in principle, but then he goes way too far on making adjustments. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 27, 2008 12:43 PM Subject: Re: Larken Is he right? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 27, 2008 12:40 PM Subject: Larken Is a trip. He won't answer any questions, fights with the attorneys, and lectures Commissioners. This might be fun - especially if he is fired up today. Fun in the sense of watching an ass be a jerk.
145	2/27/08 12:48	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re: Larken	I'm talking about him saying that the psc has si nce 1985, has not allowed working cap and fuel adjustment
146	2/27/08 12:51	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re: Larken	I am not sure. We do have the fuel clause since mid 1980s. I'm not sure about work cap. The problem here is the company has been losing money for 2 years. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 27, 2008 12:48 PM Subject: Re: Larken I'm talking about him saying that the psc has si nce 1985, has not allowed working cap and fuel adjustment -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 27, 2008 12:45 PM Subject: Re: Larken Not really. He is right in principle, but then he goes way too far on making adjustments. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Feb 27, 2008 12:43 PM Subject: Re: Larken Is he right? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Feb 27, 2008 12:40 PM Subject: Larken Is a trip. He won't answer any questions, fights with the attorneys, and lectures Commissioners. This might be fun - especially if he is fired up today. Fun in the sense of watching an ass be a jerk.
147	2/27/08 14:31	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re: Larken	What increase was larken referring to when he said it was unsubstantiated? Maintenance?
148	2/27/08 15:50	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA		Remember that this is opc's witness who wants to talk about the salaries/raises. She would be one to ask questions of. The company is also going to have a witness who will hand out the actual numbers in confidential folders.
149	2/28/08 11:06	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F		Who is that next to you?
150	2/28/08 11:06	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA		It looks like we're going to have to try pretty hard to stay awake. At least you had some tea.
151	3/3/08 7:15	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F		What is on agenda this morn? I may call in
152	3/3/08 7:17	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Internal Affairs. One set of comments to the FCC - nothing particularly interesting or important. More important for you to be here tomorrow for Agenda. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Mar 3, 2008 7:15 AM Subject: What is on agenda this morn? I may call in
153	3/3/08 7:20	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	I will call in then. I may even stop in later today. I will be there tomorrow for sure. What are the fcc comments about?
154	3/3/08 7:23	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	I don't remember offhand. I will be leaving for work in a few minutes; I'll call you when I get there and we can talk about them. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Mar 3, 2008 7:20 AM Subject: Re: I will call in then. I may even stop in later today. I will be there tomorrow for sure. What are the fcc comments about? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Mar 3, 2008 7:17 AM Subject: Re: Internal Affairs. One set of comments to the FCC - nothing particularly interesting or important. More important for you to be here tomorrow for Agenda. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Mar 3, 2008 7:15 AM Subject: What is on agenda this morn? I may call in
155	3/3/08 7:25	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Call me at 9:15. At the home number. I will be back there at that time.
156	3/3/08 7:25	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Will do. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Mar 3, 2008 7:25 AM Subject: Re: Call me at 9:15. At the home number. I will be back there at that time. -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Mar 3, 2008 7:24 AM Subject: Re: I don't remember offhand. I will be leaving for work in a few minutes; I'll call you when I get there and we can talk about them. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Mar 3, 2008 7:20 AM Subject: Re: I will call in then. I may even stop in later today. I will be there tomorrow for sure. What are the fcc comments about? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Mar 3, 2008 7:17 AM Subject: Re: Internal Affairs. One set of comments to the FCC - nothing particularly interesting or important. More important for you to be here tomorrow for Agenda. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Mar 3, 2008 7:15 AM Subject: What is on agenda this morn? I may call in
157	3/3/08 9:38	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA		I don't agree w/ Skop. When you look at the comments, I think what staff says is right and is not excessive.
158	3/3/08 9:39	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	I kind of agree with him at this point.
159	3/3/08 14:52	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Thanks. I called her three times and left my home and cell numbers
160	3/3/08 15:18	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Has steve been in today?
161	3/4/08 10:29	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA		If you guys are going to deny on 4 and 5, make sure the motion orders him to comply - I don't think his oral understanding is good enough. An order to comply is better.
162	3/4/08 11:09	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F		You have got to tell bill that matt has to stop molly coddelling LE.
163	3/4/08 11:10	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Will do. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Mar 4, 2008 11:09 AM Subject: You have got to tell bill that matt has to stop molly coddelling LE.
164	3/4/08 11:42	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA		I think Savage did a better job on his presentation than Verizon. Short, sweet, to the point.
165	3/4/08 11:42	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Agree
166	3/4/08 14:06	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re:	Tell twomey to be ready
167	3/4/08 14:07	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Re:	Got it. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Mar 4, 2008 2:06 PM Subject: Re: Tell twomey to be ready -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Mar 4, 2008 11:40 AM Subject: I think Savage did a better job on his presentation than Verizon. Short, sweet, to the point.
168	3/12/08 8:25	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Call	Good morning! Can you give me a call when you get a chance, regarding your radio call-in tomorrow? BTW steve is out sick - he sounds pretty bad. All else is quiet.
169	3/12/08 10:28	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Call-in	Kyle says about 10 minutes, 11:35-11:45. Sort of roundtable type discussion about women in politics. Your recollections, issues, general info type stuff.
170	3/12/08 15:09	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Call	The Chairman came by and asked me to get in touch with you about 2 issues. Can you give me a call at your convenience? Still very quiet here, and thanks. L

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
171	3/12/08 15:09	Larry Harris 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Call	The Chairman came by and asked me to get in touch with you about 2 issues. Can you give me a call at your convenience? Still very quiet here, and thanks. L
172	3/13/08 1:45	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F	Re: Call-in	Please email me the number again in the morn.
173	3/13/08 8:23	Larry Harris 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA		The call in number is 850 414 1234. The time is going to be 11:35.
174	3/18/08 10:04	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris: 23F0278F		Did you request the generator issue come off move staff? It appears as I read this that the generator customer was being charged a fee. Then I guess some decided that since they did not use the gas they would cancel until needed. Why didn't you know that?
175	3/18/08 10:29	Larry Harris 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA		366.03 first sentence. 366.04(c) 366.05(1) Middle of the paragraph.
176	3/18/08 10:31	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris 32CD37E0	Re:	Thanks
177	3/18/08 11:09	Nancy Argenziano: 23D2ACBA	Larry Harris 32CD37E0	Re:	What new legislation was he referring to
178	7/7/09 16:11	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: BG+RB	<p>Yes, ma'am, it is. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:44 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<This is insane<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:35 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Got It. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:36 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yes and about no one telling them to spk into the mic<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:34 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<About the phone?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:35 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Tab him and let MB know I am furious<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:32 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<OK<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:33 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Ask them to ask him to spk rt into mic<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:10 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Got all 3<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:11 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Tab her<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:05 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<They are looking for an excuse to speak for the rate case. That's the best they can come up with. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:06 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Do these people really think that it is in the interest for the cos to not fix lines quick after storm<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:51 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Will do. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:52 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I can hear her. But if next person fades out u need to email staff there and tel them that they need to spk into mic<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yep. But they are back now. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:43 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Did u lose them too<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I can help with anything you need also. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009</p>
179	7/7/09 16:12	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: BG+RB	<p>This is insane</p> <p>How can we stop it? If its from unregulated profits, PSC can't prohibit. Change law?</p> <p><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:46 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<It should not be allowed for them to buy favor <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:43 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yes, ma'am, it is. <But money talks, and these community people know who butters their bread. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:44 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<This is insane<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:35 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Got it. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:36 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yes and about no one telling them to spk into the mic<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:34 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<About the phone?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:35 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Tab him and let MB know I am furious<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:32 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<OK<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:33 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Ask them to ask him to spk rt into mic<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:10 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Got all 3<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:11 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Tab her<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:05 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<They are looking for an excuse to speak for the rate case. That's the best they can come up with. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:06 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Do these people really think that it is in the interest for the cos to not fix lines quick after storm<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:51 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Will do. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:52 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I can hear her. But if next person fades out u need to email staff there and tel them that they need to spk into mic<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yep. But they are back now. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:43 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Did u lose them too<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I can help with anything you need also. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:22 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<It may be difficult. But my home is so close and it may just be doable<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:19 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<That would be very good if you can make it. And, they will really appreciate it also. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I don't have a good feeling about it working any better. Btw, I am thinking of being at the citrus meeting. I have too many people wanting me to be there. <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:17 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Let's hope the customers do better. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:18 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<We may be signing off early<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:13 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Not worth a damn. Piece parts only. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:15 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Can u hear them<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:06 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I am leaning in that direction. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:05 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<U may want to trust her just this time<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:01 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yeah, I hate to put her out, but I hate to risk loosing 600 in july</p>
181	7/7/09 16:14	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: BG+RB	It should not be allowed for them to buy favor
182	7/7/09 18:44	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: BG+RB	#####
183	7/7/09 18:45	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: BG+RB	

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
184	7/7/09 18:47	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: BG+RB	<p>I plan to get them all. As far as I could tell, he was neutral. <R>This woman is a shill. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:48 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>We need to get his transcript to see if he said something that needs to be corrected<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:44 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Me neither. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:45 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Can't hear him<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:42 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Yep. But they are back now. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:43 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Did u lose them too<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>I can help with anything you need also. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:22 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>It may be difficult. But my home is so close and it may just be doable<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:19 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>That would be very good if you can make it. And, they will really appreciate it also. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>I don't have a good feeling about it working any better. Btw. I am thinking of being at the citrus meeting. I have too many people wanting me to be there. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:17 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Let's hope the customers do better. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:18 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>We may be signing off early<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:13 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Not worth a damn. Piece parts only. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:15 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Can u hear them<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:06 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>I am leaning in that direction. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:05 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>U may want to trust her just this time<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:01 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Yeah. I hate to put her out, but I hate to risk loosing 600 in July and all of August. She has paid on time 10 months, in full, in cash. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:02 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>I'm afraid in these times that may be a landlords happening<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:59 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Whoo-Hoo! <R>Went to collect my rent. Got there, tenant only had \$500. Took it and said I'd decide tonight whether to evict or wait the 2 weeks for the remaining \$600. She did pay me the first time she was late. But now its 2x.....<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:58 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>R u ready for a fun filled evening? <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:54 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Yes, it was. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:55 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>That's nice of her<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:40 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Thanks. I guess she is trying really hard to give us a heads-up. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:40 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>I replied to her that I appreciated their efforts and thanks. We have to wait and see<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:37 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Did you get Cindy's email about the bad acoustics in the room? She has emailed and called me also - I guess she wants a response. I don't know what to tell her other than we'll just have to wait and see how bad it is. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 4:54 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Ok<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 4:51 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>I have to run an errand real quick. I'll be back in the office by</p>
185	7/7/09 18:48	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: BG+RB	<p>We need to get his transcript to see if he said something that needs to be corrected</p>
186	7/7/09 18:49	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: BG+RB	<p>I heard you try and comment. I guess they can't hear you. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:48 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>We need to get his transcript to see if he said something that needs to be corrected<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:44 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Me neither. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:45 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Can't hear him<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:42 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Yep. But they are back now. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:43 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Did u lose them too<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>I can help with anything you need also. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:22 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>It may be difficult. But my home is so close and it may just be doable<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:19 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>That would be very good if you can make it. And, they will really appreciate it also. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>I don't have a good feeling about it working any better. Btw. I am thinking of being at the citrus meeting. I have too many people wanting me to be there. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:17 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Let's hope the customers do better. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:18 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>We may be signing off early<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:13 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Not worth a damn. Piece parts only. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:15 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Can u hear them<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:06 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>I am leaning in that direction. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:05 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>U may want to trust her just this time<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:01 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Yeah. I hate to put her out, but I hate to risk loosing 600 in July and all of August. She has paid on time 10 months, in full, in cash. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:02 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>I'm afraid in these times that may be a landlords happening<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:59 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Whoo-Hoo! <R>Went to collect my rent. Got there, tenant only had \$500. Took it and said I'd decide tonight whether to evict or wait the 2 weeks for the remaining \$600. She did pay me the first time she was late. But now its 2x.....<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:58 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>R u ready for a fun filled evening? <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:54 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Yes, it was. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:55 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>That's nice of her<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:40 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Thanks. I guess she is trying really hard to give us a heads-up. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:40 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>I replied to her that I appreciated their efforts and thanks. We have to wait and see<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:37 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Did you get Cindy's email about the bad acoustics in the room? She has emailed and called me also - I guess she wants a response. I don't know what to tell her other than we'll just have to wait and see how bad it is. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 4:54 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>Ok<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 4:51 PM<R>Subject: Re: BG+RB<R><R>I have to run an errand real quick. I'll be back in the office by</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
187	7/7/09 18:51	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: BG+RB	Will do. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:52 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I can hear her. But if next person fades out u need to email staff there and tel them that they need to spk into mic<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yep. But they are back now. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:43 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Did u lose them too<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I can help with anything you need also. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:22 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<It may be difficult. But my home is so close and it may just be doable<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:19 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<That would be very good if you can make it. And, they will really appreciate it also. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I don't have a good feeling about it working any better. Btw. I am thinking of being at the citrus meeting. I have too many people wanting me to be there. <Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:17 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Let's hope the customers do better. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:18 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<We may be signing off early<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:13 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Not worth a damm. Piece parts only. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:15 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Can u hear them<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:06 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I am leaning in that direction. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:05 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<U may want to trust her just this time<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:01 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yeah. I hate to put her out, but I hate to risk losing 600 in July and all of August. She has paid on time 10 months, in full, in cash. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:02 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I'm afraid in these times that may be a landlords happening<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:59 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Whoo-Hoo! <Went to collect my rent. Got there, tenent only had \$500. Took it and said I'd decide tonight whether to evict or wait the 2 weeks for the remaining \$600. She did pay me the first time she was late. But now its 2x....<Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:58 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<R u ready for a fun filled evening? <Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:54 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yes, it was. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:55 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<That's nice of her<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:40 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Thanks. I guess she is trying really hard to give us a heads-up. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:40 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I replied to her that I appreciated their efforts and thanks. We have to wait and see<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:37 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Did you get Cindy's email about the bad acoustics in the room? She has emailed and called me also - I guess she wants a response. I don't know what to tell her other than we'll just have to wait and see how bad it is. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 4:54 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Ok<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 4:11 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Ok. <Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 4:09 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yes.
188	7/7/09 18:52	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: BG+RB	I can hear her. But if next person fades out u need to email staff there and tel them that they need to spk into mic
189	7/7/09 19:03	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: BG+RB	She was a shill
190	7/7/09 19:04	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: BG+RB	Yes. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:03 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<She was a shill<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:51 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Will do. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:52 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I can hear her. But if next person fades out u need to email staff there and tel them that they need to spk into mic<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yep. But they are back now. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:43 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Did u lose them too<Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I can help with anything you need also. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:22 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<It may be difficult. But my home is so close and it may just be doable<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:19 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<That would be very good if you can make it. And, they will really appreciate it also. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I don't have a good feeling about it working any better. Btw. I am thinking of being at the citrus meeting. I have too many people wanting me to be there. <Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:17 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Let's hope the customers do better. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:18 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<We may be signing off early<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:13 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Not worth a damm. Piece parts only. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:15 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Can u hear them<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:06 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I am leaning in that direction. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:05 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<U may want to trust her just this time<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:01 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yeah. I hate to put her out, but I hate to risk losing 600 in July and all of August. She has paid on time 10 months, in full, in cash. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:02 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I'm afraid in these times that may be a landlords happening<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:59 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Whoo-Hoo! <Went to collect my rent. Got there, tenent only had \$500. Took it and said I'd decide tonight whether to evict or wait the 2 weeks for the remaining \$600. She did pay me the first time she was late. But now its 2x....<Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:58 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<R u ready for a fun filled evening? <Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:54 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yes, it was. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:55 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<That's nice of her<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:40 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Thanks. I guess she is trying really hard to give us a heads-up. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:40 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I replied to her that I appreciated their efforts and thanks. We have to wait and see<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:37 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Did you get Cindy's email about the bad acoustics in the room? She has emailed and called me also - I guess she wants a response. I don't know what to tell her other than we'll just have to wait and see how bad it is. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 4:54 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Ok<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 4:11 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I have to run an errand real quick. I'll be back in the office by 5:30 at the latest. <Original

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
191	7/7/09 19:05	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: BG+RB	<p>They are looking for an excuse to speak for the rate case. That's the best they can come up with. -----Original Message-----</p> <p><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:06 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Do these people really think that it is in the interest for the cos to not fix lines quick after storm>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:51 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Will do. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:52 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><I can hear her. But if next person fades out u need to email staff there and tel them that they need to spk into mic>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:42 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Yep. But they are back now. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:43 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Did u lose them too>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><I can help with anything you need also. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:22 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><It may be difficult. But my home is so close and it may just be doable>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:19 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><That would be very good if you can make it. And, they will really appreciate it also. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><I don't have a good feeling about it working any better. Btw. I am thinking of being at the citrus meeting. I have too many people wanting me to be there. -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:17 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Let's hope the customers do better. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:18 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><We may be signing off early>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:13 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Not worth a damn. Piece parts only. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:15 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Can u hear them>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:06 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><I am leaning in that direction. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:05 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><U may want to trust her just this time>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:01 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Yeah. I hate to put her out, but I hate to risk loosing 600 in july and all of august. She has paid on time 10 months, in full, in cash. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:02 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><I'm afraid in these times that may be a landlords happening>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:59 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Whoo-Hoo!!><Went to collect my rent. Got there, tenant only had \$500. Took it and said I'd decide tonight whether to evict or wait the 2 weeks for the remaining \$600. She did pay me the first time she was late. But now its 2x.....-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:58 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><R u ready for a fun filled evening? -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:54 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Yes, it was. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:55 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><That's nice of her>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:40 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><I replied to her that I appreciated their efforts and thanks. We have to wait and see>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:37 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Did you get Cindy's email about the bad acoustics in the room? She has emailed and called me also - I guess she wants a response. I don't know what to tell her other than we'll just have to wait and see how bad it is. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 4:54 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Ok>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy</p>
192	7/7/09 19:06	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: BG+RB	Do these people really think that it is in the interest for the cos to not fix lines quick after storm
193	7/7/09 19:08	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: BG+RB	Tab her
194	7/7/09 19:09	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: BG+RB	Tab him
195	7/7/09 19:10	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: BG+RB	<p>Done. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:09 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Tab him>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:05 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><They are looking for an excuse to speak for the rate case. That's the best they can come up with. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:06 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Do these people really think that it is in the interest for the cos to not fix lines quick after storm>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:51 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Will do. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:52 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><I can hear her. But if next person fades out u need to email staff there and tel them that they need to spk into mic>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:42 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Yep. But they are back now. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:43 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Did u lose them too>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><I can help with anything you need also. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:22 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><It may be difficult. But my home is so close and it may just be doable>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:19 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><That would be very good if you can make it. And, they will really appreciate it also. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><I don't have a good feeling about it working any better. Btw. I am thinking of being at the citrus meeting. I have too many people wanting me to be there. -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:17 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Let's hope the customers do better. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:18 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><We may be signing off early>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:13 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Not worth a damn. Piece parts only. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:15 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Can u hear them>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:06 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><I am leaning in that direction. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:05 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><U may want to trust her just this time>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:01 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Yeah. I hate to put her out, but I hate to risk loosing 600 in july and all of august. She has paid on time 10 months, in full, in cash. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:02 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><I'm afraid in these times that may be a landlords happening>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:59 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Whoo-Hoo!!><Went to collect my rent. Got there, tenant only had \$500. Took it and said I'd decide tonight whether to evict or wait the 2 weeks for the remaining \$600. She did pay me the first time she was late. But now its 2x.....-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:58 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><R u ready for a fun filled evening? -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:54 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Yes, it was. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:55 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><That's nice of her>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:40 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><I replied to her that I appreciated their efforts and thanks. We have to wait and see>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:37 PM><Subject: Re: BG+RB><Did you get Cindy's email about the bad acoustics in the room? She has emailed and called me also - I guess she wants a response. I don't know</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
196	7/7/09 19:10	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: BG+RB	<p>Got all 3<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:11 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Tab her<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:05 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----They are looking for an excuse to speak for the rate case. That's the best they can come up with. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:06 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Do these people really think that it is in the interest for the cos to not fix lines quick after storm<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:51 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Will do. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:52 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----I can hear her. But if next person fades out u need to email staff there and tel them that they need to spk into mic<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:42 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Yep. But they are back now. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:43 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Did u lose them too<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----I can help with anything you need also. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:22 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----It may be difficult. But my home is so close and it may just be doable<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:19 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----That would be very good if you can make it. And, they will really appreciate it also. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----I don't have a good feeling about it working any better. Btw. I am thinking of being at the citrus meeting. I have too many people wanting me to be there. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:17 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Let's hope the customers do better. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:18 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----We may be signing off early<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:13 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Not worth a damn. Piece parts only. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:15 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Can u hear them<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:06 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----I am leaning in that direction. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:05 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----U may want to trust her just this time<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:01 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Yeah. I hate to put her out, but I hate to risk loosing 600 in july and all of august. She has paid on time 10 months, in full, in cash. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:02 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----I'm afraid in these times that may be a landlords happening<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:59 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Whoo-Hoo!! <-----Went to collect my rent. Got there, tenant only had \$500. Took it and said I'd decide tonight whether to evict or wait the 2 weeks for the remaining \$600. She did pay me the first time she was late. But now its 2x....<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:58 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----R u ready for a fun filled evening? <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:54 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Yes, it was. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:55 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----That's nice of her<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:40 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Thanks. I guess she is trying really hard to give us a heads-up. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:40 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----I replied to her that I appreciated their efforts and thanks. We have to wait and see<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:37 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Did you get Cindy's email about the bad acoustics in the room? She has emailed and called me also - I guess she wants a response. I don't know</p>
197	7/7/09 19:11	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: BG+RB	<p>Tab her</p>
198	7/7/09 19:32	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA		<p>OK<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:33 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Ask them to ask him to spk rt into mic<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:10 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Got all 3<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:11 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Tab her<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:05 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----They are looking for an excuse to speak for the rate case. That's the best they can come up with. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:06 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Do these people really think that it is in the interest for the cos to not fix lines quick after storm<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:51 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Will do. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:52 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----I can hear her. But if next person fades out u need to email staff there and tel them that they need to spk into mic<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:42 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Yep. But they are back now. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:43 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Did u lose them too<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----I can help with anything you need also. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:22 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----It may be difficult. But my home is so close and it may just be doable<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:19 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----That would be very good if you can make it. And, they will really appreciate it also. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----I don't have a good feeling about it working any better. Btw. I am thinking of being at the citrus meeting. I have too many people wanting me to be there. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:17 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Let's hope the customers do better. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:18 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----We may be signing off early<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:13 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Not worth a damn. Piece parts only. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:15 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Can u hear them<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:06 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----I am leaning in that direction. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:05 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----U may want to trust her just this time<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:01 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Yeah. I hate to put her out, but I hate to risk loosing 600 in july and all of august. She has paid on time 10 months, in full, in cash. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:02 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----I'm afraid in these times that may be a landlords happening<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:59 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Whoo-Hoo!! <-----Went to collect my rent. Got there, tenant only had \$500. Took it and said I'd decide tonight whether to evict or wait the 2 weeks for the remaining \$600. She did pay me the first time she was late. But now its 2x....<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:58 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----R u ready for a fun filled evening? <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:54 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Yes, it was. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:55 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----That's nice of her<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:40 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Thanks. I guess she is trying really hard to give us a heads-up. <-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:40 PM<-----Subject: Re: BG+RB<-----<-----Ask them to ask him to spk rt into mic</p>
199	7/7/09 19:33	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: BG+RB	<p>Ask them to ask him to spk rt into mic</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
200	7/7/09 19:34	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: BG+RB	<p>About the phone?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:35 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Tab him and let MB know I am furious-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:32 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<OK-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:33 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Ask them to ask him to spk it into mic-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:10 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Got all 3-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:11 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Tab her-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:05 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<They are looking for an excuse to speak for the rate case. That's the best they can come up with.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:06 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Do these people really think that it is in the interest for the cos to not fix lines quick after storm-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:51 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Will do.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:52 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I can hear her. But if next person fades out u need to email staff there and tel them that they need to spk into mic-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yep. But they are back now.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:43 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Did u lose them too-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I can help with anything you need also.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:22 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<It may be difficult. But my home is so close and it may just be doable-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:19 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<That would be very good if you can make it. And, they will really appreciate it also.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I don't have a good feeling about it working any better. Btw. I am thinking of being at the citrus meeting. I have too many people wanting me to be there.-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:17 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Let's hope the customers do better.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:18 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<We may be signing off early-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:13 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Not worth a damn. Piece parts only.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:15 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Can u hear them-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:06 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I am leaning in that direction.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:05 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<U may want to trust her just this time-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:01 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yeah. I hate to put her out, but I hate to risk loosing 600 in july and all of august. She has paid on time 10 months, in full, in cash.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:02 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I'm afraid in these times that may be a landlords happening-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:59 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Whoo-Hoo!!-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:58 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<R u ready for a fun filled evening?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:54 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yes, it was.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:55 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<That's nice of her-----Original Message-----<From: Larry</p>
201	7/7/09 19:35	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: BG+RB	<p>Tab him and let MB know I am furious</p>
202	7/7/09 19:35	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: BG+RB	<p>Got it.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:36 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yes and about no one telling them to spk into the mic-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:34 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<About the phone?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:35 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Tab him and let MB know I am furious-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:32 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<OK-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:33 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Ask them to ask him to spk it into mic-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:10 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Got all 3-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:11 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Tab her-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:05 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<They are looking for an excuse to speak for the rate case. That's the best they can come up with.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:06 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Do these people really think that it is in the interest for the cos to not fix lines quick after storm-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:51 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Will do.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:52 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I can hear her. But if next person fades out u need to email staff there and tel them that they need to spk into mic-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yep. But they are back now.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:43 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Did u lose them too-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I can help with anything you need also.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:22 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<It may be difficult. But my home is so close and it may just be doable-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:19 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<That would be very good if you can make it. And, they will really appreciate it also.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I don't have a good feeling about it working any better. Btw. I am thinking of being at the citrus meeting. I have too many people wanting me to be there.-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:17 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Let's hope the customers do better.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:18 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<We may be signing off early-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:13 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Not worth a damn. Piece parts only.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:15 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Can u hear them-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:06 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I am leaning in that direction.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:05 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<U may want to trust her just this time-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:01 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yeah. I hate to put her out, but I hate to risk loosing 600 in july and all of august. She has paid on time 10 months, in full, in cash.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:02 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I'm afraid in these times that may be a landlords happening-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:59 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Whoo-Hoo!!-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 5:58 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<R u ready for a fun filled</p>
203	7/7/09 19:36	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: BG+RB	<p>Yes and about no one telling them to spk into the mic</p>
204	7/7/09 19:49	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: BG+RB	<p>I am becoming more and more concerned about how the "gifts" the companies give to certain charities. Did we get a list of actual amounts that they contribute to all these orgs? I want to know for both cos</p>
205	7/7/09 19:50	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: BG+RB	<p>We need to not allow anything but service and rates. Not allow this crap</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
206	7/7/09 19:53	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: BG+RB	<p>As you instructed, discovery is being sent out to PEF and FPL about the charitable contributions. <P>I think it went last week or Monday. <P>But it was only on the number of CCs made, the \$ amount spent, the effect on net income, and tax treatment-effects. <P>It didn't ask about specific beneficiaries. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:49 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>I am becoming more and more concerned about how the "gifts" the companies give to certain charities. Did we get a list of actual amounts that they contribute to all these orgs? I want to know for both cos<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:43 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Yes, ma'am, it is. <P>But money talks, and these community people know who butters their bread. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:44 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>This is insane<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:35 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Got it. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:36 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Yes and about no one telling them to spk into the mic<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:34 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>About the phone?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:35 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Tab him and let MB know I am furious<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:32 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>OK<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:33 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Ask them to ask him to spk it into mic<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:10 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Got all 3<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:11 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Tab her<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:05 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>They are looking for an excuse to speak for the rate case. That's the best they can come up with. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:06 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Do these people really think that it is in the interest for the cos to not fix lines quick after storm<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:51 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Will do. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:52 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>I can hear her. But if next person fades out u need to email staff there and tel them that they need to spk into mic<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:42 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Yep. But they are back now. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:43 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Did u lose them too<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>I can help with anything you need also. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:22 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>It may be difficult. But my home is so close and it may just be doable<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:19 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>That would be very good if you can make it. And, they will really appreciate it also. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>I don't have a good feeling about it working any better. Btw, I am thinking of being at the citrus meeting. I have too many people wanting me to be there. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:17 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Let's hope the customers do better. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:18 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>We may be signing off early<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:13 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Not worth a damn. Piece parts only. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:15 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Can u hear them<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:06 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>I am leaning in that direction. <P>-----</p>
207	7/7/09 19:55	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: BG+RB	<p>We would almost certainly have to have the statute changed. Or get some kind of opinion from someone (AG?) about the meaning of the statutory language that directs us to have customer service hearings. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:50 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>We need to not allow anything but service and rates. Not allow this crap<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:46 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>How can we stop it? If its from unregulated profits, PSC can't prohibit. Change law?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:46 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>It should not be allowed for them to buy favor <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:43 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Yes, ma'am, it is. <P>But money talks, and these community people know who butters their bread. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:44 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>This is insane<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:35 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Got it. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:36 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Yes and about no one telling them to spk into the mic<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:34 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>About the phone?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:35 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Tab him and let MB know I am furious<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:32 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>OK<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:33 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Ask them to ask him to spk it into mic<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:10 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Got all 3<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:11 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Tab her<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:05 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>They are looking for an excuse to speak for the rate case. That's the best they can come up with. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:06 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Do these people really think that it is in the interest for the cos to not fix lines quick after storm<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:51 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Will do. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:52 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>I can hear her. But if next person fades out u need to email staff there and tel them that they need to spk into mic<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:42 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Yep. But they are back now. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:43 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Did u lose them too<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>I can help with anything you need also. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:22 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>It may be difficult. But my home is so close and it may just be doable<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:19 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>That would be very good if you can make it. And, they will really appreciate it also. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>I don't have a good feeling about it working any better. Btw, I am thinking of being at the citrus meeting. I have too many people wanting me to be there. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:17 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Let's hope the customers do better. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:18 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>We may be signing off early<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:13 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Not worth a damn. Piece parts only. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:15 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>Can u hear them<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:06 PM<P>Subject: Re: BG+RB<P><P>I am leaning in that direction. <P>-----</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
214	7/7/09 20:03	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: BG+RB	<p>I very well could be. <?>At a minimum, there could be an attempt to change the notice to limit to rate+actual service experiences only. Let the cmpry protest the changed notice. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 8:03 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>No I think u are wrong. If we have a SH re rate and service a chair could limit to that. Or make it very clear that "gifts" have nothing to do with rate and service issues<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:55 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>We would almost certainly have to have the statute changed. Or get some kind of opinion from someone (AG?) about the meaning of the statutory language that directs us to have customer service hearings. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:50 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>We need to not allow anything but service and rates. Not allow this crap<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:46 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>How can we stop it? If its from unregulated profits, PSC can't prohibit. Change law?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:46 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>It should not be allowed for them to buy favor <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:43 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Yes, ma'am, it is. <?>But money talks, and these community people know who butters their bread. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:44 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>This is insane<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:35 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Got it. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:36 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Yes and about no one telling them to spk into the mic<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:34 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>About the phone?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:35 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Tab him and let MB know I am furious<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:32 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>OK<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:33 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Ask them to ask him to spk it into mic<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:10 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Got all 3<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:11 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Tab her<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:05 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>They are looking for an excuse to speak for the rate case. That's the best they can come up with. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:06 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Do these people really think that it is in the interest for the cos to not fix lines quick after storm<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:51 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Will do. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:52 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>I can hear her. But if next person fades out u need to email staff there and tel them that they need to spk into mic<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:42 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Yep. But they are back now. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:43 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Did u lose them too<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>I can help with anything u need also. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:22 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>It may be difficult. But my home is so close and it may just be doable<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:19 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>That would be very good if you can make it. And, they will really appreciate it also. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>I don't have a good feeling about it working any better. Btw, I am thinking of being at the citrus meeting. I have too many people wanting me to be there. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 8:04 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>That's fine as long as mcllum knows I need to spk to him. Or get me his number<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 8:00 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>I'll tell him in the AM. He said may be a little late b/c of a Dr appt. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 8:00 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Remind me, or better yet get Steve to get me phone appt with McCollum asap<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:55 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>We would almost certainly have to have the statute changed. Or get some kind of opinion from someone (AG?) about the meaning of the statutory language that directs us to have customer service hearings. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:50 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>We need to not allow anything but service and rates. Not allow this crap<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:46 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>How can we stop it? If its from unregulated profits, PSC can't prohibit. Change law?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:43 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Yes, ma'am, it is. <?>But money talks, and these community people know who butters their bread. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:44 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>This is insane<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:35 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Got it. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:36 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Yes and about no one telling them to spk into the mic<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:34 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>About the phone?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:35 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Tab him and let MB know I am furious<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:32 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>OK<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:33 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Ask them to ask him to spk it into mic<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:10 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Got all 3<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:11 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Tab her<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:05 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>They are looking for an excuse to speak for the rate case. That's the best they can come up with. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:06 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Do these people really think that it is in the interest for the cos to not fix lines quick after storm<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:51 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Will do. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:52 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>I can hear her. But if next person fades out u need to email staff there and tel them that they need to spk into mic<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:42 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Yep. But they are back now. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:43 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>Did u lose them too<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>I can help with anything u need also. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:22 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>It may be difficult. But my home is so close and it may just be doable<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:19 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>That would be very good if you can make it. And, they will really appreciate it also. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<?>Subject: Re: BG+RB<?><?>I don't have a good feeling about it working any better. Btw, I am</p>
216	7/7/09 20:04	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: BG+RB	That's fine as long as mcllum knows I need to spk to him. Or get me his number
217	7/7/09 20:06	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: BG+RB	Rt

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
218	7/7/09 20:09	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: BG+RB	As they should be noted. On the record. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 8:09 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<My concerns are going to be noted of the orchestrated co shills being alerted and amount of "pay offs" to certain charities <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 8:04 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Will do. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 8:04 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<That's fine as long as mcollum knows I need to spk to him. Or get me his number>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 8:00 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I'll tell him in the AM. He said may be a little late b/c of a Dr appt. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 8:00 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Remind me, or better yet get Steve to get me phone appt with McCollum asap>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:55 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<We would almost certainly have to have the statute changed. Or get some kind of opinion from someone (AG?) about the meaning of the statutory language that directs us to have customer service hearings. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:50 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<We need to not allow anything but service and rates. Not allow this crap>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:46 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<How can we stop it? If its from unregulated profits, PSC can't prohibit. Change law?>>><Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:46 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<It should not be allowed for them to buy favor <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:43 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yes, ma'am, it is. <But money talks, and these community people know who butters their bread. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:44 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<This is insane>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:35 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Got it. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:36 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yes and about no one telling them to spk into the mic>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:34 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<About the phone?>>><Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:35 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Tab him and let MB know I am furious>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:32 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<OK>>><Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:33 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Ask them to ask him to spk rt into mic>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:10 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Got all 3>>><Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:11 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Tab her>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:05 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<They are looking for an excuse to speak for the rate case. That's the best they can come up with. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:06 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Do these people really think that it is in the interest for the cos to not fix lines quick after storm>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:51 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Will do. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:52 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I can hear her. But if next person fades out u need to email staff there and tel them that they need to spk into mic>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yep. But they are back now. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:43 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Did u lose them too>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:20 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I can help with anything you need also. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:22 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<It may be difficult. But my home is so close and it may just be doable>>><Original Message<
219	7/7/09 20:09	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: BG+RB	My concerns are going to be noted of the orchestrated co shills being alerted and amount of "pay offs" to certain charities
220	7/7/09 20:12	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: BG+RB	Tab him
221	7/7/09 20:22	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: BG+RB	Yes. <At least you are allowed to give it the weight it deserves - nothing!>>><Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 8:23 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<What a bunch of garbage>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 8:09 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<As they should be noted. On the record. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 8:09 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<My concerns are going to be noted of the orchestrated co shills being alerted and amount of "pay offs" to certain charities <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 8:04 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Will do. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 8:04 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<That's fine as long as mcollum knows I need to spk to him. Or get me his number>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 8:00 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I'll tell him in the AM. He said may be a little late b/c of a Dr appt. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 8:00 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Remind me, or better yet get Steve to get me phone appt with McCollum asap>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:55 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<We would almost certainly have to have the statute changed. Or get some kind of opinion from someone (AG?) about the meaning of the statutory language that directs us to have customer service hearings. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:50 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<We need to not allow anything but service and rates. Not allow this crap>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:46 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<How can we stop it? If its from unregulated profits, PSC can't prohibit. Change law?>>><Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:46 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<It should not be allowed for them to buy favor <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:43 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yes, ma'am, it is. <But money talks, and these community people know who butters their bread. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:44 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<This is insane>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:35 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Got it. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:36 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yes and about no one telling them to spk into the mic>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:34 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<About the phone?>>><Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:35 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Tab him and let MB know I am furious>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:32 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<OK>>><Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:33 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Ask them to ask him to spk rt into mic>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:10 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Got all 3>>><Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:11 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Tab her>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:05 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<They are looking for an excuse to speak for the rate case. That's the best they can come up with. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 7:06 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Do these people really think that it is in the interest for the cos to not fix lines quick after storm>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:51 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Will do. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:52 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<I can hear her. But if next person fades out u need to email staff there and tel them that they need to spk into mic>>><Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Yep. But they are back now. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 7, 2009 6:43 PM<Subject: Re: BG+RB<Did u lose them too>>><

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
253	7/8/09 14:28	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>He brought visual aids. I didn't know this was show-n-tell. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:27 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yes<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:25 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Did you hear Glenn explicitly mention "storm restoration" as a service the customers expect and rely on?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:23 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->U got that right<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:20 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Just have to be properly "encouraged."<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:18 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Where there is a will, there is usually a way<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:12 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Telephone guys say it was the "conference bridge" which makes no sense to me. Its the same bridge we used for all the other hearings and we never had this problem. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:09 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I wa4 bmped off now can't get back says entry not valid<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:18 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Working through it. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:17 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->In staffs rec on step incrs not violating conduct of rate case, they have twisted the meaning of court decision. Court decisions are actually supportive of intervenors. to the extent that actually placing a facility in service would then require commission rate adjustments on used and useful. It had to be in service. Of course commission in subsequent year as the facility comes into service. That's all that part of the case seems to say. Read staffs and see if u understand what I am pointing out<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:38 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Just sent you something. The formatting/page numbers are NOT right, but you can read it. <----->I'll also resend the link to the webpage so you can open directly off the internet, and save directly to your computer. That is what I do and have no problem getting a readable copy. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:35 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->No it is not fixed<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:29 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Page 14, relating to the order reflecting the vote. Staff agrees that language in the order doesn't reflect the vote about review of the step increase filings. Order says staff will review, should have said Commission will review. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:23 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->What is the scrivioners error staff refers to? Details?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:16 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I sent it to gmail separately from the motions. If its not there, I will try to resend. I wonder if it is too big an attachment?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:14 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Where is staffs recs on this?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:14 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Will do. It will take time. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:12 AM<----->Subject: <----->As my attorney u need to explain to me in writing staffs rational in opposing the intervenors motion, and why you would agree or</p>
254	7/8/09 14:29	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Does storm restoration have anything to do with this rate case?
255	7/8/09 14:29	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yes. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:28 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->That's what they have to provide anyway<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:25 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Did you hear Glenn explicitly mention "storm restoration" as a service the customers expect and rely on?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:23 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->U got that right<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:20 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Just have to be properly "encouraged."<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:18 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Where there is a will, there is usually a way<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:12 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Telephone guys say it was the "conference bridge" which makes no sense to me. Its the same bridge we used for all the other hearings and we never had this problem. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:09 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I wa4 bmped off now can't get back says entry not valid<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:18 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Working through it. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:17 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->In staffs rec on step incrs not violating conduct of rate case, they have twisted the meaning of court decision. Court decisions are actually supportive of intervenors. to the extent that actually placing a facility in service would then require commission rate adjustments on used and useful. It had to be in service. Of course commission in subsequent year as the facility comes into service. That's all that part of the case seems to say. Read staffs and see if u understand what I am pointing out<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:38 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Just sent you something. The formatting/page numbers are NOT right, but you can read it. <----->I'll also resend the link to the webpage so you can open directly off the internet, and save directly to your computer. That is what I do and have no problem getting a readable copy. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:35 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->No it is not fixed<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:29 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Page 14, relating to the order reflecting the vote. Staff agrees that language in the order doesn't reflect the vote about review of the step increase filings. Order says staff will review, should have said Commission will review. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:23 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->What is the scrivioners error staff refers to? Details?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:16 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I sent it to gmail separately from the motions. If its not there, I will try to resend. I wonder if it is too big an attachment?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:14 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Where is staffs recs on this?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:14 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Will do. It will take time. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:12 AM<----->Subject: <----->As my attorney u need to explain to me in writing staffs rational in opposing the intervenors motion, and why you would agree or</p>
256	7/8/09 14:30	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Hopefully kelly will point that out
257	7/8/09 14:30	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>They are asking for a larger storm reserve. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:29 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Does storm restoration have anything to do with this rate case?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:28 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->He brought visual aids. I didn't know this was show-n-tell. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:27 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yes<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:25 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Did you hear Glenn explicitly mention "storm restoration" as a service the customers expect and rely on?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:23 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->U got that right<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:20 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Just have to be properly "encouraged."<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:18 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Where there is a will, there is usually a way<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:12 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Telephone guys say it was the "conference bridge" which makes no sense to me. Its the same bridge we used for all the other hearings and we never had this problem. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:09 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I wa4 bmped off now can't get back says entry not valid<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:18 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Working through it. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:17 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->In staffs rec on step incrs not violating conduct of rate case, they have twisted the meaning of court decision. Court decisions are actually supportive of intervenors. to the extent that actually placing a facility in service would then require commission rate adjustments on used and useful. It had to be in service. Of course commission in subsequent year as the facility comes into service. That's all that part of the case seems to say. Read staffs and see if u understand what I am pointing out<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:38 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Just sent you something. The formatting/page numbers are NOT right, but you can read it. <----->I'll also resend the link to the webpage so you can open directly off the internet, and save directly to your computer. That is what I do and have no problem getting a readable copy. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:35 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->No it is not fixed<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:29 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Page 14, relating to the order reflecting the vote. Staff agrees that language in the order doesn't reflect the vote about review of the step increase filings. Order says staff will review, should have said Commission will review. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:23 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->What is the scrivioners error staff refers to? Details?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:16 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I sent it to gmail separately from the motions. If its not there, I will try to resend. I wonder if it is too big an attachment?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:14 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Where is staffs recs on this?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:14 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Will do. It will take time. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:12 AM<----->Subject: <----->As my attorney u need to explain to me in writing staffs rational in opposing the intervenors motion, and why you would agree or disagree with staff.</p>
258	7/8/09 14:31	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Right. Wow JR got big hand

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
259	7/8/09 14:32	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I expect him to - he did for FPL - shouldn't be hard to adapt that point to today. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:30 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Hopefully kelly will point that out<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:29 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yes. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:28 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->That's what they have to provide anyway<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:25 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Did you hear Glenn explicitly mention "storm restoration" as a service the customers expect and rely on?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:23 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->U got that right<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:20 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Just have to be properly "encouraged."<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:18 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Where there is a will, there is usually a way<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:12 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Telephone guys say it was the "conference bridge" which makes no sense to me. Its the same bridge we used for all the other hearings and we never had this problem. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:09 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I wa4 bmped off now can't get back says entry not valid<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:18 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Working through it. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:17 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->In staffs rec on step incse not violatng conduct of rate case, they have twisted the meaning of court decision. Court decisions are actually supportive of intervenors. to the extent that actually placing a facility in service would then require commission rate adjustments on used and useful. It had to be in service. Of course commission in subsequent year as the facility comes into service. That's all that part of the case seems to say. Read staffs and see if u understand what I am pointing out<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:38 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Just sent you something. The formatting/page numbers are NOT right, but you can read it. <----->I'll also resend the link to the webpage so you can open directly off the internet, and save directly to your computer. That is what I do and have no problem getting a readable copy. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:35 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->No it is not fixed<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:29 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Page 14, relating to the order reflecting the vote. Staff agrees that language in the order doesn't reflect the vote about review of the step increase filings. Order says staff will review, should have said Commission will review. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:23 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->What is the scrivioners error staff refers to? Details?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:16 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I sent it to gmail separately from the motions. If its not there, I will try to resend. I wonder if it is too big an attachment?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:14 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Where is staffs recs on this?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:14 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Will do. It will take time. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:12 AM<----->Subject: <----->As my attorney u need to explain to me in writing staffs rational in opposing the intervenors motion, and why you would agree or disagree with staff.</p>
260	7/8/09 14:32	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yep. Customers are fired up! Going to be a fun evening. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:31 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Right. Wow JR got big hand<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:30 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->They are asking for a larger storm reserve. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:29 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Does storm restoration have anything to do with this rate case?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:28 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->He brought visual aids. I didn't know this was show-n-tell. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:27 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yes<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:25 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Did you hear Glenn explicitly mention "storm restoration" as a service the customers expect and rely on?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:23 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->U got that right<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:20 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Just have to be properly "encouraged."<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:18 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Where there is a will, there is usually a way<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:12 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Telephone guys say it was the "conference bridge" which makes no sense to me. Its the same bridge we used for all the other hearings and we never had this problem. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:09 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I wa4 bmped off now can't get back says entry not valid<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:18 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Working through it. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:17 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->In staffs rec on step incse not violatng conduct of rate case, they have twisted the meaning of court decision. Court decisions are actually supportive of intervenors. to the extent that actually placing a facility in service would then require commission rate adjustments on used and useful. It had to be in service. Of course commission in subsequent year as the facility comes into service. That's all that part of the case seems to say. Read staffs and see if u understand what I am pointing out<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:38 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Just sent you something. The formatting/page numbers are NOT right, but you can read it. <----->I'll also resend the link to the webpage so you can open directly off the internet, and save directly to your computer. That is what I do and have no problem getting a readable copy. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:35 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->No it is not fixed<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:29 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Page 14, relating to the order reflecting the vote. Staff agrees that language in the order doesn't reflect the vote about review of the step increase filings. Order says staff will review, should have said Commission will review. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:23 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->What is the scrivioners error staff refers to? Details?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:16 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I sent it to gmail separately from the motions. If its not there, I will try to resend. I wonder if it is too big an attachment?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:14 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Where is staffs recs on this?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:14 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Will do. It will take time. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:12 AM<----->Subject: <----->As my attorney u need to explain to me in writing staffs rational in opposing the intervenors motion, and why you would agree or disagree with staff.</p>
261	7/8/09 14:42	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I bet fellow commishs love me saying that I voted no
262	7/8/09 14:43	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I do think it makes a difference whether it is unanimous
263	7/8/09 14:43	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Didn't need to see it, could feel it.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
264	7/8/09 14:43	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>You know it. I wish we could see LE rolling her eyes. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:42 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I bet fellow commishs love me saying that I voted no<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:32 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I expect him to - he did for FPL - shouldn't be hard to adapt that point to today. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:30 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Hopefully kelly will point that out<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:29 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Yes. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:28 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>That's what they have to provide anyway<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:25 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Did you hear Glenn explicitly mention "storm restoration" as a service the customers expect and rely on?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:23 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>U got that right<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:20 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Just have to be properly "encouraged."<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:18 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Where there is a will, there is usually a way<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:12 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Telephone guys say it was the "conference bridge" which makes no sense to me. Its the same bridge we used for all the other hearings and we never had this problem. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:09 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I wa4 bmped off now can't get back says entry not valid<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:18 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Working through it. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:17 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>In staffs rec on step incrs not violating conduct of rate case, they have twisted the meaning of court decision. Court decisions are actually supportive of intervenors. to the extent that actually placing a facility in service would then require commission rate adjustments on used and useful. It had to be in service. Of course commission in subsequent year as the facility comes into service. That's all that part of the case seems to say. Read staffs and see if u understand what I am pointing out<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:38 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Just sent you something. The formatting/page numbers are NOT right, but you can read it. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:35 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>No it is not fixed<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:29 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Page 14, relating to the order reflecting the vote. Staff agrees that language in the order doesn't reflect the vote about review of the step increase filings. Order says staff will review, should have said Commission will review. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:23 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>What is the scrivioners error staff refers to? Details?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:16 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I sent it to gmail separately from the motions. If its not there, I will try to resend. I wonder if it is too big an attachment?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:14 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Where is staffs recs on this?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:14 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Will do. It will take time. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:12 AM<P>Subject: <P><P>As my attorney u need to explain to me in writing staffs rational in opposing the intervenors motion, and why you would agree or disagree with staff.</p>
265	7/8/09 14:44	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yes it does. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:43 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>do think it makes a difference whether it is unanimous<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:32 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Yep. Customers are fired up! Going to be a fun evening. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:31 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Right. Wow JR got big hand<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:30 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>They are asking for a larger storm reserve. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:29 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Does storm restoration have anything to do with this rate case?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:28 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>He brought visual aids. I didn't know this was show-n-tell. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:27 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Yes<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:25 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Did you hear Glenn explicitly mention "storm restoration" as a service the customers expect and rely on?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:23 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>U got that right<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:20 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Just have to be properly "encouraged."<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:18 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Where there is a will, there is usually a way<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:12 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Telephone guys say it was the "conference bridge" which makes no sense to me. Its the same bridge we used for all the other hearings and we never had this problem. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:09 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I wa4 bmped off now can't get back says entry not valid<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:18 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Working through it. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:17 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>In staffs rec on step incrs not violating conduct of rate case, they have twisted the meaning of court decision. Court decisions are actually supportive of intervenors. to the extent that actually placing a facility in service would then require commission rate adjustments on used and useful. It had to be in service. Of course commission in subsequent year as the facility comes into service. That's all that part of the case seems to say. Read staffs and see if u understand what I am pointing out<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:38 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Just sent you something. The formatting/page numbers are NOT right, but you can read it. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:35 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>No it is not fixed<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:29 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Page 14, relating to the order reflecting the vote. Staff agrees that language in the order doesn't reflect the vote about review of the step increase filings. Order says staff will review, should have said Commission will review. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:23 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>What is the scrivioners error staff refers to? Details?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:16 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I sent it to gmail separately from the motions. If its not there, I will try to resend. I wonder if it is too big an attachment?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:14 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Where is staffs recs on this?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:14 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Will do. It will take time. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:12 AM<P>Subject: <P><P>As my attorney u need to explain to me in writing staffs rational in opposing the intervenors motion, and why you would agree or disagree with staff.</p>
266	7/8/09 15:20	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Let's see if the shills start to speak
267	7/8/09 15:20	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Who was the last spkr if nehr?

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
268	7/8/09 15:21	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>They will. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:20 PM<Subject: Re: <Let's see if the shills start to speak<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:44 PM<Subject: Re: <Yes it does. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:43 PM<Subject: Re: <I do think it makes a difference whether it is unanimous<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:32 PM<Subject: Re: <Yep. Customers are fired up! Going to be a fun evening. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:31 PM<Subject: Re: <Right. Wow JR got big hand<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:30 PM<Subject: Re: <They are asking for a larger storm reserve. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:29 PM<Subject: Re: <Does storm restoration have anything to do with this rate case?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:28 PM<Subject: Re: <He brought visual aids. I didn't know this was show-n-tell. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:27 PM<Subject: Re: <Yes<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:25 PM<Subject: Re: <Did you hear Glenn explicitly mention "storm restoration" as a service the customers expect and rely on?<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:23 PM<Subject: Re: <U got that right<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:20 PM<Subject: Re: <Just have to be properly "encouraged."<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:18 PM<Subject: Re: <Where there is a will, there is usually a way<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:12 PM<Subject: Re: <Telephone guys say it was the "conference bridge" which makes no sense to me. Its the same bridge we used for all the other hearings and we never had this problem. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:09 PM<Subject: Re: <I wa4 bmped off now can't get back says entry not valid<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:18 PM<Subject: Re: <Working through it. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:17 PM<Subject: Re: <In staffs rec on step incrs not violating conduct of rate case, they have twisted the meaning of court decision. Court decisions are actually supportive of intervenors. to the extent that actually placing a facility in service would then require commission rate adjustments on used and useful. It had to be in service. Of course commission in subsequent year as the facility comes into service. That's all that part of the case seems to say. Read staffs and see if u understand what I am pointing out<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:38 AM<Subject: Re: <Just sent you something. The formatting/page numbers are NOT right, but you can read it. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:35 AM<Subject: Re: <No it is not fixed<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:29 AM<Subject: Re: <Page 14, relating to the order reflecting the vote. Staff agrees that language in the order doesn't reflect the vote about review of the step increase filings. Order says staff will review, should have said Commission will review. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:23 AM<Subject: Re: <What is the scrivioners error staff refers to? Details?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:16 AM<Subject: Re: <I sent it to gmail separately from the motions. If its not there, I will try to resend. I wonder if it is too big an attachment?<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:14 AM<Subject: Re: <Where is staffs recs on this?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:14 AM<Subject: Re: <Will do. It will take time. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:12 AM<Subject: Here we go</p>
269	7/8/09 15:21	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>Here we go</p>
270	7/8/09 15:22	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I thought it was Nehr. I don't know who else it could have been. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:20 PM<Subject: Re: <Who was the last splr if not Nehr?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:44 PM<Subject: Re: <Yes it does. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:43 PM<Subject: Re: <I do think it makes a difference whether it is unanimous<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:32 PM<Subject: Re: <Yep. Customers are fired up! Going to be a fun evening. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:31 PM<Subject: Re: <Right. Wow JR got big hand<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:30 PM<Subject: Re: <They are asking for a larger storm reserve. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:29 PM<Subject: Re: <Does storm restoration have anything to do with this rate case?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:28 PM<Subject: Re: <He brought visual aids. I didn't know this was show-n-tell. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:27 PM<Subject: Re: <Yes<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:25 PM<Subject: Re: <Did you hear Glenn explicitly mention "storm restoration" as a service the customers expect and rely on?<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:23 PM<Subject: Re: <U got that right<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:20 PM<Subject: Re: <Just have to be properly "encouraged."<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:18 PM<Subject: Re: <Where there is a will, there is usually a way<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:12 PM<Subject: Re: <Telephone guys say it was the "conference bridge" which makes no sense to me. Its the same bridge we used for all the other hearings and we never had this problem. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:09 PM<Subject: Re: <I wa4 bmped off now can't get back says entry not valid<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:18 PM<Subject: Re: <Working through it. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:17 PM<Subject: Re: <In staffs rec on step incrs not violating conduct of rate case, they have twisted the meaning of court decision. Court decisions are actually supportive of intervenors. to the extent that actually placing a facility in service would then require commission rate adjustments on used and useful. It had to be in service. Of course commission in subsequent year as the facility comes into service. That's all that part of the case seems to say. Read staffs and see if u understand what I am pointing out<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:38 AM<Subject: Re: <Just sent you something. The formatting/page numbers are NOT right, but you can read it. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:35 AM<Subject: Re: <No it is not fixed<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:29 AM<Subject: Re: <Page 14, relating to the order reflecting the vote. Staff agrees that language in the order doesn't reflect the vote about review of the step increase filings. Order says staff will review, should have said Commission will review. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:23 AM<Subject: Re: <What is the scrivioners error staff refers to? Details?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:16 AM<Subject: Re: <I sent it to gmail separately from the motions. If its not there, I will try to resend. I wonder if it is too big an attachment?<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:14 AM<Subject: Re: <Where is staffs recs on this?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:14 AM<Subject: Re: <Will do. It will take time. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry</p>
271	7/8/09 15:22	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>I thought it was too. Why did Matt call him again?</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
272	7/8/09 15:22	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Saddle up. <From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:21 PM<Subject: Re:<Here we go<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:21 PM<Subject: Re:<They will. <Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:20 PM<Subject: Re:<Let's see if the shills start to speak<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:44 PM<Subject: Re:<Yes it does. <Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:43 PM<Subject: Re:<I do think it makes a difference whether it is unanimous<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:32 PM<Subject: Re:<Yep. Customers are fired up! Going to be a fun evening. <Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:31 PM<Subject: Re:<Right. Wow JR got big hand<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:30 PM<Subject: Re:<They are asking for a larger storm reserve. <Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:29 PM<Subject: Re:<Does storm restoration have anything to do with this rate case?<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:28 PM<Subject: Re:<He brought visual aids. I didn't know this was show-n-tell. <Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:27 PM<Subject: Re:<Yes<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:25 PM<Subject: Re:<Did you hear Glenn explicitly mention "storm restoration" as a service the customers expect and rely on?<Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:23 PM<Subject: Re:<U got that right<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:20 PM<Subject: Re:<Just have to be properly "encouraged."<Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:18 PM<Subject: Re:<Where there is a will, there is usually a way<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:12 PM<Subject: Re:<Telephone guys say it was the "conference bridge" which makes no sense to me. Its the same bridge we used for all the other hearings and we never had this problem. <Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:09 PM<Subject: Re:<I was bumped off now can't get back says entry not valid<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:18 PM<Subject: Re:<Working through it. <Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:17 PM<Subject: Re:<In staffs rec on step incse not violating conduct of rate case, they have twisted the meaning of court decision. Court decisions are actually supportive of intervenors. to the extent that actually placing a facility in service would then require commission rate adjustments on used and useful. It had to be in service. Of course commission in subsequent year as the facility comes into service. That's all that part of the case seems to say. Read staffs and see if I understand what I am pointing out<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:38 AM<Subject: Re:<Just sent you something. The formatting/page numbers are NOT right, but you can read it. <I'll also resend the link to the webpage so you can open directly off the internet, and save directly to your computer. That is what I do and have no problem getting a readable copy. <Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:35 AM<Subject: Re:<No it is not fixed<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:29 AM<Subject: Re:<Page 14, relating to the order reflecting the vote. Staff agrees that language in the order doesn't reflect the vote about review of the step increase filings. Order says staff will review, should have said Commission will review. <Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:23 AM<Subject: Re:<What is the scriverions error staff refers to? Details?<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:16 AM<Subject: Re:<I sent it to gmail separately from the motions. If its not there, I will try to resend. I wonder if it is too big an attachment?<Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:14 AM<Subject:</p>
273	7/8/09 15:25	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>I am rethinking the need for the new nuke plants. Reducing the amount used on grid may be wiser use of dollars</p>
274	7/8/09 15:26	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Almost certainly a much better investment. <Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:25 PM<Subject: Re:<I am rethinking the need for the new nuke plants. Reducing the amount used on grid may be wiser use of dollars<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:22 PM<Subject: Re:<Saddle up. <Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:21 PM<Subject: Re:<Here we go<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:21 PM<Subject: Re:<They will. <Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:20 PM<Subject: Re:<Let's see if the shills start to speak<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:44 PM<Subject: Re:<Yes it does. <Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:43 PM<Subject: Re:<I do think it makes a difference whether it is unanimous<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:32 PM<Subject: Re:<Yep. Customers are fired up! Going to be a fun evening. <Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:31 PM<Subject: Re:<Right. Wow JR got big hand<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:30 PM<Subject: Re:<They are asking for a larger storm reserve. <Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:29 PM<Subject: Re:<Does storm restoration have anything to do with this rate case?<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:28 PM<Subject: Re:<He brought visual aids. I didn't know this was show-n-tell. <Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:27 PM<Subject: Re:<Yes<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:25 PM<Subject: Re:<Did you hear Glenn explicitly mention "storm restoration" as a service the customers expect and rely on?<Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:23 PM<Subject: Re:<U got that right<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:20 PM<Subject: Re:<Just have to be properly "encouraged."<Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:18 PM<Subject: Re:<Where there is a will, there is usually a way<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:12 PM<Subject: Re:<Telephone guys say it was the "conference bridge" which makes no sense to me. Its the same bridge we used for all the other hearings and we never had this problem. <Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:09 PM<Subject: Re:<I was bumped off now can't get back says entry not valid<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:18 PM<Subject: Re:<Working through it. <Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:17 PM<Subject: Re:<In staffs rec on step incse not violating conduct of rate case, they have twisted the meaning of court decision. Court decisions are actually supportive of intervenors. to the extent that actually placing a facility in service would then require commission rate adjustments on used and useful. It had to be in service. Of course commission in subsequent year as the facility comes into service. That's all that part of the case seems to say. Read staffs and see if I understand what I am pointing out<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:38 AM<Subject: Re:<Just sent you something. The formatting/page numbers are NOT right, but you can read it. <I'll also resend the link to the webpage so you can open directly off the internet, and save directly to your computer. That is what I do and have no problem getting a readable copy. <Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:35 AM<Subject: Re:<No it is not fixed<Original Message:<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:29 AM<Subject: Re:<Page 14, relating to the order reflecting the vote. Staff agrees that language in the order doesn't reflect the vote about review of the step increase filings. Order says staff will review, should have said Commission will review. <Original Message:<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:23 AM<Subject: Re:<What is the scriverions error staff</p>
275	7/8/09 15:29	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>That really is the perception from the public and I can't blame them. He beat the shills in signing up. The shills may not speak here. Pef may not want to tick off fasano. They may not want him to see how they have lined up the shills</p>
276	7/8/09 15:30	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>Is there a way for me to bring that issue back up? For me to reconsider my vote? I was on prevailing side</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
281	7/8/09 15:32	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Previous years, probably not. There will be a proceeding this year where you can vote on recoveries. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:30 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:26 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:25 PM> Subject: Re: <From: I am rethinking the need for the new nuke plants. Reducing the amount used on grid may be wiser use of dollars> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:22 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:21 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Here we go> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:20 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Let's see if the shills start to speak> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:44 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Yes it does. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:43 PM> Subject: Re: <From: I do think it makes a difference whether it is unanimous> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:32 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Yep. Customers are fired up! Going to be a fun evening. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:31 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Right. Wow JR got big hand> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:30 PM> Subject: Re: <From: They are asking for a larger storm reserve. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:29 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Does storm restoration have anything to do with this rate case? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:28 PM> Subject: Re: <From: He brought visual aids. I didn't know this was show-n-tell. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:27 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Yes> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:25 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Did you hear Glenn explicitly mention "storm restoration" as a service the customers expect and rely on? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:23 PM> Subject: Re: <From: U got that right> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:20 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Just have to be properly "encouraged." <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:18 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Where there is a will, there is usually a way> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:12 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Telephone guys say it was the "conference bridge" which makes no sense to me. Its the same bridge we used for all the other hearings and we never had this problem. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:09 PM> Subject: Re: <From: I wa4 bmped off now can't get back says entry not valid> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:18 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Working through it. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:17 PM> Subject: Re: <From: In staffs rec on step incrs not violating conduct of rate case, they have twisted the meaning of court decision. Court decisions are actually supportive of intervenors. to the extent that actually placing a facility in service would then require commission rate adjustments on used and useful. It had to be in service. Of course commission in subsequent year as the facility comes into service. That's all that part of the case seems to say. Read staffs and see if u understand what I am pointing out> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:38 AM> Subject: Re: <From: Just sent you something. The formatting/page numbers are NOT right, but you can read it. <From: I'll also resend the link to the webpage so you can open directly off the internet, and save directly to your computer. That is what I do and have no problem getting a readable copy. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:35 AM> Subject: Re: <From: No it is not.</p>
282	7/8/09 15:34	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>No chance to reconsider the need? Why? The recoveries, my hands tied</p>
283	7/8/09 15:35	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Administrative finality has attached. Order 2 years old now. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:34 PM> Subject: Re: <From: No chance to reconsider the need? Why? The recoveries, my hands tied> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:32 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Previous years, probably not. There will be a proceeding this year where you can vote on recoveries. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:30 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Is there a way for me to bring that issue back up? For me to reconsider my vote? I was on prevailing side> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:26 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Almost certainly a much better investment. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:25 PM> Subject: Re: <From: I am rethinking the need for the new nuke plants. Reducing the amount used on grid may be wiser use of dollars> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:22 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Saddle up. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:21 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Here we go> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:20 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Let's see if the shills start to speak> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:44 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Yes it does. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:43 PM> Subject: Re: <From: I do think it makes a difference whether it is unanimous> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:32 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Yep. Customers are fired up! Going to be a fun evening. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:31 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Right. Wow JR got big hand> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:30 PM> Subject: Re: <From: They are asking for a larger storm reserve. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:29 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Does storm restoration have anything to do with this rate case? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:28 PM> Subject: Re: <From: He brought visual aids. I didn't know this was show-n-tell. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:27 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Yes> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:25 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Did you hear Glenn explicitly mention "storm restoration" as a service the customers expect and rely on? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:23 PM> Subject: Re: <From: U got that right> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:20 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Just have to be properly "encouraged." <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:18 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Where there is a will, there is usually a way> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:12 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Telephone guys say it was the "conference bridge" which makes no sense to me. Its the same bridge we used for all the other hearings and we never had this problem. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:09 PM> Subject: Re: <From: I wa4 bmped off now can't get back says entry not valid> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:18 PM> Subject: Re: <From: Working through it. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:17 PM> Subject: Re: <From: In staffs rec on step incrs not violating conduct of rate case, they have twisted the meaning of court decision. Court decisions are actually supportive of intervenors. to the extent that actually placing a facility in service would then require commission rate adjustments on used and useful. It had to be in service. Of course commission in subsequent year as the facility comes into service. That's all that part of the case seems to say. Read staffs and see if u understand what I am pointing out> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 11:38 AM> Subject: Re: <From: Just sent</p>
284	7/8/09 15:37	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>I hear a revolution starting</p>
285	7/8/09 15:37	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>So is he opposed or for</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
286	7/8/09 15:38	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I hope so. These people are fired up. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:37 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I hear a revolution starting<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:35 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Administrative finality has attached. Order 2 years old now. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:34 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->No chance to reconsider the need? Why? The recoveries, my hands tied<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:32 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Previous years, probably not. There will be a proceeding this year where you can vote on recoveries. <----->But need was granted and is final. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:30 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Is there a way for me to bring that issue back up? For me to reconsider my vote? I was on prevailing side<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:26 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Almost certainly a much better investment. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:25 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I am rethinking the need for the new nuke plants. Reducing the amount used on grid may be wiser use of dollars<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:22 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Saddle up. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:21 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Here we go<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:21 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->They will. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:20 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Let's see if the shills start to speak<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:44 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yes it does. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:43 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I do think it makes a difference whether it is unanimous<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:32 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yep. Customers are fired up! Going to be a fun evening. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:31 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Right. Wow JR got big hand<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:30 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->They are asking for a larger storm reserve. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:29 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Does storm restoration have anything to do with this rate case? <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:28 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->He brought visual aids. I didn't know this was show-n-tell. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:27 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yes<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:25 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Did you hear Glenn explicitly mention "storm restoration" as a service the customers expect and rely on? <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:23 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->U got that right<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:20 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Just have to be properly "encouraged." <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:18 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Where there is a will, there is usually a way<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:12 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Telephone guys say it was the "conference bridge" which makes no sense to me. Its the same bridge we used for all the other hearings and we never had this problem. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:09 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I wa4 bmped off now can't get back says entry not valid<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:18 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Working through it. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:17 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->In staffs rec on step incrs not violating conduct of rate case, they have twisted the meaning of court decision. Court decisions are actually supportive of intervenors. To the extent that actually placing a facility in service would then require commission rate adjustments on used and useful. It had to be</p>
287	7/8/09 15:38	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	They are going to get worse. The chair does not know how to deal with it
288	7/8/09 15:38	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Opposed. He needs to say it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:37 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->So is he opposed or for<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:35 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Administrative finality has attached. Order 2 years old now. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:34 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->No chance to reconsider the need? Why? The recoveries, my hands tied<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:32 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Previous years, probably not. There will be a proceeding this year where you can vote on recoveries. <----->But need was granted and is final. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:30 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Is there a way for me to bring that issue back up? For me to reconsider my vote? I was on prevailing side<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:26 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Almost certainly a much better investment. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:25 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I am rethinking the need for the new nuke plants. Reducing the amount used on grid may be wiser use of dollars<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:22 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Saddle up. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:21 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Here we go<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:21 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->They will. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:20 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Let's see if the shills start to speak<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:44 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yes it does. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:43 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I do think it makes a difference whether it is unanimous<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:32 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yep. Customers are fired up! Going to be a fun evening. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:31 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Right. Wow JR got big hand<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:30 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->They are asking for a larger storm reserve. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:29 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Does storm restoration have anything to do with this rate case? <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:28 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->He brought visual aids. I didn't know this was show-n-tell. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:27 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yes<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:25 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Did you hear Glenn explicitly mention "storm restoration" as a service the customers expect and rely on? <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:23 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->U got that right<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:20 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Just have to be properly "encouraged." <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:18 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Where there is a will, there is usually a way<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:12 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Telephone guys say it was the "conference bridge" which makes no sense to me. Its the same bridge we used for all the other hearings and we never had this problem. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:09 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I wa4 bmped off now can't get back says entry not valid<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:18 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Working through it. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:17 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->In staffs rec on step incrs not violating conduct of rate case, they have twisted the meaning of court decision. Court decisions are actually supportive of intervenors. To the extent that actually placing a facility in service would then require commission rate adjustments on used and useful. It had to be in service. Of course commission in subsequent year as the</p>
289	7/8/09 15:39	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I think he finally has made it clear.
290	7/8/09 15:41	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I think I'm right. The shills have not spoken yet. I think progress was afraid. Fasano said this was not the place to speak about how nice the contributions are. I think he warned the comp

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
291	7/8/09 15:42	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>That will make me happy. Very happy. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:41 PM> Subject: Re: <I think I'm right. The shills have not spoken yet. I think progress was afraid. Fasano said this was not the place to speak about how nice the contributions are. I think he warned the comp> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:38 PM> Subject: Re: <Opposed. He needs to say it. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:37 PM> Subject: Re: <So is he opposed or for> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:35 PM> Subject: Re: <Administrative finality has attached. Order 2 years old now. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:34 PM> Subject: Re: <No chance to reconsider the need? Why? The recoveries, my hands tied> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:32 PM> Subject: Re: <Previous years, probably not. There will be a proceeding this year where you can vote on recoveries. <But need was granted and is final. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:30 PM> Subject: Re: <Is there a way for me to bring that issue back up? For me to reconsider my vote? I was on prevailing side> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:26 PM> Subject: Re: <Almost certainly a much better investment. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:25 PM> Subject: Re: <I am rethinking the need for the new nuke plants. Reducing the amount used on grid may be wiser use of dollars> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:22 PM> Subject: Re: <Saddle up. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:21 PM> Subject: Re: <Here we go> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:21 PM> Subject: Re: <They will. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:20 PM> Subject: Re: <Let's see if the shills start to speak> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:44 PM> Subject: Re: <Yes it does. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:43 PM> Subject: Re: <I do think it makes a difference whether it is unanimous> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:32 PM> Subject: Re: <Yep. Customers are fired up! Going to be a fun evening. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:31 PM> Subject: Re: <Right. Wow JR got big hand> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:30 PM> Subject: Re: <They are asking for a larger storm reserve. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:29 PM> Subject: Re: <Does storm restoration have anything to do with this rate case?> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:28 PM> Subject: Re: <He brought visual aids. I didn't know this was show-n-tell. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:27 PM> Subject: Re: <Yes> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:25 PM> Subject: Re: <Did you hear Glenn explicitly mention "storm restoration" as a service the customers expect and rely on?> Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:23 PM> Subject: Re: <U got that right> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:20 PM> Subject: Re: <Just have to be properly "encouraged."> Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:18 PM> Subject: Re: <Where there is a will, there is usually a way> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:12 PM> Subject: Re: <Telephone guys say it was the "conference bridge" which makes no sense to me. Its the same bridge we used for all the other hearings and we never had this problem. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:09 PM> Subject: Re: <I wa4 bmped off now can't get back says entry not valid> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 12:18</p>
292	7/8/09 15:42	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I also know that they heard of my concern that the cos have been getting their people there early. Tab all the spkrs so far
293	7/8/09 15:43	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Double tab him
294	7/8/09 15:46	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I wonder how they knew to get the public there early. Hmmm
295	7/8/09 15:47	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Tab her
296	7/8/09 15:47	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I'm just glad they did. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:46 PM> Subject: Re: <I wonder how they knew to get the public there early. Hmmm> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:42 PM> Subject: Re: <That will make me happy. Very happy. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:41 PM> Subject: Re: <I think I'm right. The shills have not spoken yet. I think progress was afraid. Fasano said this was not the place to speak about how nice the contributions are. I think he warned the comp> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:38 PM> Subject: Re: <Opposed. He needs to say it. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:37 PM> Subject: Re: <So is he opposed or for> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:35 PM> Subject: Re: <Administrative finality has attached. Order 2 years old now. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:34 PM> Subject: Re: <No chance to reconsider the need? Why? The recoveries, my hands tied> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:32 PM> Subject: Re: <Previous years, probably not. There will be a proceeding this year where you can vote on recoveries. <But need was granted and is final. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:30 PM> Subject: Re: <Is there a way for me to bring that issue back up? For me to reconsider my vote? I was on prevailing side> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:26 PM> Subject: Re: <Almost certainly a much better investment. <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:25 PM> Subject: Re: <I am rethinking the need for the new nuke plants. Reducing the amount used on grid may be wiser use of dollars> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:22 PM> Subject: Re: <Saddle up. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:21 PM> Subject: Re: <Here we go> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:21 PM> Subject: Re: <They will. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:20 PM> Subject: Re: <Let's see if the shills start to speak> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:44 PM> Subject: Re: <Yes it does. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:43 PM> Subject: Re: <I do think it makes a difference whether it is unanimous> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:32 PM> Subject: Re: <Yep. Customers are fired up! Going to be a fun evening. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:31 PM> Subject: Re: <Right. Wow JR got big hand> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:30 PM> Subject: Re: <They are asking for a larger storm reserve. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:29 PM> Subject: Re: <Does storm restoration have anything to do with this rate case?> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:28 PM> Subject: Re: <He brought visual aids. I didn't know this was show-n-tell. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:27 PM> Subject: Re: <Yes> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:25 PM> Subject: Re: <Did you hear Glenn explicitly mention "storm restoration" as a service the customers expect and rely on?> Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:23 PM> Subject: Re: <U got that right> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:20 PM> Subject: Re: <Just have to be properly "encouraged."> Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:18 PM> Subject: Re: <Where there is a will, there is usually a way> Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:12 PM> Subject: Re: <Telephone guys say it was the "conference bridge" which makes no sense to me. Its the same bridge we used for all the other hearings and we</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
297	7/8/09 15:48	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Maybe the shills will get scared and leave. <From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:46 PM>Subject: Re:<I wonder how they knew to get the public there early. Hmmm><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:42 PM>Subject: Re:<That will make me happy. Very happy.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:41 PM>Subject: Re:<I think I'm right. The shills have not spoken yet. I think progress was afraid. Fasano said this was not the place to speak about how nice the contributions are. I think he warned the comp><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:38 PM>Subject: Re:<Opposed. He needs to say it.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:37 PM>Subject: Re:<So is he opposed or for><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:35 PM>Subject: Re:<Administrative finality has attached. Order 2 years old now.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:34 PM>Subject: Re:<No chance to reconsider the need? Why? The recoveries, my hands tied><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:32 PM>Subject: Re:<Previous years, probably not. There will be a proceeding this year where you can vote on recoveries.><But need was granted and is final.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:30 PM>Subject: Re:<Is there a way for me to bring that issue back up? For me to reconsider my vote? I was on prevailing side><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:26 PM>Subject: Re:<Almost certainly a much better investment.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:25 PM>Subject: Re:<I am rethinking the need for the new nuke plants. Reducing the amount used on grid may be wiser use of dollars><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:22 PM>Subject: Re:<Saddle up.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:21 PM>Subject: Re:<Here we go><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:21 PM>Subject: Re:<They will.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 3:20 PM>Subject: Re:<Let's see if the shills start to speak><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:44 PM>Subject: Re:<Yes it does.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:43 PM>Subject: Re:<I do think it makes a difference whether it is unanimous><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:32 PM>Subject: Re:<Yep. Customers are fired up! Going to be a fun evening.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:31 PM>Subject: Re:<Right. Wow JR got big hand><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:30 PM>Subject: Re:<They are asking for a larger storm reserve.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:29 PM>Subject: Re:<Does storm restoration have anything to do with this rate case?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:28 PM>Subject: Re:<He brought visual aids. I didn't know this was show-n-tell.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:27 PM>Subject: Re:<Yes><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:25 PM>Subject: Re:<Did you hear Glenn explicitly mention "storm restoration" as a service the customers expect and rely on?><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:23 PM>Subject: Re:<U got that right><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:20 PM>Subject: Re:<Just have to be properly "encouraged."><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:18 PM>Subject: Re:<Where there is a will, there is usually a way><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 2:12 PM>Subject: Re:<Telephone guys say it was the "conference bridge" which makes no sense to me. Its the same bridge we used for all the other hearings and we</p>
298	7/8/09 15:48	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>At least now they have to wait. I have told everyone I can to get people there early to make it fair. Fasano was told last night what the cos were doing</p>
299	7/8/09 16:08	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I didn't get a word. <From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:39 PM>Subject: Re:<Couldn't hear anything he said. Tab him too><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:31 PM>Subject: Re:<Will do.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:30 PM>Subject: Re:<We need to insure that we have hearings in rooms that are able to accomodate many people. Tell MB I am very concerned about that><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:17 PM>Subject: Re:<He is venting about solar now.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:15 PM>Subject: Re:<Did we get out off?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:12 PM>Subject: Re:<You were right.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:11 PM>Subject: Re:<No shills dare to speak><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:10 PM>Subject: Re:<Yes, it is.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:08 PM>Subject: Re:<Important to get at least that transcript><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:06 PM>Subject: Re:<7. Tomorrow, 4 next week, one 30 july and one here the morning the tech hearing starts.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:03 PM>Subject: Re:<How many more SH's?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 4:58 PM>Subject: Re:<Thinking about and typing an email to you about the letter.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 4:56 PM>Subject: Re:<Ok, where are u?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 4:41 PM>Subject: Re:<I heard you beep in.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 4:40 PM>Subject: Re:<I'm back><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 4:34 PM>Subject: Re:<5 min more.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 4:33 PM>Subject: Re:<What time back?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 4:33 PM>Subject: Re:<What time</p>
300	7/8/09 16:08	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>Same with this one. They need to spk into the mic and not turn away from it</p>
301	7/8/09 16:08	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yes. As opposed to the solar guys. <From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:55 PM>Subject: Re:<A pro nuke guy><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:40 PM>Subject: Re:<I'm not getting much of this lady either. Chair needs to say something.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:39 PM>Subject: Re:<Couldn't hear anything he said. Tab him too><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:31 PM>Subject: Re:<Will do.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:30 PM>Subject: Re:<We need to insure that we have hearings in rooms that are able to accomodate many people. Tell MB I am very concerned about that><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:17 PM>Subject: Re:<He is venting about solar now.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:15 PM>Subject: Re:<Did we get out off?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:12 PM>Subject: Re:<You were right.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:11 PM>Subject: Re:<No shills dare to speak><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:10 PM>Subject: Re:<Yes, it is.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:08 PM>Subject: Re:<Important to get at least that transcript><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:06 PM>Subject: Re:<7. Tomorrow, 4 next week, one 30 july and one here the morning the tech hearing starts.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:03 PM>Subject: Re:<How many more SH's?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 4:58 PM>Subject: Re:<Thinking about and typing an email to you about the letter.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 4:56 PM>Subject: Re:<Ok, where are u?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 4:41 PM>Subject: Re:<I heard you beep in.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 4:40 PM>Subject: Re:<I'm back><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 4:34 PM>Subject: Re:<5 min more.><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 8, 2009 4:33 PM>Subject: Re:<What time</p>
302	7/8/09 16:08	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>Tab him</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
352	7/8/09 19:26	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I don't see why she can't get it to me tomorrow. Its a number they should have in our budget spreadsheet. -----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 7:24 PM><Subject: Re: < > Advise her I would like an answer fairly soon thanks><-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 7:23 PM><Subject: Re: < > I'll just ask mary. She doesn't need to know why. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 7:21 PM><Subject: Re: < > U need to find out for me what the monthly bill for the PSC is without spilling the beans about my thinking of LED conversion. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 7:07 PM><Subject: Re: < > It will save a lot of money in the long run. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 7:06 PM><Subject: Re: < > I am going to talk to the governor about it><-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 7:02 PM><Subject: Re: < > You can try to get DMS to do it - they own the buildings. You won part of the carpet issue, so they listen to you. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 6:59 PM><Subject: Re: < > I know. Can I insist that the psc change all lighting to LED?<-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 6:58 PM><Subject: Re: < > Mark Clutho. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 6:56 PM><Subject: Re: < > I know that voice><-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 6:56 PM><Subject: Re: < > Roberta says were were on 67 of 83 signed up at the break. Some have left, so hopefully we're getting there. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 6:39 PM><Subject: Re: < > Do u know how many more signes up?<-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 6:11 PM><Subject: Re: < > Got it. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 6:10 PM><Subject: Re: < > Tab her and Let's find out what she is talking about><-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:56 PM><Subject: Re: < > Yes. As opposed to the solar guys. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:55 PM><Subject: Re: < > A pro nuke guy><-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:40 PM><Subject: Re: < > I'm not getting much of this lady either. Chair needs to say something. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:39 PM><Subject: Re: < > Couldn't hear anything he said. Tab him too><-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:31 PM><Subject: Re: < > Will do. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:30 PM><Subject: Re: < > We need to insure that we have hearings in rooms that are able to accomodate many people. Tell MB I am very concerned about that><-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:17 PM><Subject: Re: < > He is venting about solar now. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:15 PM><Subject: Re: < > Did we get cut off?<-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:12 PM><Subject: Re: < > You were right. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:11 PM><Subject: Re: < > No shills dare to speak><-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:10 PM><Subject: Re: < > Yes, it is. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:08 PM><Subject: Re: < > Important to get at least that transcript><-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:06 PM><Subject: Re: < > 7. Tomorrow; 4 next week; one 30 july and one here the morning the tech hearing starts. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:03 PM><Subject: Re: < > How many more SH's?<-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 4:58 PM><Subject: Re: < > Thinking about and typing an email to you about the letter. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 4:56 PM><Subject: Re: < > Ok, where are</p>
353	7/8/09 19:28	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Do you mean just our utilities bill, or what we pay DMS for rent, or both?<-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 7:24 PM><Subject: Re: < > Advise her I would like an answer fairly soon thanks><-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 7:23 PM><Subject: Re: < > I'll just ask mary. She doesn't need to know why. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 7:21 PM><Subject: Re: < > U need to find out for me what the monthly bill for the PSC is without spilling the beans about my thinking of LED conversion. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 7:07 PM><Subject: Re: < > It will save a lot of money in the long run. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 7:06 PM><Subject: Re: < > I am going to talk to the governor about it><-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 7:02 PM><Subject: Re: < > You can try to get DMS to do it - they own the buildings. You won part of the carpet issue, so they listen to you. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 6:59 PM><Subject: Re: < > I know. Can I insist that the psc change all lighting to LED?<-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 6:58 PM><Subject: Re: < > Mark Clutho. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 6:56 PM><Subject: Re: < > I know that voice><-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 6:56 PM><Subject: Re: < > Roberta says were were on 67 of 83 signed up at the break. Some have left, so hopefully we're getting there. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 6:39 PM><Subject: Re: < > Do u know how many more signes up?<-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 6:11 PM><Subject: Re: < > Got it. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:56 PM><Subject: Re: < > Yes. As opposed to the solar guys. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:55 PM><Subject: Re: < > A pro nuke guy><-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:40 PM><Subject: Re: < > I'm not getting much of this lady either. Chair needs to say something. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:39 PM><Subject: Re: < > Couldn't hear anything he said. Tab him too><-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:31 PM><Subject: Re: < > Will do. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:30 PM><Subject: Re: < > We need to insure that we have hearings in rooms that are able to accomodate many people. Tell MB I am very concerned about that><-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:17 PM><Subject: Re: < > He is venting about solar now. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:15 PM><Subject: Re: < > Did we get cut off?<-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:12 PM><Subject: Re: < > You were right. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:11 PM><Subject: Re: < > No shills dare to speak><-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:10 PM><Subject: Re: < > Yes, it is. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:08 PM><Subject: Re: < > Important to get at least that transcript><-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:06 PM><Subject: Re: < > 7. Tomorrow; 4 next week; one 30 july and one here the morning the tech hearing starts. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:03 PM><Subject: Re: < > How many more SH's?<-----Original Message-----
<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 4:58 PM><Subject: Re: < > Thinking about and typing an email to you about the letter. <-----Original Message-----
<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 8, 2009 4:56 PM><Subject: Re: < > Ok, where are</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
354	7/8/09 20:03	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Free all day Friday. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 7:45 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----What hirings on Fri? I have dr appt in am<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 7:26 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----Do you mean just our utilities bill, or what we pay DMS for rent, or both?<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 7:24 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----Advise her I would like an answer fairly soon thanks<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 7:23 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----I'll just ask Mary. She doesn't need to know why. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 7:21 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----U need to find out for me what the monthly bill for the PSC is without spilling the beans about my thinking of LED conversion. <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 7:07 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----It will save a lot of money in the long run. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 7:06 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----I am going to talk to the governor about it<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 7:02 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----You can try to get DMS to do it - they own the buildings. You won part of the carpet issue, so they listen to you. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 6:59 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----I know. Can I insist that the psc change all lighting to LED?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 6:58 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----Mark Clutho. <-----He's the lighting guy, who carries the old magazines and the book by some guy in Denver<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 6:56 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----I know that voice<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 6:56 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----Roberta says were were on 67 of 83 signed up at the break. Some have left, so hopefully we're getting there. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 6:39 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----Do you know how many more signs up?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 6:11 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----Got it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 6:10 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----Tab her and Let's find out what she is talking about<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:56 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----Yes. As opposed to the solar guys. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:55 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----A pro nuke guy<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:40 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----I'm not getting much of this lady either. Chair needs to say something. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:39 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----Couldn't hear anything he said. Tab him too<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:31 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----Will do. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:30 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----We need to insure that we have hearings in rooms that are able to accomodate many people. Tell MB I am very concerned about that<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:17 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----He is venting about solar now. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:15 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----Did we get cut off?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:12 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----You were right. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:11 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----No shills dare to speak<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:10 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----Yes, it is. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:08 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----Important to get at least that transcript<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:06 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----7. Tomorrow, 4 next week; one 30 July and one here the morning the tech hearing starts. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 8, 2009 5:03 PM<-----Subject: Re: <-----How many more SH's?<-----
355	7/8/09 22:00	Nancy Argenziano: 32CD37E0	Larry Harris: 317E60BA	Re:	Good. U and Steve stay home Fri
356	7/9/09 9:49	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Phone	Is supposedly working fine. Same number as used for FPL (not yesterday's temporary)<-----1-888-808-6959 is number<-----4136004 is code<-----Starts @ 10:00
357	7/9/09 9:51	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Phone	Thanks
358	7/9/09 9:59	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Phone	I'm on. <-----I can hear you talking to Dick. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 9:51 AM<-----Subject: Re: Phone<-----Thanks<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 9:49 AM<-----Subject: Phone<-----Is supposedly working fine. Same number as used for FPL (not yesterday's temporary)<-----1-888-808-6959 is number<-----4136004 is code<-----Starts @ 10:00<-----
359	7/9/09 10:01	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Phone	Do we know how many people are there
360	7/9/09 10:02	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Phone	I'll ask BG. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 10:01 AM<-----Subject: Re: Phone<-----Do we know how many people are there?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 9:59 AM<-----Subject: Re: Phone<-----I'm on. <-----I can hear you talking to Dick. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 9:51 AM<-----Subject: Re: Phone<-----Thanks<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 9:49 AM<-----Subject: Phone<-----Is supposedly working fine. Same number as used for FPL (not yesterday's temporary)<-----1-888-808-6959 is number<-----4136004 is code<-----Starts @ 10:00<-----
361	7/9/09 10:11	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Fw: Customers	Dean is there. See below<-----Original Message----->From: Bill Garner<-----To: Lawrence Harris<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 10:10 AM<-----Subject: Re: Customers<-----Seven signed up to speak, maybe a few more just listening. Several government types from Perry and Live Oak. Senator Dean also present<-----Original Message----->From: Lawrence Harris<-----To: Bill Garner<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 10:03 AM<-----Subject: Customers<-----Any idea of how many customers are there?<-----Original Message----->From: Bill Garner<-----To: Lawrence Harris<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 9:59 AM<-----Subject: The phones seem to be working better today<-----<-----We all ready to go?<-----
362	7/9/09 10:15	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Customers	Shills are there today. I figured dean would be there. He thought there would be more people there. He is practicing for the citrus and ocata meet where there will be lots of people
363	7/9/09 10:16	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Customers	This should be quick meeting
364	7/9/09 10:18	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Customers	He'll need lots of practice. He's got a tough row to hoe - how to keep his check coming without getting stoned by the people he "represents." <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 10:15 AM<-----Subject: Re: Customers<-----Shills are there today. I figured dean would be there. He thought there would be more people there. He is practicing for the citrus and ocata meet where there will be lots of people<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 10:11 AM<-----Subject: Fw: Customers<-----Dean is there. See below<-----Original Message----->From: Bill Garner<-----To: Lawrence Harris<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 10:10 AM<-----Subject: Re: Customers<-----Seven signed up to speak, maybe a few more just listening. Several government types from Perry and Live Oak. Senator Dean also present<-----Original Message----->From: Lawrence Harris<-----To: Bill Garner<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 10:03 AM<-----Subject: Customers<-----Any idea of how many customers are there?<-----Original Message----->From: Bill Garner<-----To: Lawrence Harris<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 9:59 AM<-----Subject: The phones seem to be working better today<-----<-----We all ready to go?<-----
365	7/9/09 10:19	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Customers	I hope so. How is Dean going to play this? <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 10:16 AM<-----Subject: Re: Customers<-----This should be quick meeting<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 10:11 AM<-----Subject: Fw: Customers<-----Dean is there. See below<-----Original Message----->From: Bill Garner<-----To: Lawrence Harris<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 10:10 AM<-----Subject: Re: Customers<-----Seven signed up to speak, maybe a few more just listening. Several government types from Perry and Live Oak. Senator Dean also present<-----Original Message----->From: Lawrence Harris<-----To: Bill Garner<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 10:03 AM<-----Subject: Customers<-----Any idea of how many customers are there?<-----Original Message----->From: Bill Garner<-----To: Lawrence Harris<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 9:59 AM<-----Subject: The phones seem to be working better today<-----<-----We all ready to go?<-----
366	7/9/09 10:43	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Customers	Oh he will oppose the hikes. He needs to be on the publics side on this one. The people are about to kill him for his barn
367	7/9/09 10:44	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Customers	He has to become a good guy and he needs this issue to get everyone off the illegal barn issue
368	7/9/09 10:45	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Customers	Well, he really stepped up this morning. NOTI <-----BG said several more AARP members just signed up to speak. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 10:43 AM<-----Subject: Re: Customers<-----Oh he will oppose the hikes. He needs to be on the publics side on this one. The people are about to kill him for his barn<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 10:18 AM<-----Subject: Re: Customers<-----He'll need lots of practice. He's got a tough row to hoe - how to keep his check coming without getting stoned by the people he "represents." <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 10:15 AM<-----Subject: Re: Customers<-----Shills are there today. I figured dean would be there. He thought there would be more people there. He is practicing for the citrus and ocata meet where there will be lots of people<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 10:11 AM<-----Subject: Fw: Customers<-----Dean is there. See below<-----Original Message----->From: Bill Garner<-----To: Lawrence Harris<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 10:10 AM<-----Subject: Re: Customers<-----Seven signed up to speak, maybe a few more just listening. Several government types from Perry and Live Oak. Senator Dean also present<-----Original Message----->From: Lawrence Harris<-----To: Bill Garner<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 10:03 AM<-----Subject: Customers<-----Any idea of how many customers are there?<-----Original Message----->From: Bill Garner<-----To: Lawrence Harris<-----Sent: Jul 9, 2009 9:59 AM<-----Subject: The phones seem to be working better today<-----<-----We all ready to go?<-----

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
410	7/10/09 8:49	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Done and sent to gmail. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Jul 10, 2009 8:48 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>Don't forget the Troxler questions<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Jul 10, 2009 8:46 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>I think staff/teco are right. As I understand it, opp asked for something and you all tried to give it to them. Looks like opp didn't understand federal tax law, so what they recommended is actually a violation. Therefore, you basically have to modify what you did in order to make it legal to IRS. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Jul 10, 2009 8:41 AM<>Subject: <><>Do u know why the intervenors have no position on the weighted avg cost of cap issue? What is ur understanding of it?<><><>
411	7/10/09 8:50	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Am sending responses to other Qs (scrivner's error and pro forma) by gmail since long answers and hard to type on BB. canceled meeting w/ staff - thank you for letting me do that I don't want to talk to them. <>GOOD LUCK with the Dr. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Jul 10, 2009 8:47 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>At drs. Will read later<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Jul 10, 2009 8:43 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>Sent to Gmail this AM. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Jul 9, 2009 10:41 PM<>Subject: <><>Did u send me TECOs response to intervenors motion? If not, I want it soon as u can send to me. I found something interesting in staffs analysis of TECOs response. I need to see if something is in the response <><><><>
412	7/10/09 8:54	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Grt. What was votes on troxler issue?
413	7/10/09 8:56	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA		You dissented on the 2 he mentioned, plus the 3rd vote (next agenda)on the deferred pension underfund and storm hardening costs. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Jul 10, 2009 8:54 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>Grt. What was votes on troxler issue?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Jul 10, 2009 8:50 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>Am sending responses to other Qs (scrivner's error and pro forma) by gmail since long answers and hard to type on BB. canceled meeting w/ staff - thank you for letting me do that I don't want to talk to them. <>GOOD LUCK with the Dr. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Jul 10, 2009 8:47 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>At drs. Will read later<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Jul 10, 2009 8:43 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>Sent to Gmail this AM. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Jul 9, 2009 10:41 PM<>Subject: <><>Did u send me TECOs response to intervenors motion? If not, I want it soon as u can send to me. I found something interesting in staffs analysis of TECOs response. I need to see if something is in the response <><><><>
414	7/10/09 16:11	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Just tried. I'll keep the blackberry on me. <>L<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Jul 10, 2009 5:23 PM<>Subject: <><>Please call me 828 488 1440<>
415	7/10/09 16:14	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		I do want you to be at the citrus co service hearing. No need to announce it
416	7/10/09 16:15	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Call my persnl cell
417	7/10/09 16:18	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Got it. How long is the drive from here? I'm wondering if I have to leave Thurs night and miss clearwater or leave very early Fri morning?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Jul 10, 2009 4:14 PM<>Subject: <><>do want you to be at the citrus co service hearing. No need to announce it<>
418	7/10/09 16:19	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	U mau want to go the day before. It takes about three hours maybe 3 1/2 to get to invernness from talla to 75 to exit invernness
419	7/10/09 17:16	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Please call me 828 488 1440
420	7/10/09 17:23	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Please call me 828 488 1440
421	7/13/09 9:12	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	0.395833333	Sent you an email with a copy of the script the chair will be following this AM. Number is 888-808-6959 code 413-6261.
422	7/13/09 13:15	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: 9:30	Was I not on phone with the Daytona SH ?
423	7/13/09 13:25	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: 9:30	I thought you were. I have the list of tabs for melbourne the next day, so you must have told me to start tabbing prior to melbourne or I wouldn't have done it. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Jul 13, 2009 1:15 PM<>Subject: Re: 9:30<><>Was I not on phone with the Daytona SH ?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Jul 13, 2009 9:12 AM<>Subject: 9:30<><>Sent you an email with a copy of the script the chair will be following this AM. Number is 888-808-6959 code 413-6261. <><>
424	7/13/09 14:37	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: 9:30	Who was the "unidentified speaker" in the transcript? I recall it as being edgar. I want tape of that part of the transcript
425	7/13/09 14:39	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: 9:30	I'll see how quickly I can get it for you. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:37 PM<>Subject: Re: 9:30<><>Who was the "unidentified speaker" in the transcript? I recall it as being edgar. I want tape of that part of the transcript<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Jul 13, 2009 1:25 PM<>Subject: Re: 9:30<><>I thought you were. I have the list of tabs for melbourne the next day, so you must have told me to start tabbing prior to melbourne or I wouldn't have done it. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Jul 13, 2009 1:15 PM<>Subject: Re: 9:30<><>Was I not on phone with the Daytona SH ?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Jul 13, 2009 9:12 AM<>Subject: 9:30<><>Sent you an email with a copy of the script the chair will be following this AM. Number is 888-808-6959 code 413-6261. <><><><>
426	7/13/09 14:42	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: 9:30	There is another place, it may be at the next SH where I reiterate my concern on the contributions, where I specifically say I will not take into consideration the non relevant testimony of contributions when deciding the rate case then LE says she will consider everything if its nt in the rest of this trnsript, then the next
427	7/13/09 14:45	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA		It is not. The next transcript is daytona beach, and the fourth is Melbourne. The exchange/comments do not happen in either of those. <>That is part of why it was taking so long - I kept going through and looking over and over. When we realized it was sarasota or ft myers, and got those transcripts, it was right there and popped out. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:42 PM<>Subject: Re: 9:30<><>There is another place, it may be at the next SH where I reiterate my concern on the contributions, where I specifically say I will not take into consideration the non relevant testimony of contributions when deciding the rate case then LE says she will consider everything if its nt in the rest of this transcript, then the next<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:39 PM<>Subject: Re: 9:30<><>I'll see how quickly I can get it for you. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:37 PM<>Subject: Re: 9:30<><>Who was the "unidentified speaker" in the transcript? I recall it as being edgar. I want tape of that part of the transcript<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Jul 13, 2009 1:25 PM<>Subject: Re: 9:30<><>I thought you were. I have the list of tabs for melbourne the next day, so you must have told me to start tabbing prior to melbourne or I wouldn't have done it. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Jul 13, 2009 1:15 PM<>Subject: Re: 9:30<><>Was I not on phone with the Daytona SH ?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Jul 13, 2009 9:12 AM<>Subject: 9:30<><>Sent you an email with a copy of the script the chair will be following this AM. Number is 888-808-6959 code 413-6261. <><><><>
428	7/13/09 14:50	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: 9:30	It is not there. I specifically said I would not consider anything that was not relevant and she said she would consider everything. I can't find that in the transcript you sent. What I find is me struggling to make the point that shills had taken over first hours of the meetings and tried, badly, but tried to get relevant testimony up front. I am looking for the place where I said I would not consider non relevant things such as a "contributions". Where is that?
429	7/13/09 15:03	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: 9:30	In the full transcript you sent me is ms Stage. I want you to take her testimony and my Question to her and her answer and separate it and I will attach it to the letter I plan to give the chr as an example of irrelevant testimony
430	7/13/09 15:05	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: 9:30	Ok. I'll try and put it into a word document and send it along. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:03 PM<>Subject: Re: 9:30<><>In the full transcript you sent me is ms Stage. I want you to take her testimony and my Question to her and her answer and separate it and I will attach it to the letter I plan to give the chr as an example of irrelevant testimony <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:45 PM<>Subject: Re: 9:30<><>It is not. The next transcript is daytona beach, and the fourth is Melbourne. The exchange/comments do not happen in either of those. <>That is part of why it was taking so long - I kept going through and looking over and over. When we realized it was sarasota or ft myers, and got those transcripts, it was right there and popped out. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:42 PM<>Subject: Re: 9:30<><>There is another place, it may be at the next SH where I reiterate my concern on the contributions, where I specifically say I will not take into consideration the non relevant testimony of contributions when deciding the rate case then LE says she will consider everything if its nt in the rest of this transcript, then the next<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:39 PM<>Subject: Re: 9:30<><>I'll see how quickly I can get it for you. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:37 PM<>Subject: Re: 9:30<><>Who was the "unidentified speaker" in the transcript? I recall it as being edgar. I want tape of that part of the transcript<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Jul 13, 2009 1:25 PM<>Subject: Re: 9:30<><>I thought you were. I have the list of tabs for melbourne the next day, so you must have told me to start tabbing prior to melbourne or I wouldn't have done it. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Jul 13, 2009 1:15 PM<>Subject: Re: 9:30<><>Was I not on phone with the Daytona SH ?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Jul 13, 2009 9:12 AM<>Subject: 9:30<><>Sent you an email with a copy of the script the chair will be following this AM. Number is 888-808-6959 code 413-6261. <><><><>
431	7/13/09 15:05	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: 9:30	You save it also. I am going through transcript to find the part I told u I am looking for.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
432	7/13/09 15:07	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: 9:30	Me too. I'm about to send a word doc that has all the parts from all 4 that refer to "contributions"-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:05 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>You save it also. I am going through transcript to find the part I told u I am looking for. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:05 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>Ok. I'll try and put it into a word document and send it along. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:03 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>In the full transcript you sent me is ms Stage. I want you to take her testimony and my Question to her and her answer and separate it and I will attach it to the letter I plan to give the chr as an example of irrelevant testimony <>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:45 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>It is not. The next transcript is daytona beach, and the fourth is Melbourne. The exchange/comments do not happen in either of those. <>That is part of why it was taking so long - I kept going through and looking over and over. When we realized it was sarasota or ft myers, and got those transcripts, it was right there and popped out. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:42 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>There is another place, it may be at the next SH where I reiterate my concern on the contributions, where I specifically say I will not take into consideration the non relevant testimony of contributions when deciding the rate case then LE says she will consider everything if its nt in the rest of this trnsrpt, then the next<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:39 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>I'll see how quickly I can get it for you. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:37 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>Who was the "unidentified speaker" in the transcript? I recall it as being edgar. I want tape of that part of the transcript<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 1:25 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>I thought you were. I have the list of tabs for melbourne the next day, so you must have told me to start tabbing prior to melbourne or I wouldn't have done it. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 1:15 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>Was I not on phone with the Daytona SH ?<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 9:12 AM><Subject: 9:30><><>Sent you an email with a copy of the script the chair will be following this AM. Number is 888-808-6959
433	7/13/09 15:07	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: 9:30	Did u see it anywhere?
434	7/13/09 15:08	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: 9:30	No. Not in the 1st four other than what I sent you. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:07 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>Did u see it anywhere?<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:07 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>Me too. I'm about to send a word doc that has all the parts from all 4 that refer to "contributions"-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:05 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>You save it also. I am going through transcript to find the part I told u I am looking for. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:05 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>Ok. I'll try and put it into a word document and send it along. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:03 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>In the full transcript you sent me is ms Stage. I want you to take her testimony and my Question to her and her answer and separate it and I will attach it to the letter I plan to give the chr as an example of irrelevant testimony <>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:45 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>It is not. The next transcript is daytona beach, and the fourth is Melbourne. The exchange/comments do not happen in either of those. <>That is part of why it was taking so long - I kept going through and looking over and over. When we realized it was sarasota or ft myers, and got those transcripts, it was right there and popped out. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:42 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>There is another place, it may be at the next SH where I reiterate my concern on the contributions, where I specifically say I will not take into consideration the non relevant testimony of contributions when deciding the rate case then LE says she will consider everything if its nt in the rest of this trnsrpt, then the next<>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:39 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>I'll see how quickly I can get it for you. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:37 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>Who was the "unidentified speaker" in the transcript? I recall it as being edgar. I want tape of that part of the transcript<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 1:25 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>I thought you were. I have the list of tabs for melbourne the next day, so you must have told me to start tabbing prior to melbourne or I wouldn't have done it. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 1:15 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>Was I not on phone with the Daytona SH ?<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 9:12 AM><Subject: 9:30><><>Sent you an email with a copy of the script the chair will be following this AM. Number is 888-808-6959 code 413-6261.
435	7/13/09 15:08	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: 9:30	
436	7/13/09 15:10	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: 9:30	I don't know. I'll try and see if I can get drafts of ft lauderdale and miami. <>All I can see that is on point is what I sent you from ft myers. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:08 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>Then where is it?<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:08 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>No. Not in the 1st four other than what I sent you. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:07 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>Did u see it anywhere?<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:07 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>Me too. I'm about to send a word doc that has all the parts from all 4 that refer to "contributions"-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:05 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>You save it also. I am going through transcript to find the part I told u I am looking for. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:05 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>Ok. I'll try and put it into a word document and send it along. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:03 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>In the full transcript you sent me is ms Stage. I want you to take her testimony and my Question to her and her answer and separate it and I will attach it to the letter I plan to give the chr as an example of irrelevant testimony <>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:45 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>It is not. The next transcript is daytona beach, and the fourth is Melbourne. The exchange/comments do not happen in either of those. <>That is part of why it was taking so long - I kept going through and looking over and over. When we realized it was sarasota or ft myers, and got those transcripts, it was right there and popped out. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:42 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>There is another place, it may be at the next SH where I reiterate my concern on the contributions, where I specifically say I will not take into consideration the non relevant testimony of contributions when deciding the rate case then LE says she will consider everything if its nt in the rest of this trnsrpt, then the next<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:39 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>I'll see how quickly I can get it for you. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:37 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>Who was the "unidentified speaker" in the transcript? I recall it as being edgar. I want tape of that part of the transcript<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 1:25 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>I thought you were. I have the list of tabs for melbourne the next day, so you must have told me to start tabbing prior to melbourne or I wouldn't have done it. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 1:15 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><><>Was I not on phone with the Daytona SH ?<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 9:12 AM><Subject: 9:30><><>Sent you an email with a copy of the script the chair will be following this AM. Number is 888-808-6959
437	7/13/09 15:13	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: 9:30	

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
444	7/13/09 15:47	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: 9:30	<p>Did u analyze the Floridians united case? - know I have given u lot to do in short time, that's when you need to get Steve to help and tell me when. Its to much or ask me to list by priority order. OK? That case is urgent</p> <p>Just sent to your gmail. You should have it now. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:47 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><Did u analyze the Floridians united case? - know I have given u lot to do in short time, that's when you need to get Steve to help and tell me when. Its to much or ask me to list by priority order. OK? That case is urgent><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:33 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><OK><-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:32 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><Separate MR Krasowski's also. P 144><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:25 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><I'm on it. ><-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:24 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><It was regarding apprentice program as I recall><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:13 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><Yes. ><-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:13 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><I remember what I said and how LE responded. It has to be somewhere and I could swear it was the 1st or 2nd hearing. The one that the people did not understand, my fault, that I was trying mix up list so shills were not all up front. Maybe it was later but I just don't think so><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:10 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><I don't know. I'll try and see if I can get drafts of ft lauderdale and miami. ><All I can see that is on point is what I sent you from ft myers. ><-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:08 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><Then where is it?><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:08 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><No. Not in the 1st four other than what I sent you. ><-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:07 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><Did u see it anywhere?><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:07 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><Me too. I'm about to send a word doc that has all the parts from all 4 that refer to "contributions"><-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:05 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><You save it also. I am going through transcript to find the part I told u I am lloking for. ><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:05 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><Ok. I'll try and put it into a word document and send it along. ><-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:03 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><In the full transcript you sent me is ms Stage. I want you to take her testimony and my Question to her and her answer and separate it and I will attach it to the letter I plan to give the chr as an example of irrelevant testimony ><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:45 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><That is not. The next transcript is daytona beach, and the fourth is Melbourne. The exchange/comments do not happen in either of those. ><That is part of why it was taking so long - I kept going through and looking over and over. When we realized it was sarasota or ft myers, and got those transcripts, it was right there and popped out. ><-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:42 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><There is another place, it may be at the next SH where I reiterate my concern on the contributions, where I specifically say I will not take into consideration the non relevant testimony of contributions when deciding the rate case then LE says she will consider everything if its nt in the rest of this trnscrip, then the next><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:39 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><I'll see how quickly I can get it for you. ><-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:37 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><Who was the "unidentified speaker" in the transcript? I recall it as being edgar. I want tape of that part of the transcript><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 1:25 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><I thought you were. I have the list of tabs for melbourne the next day, so you must have told me to start tabbing prior to melbourne or I wouldn't have done it. ><-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 1:15 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><Was I not on phone with the Daytona SH 7><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy</p>
446	7/13/09 15:50	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: 9:30	<p>Ok getting it. Also the transcript u sent does not have the statements I and LE made in it. Has t be somewhere else</p>
447	7/13/09 15:52	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: 9:30	<p>I'll look through the FTL and Miami ASA I can get them from ct reporter. ><If you would like I can send all 4 to steve and see if a new pair of eyes can find something?><-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:50 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><Ok getting it. Also the transcript u sent does not have the statements I and LE made in it. Has t be somewhere else><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:48 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><Just sent to your gmail. You should have it now. ><-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:47 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><Did u analyze the Floridians united case? - know I have given u lot to do in short time, that's when you need to get Steve to help and tell me when. Its to much or ask me to list by priority order. OK? That case is urgent><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:33 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><OK><-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:32 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><Separate MR Krasowski's also. P 144><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:25 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><I'm on it. ><-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:24 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><It was regarding apprentice program as I recall><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:13 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><Yes. ><-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:13 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><I remember what I said and how LE responded. It has to be somewhere and I could swear it was the 1st or 2nd hearing. The one that the people did not understand, my fault, that I was trying mix up list so shills were not all up front. Maybe it was later but I just don't think so><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:10 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><I don't know. I'll try and see if I can get drafts of ft lauderdale and miami. ><All I can see that is on point is what I sent you from ft myers. ><-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:08 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><Then where is it?><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:08 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><No. Not in the 1st four other than what I sent you. ><-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:07 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><Did u see it anywhere?><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:07 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><Me too. I'm about to send a word doc that has all the parts from all 4 that refer to "contributions"><-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:05 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><You save it also. I am going through transcript to find the part I told u I am lloking for. ><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:05 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><Ok. I'll try and put it into a word document and send it along. ><-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 3:03 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><In the full transcript you sent me is ms Stage. I want you to take her testimony and my Question to her and her answer and separate it and I will attach it to the letter I plan to give the chr as an example of irrelevant testimony ><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:45 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><That is not. The next transcript is daytona beach, and the fourth is Melbourne. The exchange/comments do not happen in either of those. ><That is part of why it was taking so long - I kept going through and looking over and over. When we realized it was sarasota or ft myers, and got those transcripts, it was right there and popped out. ><-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:42 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><There is another place, it may be at the next SH where I reiterate my concern on the contributions, where I specifically say I will not take into consideration the non relevant testimony of contributions when deciding the rate case then LE says she will consider everything if its nt in the rest of this trnscrip, then the next><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:39 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><I'll see how quickly I can get it for you. ><-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 13, 2009 2:37 PM><Subject: Re: 9:30><Who was the "unidentified speaker" in the transcript? I recall it as being edgar. I want tape of that part of the transcript><-----Original</p>
448	7/13/09 15:54	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: 9:30	Yes
449	7/13/09 16:09	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: 9:30	I found the statment I and LE made. Larry u sent it to me LE on p 200, me p 196 and 197

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
493	7/14/09 11:04	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: NS	<p>Brubaker was right on that point (could have objected). <From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:55 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Is that true what legal staf said. Add process how?><From: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:47 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Yes. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:45 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Good answer by opoc><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:42 AM<Subject: Re: NS><There isn't a narrow one that is easy to state. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:40 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Answer to skops ques narrowly ?><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:39 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Yes it is. ><In reality, there was no meaningful opportunity to object. Even if "could have" filed an objection, it would have been overruled on basis that exhibit already admitted. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:37 AM<Subject: Re: NS><But her argument of extraneous info is a good argument><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:37 AM<Subject: Re: NS><He's just trying some CYA for when he votes to deny the recon. Wants to pin opc down that "would have" been able to object to the LF exhibit and missed it. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:34 AM<Subject: Re: NS><What about skops questions about intervenors having time to object/comment?><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:32 AM<Subject: Re: NS><What teo just said is the exact problem. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:26 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Yep><From: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:25 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Yes, me too. ><I think staff is wrong about this record objection also. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:22 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Staffs response is unreal. I have lost any confidence in staff and lost respect><From: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:19 AM<Subject: Re: NS><We're going down now ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:13 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Is he right that she had to use her 2 mins to object><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:07 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Will do. Tell me when you want me to go down and I'm there. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: NS><When it gets close to commishs making comments I want you and steve to be downstairs and watching faces especially LE and letting me know of rolling of eyes or conversation that take place between commis and staff><From: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:03 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Yes - blatantly. How can any member of the public have any confidence in the board's actions with this kind of shitty vote? Its just plain stupid and wrong. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:01 AM<Subject: Re: NS><It is and it really makes the PSC look biased or incompetent><From: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 9:56 AM<Subject: Re: NS><I am looking forward to them. This DP denial is total BS. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 9:54 AM<Subject: Re: NS><It is right on and sounds very much like my comments that I wrote and u will hear later><From: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 9:51 AM<Subject: NS><So far, I agree with EVERY point made by patty.</p>
494	7/14/09 11:05	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Comment	<p>No facial or other responses to your comment. <From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:55 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Is that true what legal staf said. Add process how?><From: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:47 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Yes. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:45 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Good answer by opoc><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:42 AM<Subject: Re: NS><There isn't a narrow one that is easy to state. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:40 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Answer to skops ques narrowly ?><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:39 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Yes it is. ><In reality, there was no meaningful opportunity to object. Even if "could have" filed an objection, it would have been overruled on basis that exhibit already admitted. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:37 AM<Subject: Re: NS><But her argument of extraneous info is a good argument><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:37 AM<Subject: Re: NS><He's just trying some CYA for when he votes to deny the recon. Wants to pin opc down that "would have" been able to object to the LF exhibit and missed it. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:34 AM<Subject: Re: NS><What about skops questions about intervenors having time to object/comment?><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:32 AM<Subject: Re: NS><What teo just said is the exact problem. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:26 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Yep><From: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:25 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Yes, me too. ><I think staff is wrong about this record objection also. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:22 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Staffs response is unreal. I have lost any confidence in staff and lost respect><From: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:19 AM<Subject: Re: NS><We're going down now ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:13 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Is he right that she had to use her 2 mins to object><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:07 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Will do. Tell me when you want me to go down and I'm there. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: NS><When it gets close to commishs making comments I want you and steve to be downstairs and watching faces especially LE and letting me know of rolling of eyes or conversation that take place between commis and staff><From: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:03 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Yes - blatantly. How can any member of the public have any confidence in the board's actions with this kind of shitty vote? Its just plain stupid and wrong. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:01 AM<Subject: Re: NS><It is and it really makes the PSC look biased or incompetent><From: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 9:56 AM<Subject: Re: NS><I am looking forward to them. This DP denial is total BS. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 9:54 AM<Subject: Re: NS><It is right on and sounds very much like my comments that I wrote and u will hear later><From: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 9:51 AM<Subject: NS><So far, I agree with EVERY point made by patty.</p>
495	7/14/09 11:27	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Comments	<p>They were all bent over appearing to be writing/taking notes while you were speaking. <From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:55 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Is that true what legal staf said. Add process how?><From: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:47 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Yes. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:45 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Good answer by opoc><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:42 AM<Subject: Re: NS><There isn't a narrow one that is easy to state. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:40 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Answer to skops ques narrowly ?><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:39 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Yes it is. ><In reality, there was no meaningful opportunity to object. Even if "could have" filed an objection, it would have been overruled on basis that exhibit already admitted. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:37 AM<Subject: Re: NS><But her argument of extraneous info is a good argument><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:37 AM<Subject: Re: NS><He's just trying some CYA for when he votes to deny the recon. Wants to pin opc down that "would have" been able to object to the LF exhibit and missed it. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:34 AM<Subject: Re: NS><What about skops questions about intervenors having time to object/comment?><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:32 AM<Subject: Re: NS><What teo just said is the exact problem. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:26 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Yep><From: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:25 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Yes, me too. ><I think staff is wrong about this record objection also. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:22 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Staffs response is unreal. I have lost any confidence in staff and lost respect><From: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:19 AM<Subject: Re: NS><We're going down now ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:13 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Is he right that she had to use her 2 mins to object><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:07 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Will do. Tell me when you want me to go down and I'm there. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: NS><When it gets close to commishs making comments I want you and steve to be downstairs and watching faces especially LE and letting me know of rolling of eyes or conversation that take place between commis and staff><From: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:03 AM<Subject: Re: NS><Yes - blatantly. How can any member of the public have any confidence in the board's actions with this kind of shitty vote? Its just plain stupid and wrong. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:01 AM<Subject: Re: NS><It is and it really makes the PSC look biased or incompetent><From: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 9:56 AM<Subject: Re: NS><I am looking forward to them. This DP denial is total BS. ><From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 9:54 AM<Subject: Re: NS><It is right on and sounds very much like my comments that I wrote and u will hear later><From: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 14, 2009 9:51 AM<Subject: NS><So far, I agree with EVERY point made by patty.</p>
496	7/14/09 11:34	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Comments	<p>Its more like bentover in guilt</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
502	7/14/09 11:53	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Comments	<p>Basically, you cut some costs, but not others, and that violation would be an IRS problem. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:50 AM><Subject: Re: Comments><What about this issue>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:36 AM><Subject: Re: Comments><KM's comments are just so offensive. What she said about PH is basically make sure the issues are so broad, they people can't make this kind of argument ever again. That is so totally 180 degrees opposite from what she "should have learned" from this. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:34 AM><Subject: Re: Comments><Its more like bentover in guilt>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:27 AM><Subject: Comments><They were all bent over appearing to be writing/taking notes while you were speaking. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:55 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Is that true what legal staf said. Add process how?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:45 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Yes. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:42 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Good answer by op<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:42 AM><Subject: Re: NS><There isn't a narrow one that is easy to state. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:40 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Answer to skops ques narrowly ?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:39 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Yes it is. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:39 AM><Subject: Re: NS><In reality, there was no meaningful opportunity to object. Even if "could have" filed an objection, it would have been overruled on basis that exhibit already admitted. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:37 AM><Subject: Re: NS><But her argument of extraneous info is a good argument?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:37 AM><Subject: NS><He's just trying some CYA for when he votes to deny the recon. Wants to pin opc down that "would have" been able to object to the LF exhibit and missed it. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:34 AM><Subject: Re: -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:32 AM><Subject: Re: -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:26 AM><Subject: Re: -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:25 AM><Subject: Re: -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:22 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><Staffs response is unreal. I have lost any confidence in staff and lost respect?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:19 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><We're going down now -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:13 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><Is he right that she had to use her 2 mins to object?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:07 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><Will do. Tell me when you want me to go down and I'm there. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:05 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><When it gets close to commishs making comments I want you and steve to be downstairs and watching faces especially LE and letting me know of rolling of eyes or conversation that take place between commis and staff?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:03 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><Yes - blatently. How can any member of the public have any confidence in the board's actions with this kind of shitty vote? Its just plain stupid and wrong. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:01 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><It is and it really makes the PSC look biased or incompetent?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 9:56 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><I am looking forward to them. This DP denial is total BS. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry</p>
503	7/14/09 11:54	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Comments	<p>If I go along with this as OPC did what is the fallout</p>
504	7/14/09 11:55	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Comments	<p>That was right vote. IRS rules were clear, you had to do it. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:54 AM><Subject: Re: Comments><If I go along with this as OPC did what is the fallout>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:53 AM><Subject: Re: Comments><Basically, you cut some costs, but not others, and that violation would be an IRS problem. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:50 AM><Subject: Re: Comments><What about this issue>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:36 AM><Subject: Re: Comments><KM's comments are just so offensive. What she said about PH is basically make sure the issues are so broad, they people can't make this kind of argument ever again. That is so totally 180 degrees opposite from what she "should have learned" from this. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:34 AM><Subject: Re: Comments><Its more like bentover in guilt>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:27 AM><Subject: Comments><They were all bent over appearing to be writing/taking notes while you were speaking. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:55 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Is that true what legal staf said. Add process how?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:47 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Yes. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:45 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Good answer by op<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:42 AM><Subject: Re: NS><There isn't a narrow one that is easy to state. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:40 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Answer to skops ques narrowly ?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:39 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Yes it is. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:39 AM><Subject: Re: NS><In reality, there was no meaningful opportunity to object. Even if "could have" filed an objection, it would have been overruled on basis that exhibit already admitted. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:37 AM><Subject: Re: NS><But her argument of extraneous info is a good argument?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:37 AM><Subject: NS><He's just trying some CYA for when he votes to deny the recon. Wants to pin opc down that "would have" been able to object to the LF exhibit and missed it. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:34 AM><Subject: Re: -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:32 AM><Subject: Re: -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:26 AM><Subject: Re: -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:25 AM><Subject: Re: -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:22 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><Staffs response is unreal. I have lost any confidence in staff and lost respect?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:19 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><We're going down now -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:13 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><Is he right that she had to use her 2 mins to object?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:07 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><Will do. Tell me when you want me to go down and I'm there. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:05 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><When it gets close to commishs making comments I want you and steve to be downstairs and watching faces especially LE and letting me know of rolling of eyes or conversation that take place between commis and staff?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:03 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><Yes - blatently. How can any member of the public have any confidence in the board's actions with this kind of shitty vote? Its just plain stupid and wrong. -----Original Message-----<From:</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
505	7/14/09 11:56	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Comments	<p>Fallout is more money to teco. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:54 AM><Subject: Re: Comments><If I go along with this as OPC did what is the fallout><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:53 AM><Subject: Re: Comments><Basically, you cut some costs, but not others, and that violation would be an IRS problem. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:50 AM><Subject: Re: Comments><What about this issue><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:36 AM><Subject: Re: Comments><KM's comments are just so offensive. What she said about PH is basically make sure the issues are so broad, they people can't make this kind of argument ever again. That is so totally 180 degrees opposite from what she "should have learned" from this. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:34 AM><Subject: Re: Comments><Its more like bentover in guilt><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:27 AM><Subject: Comments><They were all bent over appearing to be writing/taking notes while you were speaking. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:55 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Is that true what legal staff said. Add process how?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:47 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Yes. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:45 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Good answer by opo><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:42 AM><Subject: Re: NS><There isn't a narrow one that is easy to state. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:40 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Answer to skops ques narrowly?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:39 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Yes it is. <In reality, there was no meaningful opportunity to object. Even if "could have" filed an objection, it would have been overruled on basis that exhibit already admitted. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:37 AM><Subject: Re: NS><But her argument of extraneous info is a good argument><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:37 AM><Subject: NS><He's just trying some CYA for when he votes to deny the recon. Wants to pin opo down that "would have" been able to object to the LF exhibit and missed it. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:34 AM><Subject: Re: NS><What about skops questions about intervenors having time to object/comment?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:32 AM><Subject: Re: NS><What teco just said is the exact problem. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:26 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Yep><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:25 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Yes, me too. <I think staff is wrong about this record objection also. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:22 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><Staffs response is unreal. I have lost any confidence in staff and lost respect><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:19 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><We're going down now <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:13 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><Is he right that she had to use her 2 mins to object><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:07 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><Will do. Tell me when you want me to go down and I'm there. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:05 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><When it gets close to commishs making comments I want you and steve to be downstairs and watching faces especially LE and letting me know of rolling of eyes or conversation that take place between commis and staff><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:03 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><Yes - blatantly. How can any member of the public have any confidence in the board's actions with this kind of shitty vote? Its just plain stupid and wrong. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry</p>
506	7/14/09 11:56	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Comments	<p>Didn't we just do this in iss 3</p>
507	7/14/09 11:59	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Comments	<p>Issue 3 was to make the adjustment or not. <Issue 4 is impact on company's revenue requirement. <Issue 5 (I think) how much to change the rates><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:56 AM><Subject: Re: Comments><Didn't we just do this in iss 3><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:56 AM><Subject: Re: Comments><Fallout is more money to teco. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:54 AM><Subject: Re: Comments><If I go along with this as OPC did what is the fallout><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:53 AM><Subject: Re: Comments><Basically, you cut some costs, but not others, and that violation would be an IRS problem. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:50 AM><Subject: Re: Comments><What about this issue><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:36 AM><Subject: Re: Comments><KM's comments are just so offensive. What she said about PH is basically make sure the issues are so broad, they people can't make this kind of argument ever again. That is so totally 180 degrees opposite from what she "should have learned" from this. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:34 AM><Subject: Re: Comments><Its more like bentover in guilt><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:27 AM><Subject: Comments><They were all bent over appearing to be writing/taking notes while you were speaking. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:55 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Is that true what legal staff said. Add process how?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:47 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Yes. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:45 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Good answer by opo><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:42 AM><Subject: Re: NS><There isn't a narrow one that is easy to state. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:40 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Answer to skops ques narrowly?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:39 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Yes it is. <In reality, there was no meaningful opportunity to object. Even if "could have" filed an objection, it would have been overruled on basis that exhibit already admitted. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:37 AM><Subject: Re: NS><But her argument of extraneous info is a good argument><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:37 AM><Subject: NS><He's just trying some CYA for when he votes to deny the recon. Wants to pin opo down that "would have" been able to object to the LF exhibit and missed it. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:34 AM><Subject: Re: NS><What about skops questions about intervenors having time to object/comment?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:32 AM><Subject: Re: NS><What teco just said is the exact problem. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:26 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Yep><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:25 AM><Subject: Re: NS><Yes, me too. <I think staff is wrong about this record objection also. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:22 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><Staffs response is unreal. I have lost any confidence in staff and lost respect><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:19 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><We're going down now <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:13 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><Is he right that she had to use her 2 mins to object><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:07 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><Will do. Tell me when you want me to go down and I'm there. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:05 AM><Subject: Re: OPC><When it gets close to commishs making comments I want you and steve to be downstairs and watching faces especially LE and letting me know of rolling of</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
515	7/14/09 12:14	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Comments	<p>Oh, and staff said that even if there is a normalization violation (not clear) then the Shareholders would eat tax consequences under staff's rec. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->He is bailing his own case for justification of his yes vote<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->He's huddled with marshall and tim and the whole staff on this issue. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Have u noticed where skop went?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Issue 6 is when that increase in rates should take place. Issue is should it be effective as if original decision, or just take effect now. So bottom line is does company get the higher rates retroactively or just now. <-----Staff recommends NOT proactive, that is only for future. You agree with this, not with what I'm guessing teco will argue. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Didn't we just do this in iss 3<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Fallout is more money to teco. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->If I go along with this as OPC did what is the fallout<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Basically, you cut some costs, but not others, and that violation would be an irs problem. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->What about this issue<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->KM's comments are just so offensive. What she said about PH is basically make sure the issues are so broad, they people can't make this kind of argument ever again. That is so totally 180 degrees opposite from what she "should have learned" from this. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Its more like bentover in guilt<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->They were all bent over appearing to be writing/taking notes while you were speaking. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->Is that true what legal staf said. Add process how?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->Yes. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->Good answer by opc<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->There isn't a narrow one that is easy to state. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->Answer to skops ques narrowly ?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->Yes it is. <-----In reality, there was no meaningful opportunity to object. Even if "could have" filed an objection, it would have been overruled on basis that exhibit already admitted. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->He's just trying some CYA for when he votes to deny the recon. Wants to pin opc down that "would have" been able to object to the LF exhibit and missed it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->What about skops questions about intervenors having time to object/comment?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->What teco just said is the exact problem. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->Yep<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->Yes, me too. <-----I think staff is wrong about this record objection also. <-----</p>
516	7/14/09 12:15	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Comments	<p>Yeah, I think u right. I was just telling SL I thought this was total BS but you make sense on why they are trying to do it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->This is the givaway. The supreme 4 will vote against teco here. Its there scapgoat that says "see we don't gulp the Koolaid". BS<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->I don't know about NS. This issue seems pretty clear cut. I think teco is being very greedy here, and I was just talking to staff they don't think teco's argument is a slam dunk (was clear about irs rule, this is not clear cut)<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->He's huddled with marshall and tim and the whole staff on this issue. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Have u noticed where skop went?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Issue 6 is when that increase in rates should take place. Issue is should it be effective as if original decision, or just take effect now. So bottom line is does company get the higher rates retroactively or just now. <-----Staff recommends NOT proactive, that is only for future. You agree with this, not with what I'm guessing teco will argue. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Didn't we just do this in iss 3<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Fallout is more money to teco. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->If I go along with this as OPC did what is the fallout<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Basically, you cut some costs, but not others, and that violation would be an irs problem. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->What about this issue<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->KM's comments are just so offensive. What she said about PH is basically make sure the issues are so broad, they people can't make this kind of argument ever again. That is so totally 180 degrees opposite from what she "should have learned" from this. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Its more like bentover in guilt<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->They were all bent over appearing to be writing/taking notes while you were speaking. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->Is that true what legal staf said. Add process how?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->Yes. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->Good answer by opc<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->There isn't a narrow one that is easy to state. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->Answer to skops ques narrowly ?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->Yes it is. <-----In reality, there was no meaningful opportunity to object. Even if "could have" filed an objection, it would have been overruled on basis that exhibit already admitted. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->He's just trying some CYA for when he votes to deny the recon. Wants to pin opc down that "would have" been able to object to the LF exhibit and missed it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->What about skops questions about intervenors having time to object/comment?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->What teco just said is the exact problem. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->Yep<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Subject: Re: NS<----->Yes, me too. <-----I think staff is wrong about this record objection also. <-----</p>
517	7/14/09 12:29	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Comments	<p>Faces?</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
521	7/14/09 12:40	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Comments	<p>If all commissioners did their jobs right, there wouldn't be any issues with reappointment b/c the public wouldn't stand for it. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:37 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----Ok. My points are on record and my dissent will elaborate further. I was not apt to be a part of the status quo. I was apt to put the public back in the public service commission and not just be concerned with re-appointment <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:32 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----None. They kept heads down, like they were taking notes. I didn't see any reactions from audience either. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:29 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----Faces?<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:15 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----Yeah, I think ur right. I was just telling SL I thought this was total BS but you make sense on why they are trying to do it. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:13 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----This is the givaaway. The supreme 4 will vote against teco here. Its there scapgoat that says "see we don't gulp the Koolaid". BS<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:12 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----I don't know about NS. This issue seems pretty clear cut. I think teco is being very greedy here, and I was just talking to staff they don't think teco's argument is a slam dunk (was clear about irs rule, this is not clear cut)<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:07 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----He is bulling his own case for justification of his yes vote<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:07 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----He's huddled with marshall and tim and the whole staff on this issue. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:06 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----Have u noticed where skop went?<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:02 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----Issue 6 is when that increase in rates should take place. Issue is should it be effective as if original decision, or just take effect now. So bottom line is does company get the higher rates retroactively or just now. <-----Staff recommends NOT proactive, that is only for future. You agree with this, not with what I'm guessing teco will argue. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:56 AM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----Didn't we just do this in iss 3<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:56 AM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----Fallout is more money to teco. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:54 AM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----If I go along with this as OPC did what is the fallout<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:53 AM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----Basically, you cut some costs, but not others, and that violation would be an irs problem. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:50 AM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----What about this issue<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:36 AM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----KM's comments are just so offensive. What she said about PH is basically make sure the issues are so broad, they people can't make this kind of argument ever again. That is so totally 180 degrees opposite from what she "should have learned" from this. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:34 AM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----Its more like bentover in guilt<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:27 AM<-----Subject: Comments<-----><-----They were all bent over appearing to be writing/taking notes while you were speaking. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:55 AM<-----Subject: Re: NS<-----><-----Is that true what legal staf said. Add process how?<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:47 AM<-----Subject: Re: NS<-----><-----Yes. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:45 AM<-----Subject: Re: NS<-----><-----Good answer by opc<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:42 AM<-----Subject: Re: NS<-----><-----There isn't a narrow one that is easy to state. <-----Original</p>
522	7/14/09 12:41	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Charlie	<p>BTW, talked to c Back, he said intervenors were really upset with this, not "just posturing" for effect. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:37 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----Ok. My points are on record and my dissent will elaborate further. I was not apt to be a part of the status quo. I was apt to put the public back in the public service commission and not just be concerned with re-appointment <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:32 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----None. They kept heads down, like they were taking notes. I didn't see any reactions from audience either. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:29 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----Faces?<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:15 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----Yeah, I think ur right. I was just telling SL I thought this was total BS but you make sense on why they are trying to do it. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:13 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----This is the givaaway. The supreme 4 will vote against teco here. Its there scapgoat that says "see we don't gulp the Koolaid". BS<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:12 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----I don't know about NS. This issue seems pretty clear cut. I think teco is being very greedy here, and I was just talking to staff they don't think teco's argument is a slam dunk (was clear about irs rule, this is not clear cut)<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:07 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----He is bulling his own case for justification of his yes vote<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:07 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----He's huddled with marshall and tim and the whole staff on this issue. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:06 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----Have u noticed where skop went?<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:02 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----Issue 6 is when that increase in rates should take place. Issue is should it be effective as if original decision, or just take effect now. So bottom line is does company get the higher rates retroactively or just now. <-----Staff recommends NOT proactive, that is only for future. You agree with this, not with what I'm guessing teco will argue. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:56 AM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----Didn't we just do this in iss 3<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:56 AM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----Fallout is more money to teco. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:54 AM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----If I go along with this as OPC did what is the fallout<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:53 AM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----Basically, you cut some costs, but not others, and that violation would be an irs problem. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:50 AM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----What about this issue<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:36 AM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----KM's comments are just so offensive. What she said about PH is basically make sure the issues are so broad, they people can't make this kind of argument ever again. That is so totally 180 degrees opposite from what she "should have learned" from this. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:34 AM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<-----><-----Its more like bentover in guilt<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:27 AM<-----Subject: Comments<-----><-----They were all bent over appearing to be writing/taking notes while you were speaking. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:55 AM<-----Subject: Re: NS<-----><-----Is that true what legal staf said. Add process how?<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:47 AM<-----Subject: Re: NS<-----><-----Yes. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:45 AM<-----Subject: Re: NS<-----><-----Good answer by opc<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 10:42 AM<-----Subject: Re: NS<-----><-----There isn't a narrow one that is easy to state. <-----Original</p>
523	7/14/09 12:56	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Comments	Ask you what about comments? If you agreed?
524	7/14/09 12:57	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Charlie	They should be. I am appalled to the point that I may write to the public that I think thing at PSC are horrible.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
528	7/14/09 13:09	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Comments	<p>Got it. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:08 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<Just do what you did and refuse to talk to him. Also I feel psc staff is biased and I really cannot rely on their recommendations or advice. I really don't want you to go to them for anything except what we determine we may need. Then and always you must check and research on your own everything they say and do and u can use steve to help as I know it will be a lot on u<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:03 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<So, I guess it's all happiness and sunshine. I don't know what he ment, but he was clearly attempting to start a conversation about what U said. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:56 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<Ask you what about comments? If you agreed?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:39 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<Yes!<Skop tried to ask me about your comments. I said he heard them and I didn't want to discuss, then walked away. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:37 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<Ok. My points are on record and my dissent will elaborate further. I was not apt to be a part of the status quo. I was apt to put the public back in the public service commission and not just be concerned with re-appointment <Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:32 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<None. They kept heads down, like they were taking notes. I didn't see any reactions from audience either. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:29 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<Faces?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:15 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<Yeah, I think u right. I was just telling SL I thought this was total BS but you make sense on why they are trying to do it. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:13 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<This is the givaway. The supreme 4 will vote against teco here. Its there scapgoat that says "see we don't gulp the Koolaid". BS<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:12 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<I don't know about NS. This issue seems pretty clear cut. I think teco is being very greedy here, and I was just talking to staff they don't think teco's argument is a slam dunk (was clear about irs rule, this is not clear cut)<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:07 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<He is builing his own case for justification of his yes vote<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:07 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<He's huddled with marshall and tim and the whole staff on this issue. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:06 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<Have u noticed where skop went?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:02 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<Issue 6 is when that increase in rates should take place. Issue is should it be effective as if original decision, or just take effect now. So bottom line is does company get the higher rates retroactively or just now. <Staff recommends NOT proactive, that is only for future. You agree with this, not with what I'm guessing teco will argue. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:56 AM> Subject: Re: Comments<Didn't we just do this in iss 3<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:56 AM> Subject: Re: Comments<Fallout is more money to teco. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:54 AM> Subject: Re: Comments<If I go along with this as OPC did what is the fallout<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:53 AM> Subject: Re: Comments<Basically, you cut some costs, but not others, and that violation would be an irs problem. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:50 AM> Subject: Re: Comments<What about this issue<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:36 AM> Subject: Re: Comments<KM's comments are just so offensive. What she said about PH is basically make sure the issues are so broad, they people can't make this kind of argument ever again. That is so totally 180 degrees opposite from what she</p>
529	7/14/09 13:09	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Comments	<p>But advise me of anything Skop asks or does and staff also</p>
530	7/14/09 13:11	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Comments	<p>Got it. <Keino did try and come by to talk to me yesterday; I said I was busy and sent him packing. I'll keep that up in the future. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<But advise me of anything Skop asks or does and staff also<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<Got it. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:08 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<Just do what you did and refuse to talk to him. Also I feel psc staff is biased and I really cannot rely on their recommendations or advice. I really don't want you to go to them for anything except what we determine we may need. Then and always you must check and research on your own everything they say and do and u can use steve to help as I know it will be a lot on u<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:03 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<So, I guess it's all happiness and sunshine. I don't know what he ment, but he was clearly attempting to start a conversation about what U said. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:56 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<Ask you what about comments? If you agreed?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:39 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<Yes!<Skop tried to ask me about your comments. I said he heard them and I didn't want to discuss, then walked away. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:37 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<Ok. My points are on record and my dissent will elaborate further. I was not apt to be a part of the status quo. I was apt to put the public back in the public service commission and not just be concerned with re-appointment <Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:32 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<None. They kept heads down, like they were taking notes. I didn't see any reactions from audience either. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:29 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<Faces?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:15 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<Yeah, I think u right. I was just telling SL I thought this was total BS but you make sense on why they are trying to do it. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:13 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<This is the givaway. The supreme 4 will vote against teco here. Its there scapgoat that says "see we don't gulp the Koolaid". BS<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:12 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<I don't know about NS. This issue seems pretty clear cut. I think teco is being very greedy here, and I was just talking to staff they don't think teco's argument is a slam dunk (was clear about irs rule, this is not clear cut)<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:07 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<He is builing his own case for justification of his yes vote<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:07 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<He's huddled with marshall and tim and the whole staff on this issue. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:06 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<Have u noticed where skop went?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:02 PM> Subject: Re: Comments<Issue 6 is when that increase in rates should take place. Issue is should it be effective as if original decision, or just take effect now. So bottom line is does company get the higher rates retroactively or just now. <Staff recommends NOT proactive, that is only for future. You agree with this, not with what I'm guessing teco will argue. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:56 AM> Subject: Re: Comments<Didn't we just do this in iss 3<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:56 AM> Subject: Re: Comments<Fallout is more money to teco. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:54 AM> Subject: Re: Comments<If I go along with this as OPC did what is the fallout<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:53 AM> Subject: Re: Comments<Basically, you cut some costs, but not others, and that violation would be an irs problem. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 14, 2009 11:36 AM> Subject: Re: Comments<KM's comments are just so offensive. What she said about PH is basically make sure the issues are so broad, they people can't make this kind of argument ever again. That is so totally 180 degrees opposite from what she</p>
531	7/14/09 13:16	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Comments	<p>Yes I want them to know that we are going to do our own research because I cannot and do not trust them. Also since vote was to close docket, can we discuss this with anyone?</p>
532	7/14/09 13:19	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Comments	<p>Yes I want them to know that we are going to do our own research because I cannot and do not trust them. Also since vote was to close docket, can we discuss this with anyone?</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
533	7/14/09 13:19	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Comments	<p>Yes, maybe. This docket won't be closed if an appeal is filed. Psc staff will be defending the decision. Its not really a pending matter any more, but it sort of still is. So, answer is still best not to, but much less problematic since now post-reconsideration. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:16 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Yes I want them to know that we are going to do our own research because I cannot and do not trust them. Also since vote was to close docket, can we discuss this with anyone? <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:11 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Keino did try and come by to talk to me yesterday. I said I was busy and sent him packing. I'll keep that up in the future. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->But advise me of anything Skop asks or does and staff also<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Got it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:08 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Just do what you did and refuse to talk to him. Also I feel psc staff is biased and I really cannot rely on their recommendations or advice. I really don't want you to go to them for anything except what we determine we may need. Then and always you must check and research on your own everything they say and do and u can use steve to help as I know it will be a lot on u<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:03 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->So, I guess its all happiness and sunshine.". I don't know what he ment, but he was clearly attempting to start a conversation about what U said. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:56 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Ask you what about comments? If you agreed?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:39 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Yes!<-----Skop tried to ask me about your comments. I said he heard them and I didn't want to discuss, then walked away. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:37 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Ok. My points are on record and my dissent will elaborate further. I was not appt to be a part of the status quo. I was appt to put the public back in the public service commission and not just be concerned with re-appointment <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:32 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->None. They kept heads down, like they were taking notes. I didn't see any reactions from audience either. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:29 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Faces?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:15 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Yeah, I think ur right. I was just telling SL I thought this was total BS but you make sense on why they are trying to do it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:13 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->This is the givaaway. The supreme 4 will vote against teco here. Its there scapgoat that says "see we don't gulp the Koolaid". BS<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:12 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->I don't know about NS. This issue seems pretty clear cut. I think teco is being very greedy here, and I was just talking to staff they don't think teco's argument is a slam dunk (was clear about irs rule, this is not clear cut)<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:07 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->He is bulling his own case for justification of his yes vote<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:07 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->He's huddled with marshall and tim and the whole staff on this issue. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:06 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Have u noticed where skop went?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:02 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Issue 6 is when that increase in rates should take place. Issue is should it be effective as if original decision, or just take effect now. So bottom line is does company get the higher rates retroactively or just now. <-----Staff recommends NOT proactive, that is only for future. You agree with this, not with what I'm guessing leco will argue. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy</p>
534	7/14/09 13:24	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Comments	<p>Has the press release been sent out? If so or when it has been, compare it to the pre press relase u sent me the other day and advise me of ANY differences</p>
535	7/14/09 13:26	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Comments	<p>I talked to staff and they decided not to issue one. I did not tell them not to, but let them know we had concerns with the draft we saw friday and would want to see before was issued. They called me back and said decided not to issue one. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:24 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Has the press release been sent out? If so or when it has been, compare it to the pre press relase u sent me the other day and advise me of ANY differences<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:19 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Yes, maybe. This docket won't be closed if an appeal is filed. Psc staff will be defending the decision. Its not really a pending matter any more, but it sort of still is. So, answer is still best not to, but much less problematic since now post-reconsideration. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:16 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Yes I want them to know that we are going to do our own research because I cannot and do not trust them. Also since vote was to close docket, can we discuss this with anyone? <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:11 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Keino did try and come by to talk to me yesterday. I said I was busy and sent him packing. I'll keep that up in the future. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->But advise me of anything Skop asks or does and staff also<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Got it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:08 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Just do what you did and refuse to talk to him. Also I feel psc staff is biased and I really cannot rely on their recommendations or advice. I really don't want you to go to them for anything except what we determine we may need. Then and always you must check and research on your own everything they say and do and u can use steve to help as I know it will be a lot on u<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:03 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->So, I guess its all happiness and sunshine.". I don't know what he ment, but he was clearly attempting to start a conversation about what U said. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:56 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Ask you what about comments? If you agreed?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:39 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Yes!<-----Skop tried to ask me about your comments. I said he heard them and I didn't want to discuss, then walked away. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:37 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Ok. My points are on record and my dissent will elaborate further. I was not appt to be a part of the status quo. I was appt to put the public back in the public service commission and not just be concerned with re-appointment <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:32 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->None. They kept heads down, like they were taking notes. I didn't see any reactions from audience either. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:29 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Faces?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:15 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Yeah, I think ur right. I was just telling SL I thought this was total BS but you make sense on why they are trying to do it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:13 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->This is the givaaway. The supreme 4 will vote against teco here. Its there scapgoat that says "see we don't gulp the Koolaid". BS<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:12 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->I don't know about NS. This issue seems pretty clear cut. I think teco is being very greedy here, and I was just talking to staff they don't think teco's argument is a slam dunk (was clear about irs rule, this is not clear cut)<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:07 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->He is bulling his own case for justification of his yes vote<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:07 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->He's huddled with marshall and tim and the whole staff on this issue. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy</p>
536	7/14/09 13:27	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Comments	Smart move
537	7/14/09 13:28	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Comments	Is that the chairs decision? Shouldn't it be? Find out who made that decision

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
538	7/14/09 13:29	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Comments	<p>Mary bane. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:28 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Is that the chairs decision? Shouldn't it be? Find out who made that decision<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:26 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->I talked to staff and they decided not to issue one. I did not tell them not to, but let them know we had concerns with the draft we saw friday and would want to see before was issued. They called me back and said decided not to issue one. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:24 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Has the press release been sent out? If so or when it has been, compare it to the pre press release u sent me the other day and advise me of ANY differences<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:19 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Yes, maybe. This docket won't be closed if an appeal is filed. Psc staff will be defending the decision. Its not really a pending matter any more, but it sort of still is. So, answer is still best not to, but much less problematic since now post-reconsideration. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:16 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Yes I want them to know that we are going to do our own research because I cannot and do not trust them. Also since vote was to close docket, can we discuss this with anyone? <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:11 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Got it. <-----Keino did try and come by to talk to me yesterday; I said I was busy and sent him packing. I'll keep that up in the future. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->But advise me of anything Skop asks or does and staff also<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Got it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:03 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Just do what you did and refuse to talk to him. Also I feel psc staff is biased and I really cannot rely on their recommendations or advice. I really don't want you to go to them for anything except what we determine we may need. Then and always you must check and research on your own everything they say and do and u can use steve to help as I know it will be a lot on u<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:03 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->So, I guess its all happiness and sunshine.". I don't know what he ment, but he was clearly attempting to start a conversation about what U said. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:56 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Ask you what about comments? If you agreed?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:39 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Yes!<-----Skop tried to ask me about your comments. I said he heard them and I didn't want to discuss, then walked away. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:37 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Ok. My points are on record and my dissent will elaborate further. I was not appt to be a part of the status quo. I was appt to put the public back in the public service commission and not just be concerned with re-appointment <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:32 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->None. They kept heads down, like they were taking notes. I didn't see any reactions from audience either. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:29 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Faces?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:15 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Yeah, I think ur right. I was just telling SL I thought this was total BS but you make sense on why they are trying to do it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:13 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->This is the givaaway. The supreme 4 will vote against teco here. Its there scarpcoat that says "see we don't gulp the Koolaid". BS<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:12 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->I don't know about NS. This issue seems pretty clear cut. I think teco is being very greedy here, and I was just talking to staff they don't think teco's argument is a slam dunk (was clear about irs rule, this is not clear cut)<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:07</p>
539	7/14/09 13:44	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Comments	<p>What was the reason?</p>
540	7/14/09 13:46	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Comments	<p>She didn't say. I would be happy to ask. <-----Call-in for IA is same<-----888-808-6959 <-----Code 413-6261<----->Starts at 2:00<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:44 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->What was the reason? <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:29 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Mary bane. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:28 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Is that the chairs decision? Shouldn't it be? Find out who made that decision<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:26 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->I talked to staff and they decided not to issue one. I did not tell them not to, but let them know we had concerns with the draft we saw friday and would want to see before was issued. They called me back and said decided not to issue one. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:24 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Has the press release been sent out? If so or when it has been, compare it to the pre press release u sent me the other day and advise me of ANY differences<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:19 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Yes, maybe. This docket won't be closed if an appeal is filed. Psc staff will be defending the decision. Its not really a pending matter any more, but it sort of still is. So, answer is still best not to, but much less problematic since now post-reconsideration. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:16 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Yes I want them to know that we are going to do our own research because I cannot and do not trust them. Also since vote was to close docket, can we discuss this with anyone? <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:11 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Got it. <-----Keino did try and come by to talk to me yesterday; I said I was busy and sent him packing. I'll keep that up in the future. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->But advise me of anything Skop asks or does and staff also<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Got it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:08 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Just do what you did and refuse to talk to him. Also I feel psc staff is biased and I really cannot rely on their recommendations or advice. I really don't want you to go to them for anything except what we determine we may need. Then and always you must check and research on your own everything they say and do and u can use steve to help as I know it will be a lot on u<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:03 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->So, I guess its all happiness and sunshine.". I don't know what he ment, but he was clearly attempting to start a conversation about what U said. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:56 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Ask you what about comments? If you agreed?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:39 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Yes!<-----Skop tried to ask me about your comments. I said he heard them and I didn't want to discuss, then walked away. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:37 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Ok. My points are on record and my dissent will elaborate further. I was not appt to be a part of the status quo. I was appt to put the public back in the public service commission and not just be concerned with re-appointment <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:32 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->None. They kept heads down, like they were taking notes. I didn't see any reactions from audience either. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:29 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Faces?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:15 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Yeah, I think ur right. I was just telling SL I thought this was total BS but you make sense on why they are trying to do it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:13 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->This is the givaaway. The supreme 4 will vote against teco here. Its there scarpcoat that says "see we don't gulp the Koolaid". BS<-----Original Message-----></p>
541	7/14/09 13:47	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Comments	<p>Give me her number I will call her after IA</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
542	7/14/09 13:49	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Comments	<p>413-6066<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:47 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Give me her number I will call her after IA<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:46 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><She didn't say. I would be happy to ask. <-----Original Message-----><Call-in for IA is same<-----Original Message-----><Code 413-6261<-----Original Message-----><Starts at 2:00<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:44 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><What was the reason? <-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:29 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Mary bane. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:28 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Is that the chairs decision? Shouldn't it be? Find out who made that decision<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:26 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><I talked to staff and they decided not to issue one. I did not tell them not to, but let them know we had concerns with the draft we saw Friday and would want to see before was issued. They called me back and said decided not to issue one. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:24 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Has the press release been sent out? If so or when it has been, compare it to the pre press release I sent me the other day and advise me of ANY differences<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:19 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Yes, maybe. This docket won't be closed if an appeal is filed. Psc staff will be defending the decision. Its not really a pending matter any more, but it sort of still is. So, answer is still best not to, but much less problematic since now post-reconsideration. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:16 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Yes I want them to know that we are going to do our own research because I cannot and do not trust them. Also since vote was to close docket, can we discuss this with anyone? <-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:11 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Got it. <-----Original Message-----><Keino did try and come by to talk to me yesterday, I said I was busy and sent him packing. I'll keep that up in the future. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><But advise me of anything Skop asks or does and staff also<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Got it. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:08 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Just do what you did and refuse to talk to him. Also I feel psc staff is biased and I really cannot rely on their recommendations or advice. I really don't want you to go to them for anything except what we determine we may need. Then and always you must check and research on your own everything they say and do and u can use steve to help as I know it will be a lot on u<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:03 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><So, I guess its all happiness and sunshine. I don't know what he ment, but he was clearly attempting to start a conversation about what U said. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:56 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Ask you what about comments? If you agreed? <-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:39 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Yes! <-----Original Message-----><Skop tried to ask me about your comments. I said he heard them and I didn't want to discuss, then walked away. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:37 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Ok. My points are on record and my dissent will elaborate further. I was not apt to be a part of the status quo. I was apt to put the public back in the public service commission and not just be concerned with re-appointment <-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:32 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><None. They kept heads down, like they were taking notes. I didn't see any reactions from audience either. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:29 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Faces? <-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:15 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Yeah, I think ur right. I was just telling SL I thought this was total BS but you make sense on why</p>
543	7/14/09 13:49	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Comments	Talk to me about IA ????
544	7/14/09 13:55	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Comments	You need to attach the womans testimony from SH that I told you about to the carter ltr and change the word admonish to "pre advise" and send to me asap to check then deliver to carter and advise me when its gotten to him
545	7/14/09 13:56	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Comments	<p>3 items. <-----Original Message-----><2 briefing on the telecom bill gov signed, and what we have to do in response. <-----Original Message-----><3 staff wants permission to apply for federal stimulus money and use it on training. <-----Original Message-----><Chair just went down. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:49 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Talk to me about IA ????</p> <p><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:49 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><413-6066<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:47 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Give me her number I will call her after IA<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:46 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><She didn't say. I would be happy to ask. <-----Original Message-----><Call-in for IA is same<-----Original Message-----><Code 413-6261<-----Original Message-----><Starts at 2:00<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:44 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><What was the reason? <-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:29 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Mary bane. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:28 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Is that the chairs decision? Shouldn't it be? Find out who made that decision<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:26 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><I talked to staff and they decided not to issue one. I did not tell them not to, but let them know we had concerns with the draft we saw Friday and would want to see before was issued. They called me back and said decided not to issue one. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:24 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Has the press release been sent out? If so or when it has been, compare it to the pre press release I sent me the other day and advise me of ANY differences<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:19 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Yes, maybe. This docket won't be closed if an appeal is filed. Psc staff will be defending the decision. Its not really a pending matter any more, but it sort of still is. So, answer is still best not to, but much less problematic since now post-reconsideration. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:16 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Yes I want them to know that we are going to do our own research because I cannot and do not trust them. Also since vote was to close docket, can we discuss this with anyone? <-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:11 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Got it. <-----Original Message-----><Keino did try and come by to talk to me yesterday, I said I was busy and sent him packing. I'll keep that up in the future. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><But advise me of anything Skop asks or does and staff also<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Got it. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:08 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Just do what you did and refuse to talk to him. Also I feel psc staff is biased and I really cannot rely on their recommendations or advice. I really don't want you to go to them for anything except what we determine we may need. Then and always you must check and research on your own everything they say and do and u can use steve to help as I know it will be a lot on u<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:03 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><So, I guess its all happiness and sunshine. I don't know what he ment, but he was clearly attempting to start a conversation about what U said. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:56 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Ask you what about comments? If you agreed? <-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:39 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Yes! <-----Original Message-----><Skop tried to ask me about your comments. I said he heard them and I didn't want to discuss, then walked away. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:37 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Ok. My points are on record and my dissent will elaborate further. I was not apt to be a part of the status quo. I was apt to put the public back in the public service commission and not just be concerned with re-appointment <-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:32 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><None. They kept heads down, like they were taking notes. I didn't see any reactions from audience either. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:29 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Faces? <-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message-----><To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message-----><Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:15 PM<-----Original Message-----><Subject: Re: Comments<-----Original Message-----><Yeah, I think ur right. I was just telling SL I thought this was total BS but you make sense on why</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
546	7/14/09 13:58	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Comments	<p>They are in the room and talking now. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:49 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Talk to me about IA ???<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:49 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->413-6066<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:47 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Give me her number I will call her after IA<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:46 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->She didn't say. I would be happy to ask. <-----Call-in for IA is same<-----888-808-6959 <-----Code 413-6261<-----Starts at 2:00<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:44 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->What was the reason? <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:29 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Mary bane. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:28 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Is that the chairs decision? Shouldn't it be? Find out who made that decision<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:26 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->I talked to staff and they decided not to issue one. I did not tell them not to, but let them know we had concerns with the draft we saw Friday and would want to see before was issued. They called me back and said decided not to issue one. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:24 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Has the press release been sent out? If so or when it has been, compare it to the pre press release I sent me the other day and advise me of ANY differences<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:19 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Yes, maybe. This docket won't be closed if an appeal is filed. Psc staff will be defending the decision. Its not really a pending matter any more, but it sort of still is. So, answer is still best not to, but much less problematic since now post-reconsideration. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:16 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Yes I want them to know that we are going to do our own research because I cannot and do not trust them. Also since vote was to close docket, can we discuss this with anyone? <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:11 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Got it. <-----Keino did try and come by to talk to me yesterday; I said I was busy and sent him packing. I'll keep that up in the future. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->But advise me of anything Skop asks or does and staff also<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Got it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:08 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Just do what you did and refuse to talk to him. Also I feel psc staff is biased and I really cannot rely on their recommendations or advice. I really don't want you to go to them for anything except what we determine we may need. Then and always you must check and research on your own everything they say and do and u can use Steve to help as I know it will be a lot on u<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:03 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->So, I guess its all happiness and sunshine. I don't know what he ment, but he was clearly attempting to start a conversation about what U said. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:56 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Ask you what about comments? If you agreed? <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:39 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Yes!<-----Skop tried to ask me about your comments. I said he heard them and I didn't want to discuss, then walked away. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:37 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Ok. My points are on record and my dissent will elaborate further. I was not apt to be a part of the status quo. I was apt to put the public back in the public service commission and not just be concerned with re-appointment <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:32 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->None. They kept heads down, like they were taking notes. I didn't see any reactions from audience either. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy</p>
547	7/14/09 14:00	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Comments	<p>I'm sorry, I don't remember you telling me about a woman's testimony. I know we were looking for yours and edgar's, and you asked me about Jack Wilson, but I don't remember any woman. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:55 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->You need to attach the woman's testimony from SH that I told you about to the Carter Itr and change the word admonish to "pre advise" and send to me asap to check then deliver to Carter and advise me when its gotten to him<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:49 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->413-6066<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:47 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Give me her number I will call her after IA<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:46 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->She didn't say. I would be happy to ask. <-----Call-in for IA is same<-----888-808-6959 <-----Code 413-6261<-----Starts at 2:00<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:44 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->What was the reason? <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:29 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Mary bane. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:28 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Is that the chairs decision? Shouldn't it be? Find out who made that decision<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:26 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->I talked to staff and they decided not to issue one. I did not tell them not to, but let them know we had concerns with the draft we saw Friday and would want to see before was issued. They called me back and said decided not to issue one. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:24 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Has the press release been sent out? If so or when it has been, compare it to the pre press release I sent me the other day and advise me of ANY differences<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:19 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Yes, maybe. This docket won't be closed if an appeal is filed. Psc staff will be defending the decision. Its not really a pending matter any more, but it sort of still is. So, answer is still best not to, but much less problematic since now post-reconsideration. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:16 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Yes I want them to know that we are going to do our own research because I cannot and do not trust them. Also since vote was to close docket, can we discuss this with anyone? <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:11 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Got it. <-----Keino did try and come by to talk to me yesterday; I said I was busy and sent him packing. I'll keep that up in the future. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->But advise me of anything Skop asks or does and staff also<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Got it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:08 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Just do what you did and refuse to talk to him. Also I feel psc staff is biased and I really cannot rely on their recommendations or advice. I really don't want you to go to them for anything except what we determine we may need. Then and always you must check and research on your own everything they say and do and u can use Steve to help as I know it will be a lot on u<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:03 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->So, I guess its all happiness and sunshine. I don't know what he ment, but he was clearly attempting to start a conversation about what U said. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:56 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Ask you what about comments? If you agreed? <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:39 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Yes!<-----Skop tried to ask me about your comments. I said he heard them and I didn't want to discuss, then walked away. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 14, 2009 12:37 PM<-----Subject: Re: Comments<----->Ok. My points are on record and my dissent will elaborate further. I was not apt to be a part of the status quo. I was</p>
548	7/14/09 14:02	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Comments	<p>Yes I emailed it to you as an example of speaking to contributions. U should go up now start reviewing the exchange of e mails I sent. So will I and if I find first I will resend</p>
549	7/14/09 14:03	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Comments	<p>Think name was stage?</p>
550	7/14/09 14:15	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Comments	<p>Yes I emailed it to you as an example of speaking to contributions. U should go up now start reviewing the exchange of e mails I sent. So will I and if I find first I will resend</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
551	7/14/09 14:21	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Comments	I've looked through all and don't see it anywhere. But I found bobbi stage. Sent excerpt to your gmail. If you can let me know what part exactly (or all of it, or with your comments also) I'll get it formatted. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 2:15 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Yes I emailed it to you as an example of speaking to contributions. U should go up now start reviewing the exchange of e mails I sent. So will I and if I find first I will resend<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 2:00 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->I'm sorry, I don't remember you telling me about a woman's testimony. I know we were looking for yours and edgar's, and you asked me about jack wilson, but I don't remember any woman. <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:55 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->You need to attach the woman's testimony from SH that I told you about to the carter ltr and change the word admonish to "pre advise" and send to me asap to check then deliver to carter and advise me when its gotten to him<-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:49 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->413-6066<-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:47 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Give me her number I will call her after IA<-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:46 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->She didn't say. I would be happy to ask. <-----Call-in for IA is same<-----888-808-6959 <-----Code 413-6261<-----Starts at 2:00<-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:44 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->What was the reason? <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:29 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Mary bane. <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:28 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Is that the chairs decision? Shouldn't it be? Find out who made that decision<-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:26 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->I talked to staff and they decided not to issue one. I did not tell them not to, but let them know we had concerns with the draft we saw friday and would want to see before was issued. They called me back and said decided not to issue one. <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:24 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Has the press release been sent out? If so or when it has been, compare it to the pre press release u sent me the other day and advise me of ANY differences<-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:19 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Yes, maybe. This docket won't be closed if an appeal is filed. Psc staff will be defending the decision. Its not really a pending matter any more, but it sort of still is. So, answer is still best not to, but much less problematic since now post-reconsideration. <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:16 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Yes I want them to know that we are going to do our own research because I cannot and do not trust them. Also since vote was to close docket, can we discuss this with anyone? <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:11 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Got it. <-----Keino did try and come by to talk to me yesterday, I said I was busy and sent him packing. I'll keep that up in the future. <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->But advise me of anything Skop asks or does and staff also<-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Got it. <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:08 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Just do what you did and refuse to talk to him. Also I feel psc staff is biased and I really cannot rely on their recommendations or advice. I really don't want you to go to them for anything except what we determine we may need. Then and always you must check and research on your own everything they say and do and u can use steve to help as I know it will be a lot on u<-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:03 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->So, I guess its all happiness and sunshine. I don't know what he ment, but he was clearly attempting to start a conversation about what U said. <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:01 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Keino did try and come by to talk to me yesterday, I said I was busy and sent him packing. I'll keep that up in the future. <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:01 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->But advise me of anything Skop asks or does and staff also<-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:01 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Got it. <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:01 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Just do what you did and refuse to talk to him. Also I feel psc staff is biased and I really cannot rely on their recommendations or advice. I really don't want you to go to them for anything except what we determine we may need. Then and always you must check and research on your own
552	7/14/09 14:21	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Comments	I will check now
553	7/14/09 14:30	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Comments	Sent to gmail with letter attached. <-----On letterhead<-----Transcript attached from the version filed in the docket. I just put a header on it as to what it is. <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 2:21 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->I will check now<-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 2:21 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->I've looked through all and don't see it anywhere. But I found bobbi stage. Sent excerpt to your gmail. If you can let me know what part exactly (or all of it, or with your comments also) I'll get it formatted. <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 2:15 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Yes I emailed it to you as an example of speaking to contributions. U should go up now start reviewing the exchange of e mails I sent. So will I and if I find first I will resend<-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 2:00 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->I'm sorry, I don't remember you telling me about a woman's testimony. I know we were looking for yours and edgar's, and you asked me about jack wilson, but I don't remember any woman. <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:55 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->You need to attach the woman's testimony from SH that I told you about to the carter ltr and change the word admonish to "pre advise" and send to me asap to check then deliver to carter and advise me when its gotten to him<-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:49 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->413-6066<-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:47 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Give me her number I will call her after IA<-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:46 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->She didn't say. I would be happy to ask. <-----Call-in for IA is same<-----888-808-6959 <-----Code 413-6261<-----Starts at 2:00<-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:44 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->What was the reason? <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:29 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Mary bane. <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:28 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Is that the chairs decision? Shouldn't it be? Find out who made that decision<-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:26 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->I talked to staff and they decided not to issue one. I did not tell them not to, but let them know we had concerns with the draft we saw friday and would want to see before was issued. They called me back and said decided not to issue one. <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:24 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Has the press release been sent out? If so or when it has been, compare it to the pre press release u sent me the other day and advise me of ANY differences<-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:19 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Yes, maybe. This docket won't be closed if an appeal is filed. Psc staff will be defending the decision. Its not really a pending matter any more, but it sort of still is. So, answer is still best not to, but much less problematic since now post-reconsideration. <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:16 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Yes I want them to know that we are going to do our own research because I cannot and do not trust them. Also since vote was to close docket, can we discuss this with anyone? <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:11 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Got it. <-----Keino did try and come by to talk to me yesterday, I said I was busy and sent him packing. I'll keep that up in the future. <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->But advise me of anything Skop asks or does and staff also<-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:09 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Got it. <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 1:08 PM<----->Subject: Re: Comments<-----><----->Just do what you did and refuse to talk to him. Also I feel psc staff is biased and I really cannot rely on their recommendations or advice. I really don't want you to go to them for anything except what we determine we may need. Then and always you must check and research on your own
554	7/14/09 14:39	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Comments	Good u can deliver to chairs office now
555	7/14/09 14:41	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Fw: Comments	Do not deliver at IA
556	7/14/09 14:54	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Pay. Attention to see if chr goesdirectlyinto anyones office
557	7/14/09 14:55	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Fw:	I mean after u think he's read it see where he goes first if possible
558	7/14/09 14:55	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Yes, I'll go sit in SL's office for awhile so I can watch. <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 2:54 PM<----->Subject: <----->Pay. Attention to see if chr goesdirectlyinto anyones office<----->
559	7/14/09 14:55	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Just out of curiosity
560	7/14/09 14:56	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Sure. <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 2:55 PM<----->Subject: Fw: <----->I mean after u think he's read it see where he goes first if possible<-----Original Message-----<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 2:54 PM<----->Subject: <----->Pay. Attention to see if chr goesdirectlyinto anyones office<-----><----->
561	7/14/09 14:56	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		What's up toorow, time?
562	7/14/09 14:58	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Service hearing Lake Mary 2:00. <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 2:56 PM<----->Subject: <----->What's up toorow, time?<----->
563	7/14/09 14:59	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Not a problem. It means I can't do any real work at my computer! <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 2:55 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Just out of curiosity<-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 2:55 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yes, I'll go sit in SL's office for awhile so I can watch. <-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 2:54 PM<----->Subject: <----->Pay. Attention to see if chr goesdirectlyinto anyones office<-----><----->
564	7/14/09 15:02	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	LE	Is back up here. She saw me sitting with SL, and asked me if the "DP is a flexible concept" was something she said. I answered no, she may have said it but its also in staff rec and that's what I thought you were referring to.
565	7/14/09 15:06	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Matt just came up	<-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 14, 2009 2:56 PM<----->Subject: <-----><----->What's up toorow, time?<----->

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
566	7/14/09 15:21	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Carter	Lois took the letter to the copier and came back. A few minutes later, Matt left. Did not go to LE office. Then, bill went to copier with letter, came back to office, then a few minutes later, left carrying what looked like the letter. If I had to guess, matt is gone and BG is down with booter talking about UR letter. No contact with LE's office. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 2:56 PM Subject: What's up toorrow, time?
567	7/14/09 15:30	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Carter	Thanks
568	7/14/09 15:32	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: LE	What do you mean "what you thought I was referring to"? Lisa asked you out of no where, if u thought due process was flexible? Does she assume u wrote my remarks?
569	7/14/09 16:16	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Carter	Can u ask MB why she decided to not send press rel? Don't give any comment, just ask why
570	7/14/09 16:18	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Carter	Will do. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 4:16 PM Subject: Re: Carter Can u ask MB why she decided to not send press rel? Don't give any comment, just ask why. Original Message----- From: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 3:21 PM Subject: Carter Lois took the letter to the copier and came back. A few minutes later, Matt left. Did not go to LE office. Then, bill went to copier with letter, came back to office, then a few minutes later, left carrying what looked like the letter. If I had to guess, matt is gone and BG is down with booter talking about UR letter. No contact with LE's office. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 2:56 PM Subject: What's up toorrow, time?
571	7/14/09 16:30	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Carter	Any response?
572	7/14/09 16:32	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Carter	I haven't been able to get in to see her - she's in a meeting behind closed doors. Can it wait until the morning or do I need to wait around here longer? Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 4:30 PM Subject: Re: Carter Any response? Original Message----- From: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 4:18 PM Subject: Re: Carter Will do. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 4:16 PM Subject: Re: Carter Can u ask MB why she decided to not send press rel? Don't give any comment, just ask why. Original Message----- From: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 3:21 PM Subject: Carter Lois took the letter to the copier and came back. A few minutes later, Matt left. Did not go to LE office. Then, bill went to copier with letter, came back to office, then a few minutes later, left carrying what looked like the letter. If I had to guess, matt is gone and BG is down with booter talking about UR letter. No contact with LE's office. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 2:56 PM Subject: What's up toorrow, time?
573	7/14/09 17:03	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Carter	Get me her # again please then go
574	7/14/09 18:48	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		When was the salary info to be in?
575	7/14/09 18:56	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	The majority should be here now. I think gulf is only one left, and they had until today, I think. I'll check in the morning and let you know. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 6:48 PM Subject: When was the salary info to be in?
576	7/14/09 19:01	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Ok let me know. At therapy at 9.
577	7/14/09 20:03	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Can u give me info on how this 10 percent roe floor came about and when?
578	7/15/09 8:22	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I don't know what 10% floor you are referring to. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 8:03 PM Subject: Re: Can u give me info on how this 10 percent roe floor came about and when? Original Message----- From: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 6:56 PM Subject: Re: The majority should be here now. I think gulf is only one left, and they had until today, I think. I'll check in the morning and let you know. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 6:48 PM Subject: When was the salary info to be in?
579	7/15/09 8:24	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Carter letter	Went online this morning. Carter filed your letter in the FPL and PEF rate case dockets. Online now, so they pushed clerks office to file and get online fast.
580	7/15/09 9:16	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	The 10 percent they always use when referring to the ROE that we can't let companies fall below. That arbitrary percentage I hear about all the time.
581	7/15/09 9:35	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Don't u remember in hearings we were told that we couldn't let the utls go below the fair range of profit and 10 percent is used all the time, so much so that a ratepayer contacted me last night asking when, how, it was established
582	7/15/09 9:37	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I'll ask Andrew Maurey about it. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 15, 2009 9:16 AM Subject: Re: The 10 percent they always use when referring to the ROE that we can't let companies fall below. That arbitrary percentage I hear about all the time. Original Message----- From: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 15, 2009 8:22 AM Subject: Re: I don't know what 10% floor you are referring to. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 8:03 PM Subject: Re: Can u give me info on how this 10 percent roe floor came about and when? Original Message----- From: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 6:56 PM Subject: Re: The majority should be here now. I think gulf is only one left, and they had until today, I think. I'll check in the morning and let you know. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 6:48 PM Subject: When was the salary info to be in?
583	7/15/09 9:37	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	You have no clue what I am talking about
584	7/15/09 9:39	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I do recall they tend to use 10 as a floor. From the fpuc and tecco hearings, I got the impression it was based on the national averages AND staffs understanding of S+P/moody's ratings, credit rankings, opinions, etc. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 15, 2009 9:35 AM Subject: Re: Don't u remember in hearings we were told that we couldn't let the utls go below the fair range of profit and 10 percent is used all the time, so much so that a ratepayer contacted me last night asking when, how, it was established. Original Message----- From: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 15, 2009 8:22 AM Subject: Re: I don't know what 10% floor you are referring to. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 8:03 PM Subject: Re: Can u give me info on how this 10 percent roe floor came about and when? Original Message----- From: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 6:56 PM Subject: Re: The majority should be here now. I think gulf is only one left, and they had until today, I think. I'll check in the morning and let you know. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 6:48 PM Subject: When was the salary info to be in?
585	7/15/09 9:39	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	See my last. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 15, 2009 9:37 AM Subject: Re: You have no clue what I am talking about. Original Message----- From: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 15, 2009 9:37 AM Subject: Re: I'll ask Andrew Maurey about it. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 15, 2009 9:16 AM Subject: Re: The 10 percent they always use when referring to the ROE that we can't let companies fall below. That arbitrary percentage I hear about all the time. Original Message----- From: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 15, 2009 8:22 AM Subject: Re: I don't know what 10% floor you are referring to. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 8:03 PM Subject: Re: Can u give me info on how this 10 percent roe floor came about and when? Original Message----- From: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 6:56 PM Subject: Re: The majority should be here now. I think gulf is only one left, and they had until today, I think. I'll check in the morning and let you know. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 6:48 PM Subject: When was the salary info to be in?
586	7/15/09 9:39	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Well I need to have an explanation for a rate payer and I want it to be correct
587	7/15/09 9:40	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Will do. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 15, 2009 9:39 AM Subject: Re: Well I need to have an explanation for a rate payer and I want it to be correct. Original Message----- From: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 15, 2009 9:39 AM Subject: Re: I do recall they tend to use 10 as a floor. From the fpuc and tecco hearings, I got the impression it was based on the national averages AND staffs understanding of S+P/moody's ratings, credit rankings, opinions, etc. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 15, 2009 9:35 AM Subject: Re: Don't u remember in hearings we were told that we couldn't let the utls go below the fair range of profit and 10 percent is used all the time, so much so that a ratepayer contacted me last night asking when, how, it was established. Original Message----- From: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 15, 2009 8:22 AM Subject: Re: I don't know what 10% floor you are referring to. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 8:03 PM Subject: Re: Can u give me info on how this 10 percent roe floor came about and when? Original Message----- From: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 6:56 PM Subject: Re: The majority should be here now. I think gulf is only one left, and they had until today, I think. I'll check in the morning and let you know. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 14, 2009 6:48 PM Subject: When was the salary info to be in?
588	7/15/09 10:45	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Anything?

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
624	7/16/09 9:33	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I heard you say you may have a problem that you might need legislative help to solve, but that letter was going to chair. <P>So, my advice now would be to cc: the other 4 and put copies in both docket files. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 9:28 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Let's make sure we get transcript of what I said. What did u hear me say?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 9:25 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I believe that is correct. Problem is "procedural" is a grey area. Definition in the eye of the beholder. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 9:23 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Can't be Sunshine violation if procedural right?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 9:22 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>That is a good question. "Can" vs "should". <P><P>It is a pending matter, but its procedural. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 9:18 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Can I mention havng trouble with comp trying to keep salariess secret<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:56 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Yes the same. 8888086959<P>Code 4136004 <P>SL is checking right now to make sure no problems for tomorrow. <P><P>I sent a copy of the letter to gmail. Changed some of the wording to be more specific. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:48 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Number the same? There is no problem with calling in tomorrow in citrus is there? U might want to check on that because I will not be a happy camper if there is suddenly a problem<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:37 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>You have the locations correct. <P>Clearwater 6:00 PM tonight. <P>Marion is 2:00 tomorrow. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:35 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>St.pete then clearwater? Tomorrow citrus am, them marion? What time is Clearwater?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:33 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Yeah. And its gonna be a late night, then up early again the the AM. <P>:(<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:32 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Uck. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:29 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Up and at 'em, sunshine!<P>If I have to be up this early, so do you!!<P>:(<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 15, 2009 5:46 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Rt<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 15, 2009 5:44 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I don't know and that's why I wanted to talk to Mary Anne and Booter. <P>They are the ones who need to provide you a written and binding opinion on this. <P>If they state that 1 commissioner has no recourse, that needs to be blown up in the papers and legislature and or committee and japc and governor and...<P>So I think that's the most important thing to pin down. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 15, 2009 5:38 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Ok assuming a they rule it is confidential, where do we go from there. Think on it because I think they will try it. Also looking at rule I don't see where it says anything about "intent". Just says ask for confidentiality<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 15, 2009 5:35 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>They have to provide the justification before it can be decided. I think the rule gives them a certain # of days to provide that justification. Then ruling can take place. <P>The bigger question is who will make the ruling, since not docketed. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 15, 2009 5:33 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I still think that their ltr of intent is enough to start the expedited hearing<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 15, 2009 5:32 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Yes. <P><P>I don't have it in front of me but the process is laid out. <P>The rule you got earlier should have it. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 15, 2009 5:30 PM<P>Subject: <P><P>Is there in rule or statute a provision for recognizing ltr of intent as having legal</p>
625	7/16/09 9:37	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Will do. Did you have a chance to look at the draft I sent this AM?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 9:34 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Make it so<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 9:33 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I heard you say you may have a problem that you might need legislative help to solve, but that letter was going to chair. <P>So, my advice now would be to cc: the other 4 and put copies in both docket files. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 9:28 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Let's make sure we get transcript of what I said. What did u hear me say?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 9:25 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I believe that is correct. Problem is "procedural" is a grey area. Definition in the eye of the beholder. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 9:23 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Can't be Sunshine violation if procedural right?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 9:22 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>That is a good question. "Can" vs "should". <P><P>It is a pending matter, but its procedural. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 9:18 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Can I mention havng trouble with comp trying to keep salariess secret<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:56 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Yes the same. 8888086959<P>Code 4136004 <P>SL is checking right now to make sure no problems for tomorrow. <P><P>I sent a copy of the letter to gmail. Changed some of the wording to be more specific. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:48 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Number the same? There is no problem with calling in tomorrow in citrus is there? U might want to check on that because I will not be a happy camper if there is suddenly a problem<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:37 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>You have the locations correct. <P>Clearwater 6:00 PM tonight. <P>Marion is 2:00 tomorrow. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:35 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>St.pete then clearwater? Tomorrow citrus am, them marion? What time is Clearwater?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:33 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Yeah. And its gonna be a late night, then up early again the the AM. <P>:(<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:32 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Uck. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:29 AM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Up and at 'em, sunshine!<P>If I have to be up this early, so do you!!<P>:(<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 15, 2009 5:46 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Rt<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 15, 2009 5:44 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I don't know and that's why I wanted to talk to Mary Anne and Booter. <P>They are the ones who need to provide you a written and binding opinion on this. <P>If they state that 1 commissioner has no recourse, that needs to be blown up in the papers and legislature and or committee and japc and governor and...<P>So I think that's the most important thing to pin down. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 15, 2009 5:38 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Ok assuming a they rule it is confidential, where do we go from there. Think on it because I think they will try it. Also looking at rule I don't see where it says anything about "intent". Just says ask for confidentiality<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 15, 2009 5:35 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>They have to provide the justification before it can be decided. I think the rule gives them a certain # of days to provide that justification. Then ruling can take place. <P>The bigger question is who will make the ruling, since not docketed. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 15, 2009 5:33 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I still think that their ltr of intent is enough to start the expedited hearing<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 15, 2009 5:32 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Yes. <P><P>I don't have it in front of me but the process is laid out. <P>The rule you got earlier should have it. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 15, 2009 5:30 PM<P>Subject: <P><P>Is there in rule or statute a provision for recognizing ltr</p>
626	7/16/09 9:38	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	It also puts our council on notice that I will go to leg to determine whether statute says compensation is subject to exemption. Which I say it is not
627	7/16/09 9:39	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Will do now
628	7/16/09 10:08	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Here come the shills
629	7/16/09 10:08	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I think troxler is in audience
630	7/16/09 10:16	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Did u say tonight meet is at 6 ?
631	7/16/09 10:17	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA		Yes. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 10:16 AM<P>Subject: <P><P>Did u say tonight meet is at 6 ?<P>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
659	7/16/09 13:02	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA		She clearly got the message when you and she talked. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:01 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>Good<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:01 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>She seemed to actually care and her voice sounded concerned and apologetic. <P>I would say she had a good attitude towards me. As she has had for the past 6 weeks or so..... <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 12:59 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>What was MBs attitude after u told her about your yesterdays meet with MH?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 12:00 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>Becoming really clear. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:57 AM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>I don't want to change the good natured person you are, I just want your eyes to see more of what some people are really up to<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:55 AM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>I see that now. Appears my tring to be nice and ask polietly was seen as weakness and now they have no respect for me. So, from now on they'll only get orders and commands, not requests, and you'll never see me making small talk either. Screw them!!<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:52 AM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>It may be necessary at times. I don't like it either but you can only take so much. People tend to abuse a nice person<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:51 AM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>I feel bad when I loose my temper and get all worked up. And a little sick to my stomach. But that may be adreneline. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:49 AM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>Got get em. Going to start calling you "larry the. Tiger". You may even start to like unloading on people who deserve it <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:41 AM<P>Subject: Call me<P><P>On SLs line. Very important.
660	7/16/09 13:04	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Call me	Good. I may wind up being her only friend as she wants to stay I think she will find she has no friends when that time comes
661	7/16/09 13:06	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Call me	I know I asked before. But is it too late to reconsider the need for nuke pints
662	7/16/09 13:11	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Call me	Tab the priest
663	7/16/09 13:11	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Call me	Ok
664	7/16/09 13:11	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Call me	Yes, it is too late for us. Still pending for feds. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:06 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>I know I asked before. But is it too late to reconsider the need for nuke pints<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:02 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>She clearly got the message when you and she talked. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:01 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>Good<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:01 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>She seemed to actually care and her voice sounded concerned and apologetic. <P>I would say she had a good attitude towards me. As she has had for the past 6 weeks or so..... <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 12:59 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>What was MBs attitude after u told her about your yesterdays meet with MH?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 12:00 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>Becoming really clear. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:57 AM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>I don't want to change the good natured person you are, I just want your eyes to see more of what some people are really up to<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:55 AM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>I see that now. Appears my tring to be nice and ask polietly was seen as weakness and now they have no respect for me. So, from now on they'll only get orders and commands, not requests, and you'll never see me making small talk either. Screw them!!<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:52 AM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>It may be necessary at times. I don't like it either but you can only take so much. People tend to abuse a nice person<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:51 AM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>I feel bad when I loose my temper and get all worked up. And a little sick to my stomach. But that may be adreneline. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:49 AM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>Got get em. Going to start calling you "larry the. Tiger". You may even start to like unloading on people who deserve it <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:41 AM<P>Subject: Call me<P><P>On SLs line. Very important.
665	7/16/09 13:12	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Call me	I think she will be responsive as long as she needs you. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:04 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>Good. I may wind up being her only friend as she wants to stay I think she will find she has no friends when that time comes<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:02 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>She clearly got the message when you and she talked. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:01 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>Good<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:01 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>She seemed to actually care and her voice sounded concerned and apologetic. <P>I would say she had a good attitude towards me. As she has had for the past 6 weeks or so..... <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 12:59 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>What was MBs attitude after u told her about your yesterdays meet with MH?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 12:00 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>Becoming really clear. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:57 AM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>I don't want to change the good natured person you are, I just want your eyes to see more of what some people are really up to<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:55 AM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>I see that now. Appears my tring to be nice and ask polietly was seen as weakness and now they have no respect for me. So, from now on they'll only get orders and commands, not requests, and you'll never see me making small talk either. Screw them!!<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:52 AM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>It may be necessary at times. I don't like it either but you can only take so much. People tend to abuse a nice person<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:51 AM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>I feel bad when I loose my temper and get all worked up. And a little sick to my stomach. But that may be adreneline. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:49 AM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>Got get em. Going to start calling you "larry the. Tiger". You may even start to like unloading on people who deserve it <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:41 AM<P>Subject: Call me<P><P>On SLs line. Very important.
666	7/16/09 13:12	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Call me	Gee how warm and fuzzy that makes me feel
667	7/16/09 13:15	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Call me	Mary anne just came down and apologized for the misunderstanding in not getting the decision to us. <P>Not for how she treated me or anything else - just that didn't get us the message. <P>She was holding a copy of the letter - don't know who gave it to her. <P>Neither SL nor I think they really decided this yesterday; we think they dealt with it this AM after they heard your public comment. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:11 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>Ok<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:11 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>Yes, it is too late for us. Still pending for feds. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:06 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>I know I asked before. But is it too late to reconsider the need for nuke pints<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:02 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>She clearly got the message when you and she talked. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:01 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>Good<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:01 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>She seemed to actually care and her voice sounded concerned and apologetic. <P>I would say she had a good attitude towards me. As she has had for the past 6 weeks or so..... <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 12:59 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>What was MBs attitude after u told her about your yesterdays meet with MH?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 12:00 PM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>Becoming really clear. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:57 AM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>I don't want to change the good natured person you are, I just want your eyes to see more of what some people are really up to<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:55 AM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>I see that now. Appears my tring to be nice and ask polietly was seen as weakness and now they have no respect for me. So, from now on they'll only get orders and commands, not requests, and you'll never see me making small talk either. Screw them!!<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:52 AM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>It may be necessary at times. I don't like it either but you can only take so much. People tend to abuse a nice person<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:51 AM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>I feel bad when I loose my temper and get all worked up. And a little sick to my stomach. But that may be adreneline. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:49 AM<P>Subject: Re: Call me<P><P>Got get em. Going to start calling you "larry the. Tiger". You may even start to like unloading on people who deserve it <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:41 AM<P>Subject: Call me<P><P>On SLs line. Very important.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
668	7/16/09 13:15	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Call me	Keep your friends close and your enemies closer. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:12 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<Gee how warm and fuzzy that makes me feel> Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:12 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<I think she will be responsive as long as she needs you. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:04 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<Good. I may wind up being her only friend as she wants to stay I think she will find she has no friends when that time comes> Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:02 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<She clearly got the message when you and she talked. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:01 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<Good> Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:01 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<She seemed to actually care and her voice sounded concerned and apologetic. I would say she had a good attitude towards me. As she has had for the past 6 weeks or so.... Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 12:59 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<What was MBs attitude after u told her about your yesterdays meet with MH?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 12:00 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<Becoming really clear. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:57 AM> Subject: Re: Call me<I don't want to change the good natured person you are, I just want your eyes to see more of what some people are really up to> Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:55 AM> Subject: Re: Call me<I see that now. Appears my trying to be nice and ask politely was seen as weakness and now they have no respect for me. So, from now on they'll only get orders and commands, not requests, and you'll never see me making small talk either. Screw them!> Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:52 AM> Subject: Re: Call me<It may be necessary at times. I don't like it either but you can only take so much. People tend to abuse a nice person> Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:51 AM> Subject: Re: Call me<I feel bad when I loose my temper and get all worked up. And a little sick to my stomach. But that may be adrenaline. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:49 AM> Subject: Re: Call me<Got get em. Going to start calling you "Larry the. Tiger". You may even start to like unloading on people who deserve it > Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:41 AM> Subject: Call me<On SLs line. Very important.
669	7/16/09 13:16	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Call me	U both are right MH won't apologize for being an ass. I will not tolerate her attitude and plan to speak or write to Booter about it
670	7/16/09 13:17	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Call me	It is a real problem. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:16 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<U both are right MH won't apologize for being an ass. I will not tolerate her attitude and plan to speak or write to Booter about it> Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:15 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<Mary anne just came down and apologized for the misunderstanding in not getting the decision to us. >Not for how she treated me or anything else - just that didn't get us the message. >She was holding a copy of the letter - don't know who gave it to her. >Neither SL nor I think they really decided this yesterday; we think they dealt with it this AM after they heard your public comment. > Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:11 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<Ok> Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:11 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<Yes, it is too late for us. Still pending for feds. > Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:06 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<I know I asked before. But it is too late to reconsider the need for nuke plnts> Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:02 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<She clearly got the message when you and she talked. > Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:01 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<Good> Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:01 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<She seemed to actually care and her voice sounded concerned and apologetic. I would say she had a good attitude towards me. As she has had for the past 6 weeks or so.... > Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 12:59 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<What was MBs attitude after u told her about your yesterdays meet with MH?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 12:00 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<Becoming really clear. > Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:57 AM> Subject: Re: Call me<I don't want to change the good natured person you are, I just want your eyes to see more of what some people are really up to> Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:55 AM> Subject: Re: Call me<I see that now. Appears my trying to be nice and ask politely was seen as weakness and now they have no respect for me. So, from now on they'll only get orders and commands, not requests, and you'll never see me making small talk either. Screw them!> Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:52 AM> Subject: Re: Call me<It may be necessary at times. I don't like it either but you can only take so much. People tend to abuse a nice person> Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:51 AM> Subject: Re: Call me<I feel bad when I loose my temper and get all worked up. And a little sick to my stomach. But that may be adrenaline. > Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:49 AM> Subject: Re: Call me<Got get em. Going to start calling you "Larry the. Tiger". You may even start to like unloading on people who deserve it > Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:41 AM> Subject: Call me<On SLs line. Very important.
671	7/16/09 13:19	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Call me	They did it as result of my comments for sure
672	7/16/09 13:21	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Call me	I have heard in SHs also the extent at which consumers are trying to conserve. Many cannot conserve anymore
673	7/16/09 13:23	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Call me	A problem I plan to deal with
674	7/16/09 13:23	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Call me	Yeah, I think so. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:19 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<They did it as result of my comments for sure> Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:15 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<Keep your friends close and your enemies closer. > Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:12 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<Gee how warm and fuzzy that makes me feel> Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:12 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<I think she will be responsive as long as she needs you. > Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:04 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<Good. I may wind up being her only friend as she wants to stay I think she will find she has no friends when that time comes> Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:02 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<She clearly got the message when you and she talked. > Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:01 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<Good> Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 1:01 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<She seemed to actually care and her voice sounded concerned and apologetic. I would say she had a good attitude towards me. As she has had for the past 6 weeks or so.... > Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 12:59 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<What was MBs attitude after u told her about your yesterdays meet with MH?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 12:00 PM> Subject: Re: Call me<Becoming really clear. > Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:57 AM> Subject: Re: Call me<I don't want to change the good natured person you are, I just want your eyes to see more of what some people are really up to> Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:55 AM> Subject: Re: Call me<I see that now. Appears my trying to be nice and ask politely was seen as weakness and now they have no respect for me. So, from now on they'll only get orders and commands, not requests, and you'll never see me making small talk either. Screw them!> Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:52 AM> Subject: Re: Call me<It may be necessary at times. I don't like it either but you can only take so much. People tend to abuse a nice person> Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:51 AM> Subject: Re: Call me<I feel bad when I loose my temper and get all worked up. And a little sick to my stomach. But that may be adrenaline. > Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:49 AM> Subject: Re: Call me<Got get em. Going to start calling you "Larry the. Tiger". You may even start to like unloading on people who deserve it > Original Message<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 11:41 AM> Subject: Call me<On SLs line. Very important.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
703	7/16/09 18:33	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I don't know. It must have something to do with the phone on their end - maybe a feedback reduction circuit. <v>It only seems to happen when things get too loud. <v>It didn't notice it as bad with other speakers. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Why does it keep going out<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:30 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I would advise not. <v>That could be argued to be an ex parte communication. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:27 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Can I call and ask them that? I don't want to do anything improper but do I have a right to know if some of the other mbrs who have expressed they can't take hike and are also mbrs of Assoc Ind are in agreement with Assoc Ind?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Yes. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Still it will be very telling if other Assoc Ind mbrs come out and say no way<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:22 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Not sure it will help them. I mean, frffipug have filed testimony, filed discovery, etc. They have already fought the majority of the battle. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:20 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>No it makes no sense. One brd membr of FPL also is brd member at assoc ind. Sounds like a desperate attempt by FPL<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:19 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Pretty crazy that they will fight the increase as frffipug but agree to it as aif. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:18 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I cannot believe that publi or the mining interests would ever agree to Assoc ind doing this and it would be very interesting to hear from those members. Sheff and McWirther need to bring that forward<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:09 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>That's interesting - Mcwirther came to one and entered an appearance. VGkauffman did teco and has done the per-hearing stuff here so far. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:02 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>The question that I would like to know is how assoc could support FPL position. Did other industries agree to this and are figup members also members of assoc industries. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:00 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Oh. We would have to think about how to ask. It is 1 million % better to ask a witness over an attorney, so we just have to see if schef (or someone else) has a witness who could answer the question for you. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:57 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I don't mean ask now<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:57 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I am not. <v>You are, but it would be unusual to ask those questions during these service hearings. <v>They are opening statements by lawyers, not sworn testimony, and they are not really the knid of record evidence you can rely on for your decision. <v>It would be better to wait until the actual technical hearing and ask questions then. <v>I'm on the phone. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:53 PM<v>Subject: <v><v>Are u allowed to talk and ask questions of intervenors? Like sheff in re to</p>
704	7/16/09 18:33	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Can you text someone and advise?
705	7/16/09 18:34	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Can you interrupt and say something? I'm loosing almost everything now. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Why does it keep going out<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:30 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I would advise not. <v>That could be argued to be an ex parte communication. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:27 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Can I call and ask them that? I don't want to do anything improper but do I have a right to know if some of the other mbrs who have expressed they can't take hike and are also mbrs of Assoc Ind are in agreement with Assoc Ind?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Yes. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Still it will be very telling if other Assoc Ind mbrs come out and say no way<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:22 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Not sure it will help them. I mean, frffipug have filed testimony, filed discovery, etc. They have already fought the majority of the battle. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:20 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>No it makes no sense. One brd membr of FPL also is brd member at assoc ind. Sounds like a desperate attempt by FPL<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:19 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Pretty crazy that they will fight the increase as frffipug but agree to it as aif. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:18 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I cannot believe that publi or the mining interests would ever agree to Assoc ind doing this and it would be very interesting to hear from those members. Sheff and McWirther need to bring that forward<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:09 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>That's interesting - Mcwirther came to one and entered an appearance. VGkauffman did teco and has done the per-hearing stuff here so far. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:02 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>The question that I would like to know is how assoc could support FPL position. Did other industries agree to this and are figup members also members of assoc industries. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:00 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Oh. We would have to think about how to ask. It is 1 million % better to ask a witness over an attorney, so we just have to see if schef (or someone else) has a witness who could answer the question for you. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:57 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I don't mean ask now<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:57 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I am not. <v>You are, but it would be unusual to ask those questions during these service hearings. <v>They are opening statements by lawyers, not sworn testimony, and they are not really the knid of record evidence you can rely on for your decision. <v>It would be better to wait until the actual technical hearing and ask questions then. <v>I'm on the phone. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:53 PM<v>Subject: <v><v>Are u allowed to talk and ask questions of intervenors? Like sheff in re to</p>
706	7/16/09 18:35	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I will wait until he finishes or breaks long enough
707	7/16/09 18:35	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I don't know who besides cindy muir. None of the aides are there. <v>I'll email her now. <v><v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:33 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Can you text someone and advise?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:33 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I don't know. It must have something to do with the phone on their end - maybe a feedback reduction circuit. <v>It only seems to happen when things get too loud. <v>It didn't notice it as bad with other speakers. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Why does it keep going out<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:30 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I would advise not. <v>That could be argued to be an ex parte communication. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:27 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Can I call and ask them that? I don't want to do anything improper but do I have a right to know if some of the other mbrs who have expressed they can't take hike and are also mbrs of Assoc Ind are in agreement with Assoc Ind?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Yes. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Still it will be very telling if other Assoc Ind mbrs come out and say no way<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:22 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Not sure it will help them. I mean, frffipug have filed testimony, filed discovery, etc. They have already fought the majority of the battle. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:20 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>No it makes no sense. One brd membr of FPL also is brd member at assoc ind. Sounds like a desperate attempt by FPL<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:19 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Pretty crazy that they will fight the increase as frffipug but agree to it as aif. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:18 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I cannot believe that publi or the mining interests would ever agree to Assoc ind doing this and it would be very interesting to hear from those members. Sheff and McWirther need to bring that forward<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:09 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>That's interesting - Mcwirther came to one and entered an appearance. VGkauffman did teco and has done the per-hearing stuff here so far. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:02 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>The question that I would like to know is how assoc could support FPL position. Did other industries agree to this and are figup members also members of assoc industries. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:00 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Oh. We would have to think about how to ask. It is 1 million % better to ask a witness over an attorney, so we just have to see if schef (or someone else) has a witness who could answer the question for you. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:57 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I don't mean ask now<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:57 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I am not. <v>You are, but it would be unusual to ask those questions during these service hearings. <v>They are opening statements by lawyers, not sworn testimony, and they are not really the knid of record evidence you can rely on for your decision. <v>It would be better to wait until the actual technical hearing and ask questions then. <v>I'm on the phone. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:53 PM<v>Subject: <v><v>Are u allowed to talk and ask questions of intervenors? Like sheff in re to assoc industries</p>
708	7/16/09 18:35	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I will do it

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
709	7/16/09 18:37	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I sent cindy muir an email with high priority-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:35 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>I will wait until he finishes or breaks long enough-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:34 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>Can you interrupt and say something? I'm loosing almost everything now. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>Why does it keep going out-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:30 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>I would advise not. <>That could be argued to be an ex parte communication.<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:27 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>Can I call and ask them that? I don't want to do anything improper but do I have a right to know if some of the other mbrs who have expressed they can't take hike and are also mbrs of Assoc Ind are in agreement with Assoc Ind?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>Yep. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>Still it will be very telling if other Assoc Ind mbrs come out and say no way<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:22 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>Not sure it will help them. I mean, frf/fipug have filed testimony, filed discovery, etc. They have already fought the majority of the battle. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:20 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>No it makes no sense. One brd membr of FPL also is brd member at assoc ind. Sounds like a desperate attempt by FPL<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:19 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>Pretty crazy that they will fight the increase as frf/fipug but agree to it as aif. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:18 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>I cannot believe that publix or the mining interests would ever agree to Assoc ind doing this and it would be very interesting to hear from those members. Sheff and McWirther need to bring that forward<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:09 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>That's interesting - Mcwirther came to one and entered an appearance. VGkauffman did teco and has done the per-hearing stuff here so far. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:02 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>The question that I would like to know is how assoc could support FPL position. Did other industries agree to this and are fipug members also members of assoc industries. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:00 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>Oh. We would have to think about how to ask. It is 1 million % better to ask a witness over an attorney, so we just have to see if schef (or someone else) has a witness who could answer the question for you. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:57 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>I don't mean ask now<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:57 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>I am not. <>You are, but it would be unusual to ask those questions during these service hearings. <>They are opening statements by lawyers, not sworn testimony, and they are not really the knid of record evidence you can rely on for your decision. <>It would be better to wait until the actual technical hearing and ask questions then. <>I'm on the phone. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:53 PM><Subject: <>>>Are u allowed to talk and ask questions of intervenors? Like sheff in re to assoc industries supporting</p>
710	7/16/09 18:37	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Ok
711	7/16/09 18:42	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>JR must have been too loud or too close to the mic. <>I can hear schef just fine. <>I'm guessing those noises are rick moses looking at the phone mics and moving them. <>This sounds good to me now - good for you??-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>Ok-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>I sent cindy muir an email with high priority-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:35 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>I will wait until he finishes or breaks long enough-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:34 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>Can you interrupt and say something? I'm loosing almost everything now. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>Why does it keep going out-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:30 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>I would advise not. <>That could be argued to be an ex parte communication.<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:27 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>Can I call and ask them that? I don't want to do anything improper but do I have a right to know if some of the other mbrs who have expressed they can't take hike and are also mbrs of Assoc Ind are in agreement with Assoc Ind?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>Yep. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>Still it will be very telling if other Assoc Ind mbrs come out and say no way<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:22 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>Not sure it will help them. I mean, frf/fipug have filed testimony, filed discovery, etc. They have already fought the majority of the battle. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:20 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>No it makes no sense. One brd membr of FPL also is brd member at assoc ind. Sounds like a desperate attempt by FPL<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:19 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>Pretty crazy that they will fight the increase as frf/fipug but agree to it as aif. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:18 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>I cannot believe that publix or the mining interests would ever agree to Assoc ind doing this and it would be very interesting to hear from those members. Sheff and McWirther need to bring that forward<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:09 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>That's interesting - Mcwirther came to one and entered an appearance. VGkauffman did teco and has done the per-hearing stuff here so far. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:02 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>The question that I would like to know is how assoc could support FPL position. Did other industries agree to this and are fipug members also members of assoc industries. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:00 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>Oh. We would have to think about how to ask. It is 1 million % better to ask a witness over an attorney, so we just have to see if schef (or someone else) has a witness who could answer the question for you. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:57 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>I don't mean ask now<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:57 PM><Subject: Re: <>>>I am not. <>You are, but it would be unusual to ask those questions during these service hearings. <>They are opening statements by lawyers, not sworn testimony, and they are not really the knid of record evidence you can rely on for your decision. <>It would be better to wait until the actual technical hearing and ask questions then. <>I'm on the phone. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:53 PM><Subject: <>>>Are u allowed to talk and ask questions of intervenors? Like sheff in re to assoc industries supporting FPL<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:53 PM><Subject: <>>>Are u allowed to talk and ask questions of intervenors? Like sheff in re to assoc industries supporting</p>
712	7/16/09 18:42	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Good here to

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
713	7/16/09 18:43	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Great. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM> Subject: Re: <Good here to <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM> Subject: Re: <JR must have been too loud or too close to the mic. <I can hear schef just fine. <I'm guessing those noises are rick moses looking at the phone mics and moving them. <This sounds good to me now - good for you??>>> Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM> Subject: Re: <Ok>>> Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM> Subject: Re: <I sent cindy muir an email with high priority>>> Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:35 PM> Subject: Re: <I will wait until he finishes or breaks long enough>>> Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:34 PM> Subject: Re: <Can you interrupt and say something? I'm losing almost everything now. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31 PM> Subject: Re: <Why does it keep going out>>> Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:30 PM> Subject: Re: <I would advise not. <That could be argued to be an ex parte communication.>>> Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:27 PM> Subject: Re: <Can I call and ask them that? I don't want to do anything improper but do I have a right to know if some of the other mbrs who have expressed they can't take hike and are also mbrs of Assoc Ind are in agreement with Assoc Ind?>>> Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM> Subject: Re: <Yes.>>> Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM> Subject: Re: <Still it will be very telling if other Assoc Ind mbrs come out and say no way>>> Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:22 PM> Subject: Re: <Not sure it will help them. I mean, frffipug have filed testimony, filed discovery, etc. They have already fought the majority of the battle. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:20 PM> Subject: Re: <No it makes no sense. One brd membr of FPL also is brd member at assoc ind. Sounds like a desperate attempt by FPL>>> Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:19 PM> Subject: Re: <Pretty crazy that they will fight the increase as frffipug but agree to it as aif. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:18 PM> Subject: Re: <I cannot believe that public or the mining interests would ever agree to Assoc ind doing this and it would be very interesting to hear from those members. Sheff and McWirther need to bring that forward>>> Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:09 PM> Subject: Re: <That's interesting - Mcwirther came to one and entered an appearance. VGkauffman did teco and has done the per-hearing stuff here so far. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:02 PM> Subject: Re: <The question that I would like to know is how assoc could support FPL position. Did other industries agree to this and are figup members also members of assoc industries. <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:00 PM> Subject: Re: <Oh. We would have to think about how to ask. It is 1 million % better to ask a witness over an attorney, so we just have to see if schef (or someone else) has a witness who could answer the question for you. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:57 PM> Subject: Re: <I don't mean ask now>>> Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:57 PM> Subject: Re: <I am not. <You are, but it would be unusual to ask those questions during these service hearings. <They are opening statements by lawyers, not sworn testimony, and they are not really the kind of record evidence you can rely on for your decision. <It would be better to wait until the actual technical hearing and ask questions then. <I'm on the phone. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:53 PM> Subject: <Are u allowed to talk and ask questions of intervenors? Like sheff in re to assoc industries supporting</p>
714	7/16/09 18:43	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Do we know how many signed up
715	7/16/09 18:44	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I'll try and find out. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM> Subject: Re: <Do we know how many signed up>>> Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM> Subject: Re: <Great.>>> Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM> Subject: Re: <Good here to <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM> Subject: Re: <JR must have been too loud or too close to the mic. <I can hear schef just fine. <I'm guessing those noises are rick moses looking at the phone mics and moving them. <This sounds good to me now - good for you??>>> Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM> Subject: Re: <Ok>>> Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM> Subject: Re: <I sent cindy muir an email with high priority>>> Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:35 PM> Subject: Re: <I will wait until he finishes or breaks long enough>>> Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:34 PM> Subject: Re: <Can you interrupt and say something? I'm losing almost everything now. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31 PM> Subject: Re: <Why does it keep going out>>> Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:30 PM> Subject: Re: <I would advise not. <That could be argued to be an ex parte communication.>>> Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:27 PM> Subject: Re: <Can I call and ask them that? I don't want to do anything improper but do I have a right to know if some of the other mbrs who have expressed they can't take hike and are also mbrs of Assoc Ind are in agreement with Assoc Ind?>>> Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM> Subject: Re: <Yes.>>> Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM> Subject: Re: <Still it will be very telling if other Assoc Ind mbrs come out and say no way>>> Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:22 PM> Subject: Re: <Not sure it will help them. I mean, frffipug have filed testimony, filed discovery, etc. They have already fought the majority of the battle. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:20 PM> Subject: Re: <No it makes no sense. One brd membr of FPL also is brd member at assoc ind. Sounds like a desperate attempt by FPL>>> Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:19 PM> Subject: Re: <Pretty crazy that they will fight the increase as frffipug but agree to it as aif. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:18 PM> Subject: Re: <I cannot believe that public or the mining interests would ever agree to Assoc ind doing this and it would be very interesting to hear from those members. Sheff and McWirther need to bring that forward>>> Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:09 PM> Subject: Re: <That's interesting - Mcwirther came to one and entered an appearance. VGkauffman did teco and has done the per-hearing stuff here so far. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:02 PM> Subject: Re: <The question that I would like to know is how assoc could support FPL position. Did other industries agree to this and are figup members also members of assoc industries. <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:00 PM> Subject: Re: <Oh. We would have to think about how to ask. It is 1 million % better to ask a witness over an attorney, so we just have to see if schef (or someone else) has a witness who could answer the question for you. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:57 PM> Subject: Re: <I don't mean ask now>>> Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:57 PM> Subject: Re: <I am not. <You are, but it would be unusual to ask those questions during these service hearings. <They are opening statements by lawyers, not sworn testimony, and they are not really the kind of record evidence you can rely on for your decision. <It would be better to wait until the actual technical hearing and ask questions then. <I'm on the phone. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry</p>
716	7/16/09 18:46	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	When is our next agenda? Is that when confidentiality issue to be hrd?
717	7/16/09 18:47	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Is there nothing next week

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
718	7/16/09 18:48	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>August 18 is the next agenda, and that is when I was told the confidentiality stuff will be addressed. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:46 PM> Subject: Re: <When is our next agenda? Is that when confidentiality issue to be hrd?> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:44 PM> Subject: Re: <I'll try and find out. Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM> Subject: Re: <Do we know how many signed up?> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM> Subject: Re: <Great. Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM> Subject: Re: <Good here to?> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM> Subject: Re: <JR must have been too loud or too close to the mic. I can hear schef just fine. I'm guessing those noises are rick moses looking at the phone mics and moving them. This sounds good to me now - good for you??> Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM> Subject: Re: <Ok> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM> Subject: Re: <I sent cindy muir an email with high priority> Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:35 PM> Subject: Re: <I will wait until he finishes or breaks long enough> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:34 PM> Subject: Re: <Can you interrupt and say something? I'm losing almost everything now. Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31 PM> Subject: Re: <Why does it keep going out?> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:30 PM> Subject: Re: <I would advise not. That could be argued to be an ex parte communication. Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:27 PM> Subject: Re: <Can I call and ask them that? I don't want to do anything improper but do I have a right to know if some of the other mbrs who have expressed they can't take hike and are also mbrs of Assoc Ind are in agreement with Assoc Ind?> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM> Subject: Re: <Yep. Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM> Subject: Re: <Still it will be very telling if other Assoc Ind mbrs come out and say no way> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:22 PM> Subject: Re: <Not sure it will help them. I mean, frffipug have filed testimony, filed discovery, etc. They have already fought the majority of the battle. Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:20 PM> Subject: Re: <No it makes no sense. One brd membr of FPL also is brd member at assoc ind. Sounds like a desperate attempt by FPL> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:19 PM> Subject: Re: <Pretty crazy that they will fight the increase as frffipug but agree to it as aif. Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:18 PM> Subject: Re: <I cannot believe that public or the mining interests would ever agree to Assoc ind doing this and it would be very interesting to hear from those members. Sheff and McWirther need to bring that forward> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:09 PM> Subject: Re: <That's interesting - Mcwirther came to one and entered an appearance. VGkauffman did teco and has done the per-hearing stuff here so far. Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:02 PM> Subject: Re: <The question that I would like to know is how assoc could support FPL position. Did other industries agree to this and are figup members also members of assoc industries. Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:00 PM> Subject: Re: <Oh. We would have to think about how to ask. It is 1 million % better to ask a witness over an attorney, so we just have to see if schef (or someone else) has a witness who could answer the question for you. Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:57 PM> Subject: Re: <I don't mean ask now> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:57 PM> Subject: Re: <I am not. You are, but it would</p>
719	7/16/09 18:49	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	That sucks
720	7/16/09 18:49	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Naruc scheduled next week, so nothing for you. <Nothing the week of 3-7 August either. Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:47 PM> Subject: Re: <Is there nothing next week? Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:44 PM> Subject: Re: <I'll try and find out. Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM> Subject: Re: <Do we know how many signed up?> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM> Subject: Re: <Great. Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM> Subject: Re: <Good here to?> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM> Subject: Re: <JR must have been too loud or too close to the mic. I can hear schef just fine. I'm guessing those noises are rick moses looking at the phone mics and moving them. This sounds good to me now - good for you??> Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM> Subject: Re: <Ok> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM> Subject: Re: <I sent cindy muir an email with high priority> Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:35 PM> Subject: Re: <I will wait until he finishes or breaks long enough> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:34 PM> Subject: Re: <Can you interrupt and say something? I'm losing almost everything now. Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31 PM> Subject: Re: <Why does it keep going out?> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:30 PM> Subject: Re: <I would advise not. That could be argued to be an ex parte communication. Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:27 PM> Subject: Re: <Can I call and ask them that? I don't want to do anything improper but do I have a right to know if some of the other mbrs who have expressed they can't take hike and are also mbrs of Assoc Ind are in agreement with Assoc Ind?> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM> Subject: Re: <Yep. Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM> Subject: Re: <Still it will be very telling if other Assoc Ind mbrs come out and say no way> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:22 PM> Subject: Re: <Not sure it will help them. I mean, frffipug have filed testimony, filed discovery, etc. They have already fought the majority of the battle. Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:20 PM> Subject: Re: <No it makes no sense. One brd membr of FPL also is brd member at assoc ind. Sounds like a desperate attempt by FPL> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:19 PM> Subject: Re: <Pretty crazy that they will fight the increase as frffipug but agree to it as aif. Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:18 PM> Subject: Re: <I cannot believe that public or the mining interests would ever agree to Assoc ind doing this and it would be very interesting to hear from those members. Sheff and McWirther need to bring that forward> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:09 PM> Subject: Re: <That's interesting - Mcwirther came to one and entered an appearance. VGkauffman did teco and has done the per-hearing stuff here so far. Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:02 PM> Subject: Re: <The question that I would like to know is how assoc could support FPL position. Did other industries agree to this and are figup members also members of assoc industries. Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:00 PM> Subject: Re: <Oh. We would have to think about how to ask. It is 1 million % better to ask a witness over an attorney, so we just have to see if schef (or someone else) has a witness who could answer the question for you. Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:57 PM> Subject: Re: <I don't mean ask now> Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 5:57 PM> Subject: Re: <I am not. You are, but it would be unusual to ask those questions during these service</p>
721	7/16/09 18:50	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	That is not good enough. What else can we do

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
722	7/16/09 18:50	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yep. I was surprised at the long gap between agendas. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:49 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>That sucks<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:48 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>August 18 is the next agenda, and that is when I was told the confidentiality stuff will be addressed. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:46 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>When is our next agenda? Is that when confidentiality issue to be hd?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:44 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I'll try and find out. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Do we know how many signed up<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Great. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Good here to<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>JR must have been too loud or too close to the mic. <v><v>I can hear schef just fine. <v><v>I'm guessing those noises are rick moscos looking at the phone mics and moving them. <v><v>This sounds good to me now - good for you??<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Ok<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I sent cindy muir an email with high priority<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:35 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I will wait until he finishes or breaks long enough<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:34 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Can you interrupt and say something? I'm losing almost everything now. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Why does it keep going out<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:30 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I would advise not. <v><v>That could be argued to be an ex parte communication.<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:27 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Can I call and ask them that? I don't want to do anything improper but do I have a right to know if some of the other mbrs who have expressed they can't take hike and are also mbrs of Assoc Ind are in agreement with Assoc Ind?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Yep. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Still it will be very telling if other Assoc Ind mbrs come out and say no way<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:22 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Not sure it will help them. I mean, fr/fipug have filed testimony, filed discovery, etc. They have already fought the majority of the battle. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:20 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>No it makes no sense. One brd membr of FPL also is brd member at assoc ind. Sounds like a desperate attempt by FPL<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:19 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Pretty crazy that they will fight the increase as fr/fipug but agree to it as aif. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:18 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I cannot believe that public or the mining interests would ever agree to Assoc ind doing this and it would be very interesting to hear from those members. Sheff and McWirther need to bring that forward<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:09 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>That's interesting - McWirther came to one and entered an appearance. VGKaufman did deco and has done the per-hearing stuff here so far. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:02 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>The question that I would like to know is how assoc could support FPL position. Did other industries agree to this and are fipug members also members of assoc industries. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:00 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Oh. We would have to think about how to ask. It is 1 million % better to ask a witness over an attorney, so we just have to see if schef (or someone else) has a witness who could answer</p>
723	7/16/09 18:51	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I want to know, when we find out how many commish and staff went
724	7/16/09 18:53	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>It has to be a public meeting of the 5 of you. So, we'd have to find a way to get a "special agenda" scheduled and noticed. This would mean the chairman finding a date and getting it scheduled. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:50 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>That is not good enough. What else can we do<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:48 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>August 18 is the next agenda, and that is when I was told the confidentiality stuff will be addressed. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:46 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>When is our next agenda? Is that when confidentiality issue to be hd?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:44 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I'll try and find out. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Do we know how many signed up<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Great. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Good here to<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>JR must have been too loud or too close to the mic. <v><v>I can hear schef just fine. <v><v>I'm guessing those noises are rick moscos looking at the phone mics and moving them. <v><v>This sounds good to me now - good for you??<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Ok<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I sent cindy muir an email with high priority<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:35 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I will wait until he finishes or breaks long enough<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:34 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Can you interrupt and say something? I'm losing almost everything now. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Why does it keep going out<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:30 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I would advise not. <v><v>That could be argued to be an ex parte communication.<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:27 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Can I call and ask them that? I don't want to do anything improper but do I have a right to know if some of the other mbrs who have expressed they can't take hike and are also mbrs of Assoc Ind are in agreement with Assoc Ind?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Yep. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Still it will be very telling if other Assoc Ind mbrs come out and say no way<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:22 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Not sure it will help them. I mean, fr/fipug have filed testimony, filed discovery, etc. They have already fought the majority of the battle. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:20 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>No it makes no sense. One brd membr of FPL also is brd member at assoc ind. Sounds like a desperate attempt by FPL<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:19 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Pretty crazy that they will fight the increase as fr/fipug but agree to it as aif. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:18 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I cannot believe that public or the mining interests would ever agree to Assoc ind doing this and it would be very interesting to hear from those members. Sheff and McWirther need to bring that forward<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:09 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>That's interesting - McWirther came to one and entered an appearance. VGKaufman did deco and has done the per-hearing stuff here so far. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:02 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>The question that I would like to know is how assoc could support FPL position. Did other industries agree to this and are fipug members also members of assoc industries. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:00 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Oh. We</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
729	7/16/09 19:03	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I don't understand this question. <?If any of you meet together to make a decision, it has to be a public meeting, and that public meeting has to be noticed under the APA. <?A decision can't be made by the psc without a pub meeting. <?Ph officer can make binding decisions w/o a meeting b/c acting alone, not as group. <?As far as normal process for confidentiality, request made. Other parties can file response/objection but this never happens. Then, staff review the request and the actual documents. Staff makes recommendation to legal. Legal decides what to recommend to ph officer. Ph officer makes decision and issues an order. <?-----</p> <p>Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:55 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?It has to be a pub meet for setng PH. What about in determining if it is confidential? What is the process for the determination of that specific issue of determining confidentiality? Not just if a PH officer is going to allow or disallow it as confidential<?-----Original Message-----</p> <p><?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:53 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?It has to be a public meeting of the 5 of you. So, we'd have to find a way to get a "special agenda" scheduled and noticed. This would mean the chairman finding a date and getting it scheduled. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:50 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?That is not good enough. What else can we do<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:48 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?August 18 is the next agenda, and that is when I was told the confidentiality stuff will be addressed. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:46 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?When is our next agenda? Is that when confidentiality issue to be hrd<?-----Original Message-----</p> <p><?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:44 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I'll try and find out. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Do we knw how many signed up<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:40 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Great. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Good here to<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?JR must have been too loud or too close to the mic. <?I can hear schef just fine. <?I'm guessing those noises are rick mooses looking at the phone mics and moving them. <?This sounds good to me now - good for you??<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Ok<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I sent cindy muir an email with high priority<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:35 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I will wait until he finishes or breaks long enough<?-----Original Message-----</p> <p><?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:34 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Can you interrupt and say something? I'm loosing almost everything now. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Why does it keep going out<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:30 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I would advise not. <?That could be argued to be an ex parte communication. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:27 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Can I call and ask them that? I don't want to do anything improper but do I have a right to know if some of the other mbrs who have expressed they can't take hike and are also mbrs of Assoc Ind are in agreement with Assoc Ind<?-----Original Message-----</p> <p><?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Yep. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Still it will be very telling if other Assoc Ind mbrs come out and say no way<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:22 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Not sure it will help them. I mean, frf/fipug have filed testimony, filed discovery, etc. They have already fought the majority of the battle. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:20 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?No it makes no sense. One brd membr of FPL also is brd member at assoc ind. Sounds like a desperate attempt by FPL<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:19</p>
730	7/16/09 19:10	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Sent. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:58 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Did u hear what mcwirth said. Get me that statute send to g mail. 366.06 <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:54 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I can probably find out tomorrow how many staff are approved to go. I would guess less then 5 with the ban on non-mission critical travel. As far as commissioners, I can ask aides/secretaries tomorrow. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:51 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I want to know, when we find out how many commish and staff went<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:49 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Naruc scheduled next week, so nothing for you. <?Nothing the week of 3-7 August either. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:47 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Is there nothing next week<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:44 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I'll try and find out. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Do we knw how many signed up<?-----Original Message-----</p> <p><?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Great. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Good here to<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?JR must have been too loud or too close to the mic. <?I can hear schef just fine. <?I'm guessing those noises are rick mooses looking at the phone mics and moving them. <?This sounds good to me now - good for you??<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Ok<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I sent cindy muir an email with high priority<?-----Original Message-----</p> <p><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:35 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I will wait until he finishes or breaks long enough<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:34 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Can you interrupt and say something? I'm loosing almost everything now. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Why does it keep going out<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:30 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I would advise not. <?That could be argued to be an ex parte communication. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:27 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Can I call and ask them that? I don't want to do anything improper but do I have a right to know if some of the other mbrs who have expressed they can't take hike and are also mbrs of Assoc Ind are in agreement with Assoc Ind<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Yep. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Still it will be very telling if other Assoc Ind mbrs come out and say no way<?-----Original Message-----</p> <p><?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:22 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Not sure it will help them. I mean, frf/fipug have filed testimony, filed discovery, etc. They have already fought the majority of the battle. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:20 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?No it makes no sense. One brd membr of FPL also is brd member at assoc ind. Sounds like a desperate attempt by FPL<?-----Original Message-----</p> <p><?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:19 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Pretty crazy that they will fight the increase as frf/fipug but agree to it as aif. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:18 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I cannot believe that publix or the mining interests would ever agree to Assoc ind doing this and it would be very interesting to hear from those members. Sheff and McWirth need to bring that forward<?-----Original Message-----</p> <p><?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:09 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?That's interesting - Mcwirth came to one and entered an appearance. VGkauffman did teco and has done the per-hearing stuff here so far. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:02 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?The question that I would like to</p>
731	7/16/09 19:11	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Maryann H mentioned something about confidentiality being addressed at some other way and place. I assume it is not commissioners or is done someother way
732	7/16/09 19:11	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Got it. I can't find the word "acceptable" can u ?
733	7/16/09 19:11	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Tab her
734	7/16/09 19:12	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I found acceptance
735	7/16/09 19:12	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Tab the lady before her too

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
736	7/16/09 19:12	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I don't know what she was referring to. <?>I know how confidentiality requests were handled when I was on legal staff. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:11 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Maryann H mentioned something about confidentiality being addressed at some other way and place. I assume it is not commissioners' or is done someother way<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:03 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I don't understand this question. <?>If any of you meet together to make a decision, it has to be a public meeting, and that public meeting has to be noticed under the APA. <?>A decision can't be made by the psc without a pub meeting. <?>Ph officer can make binding decisions w/o a meeting b/c acting alone, not as group. <?>As far as normal process for confidentiality, request made. Other parties can file response/objecton but this never happens. Then, staff review the request and the actual documents. Staff makes recommendation to legal. Legal decides what to recommend to ph officer. Ph officer makes decision and issues an order. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:55 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>It has to be a pub meet for setng PH. What about in determining if it is confidential? What is the process for the determination of that specific issue of determining confidentiality? Not just if a PH officer is going to allow or disallow it as confidential<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:53 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>It has to be a public meeting of the 5 of you. So, we'd have to find a way to get a "special agenda" scheduled and noticed. This would mean the chairman finding a date and getting it scheduled. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:50 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>That is not good enough. What else can we do<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:48 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>August 18 is the next agenda, and that is when I was told the confidentiality stuff will be addressed. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:46 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>When is our next agenda? Is that when confidentiality issue to be hrd?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:44 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I'll try and find out. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Do we knw how many signed up<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Great. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Good here to<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>JR must have been too loud or too close to the mic. <?>I can hear schef just fine. <?>I'm guessing those noises are rick mosos looking at the phone mics and moving them. <?>This sounds good to me now - good for you??<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Ok<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I sent cindy muir an email with high priority<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:35 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I will wait until he finishes or breaks long enough<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:34 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Can you interrupt and say something? I'm loosing almost everything now. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Why does it keep going out<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:30 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I would advise not. <?>That could be argued to be an ex parte communication. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:27 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Can I call and ask them that? I don't want to do anything improper but do I have a right to know if some of the other mbrs who have expressed they can't take hike and are also mbrs of Assoc Ind are in agreement with Assoc Ind?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Yes. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Still it will be very telling if other Assoc Ind mbrs come out and say no way<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16,</p>
737	7/16/09 19:16	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I'll look. I can't recall ever seeing that word used in 366.06<?>The phrase "public acceptance of rate structures" is used in the very last sentence of (1)<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:11 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Got it. I can't find the word "acceptable" can u ?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:10 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Sent. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:58 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Did u hear what mcwirth said. Get me that statute sent to g mail. 366.06 <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:54 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I can probably find out tomorrow how many staff are approved to go. I would guess less then 5 with the ban on non-mission critical travel. As far as commissioners, I can ask aides/secretaries tomorrow. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:51 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I want to know, when we find out how many commish and staff went<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:49 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Naruc scheduled next week, so nothing for you. <?>Nothing the week of 3-7 August either. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:47 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Is there nothing next week<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:44 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I'll try and find out. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Do we knw how many signed up<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Great. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Good here to<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>JR must have been too loud or too close to the mic. <?>I can hear schef just fine. <?>I'm guessing those noises are rick mosos looking at the phone mics and moving them. <?>This sounds good to me now - good for you??<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Ok<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I sent cindy muir an email with high priority<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:35 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I will wait until he finishes or breaks long enough<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:34 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Can you interrupt and say something? I'm loosing almost everything now. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Why does it keep going out<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:30 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I would advise not. <?>That could be argued to be an ex parte communication. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:27 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Can I call and ask them that? I don't want to do anything improper but do I have a right to know if some of the other mbrs who have expressed they can't take hike and are also mbrs of Assoc Ind are in agreement with Assoc Ind?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Yes. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Still it will be very telling if other Assoc Ind mbrs come out and say no way<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:22 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Not sure it will help them. I mean, frf/fipug have filed testimony, filed discovery, etc. They have already fought the majority of the battle. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:20 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>No it makes no sense. One brd membr of FPL also is brd member at assoc ind. Sounds like a desperate attempt by FPL<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:19 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Pretty crazy that they will fight the increase as frf/fipug but agree to it as alif. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:18 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I cannot believe that publix or the mining interests would ever agree to Assoc ind doing this and it would be</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
738	7/16/09 19:16	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Done already. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:12 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Tab the lady before her too<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:10 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Did u hear what mcwither said. Get me that statute send to g mail. 366.06 <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:54 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I can probably find out tomorrow how many staff are approved to go. I would guess less than 5 with the ban on non-mission critical travel. As far as commissioners, I can ask aides/secretaries tomorrow. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:51 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I want to know, when we find out how many commish and staff went<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:49 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Naruc scheduled next week, so nothing for you. <----->Nothing the week of 3-7 August either. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:47 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Is there nothing next week<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:44 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I'll try and find out. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Do we know how many signed up<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Great. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Good here to<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->JR must have been too loud or too close to the mic. <----->I can hear schef just fine. <----->I'm guessing those noises are rick moses looking at the phone mics and moving them. <----->This sounds good to me now - good for you??<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Ok<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I sent cindy muir an email with high priority<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:35 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I will wait until he finishes or breaks long enough<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:34 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Can you interrupt and say something? I'm losing almost everything now. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Why does it keep going out<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:30 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I would advise not. <----->That could be argued to be an ex parte communication. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:27 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Can I call and ask them that? I don't want to do anything improper but do I have a right to know if some of the other mbrs who have expressed they can't take hike and are also mbrs of Assoc Ind are in agreement with Assoc Ind?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yep. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Still it will be very telling if other Assoc Ind mbrs come out and say no way<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:22 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Not sure it will help them. I mean, fr/fipug have filed testimony, filed discovery, etc. They have already fought the majority of the battle. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:20 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->No it makes no sense. One brd membr of FPL also is brd member at assoc ind. Sounds like a desperate attempt by FPL<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:19 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Pretty crazy that they will fight the increase as fr/fipug but agree to it as aif. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:18 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I cannot believe that public or the mining interests would ever agree to Assoc ind doing this and it would be very interesting to hear from those members. Sheff and McWitther need to bring that forward<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:17 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Put "public acceptance" in a folder with affordable, and fair as just a few things that I will elaborate on later in case as reasons and statutory provisions that must be considered. I will keep adding to it. So if u get a email that says "add" to folder, that's what it will mean</p>
739	7/16/09 19:18	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	That's what he was referring to. It is there and should be used
740	7/16/09 19:19	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Rate structures is different from rates. In regulatory language, rate structures is fixed charge vs variable, classes of service, price points, etc. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:19 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->That's what he was referring to. It is there and should be used<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:16 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I'll look. I can't recall ever seeing that word used in 366.06<----->The phrase "public acceptance of rate structures" is used in the very last sentence of (1)<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:11 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Got it. I can't find the word "acceptable" can u ?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:10 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Sent. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:58 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Did u hear what mcwither said. Get me that statute send to g mail. 366.06 <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:54 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I can probably find out tomorrow how many staff are approved to go. I would guess less than 5 with the ban on non-mission critical travel. As far as commissioners, I can ask aides/secretaries tomorrow. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:51 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I want to know, when we find out how many commish and staff went<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:49 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Naruc scheduled next week, so nothing for you. <----->Nothing the week of 3-7 August either. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:47 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Is there nothing next week<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:44 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I'll try and find out. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Do we know how many signed up<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Great. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Good here to<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->JR must have been too loud or too close to the mic. <----->I can hear schef just fine. <----->I'm guessing those noises are rick moses looking at the phone mics and moving them. <----->This sounds good to me now - good for you??<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Ok<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I sent cindy muir an email with high priority<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:35 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I will wait until he finishes or breaks long enough<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:34 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Can you interrupt and say something? I'm losing almost everything now. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Why does it keep going out<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:30 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I would advise not. <----->That could be argued to be an ex parte communication. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:27 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Can I call and ask them that? I don't want to do anything improper but do I have a right to know if some of the other mbrs who have expressed they can't take hike and are also mbrs of Assoc Ind are in agreement with Assoc Ind?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yep. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Still it will be very telling if other Assoc Ind mbrs come out and say no way<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:22 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Not sure it will help them. I mean, fr/fipug have filed testimony, filed discovery, etc. They have already fought the majority of the battle. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:20 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->No it makes no sense. One brd membr of FPL also is brd member at assoc ind. Sounds like a

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
742	7/16/09 19:24	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Got it and I'll create. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:18 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Put "public acceptance" in a folder with affordable, and fair as just a few things that I will elaborate on later in case as reasons and statutory provisions that must be considered. I will keep adding to it. So if u get a email that says "add" to folder, that's what it will mean <>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:12 PM><Subject: Re: <>>I don't know what she was referring to. <>I know how confidentiality requests were handled when I was on legal staff. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:11 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Maryann H mentioned something about confidentiality being addressed at some other way and place. I assume it is not commissioners or is done someother way<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:03 PM><Subject: Re: <>>I don't understand this question. <>If any of you meet together to make a decision, it has to be a public meeting, and that public meeting has to be noticed under the APA. <>A decision can't be made by the psc without a pub meeting. <>Ph officer can make binding decisions w/o a meeting b/c acting alone, not as group. <>As far as normal process for confidentiality, request made. Other parties can file response/objection but this never happens. Then, staff review the request and the actual documents. Staff makes recommendation to legal. Legal decides what to recommend to ph officer. Ph officer makes decision and issues an order. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:55 PM><Subject: Re: <>>It has to be a pub meet for setng PH. What about in determining if it is confidential? What is the process for the determination of that specific issue of determining confidentiality? Not just if a PH officer is going to allow or disallow it as confidential<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:53 PM><Subject: Re: <>>It has to be a public meeting of the 5 of you. So, we'd have to find a way to get a "special agenda" scheduled and noticed. This would mean the chairman finding a date and getting it scheduled. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:50 PM><Subject: Re: <>>That is not good enough. What else can we do<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:48 PM><Subject: Re: <>>August 18 is the next agenda, and that is when I was told the confidentiality stuff will be addressed. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:46 PM><Subject: Re: <>>When is our next agenda? Is that when confidentiality issue to be hrd?<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:44 PM><Subject: Re: <>>I'll try and find out. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Do we know how many signed up<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Great. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Good here to<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM><Subject: Re: <>>JR must have been too loud or too close to the mic. <>I can hear schef just fine. <>I'm guessing those noises are rick moses looking at the phone mics and moving them. <>This sounds good to me now - good for you??<>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Ok<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM><Subject: Re: <>>I sent cindy muir an email with high priority<>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:35 PM><Subject: Re: <>>I will wait until he finishes or breaks long enough<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:34 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Can you interrupt and say something? I'm loosing almost everything now. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Why does it keep going out<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:30 PM><Subject: Re: <>>I would advise not. <>That could be argued to be an ex parte communication.<>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:27 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Can I call and ask them that? I don't want to do anything inproper but</p>
743	7/16/09 19:24	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>Ok. Hey I didn't knw I loved the word prudent. Did you?</p>
744	7/16/09 19:26	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I didn't, but if MC says its so, it must be true. <>I wondered if we were going to get the "I support nuclear power..." line. Glad to see he didn't disappoint. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:24 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Ok. Hey I didn't knw I loved the word prudent. Did you?<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:23 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Rate structures is different from rates. In regulatory language, rate structures is fixed charge vs variable, classes of service, price points, etc. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:19 PM><Subject: Re: <>>That's what he was referring to. It is there and should be used<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:16 PM><Subject: Re: <>>I'll look. I can't recall ever seeing that word used in 366.06<>The phrase "public acceptance of rate structures" is used in the very last sentence of (1)<>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:11 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Got it. I can't find the word "acceptable" can u ?<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:10 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Sent. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:58 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Did u hear what mcwither said. Get me that statute send to g mail. 366.06 <>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:54 PM><Subject: Re: <>>I can probably find out tomorrow how many staff are approved to go. I would guess less then 5 with the ban on non-mission critical travel. As far as commissioners, I can ask aides/secretaries tomorrow. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:51 PM><Subject: Re: <>>I want to know, when we find out how many commish and staff went<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:49 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Naruc scheduled next week, so nothing for you. <>Nothing the week of 3-7 August either. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:47 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Is there nothing next week<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:44 PM><Subject: Re: <>>I'll try and find out. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Do we know how many signed up<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Great. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Good here to<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM><Subject: Re: <>>JR must have been too loud or too close to the mic. <>I can hear schef just fine. <>I'm guessing those noises are rick moses looking at the phone mics and moving them. <>This sounds good to me now - good for you??<>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Ok<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM><Subject: Re: <>>I sent cindy muir an email with high priority<>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:35 PM><Subject: Re: <>>I will wait until he finishes or breaks long enough<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:34 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Can you interrupt and say something? I'm loosing almost everything now. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Why does it keep going out<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:30 PM><Subject: Re: <>>I would advise not. <>That could be argued to be an ex parte communication.<>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:27 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Can I call and ask them that? I don't want to do anything inproper but do I have a right to know if some of the other mbrs who have expressed they can't take hike and are also mbrs of Assoc Ind are in agreement with Assoc Ind?<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Yep. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:25 PM><Subject: Re: <>>Still it will be very telling if other Assoc Ind mbrs come out and say no way<>-----Original Message-----</p>
745	7/16/09 19:28	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>Tab last lady who took neighbor to hosp</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
746	7/16/09 19:29	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Did it. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:28 PM<Subject: Re: <Tab last lady who took neighbor to hosp-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:26 PM<Subject: Re: <I didn't, but if MC says its so, it must be true. <I wondered if we were going to get the "I support nuclear power..." line. Glad to see he didn't disappoint. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:24 PM<Subject: Re: <Ok. Hey I didn't knw I loved the word prudent. Did you?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:23 PM<Subject: Re: <Rate structures is different from rates. In regulatory language, rate structures is fixed charge vs variable, classes of service, price points, etc. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:19 PM<Subject: Re: <That's what he was referring to. It is there and should be used<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:16 PM<Subject: Re: <I'll look. I can't recall ever seeing that word used in 366.06<The phrase "public acceptance of rate structures" is used in the very last sentence of (1)<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:11 PM<Subject: Re: <Got it. I can't find the word "acceptable" can u ?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:10 PM<Subject: Re: <Sent. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:58 PM<Subject: Re: <Did u hear what mcwirth said. Get me that statute sent to g mail. 366.06 -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:54 PM<Subject: Re: <I can probably find out tomorrow how many staff are approved to go. I would guess less then 5 with the ban on non-mission critical travel. As far as commissioners, I can ask aides/secretaries tomorrow. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:51 PM<Subject: Re: <I want to know, when we find out how many commish and staff went<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:49 PM<Subject: Re: <Naruc scheduled next week, so nothing for you. <Nothing the week of 3-7 August either. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:47 PM<Subject: Re: <Is there nothing next week<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:44 PM<Subject: Re: <I'll try and find out. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<Subject: Re: <Do we knw how many signed up<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<Subject: Re: <Great. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re: <Good here to<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re: <JR must have been too loud or too close to the mic. <I can hear schef just fine. <I'm guessing those noises are rick mosess looking at the phone mics and moving them. <This sounds good to me now - good for you??<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<Subject: Re: <Ok<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<Subject: Re: <I sent cindy muir an email with high priority<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:35 PM<Subject: Re: <I will wait until he finishes or breaks long enough<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:34 PM<Subject: Re: <Can you interrupt and say something? I'm loosing almost everything now. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31 PM<Subject: Re: <Why does it keep going out<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:30 PM<Subject: Re: <I would advise not. <That could be argued to be an ex parte communication.<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:27 PM<Subject: Re: <Can I call and ask them that? I don't want to do anything improper but do I have a right to know if some of the other mbrs who have expressed they can't take hike and are also mbrs of Assoc Ind are in agreement with Assoc Ind?<-----Original Message-----</p>
747	7/16/09 19:29	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Grt
748	7/16/09 19:30	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Put " economic conditions" in folder also
749	7/16/09 19:31	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Done -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:30 PM<Subject: Re: <Put " economic conditions" in folder also<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:29 PM<Subject: Re: <Did it. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:28 PM<Subject: Re: <Tab last lady who took neighbor to hosp-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:26 PM<Subject: Re: <I didn't, but if MC says its so, it must be true. <I wondered if we were going to get the "I support nuclear power..." line. Glad to see he didn't disappoint. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:24 PM<Subject: Re: <Ok. Hey I didn't knw I loved the word prudent. Did you?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:23 PM<Subject: Re: <Rate structures is different from rates. In regulatory language, rate structures is fixed charge vs variable, classes of service, price points, etc. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:19 PM<Subject: Re: <That's what he was referring to. It is there and should be used<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:16 PM<Subject: Re: <I'll look. I can't recall ever seeing that word used in 366.06<The phrase "public acceptance of rate structures" is used in the very last sentence of (1)<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:11 PM<Subject: Re: <Got it. I can't find the word "acceptable" can u ?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:10 PM<Subject: Re: <Sent. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:58 PM<Subject: Re: <Did u hear what mcwirth said. Get me that statute sent to g mail. 366.06 -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:54 PM<Subject: Re: <I can probably find out tomorrow how many staff are approved to go. I would guess less then 5 with the ban on non-mission critical travel. As far as commissioners, I can ask aides/secretaries tomorrow. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:51 PM<Subject: Re: <I want to know, when we find out how many commish and staff went<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:49 PM<Subject: Re: <Naruc scheduled next week, so nothing for you. <Nothing the week of 3-7 August either. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:47 PM<Subject: Re: <Is there nothing next week<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:44 PM<Subject: Re: <I'll try and find out. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<Subject: Re: <Do we knw how many signed up<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<Subject: Re: <Great. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re: <Good here to<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re: <JR must have been too loud or too close to the mic. <I can hear schef just fine. <I'm guessing those noises are rick mosess looking at the phone mics and moving them. <This sounds good to me now - good for you??<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<Subject: Re: <Ok<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<Subject: Re: <I sent cindy muir an email with high priority<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:35 PM<Subject: Re: <I will wait until he finishes or breaks long enough<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:34 PM<Subject: Re: <Can you interrupt and say something? I'm loosing almost everything now. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31 PM<Subject: Re: <Why does it keep going out<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:30 PM<Subject: Re: <I would advise not. <That could be argued to be an ex parte communication.<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:27 PM<Subject: Re: <Can I call and ask them that? I don't want to do anything improper but do I have a right to know if some of the other mbrs who have expressed they can't take hike and are also mbrs of Assoc Ind are in agreement with Assoc Ind?<-----Original Message-----</p>
750	7/16/09 19:37	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	NS is an idiot

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
751	7/16/09 19:39	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>How can you say that??<He really cares about the poor, elderly consumers. He's their protector!<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:37 PM<Subject: Re:<<NS is an idiot<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:31 PM<Subject: Re:<<Done<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:30 PM<Subject: Re:<<Put "economic conditions" in folder also<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:29 PM<Subject: Re:<<Did it. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:28 PM<Subject: Re:<<Tab last lady who took neighbor to hosp<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:26 PM<Subject: Re:<<I didn't, but if MC says its so, it must be true. <<I wondered if we were going to get the "I support nuclear power...." line. Glad to see he didn't disappoint. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:24 PM<Subject: Re:<<Ok. Hey I didn't knw I loved the word prudent. Did you?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:23 PM<Subject: Re:<<Rate structures is different from rates. In regulatory language, rate structures is fixed charge vs variable, classes of service, price points, etc. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:19 PM<Subject: Re:<<That's what he was referring to. It is there and should be used<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:16 PM<Subject: Re:<<I'll look. I can't recall ever seeing that word used in 366.06<The phrase "public acceptance of rate structures" is used in the very last sentence of (1)<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:11 PM<Subject: Re:<<Got it. I can't find the word "acceptable" can u ?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:10 PM<Subject: Re:<<Sent. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:58 PM<Subject: Re:<<Did u hear what mcwirth said. Get me that statute send to g mail. 366.06 <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:54 PM<Subject: Re:<<I can probably find out tomorrow how many staff are approved to go. I would guess less then 5 with the ban on non-mission critical travel. As far as commissioners, I can ask aides/secretaries tomorrow. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:51 PM<Subject: Re:<<I want to know, when we find out how many commish and staff went<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:49 PM<Subject: Re:<<Naruc scheduled next week, so nothing for you. <<Nothing the week of 3-7 August either. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:47 PM<Subject: Re:<<Is there nothing next week<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:44 PM<Subject: Re:<<I'll try and find out. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<Subject: Re:<<Do we knw how many signed up<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re:<<Great. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re:<<Good here to<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re:<<JR must have been too loud or too close to the mic. <<I can hear schef just fine. <<I'm guessing those noises are rick moser looking at the phone mics and moving them. <<This sounds good to me now - good for you??<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<Subject: Re:<<Ok<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:35 PM<Subject: Re:<<I will wait until he finishes or breaks long enough<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:34 PM<Subject: Re:<<Can you interrupt and say something? I'm losing almost everything now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:31</p>
752	7/16/09 19:48	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>You know I was being sarcastic. He really doesn't get it - e just doesn't. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:46 PM<Subject: Re:<<Just the fact that hour could not judge the emotion of that spkr, to ask about her meter? <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:39 PM<Subject: Re:<<How can you say that??<He really cares about the poor, elderly consumers. He's their protector!<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:37 PM<Subject: Re:<<NS is an idiot<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:31 PM<Subject: Re:<<Done<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:30 PM<Subject: Re:<<Put "economic conditions" in folder also<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:29 PM<Subject: Re:<<Did it. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:28 PM<Subject: Re:<<Tab last lady who took neighbor to hosp<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:26 PM<Subject: Re:<<I didn't, but if MC says its so, it must be true. <<I wondered if we were going to get the "I support nuclear power...." line. Glad to see he didn't disappoint. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:24 PM<Subject: Re:<<Ok. Hey I didn't knw I loved the word prudent. Did you?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:23 PM<Subject: Re:<<Rate structures is different from rates. In regulatory language, rate structures is fixed charge vs variable, classes of service, price points, etc. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:19 PM<Subject: Re:<<That's what he was referring to. It is there and should be used<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:16 PM<Subject: Re:<<I'll look. I can't recall ever seeing that word used in 366.06<The phrase "public acceptance of rate structures" is used in the very last sentence of (1)<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:11 PM<Subject: Re:<<Got it. I can't find the word "acceptable" can u ?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:10 PM<Subject: Re:<<Sent. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:58 PM<Subject: Re:<<Did u hear what mcwirth said. Get me that statute send to g mail. 366.06 <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:54 PM<Subject: Re:<<I can probably find out tomorrow how many staff are approved to go. I would guess less then 5 with the ban on non-mission critical travel. As far as commissioners, I can ask aides/secretaries tomorrow. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:51 PM<Subject: Re:<<I want to know, when we find out how many commish and staff went<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:49 PM<Subject: Re:<<Naruc scheduled next week, so nothing for you. <<Nothing the week of 3-7 August either. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:47 PM<Subject: Re:<<Is there nothing next week<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:44 PM<Subject: Re:<<I'll try and find out. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<Subject: Re:<<Do we knw how many signed up<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re:<<Great. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re:<<Good here to<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re:<<JR must have been too loud or too close to the mic. <<I can hear schef just fine. <<I'm guessing those noises are rick moser looking at the phone mics and moving them. <<This sounds good to me now - good for you??<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<Subject: Re:<<Ok<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:37 PM<Subject: Re:<<I sent cindy muir an email with high priority<-----Original Message-----</p>
753	7/16/09 19:48	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I know
754	7/16/09 19:51	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Doesn't appear to be any brave shills there

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
755	7/16/09 19:52	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Nope. I guess its past their bedtime. They only work 9-6 in pinellas. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:51 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Doesn't appear to be any brave shills there<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:48 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----You know I was being sarcastic. He really doesn't get it - e just doesn't. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:46 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Just the fact that hour could not judge the emotion of that spkr, to ask about her meter? <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:39 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----How can you say that??<-----He really cares about the poor, elderly consumers. He's their protector!<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:37 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----NS is an idiot<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:31 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Done <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:30 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Put " economic conditions" in folder also<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:29 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Did it. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:28 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Tab last lady who took neighbor to hosp<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:26 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----I didn't, but if MC says its so, it must be true. <-----I wondered if we were going to get the "I support nuclear power..." line. Glad to see he didn't disappoint. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:24 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Ok. Hey I didn't knw I loved the word prudent. Did you?<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:23 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Rate structures is different from rates. In regulatory language, rate structures is fixed charge vs variable, classes of service, price points, etc. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:19 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----That's what he was referring to. It is there and should be used<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:16 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----I'll look. I can't recall ever seeing that word used in 366.06<-----The phrase "public acceptance of rate structures" is used in the very last sentence of (1)<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:11 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Got it. I can't find the word "acceptable" can u ?<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:10 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Sent. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:58 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Did u hear what mcwirth said. Get me that statute send to g mail. 366.06 <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:54 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----I can probably find out tomorrow how many staff are approved to go. I would guess less then 5 with the ban on non-mission critical travel. As far as commissioners, I can ask aides/secretaries tomorrow. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:51 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----I want to know, when we find out how many commish and staff went<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:49 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Nancu scheduled next week, so nothing for you. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:47 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Is there nothing next week<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:44 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----I'll try and find out. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Do we know how many signed up<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Great. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Good here to<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----JR must have been too loud or too close to the mic. <-----I can hear schel just fine. <-----I'm guessing those noises are rick mosses looking at the phone mics and moving them. <-----This sounds good to me now - good for you??<-----</p>
756	7/16/09 19:53	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>No they are scared to death to do it. And fasano sent a msg I'm sure</p>
757	7/16/09 19:54	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I would agree with you - he told pef to settle down in his district and they did it. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:53 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----No they are scared to death to do it. And fasano sent a msg I'm sure<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:52 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Nope. I guess its past their bedtime. They only work 9-6 in pinellas. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:51 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Doesn't appear to be any brave shills there<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:48 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----You know I was being sarcastic. He really doesn't get it - e just doesn't. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:46 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Just the fact that hour could not judge the emotion of that spkr, to ask about her meter? <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:39 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----How can you say that??<-----He really cares about the poor, elderly consumers. He's their protector!<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:37 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----NS is an idiot<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:31 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Done <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:30 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Put " economic conditions" in folder also<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:29 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Did it. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:28 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Tab last lady who took neighbor to hosp<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:26 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----I didn't, but if MC says its so, it must be true. <-----I wondered if we were going to get the "I support nuclear power..." line. Glad to see he didn't disappoint. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:24 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Ok. Hey I didn't knw I loved the word prudent. Did you?<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:23 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Rate structures is different from rates. In regulatory language, rate structures is fixed charge vs variable, classes of service, price points, etc. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:19 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----That's what he was referring to. It is there and should be used<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:16 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----I'll look. I can't recall ever seeing that word used in 366.06<-----The phrase "public acceptance of rate structures" is used in the very last sentence of (1)<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:11 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Got it. I can't find the word "acceptable" can u ?<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:10 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Sent. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:58 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Did u hear what mcwirth said. Get me that statute send to g mail. 366.06 <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:54 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----I can probably find out tomorrow how many staff are approved to go. I would guess less then 5 with the ban on non-mission critical travel. As far as commissioners, I can ask aides/secretaries tomorrow. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:51 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----I want to know, when we find out how many commish and staff went<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:49 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Nancu scheduled next week, so nothing for you. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:47 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Is there nothing next week<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:44 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----I'll try and find out. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:43 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Do we know how many signed up<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:42 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Great. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy</p>
758	7/16/09 19:54	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>Yes, but only after he was made aware of what was about to come</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
759	7/16/09 19:56	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>He should have been able to see it in advance!-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:54 PM<Subject: Re:<Yes, but only after he was made aware of what was about to come-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:54 PM<Subject: Re:<I would agree with you - he told per to settle down in his district and they did it. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:53 PM<Subject: Re:<No they are scared to death to do it. And fasano sent a msg I'm sure-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:52 PM<Subject: Re:<Nope. I guess its past their bedtime. They only work 9-6 in pinellas. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:51 PM<Subject: Re:<Doesn't appear to be any brave shills there-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:48 PM<Subject: Re:<You know I was being sarcastic. He really doesn't get it - e just doesn't. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:46 PM<Subject: Re:<Just the fact that hour could not judge the emotion of that spkr, to ask about her meter? <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:39 PM<Subject: Re:<How can you say that??<He really cares about the poor, elderly consumers. He's their protector!-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:37 PM<Subject: Re:<NS is an idiot-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:31 PM<Subject: Re:<Done <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:30 PM<Subject: Re:<Put " economic conditions" in folder also-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:29 PM<Subject: Re:<Did it. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:28 PM<Subject: Re:<Tab last lady who took neighbor to hosp-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:26 PM<Subject: Re:<I didn't, but if MC says its so, it must be true. <I wondered if we were going to get the "I support nuclear power..." line. Glad to see he didn't disappoint. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:24 PM<Subject: Re:<Ok. Hey I didn't knw I loved the word prudent. Did you?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:23 PM<Subject: Re:<Rate structures is different from rates. In regulatory language, rate structures is fixed charge vs variable, classes of service, price points, etc. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:19 PM<Subject: Re:<That's what he was referring to. It is there and should be used-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:16 PM<Subject: Re:<I'll look. I can't recall ever seeing that word used in 366.06<The phrase "public acceptance of rate structures" is used in the very last sentence of (1)<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:11 PM<Subject: Re:<Got it. I can't find the word "acceptable" can u ?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:10 PM<Subject: Re:<Sent. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:58 PM<Subject: Re:<Did u hear what mowirther said. Get me that statute send to g mail. 366.06 <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:54 PM<Subject: Re:<I can probably find out tomorrow how many staff are approved to go. I would guess less then 5 with the ban on non-mission critical travel. As far as commissioners, I can ask aides/secretaries tomorrow. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:51 PM<Subject: Re:<I want to know, when we find out how many commish and staff went-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:49 PM<Subject: Re:<Naruc scheduled next week, so nothing for you. <Nothing the week of 3-7 August either. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:47 PM<Subject: Re:<Is there nothing next week?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:44 PM<Subject: Re:<I'll try and find out. <-----Original Message-----</p>
760	7/16/09 19:59	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>It makes me ill that people pour their hearts out to honestly try to convey how things will affect them and think that if they share their pain, the PSC will listen and do the right thing that woman had a real belief that if she spoke to us it would matter, and I'm not in the belief that what these people say means much to many who will be making these decisions</p>
761	7/16/09 20:00	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>You are right. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:59 PM<Subject: Re:<It makes me ill that people pour their hearts out to honestly try to convey how things will affect them and think that if they share their pain, the PSC will listen and do the right thing that woman had a real belief that if she spoke to us it would matter, and I'm not in the belief that what these people say means much to many who will be making these decisions <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:54 PM<Subject: Re:<I would agree with you - he told per to settle down in his district and they did it. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:53 PM<Subject: Re:<No they are scared to death to do it. And fasano sent a msg I'm sure-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:52 PM<Subject: Re:<Nope. I guess its past their bedtime. They only work 9-6 in pinellas. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:51 PM<Subject: Re:<Doesn't appear to be any brave shills there-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:48 PM<Subject: Re:<You know I was being sarcastic. He really doesn't get it - e just doesn't. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:46 PM<Subject: Re:<Just the fact that hour could not judge the emotion of that spkr, to ask about her meter? <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:39 PM<Subject: Re:<How can you say that??<He really cares about the poor, elderly consumers. He's their protector!-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:37 PM<Subject: Re:<NS is an idiot-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:31 PM<Subject: Re:<Done <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:30 PM<Subject: Re:<Put " economic conditions" in folder also-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:29 PM<Subject: Re:<Did it. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:28 PM<Subject: Re:<Tab last lady who took neighbor to hosp-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:26 PM<Subject: Re:<I didn't, but if MC says its so, it must be true. <I wondered if we were going to get the "I support nuclear power..." line. Glad to see he didn't disappoint. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:24 PM<Subject: Re:<Ok. Hey I didn't knw I loved the word prudent. Did you?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:23 PM<Subject: Re:<Rate structures is different from rates. In regulatory language, rate structures is fixed charge vs variable, classes of service, price points, etc. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:19 PM<Subject: Re:<That's what he was referring to. It is there and should be used-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:16 PM<Subject: Re:<I'll look. I can't recall ever seeing that word used in 366.06<The phrase "public acceptance of rate structures" is used in the very last sentence of (1)<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:11 PM<Subject: Re:<Got it. I can't find the word "acceptable" can u ?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:10 PM<Subject: Re:<Sent. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:58 PM<Subject: Re:<Did u hear what mowirther said. Get me that statute send to g mail. 366.06 <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:54 PM<Subject: Re:<I can probably find out tomorrow how many staff are approved to go. I would guess less then 5 with the ban on non-mission critical travel. As far as commissioners, I can ask aides/secretaries tomorrow. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:51 PM<Subject: Re:<I want to know, when we find out how many commish and staff went-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:49 PM<Subject: Re:<Naruc scheduled next week, so nothing for you. <Nothing the week of 3-7 August either. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry</p>
762	7/16/09 20:00	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Sometimes legislators can't see beyond their districts and many don't even see that
763	7/16/09 20:01	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Yep

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
764	7/16/09 20:01	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yep. Most of them couldn't care less about their poor constituents - until the tidal wave gets so large they have to. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:00 PM> Subject: Re: Sometimes legislators can't see beyond their districts and many don't even see that<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:56 PM> Subject: Re: He should have been able to see it in advance<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:54 PM> Subject: Re: Yes, but only after he was made aware of what was about to come<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:54 PM> Subject: Re: I would agree with you - he told pef to settle down in his district and they did it. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:53 PM> Subject: Re: No they are scared to death to do it. And fasano sent a msg I'm sure<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:52 PM> Subject: Re: Nope. I guess its past their bedtime. They only work 9-6 in pinellas. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:51 PM> Subject: Re: Doesn't appear to be any brave shills there<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:48 PM> Subject: Re: You know I was being sarcastic. He really doesn't get it - e just doesn't. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:46 PM> Subject: Re: Just the fact that hour could not judge the emotion of that spkr, to ask about her meter? <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:39 PM> Subject: Re: How can you say that??<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:37 PM> Subject: Re: NS is an idiot<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:31 PM> Subject: Re: Done<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:30 PM> Subject: Re: Put " economic conditions" in folder also<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:29 PM> Subject: Re: Did it. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:28 PM> Subject: Re: Tab last lady who took neighbor to hosp<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:26 PM> Subject: Re: I didn't, but if MC says its so, it must be true. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:24 PM> Subject: Re: Ok. Hey I didn't knw I loved the word prudent. Did you?<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:23 PM> Subject: Re: Rate structures is different from rates. In regulatory language, rate structures is fixed charge vs variable, classes of service, price points, etc. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:19 PM> Subject: Re: That's what he was referring to. It is there and should be used<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:16 PM> Subject: Re: I'll look. I can't recall ever seeing that word used in 366.06<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:11 PM> Subject: Re: Got it. I can't find the word "acceptable" can u ?<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:10 PM> Subject: Re: Sent. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:58 PM> Subject: Re: Did u hear what mcwirthner said. Get me that statute send to g mail. 366.06 <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:54 PM> Subject: Re: I can probably find out tomorrow how many staff are approved to go. I would guess less then 5 with the ban on non-mission critical travel. As far as commissioners, I can ask aides/secretaries tomorrow. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:51 PM> Subject: Re: I want to know, when we find out how many commish and staff went<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:49</p>
765	7/16/09 20:02	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	The people are really screwed
766	7/16/09 20:09	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	
767	7/16/09 20:11	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	That and the contributions issue is indicative of the stock holders being happy with their current profits, thus maybe they do not need more ROE. Put contrbns and advertising issue in folder too
768	7/16/09 20:17	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Can you think of another source to get executive and top salaries? Is the ltr we gave today part of record yet?
769	7/16/09 20:20	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Letter is filed in the dockets so is public record now. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:17 PM> Subject: Re: Can you think of another source to get executive and top salaries? Is the ltr we gave today part of record yet?<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:01 PM> Subject: Re: Yep. Most of them couldn't care less about their poor constituents - until the tidal wave gets so large they have to. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:00 PM> Subject: Re: Sometimes legislators can't see beyond their districts and many don't even see that<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:56 PM> Subject: Re: He should have been able to see it in advance<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:54 PM> Subject: Re: Yes, but only after he was made aware of what was about to come<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:54 PM> Subject: Re: I would agree with you - he told pef to settle down in his district and they did it. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:53 PM> Subject: Re: No they are scared to death to do it. And fasano sent a msg I'm sure<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:52 PM> Subject: Re: Nope. I guess its past their bedtime. They only work 9-6 in pinellas. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:51 PM> Subject: Re: Doesn't appear to be any brave shills there<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:48 PM> Subject: Re: You know I was being sarcastic. He really doesn't get it - e just doesn't. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:46 PM> Subject: Re: Just the fact that hour could not judge the emotion of that spkr, to ask about her meter? <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:39 PM> Subject: Re: How can you say that??<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:37 PM> Subject: Re: NS is an idiot<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:31 PM> Subject: Re: Done<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:30 PM> Subject: Re: Put " economic conditions" in folder also<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:29 PM> Subject: Re: Did it. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:28 PM> Subject: Re: Tab last lady who took neighbor to hosp<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:26 PM> Subject: Re: I didn't, but if MC says its so, it must be true. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:24 PM> Subject: Re: Ok. Hey I didn't knw I loved the word prudent. Did you?<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:23 PM> Subject: Re: Rate structures is different from rates. In regulatory language, rate structures is fixed charge vs variable, classes of service, price points, etc. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:19 PM> Subject: Re: That's what he was referring to. It is there and should be used<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:16 PM> Subject: Re: I'll look. I can't recall ever seeing that word used in 366.06<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:11 PM> Subject: Re: Got it. I can't find the word "acceptable" can u ?<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:10 PM> Subject: Re: Sent. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:58 PM> Subject: Re: Did u hear what mcwirthner said. Get me that statute send to g mail. 366.06 <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 16, 2009 6:54</p>
770	7/16/09 20:21	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	And they want confidentiality for all
771	7/16/09 20:22	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Is there some other place to get some or all of what we have and going to be getting? S E C

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
775	7/16/09 20:27	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>As I said, I haven't been able to match up exactly what parts they want confidential. <?>They can't have some of the top people b/c that is public record. Q is who is and who isn't. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:25 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>So they would not be confidential. But they are included in what they want to be confidential<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:24 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>I think we did ask for some from SEC. I don't recall what we got. I'll follow up with staff in the morning. Might be they have the very top people. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:22 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Is there some other place to get some or all of what we have and going to be getting? S E C<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:20 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Letter is filed in the dockets so is public record now. <?>We have the executives for all. Waiting for the 165k and above for pef/plr. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:17 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Can you think of another source to get executive and top salaries? Is the ltr we gave today part of record yet?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:01 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Yep. Most of them couldn't care less about their poor constituents - until the tidal wave gets so large they have to. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:00 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Sometimes legislators can't see beyond their districts and many don't even see that<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:56 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>He should have been able to see it in advance!<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:54 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Yes, but only after he was made aware of what was about to come<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:54 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>I would agree with you - he told pef to settle down in his district and they did it. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:53 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>No they are scared to death to do it. And fasano sent a msg I'm sure<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:52 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Nope. I guess its past their bedtime. They only work 9-6 in pinellas. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:51 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Doesn't appear to be any brave shills there<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:48 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>You know I was being sarcastic. He really doesn't get it - e just doesn't. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:46 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Just the fact that hour could not judge the emotion of that spkr, to ask about her meter? <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:39 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>How can you say that??<?>He really cares about the poor, elderly consumers. He's their protector!<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:37 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>NS is an idiot<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:31 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Done <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:30 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Put " economic conditions" in folder also<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:29 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Did it. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:28 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Tab last lady who took neighbor to hosp<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:26 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>I didn't, but if MC says its so, it must be true. <?>I wondered if we were going to get the "I support nuclear power..." line. Glad to see he didn't disappoint. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:24 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Ok. Hey I didn't knw I loved the word prudent. Did you?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:23 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Rate structures is different from rates. In regulatory language, rate structures is fixed charge vs variable, classes of service, price points, etc. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:19</p>
776	7/16/09 20:28	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>I can understand that, they don't want to get killed. Are you now telling me that they are not saying they want to keep amounts confidential? If that's the case I don't care. We can redact the names and use titles and amounts</p>
777	7/16/09 20:32	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I don't know. <?>I haven't matched everything up yet. <?>My impression is that teco is worried about the names more than amounts. <?>From the early responses we got from fpl and pef, I think they were some confidential and some not - names and amounts. <?>I haven't had time to see what is what and go through what we have. <?>Fpuc=nothing conf. <?>Gulf no amounts, only names conf. <?>Teco ??? <?>Fpl ??? <?>Pef ??? <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:28 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>I can understand that, they don't want to get killed. Are you now telling me that they are not saying they want to keep amounts confidential? If that's the case I don't care. We can redact the names and use titles and amounts<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:25 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>I don't think so. <?>I haven't had a chance to go through and see exactly what they want. If they are like teco, its the names more then the amounts. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:21 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>And they want confidentiality for all<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:20 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Letter is filed in the dockets so is public record now. <?>We have the executives for all. Waiting for the 165k and above for pef/plr. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:17 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Can you think of another source to get executive and top salaries? Is the ltr we gave today part of record yet?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:01 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Yep. Most of them couldn't care less about their poor constituents - until the tidal wave gets so large they have to. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:00 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Sometimes legislators can't see beyond their districts and many don't even see that<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:56 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>He should have been able to see it in advance!<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:54 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Yes, but only after he was made aware of what was about to come<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:54 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>I would agree with you - he told pef to settle down in his district and they did it. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:53 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>No they are scared to death to do it. And fasano sent a msg I'm sure<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:52 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Nope. I guess its past their bedtime. They only work 9-6 in pinellas. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:51 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Doesn't appear to be any brave shills there<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:48 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>You know I was being sarcastic. He really doesn't get it - e just doesn't. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:46 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Just the fact that hour could not judge the emotion of that spkr, to ask about her meter? <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:39 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>How can you say that??<?>He really cares about the poor, elderly consumers. He's their protector!<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:37 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>NS is an idiot<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:31 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Done <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:30 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Put " economic conditions" in folder also<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:29 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Did it. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:28 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Tab last lady who took neighbor to hosp<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:26 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>I didn't, but if MC says its so, it must be true. <?>I wondered if we were going to get the "I support nuclear power..." line. Glad to see he didn't disappoint. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:24 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Ok. Hey I didn't knw I loved the word prudent. Did you?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy</p>
778	7/16/09 21:00	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Tab her
779	7/16/09 21:02	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Do u know how many more?

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
780	7/16/09 21:04	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I have us up to #27, and I was told 46 signed up. But then LE swore in more. BUT many have left. I'd guess at least 15 more. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 9:02 PM><Subject: Re: <Do u know how many more?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:32 PM><Subject: Re: <I don't know. <I haven't matched everything up yet. <My impression is that teco is worried about the names more than amounts. <From the early responses we got from fpl and pef, I think they were some confidential and some not - names and amounts. <I haven't had time to see what is what and go through what we have. <Fpuc=nothing conf. <Gulf no amounts, only names conf. <Teco ???? <Fpl ??? <Pef ??? <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:28 PM><Subject: Re: <I can understand that, they don't want to get killed. Are you now telling me that they are not saying they want to keep amounts confidential? If that's the case I don't care. We can redact the names and use titles and amounts><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:25 PM><Subject: Re: <I don't think so. <I haven't had a chance to go through and see exactly what they want. If they are like teco, its the names more than the amounts. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:21 PM><Subject: Re: <And they want confidentiality for all><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:20 PM><Subject: Re: <Letter is filed in the dockets so is public record now. <We have the executives for all. Waiting for the 165k and above for pef/fpl. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:17 PM><Subject: Re: <Can you think of another source to get executive and top salaries? Is the ltr we gave today part of record yet?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:01 PM><Subject: Re: <Yep. Most of them couldn't care less about their poor constituents - until the tidal wave gets so large they have to. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:00 PM><Subject: Re: <Sometimes legislators can't see beyond their districts and many don't even see that><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:56 PM><Subject: Re: <He should have been able to see it in advance!><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:54 PM><Subject: Re: <Yes, but only after he was made aware of what was about to come><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:54 PM><Subject: Re: <I would agree with you - he told pef to settle down in his district and they did it. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:53 PM><Subject: Re: <No they are scared to death to do it. And fasano sent a msg I'm sure><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:52 PM><Subject: Re: <Nope. I guess its past their bedtime. They only work 9-6 in pinellas. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:51 PM><Subject: Re: <Doesn't appear to be any brave shills there><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:48 PM><Subject: Re: <You know I was being sarcastic. He really doesn't get it - e just doesn't. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:46 PM><Subject: Re: <Just the fact that hour could not judge the emotion of that spkr, to ask about her meter? <Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:39 PM><Subject: Re: <How can you say that??><He really cares about the poor, elderly consumers. He's their protector!><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:37 PM><Subject: Re: <NS is an idiot><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:31 PM><Subject: Re: <Done><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:30 PM><Subject: Re: <Put " economic conditions" in folder also><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:29 PM><Subject: Re: <Did it. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:28 PM><Subject: Re: <Tab last lady who took neighbor to hosp><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy</p>
781	7/16/09 21:04	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Wow
782	7/16/09 21:46	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Hey, that was great how after your comment, NS had to pipe in with his own story. He just can't not copy what you do. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 9:04 PM><Subject: Re: <Wow><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 9:04 PM><Subject: Re: <I have us up to #27, and I was told 46 signed up. But then LE swore in more. BUT many have left. I'd guess at least 15 more. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 9:02 PM><Subject: Re: <Do u know how many more?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:32 PM><Subject: Re: <I don't know. <I haven't matched everything up yet. <My impression is that teco is worried about the names more than amounts. <From the early responses we got from fpl and pef, I think they were some confidential and some not - names and amounts. <I haven't had time to see what is what and go through what we have. <Fpuc=nothing conf. <Gulf no amounts, only names conf. <Teco ???? <Fpl ??? <Pef ??? <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:28 PM><Subject: Re: <I can understand that, they don't want to get killed. Are you now telling me that they are not saying they want to keep amounts confidential? If that's the case I don't care. We can redact the names and use titles and amounts><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:25 PM><Subject: Re: <I don't think so. <I haven't had a chance to go through and see exactly what they want. If they are like teco, its the names more than the amounts. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:21 PM><Subject: Re: <And they want confidentiality for all><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:20 PM><Subject: Re: <Letter is filed in the dockets so is public record now. <We have the executives for all. Waiting for the 165k and above for pef/fpl. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:17 PM><Subject: Re: <Can you think of another source to get executive and top salaries? Is the ltr we gave today part of record yet?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:01 PM><Subject: Re: <Yep. Most of them couldn't care less about their poor constituents - until the tidal wave gets so large they have to. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 8:00 PM><Subject: Re: <Sometimes legislators can't see beyond their districts and many don't even see that><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:56 PM><Subject: Re: <He should have been able to see it in advance!><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:54 PM><Subject: Re: <Yes, but only after he was made aware of what was about to come><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:54 PM><Subject: Re: <I would agree with you - he told pef to settle down in his district and they did it. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:53 PM><Subject: Re: <No they are scared to death to do it. And fasano sent a msg I'm sure><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:52 PM><Subject: Re: <Nope. I guess its past their bedtime. They only work 9-6 in pinellas. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:51 PM><Subject: Re: <Doesn't appear to be any brave shills there><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:48 PM><Subject: Re: <You know I was being sarcastic. He really doesn't get it - e just doesn't. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:46 PM><Subject: Re: <Just the fact that hour could not judge the emotion of that spkr, to ask about her meter? <Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:39 PM><Subject: Re: <How can you say that??><He really cares about the poor, elderly consumers. He's their protector!><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:37 PM><Subject: Re: <NS is an idiot><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:31 PM><Subject: Re: <Done><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 16, 2009 7:30 PM><Subject: Re: <Put " economic conditions" in</p>
783	7/16/09 21:49	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I just wanted the guy not to feel defeated and let him know I could relate. I hate to tell him what I really think this commission will do. NS just can't help it
784	7/17/09 8:27	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Samr number this morn?
785	7/17/09 8:30	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Same number. <8888086959><4136004 code><I was told phone is up and running, but b/c its a big, open room, the echos are sort of bad. <Staff was working to position phone and mics to minimize echos. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:27 AM><Subject: <Samr number this morn?><
786	7/17/09 8:30	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Ok
787	7/17/09 8:57	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	BTW its in the "citrus county auditorium". <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:30 AM><Subject: Re: <Ok><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:30 AM><Subject: Re: <Same number. <8888086959><4136004 code><I was told phone is up and running, but b/c its a big, open room, the echos are sort of bad. <Staff was working to position phone and mics to minimize echos. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:27 AM><Subject: <Samr number this morn?><
788	7/17/09 8:57	Nancy Argenziano: 32CD37E0	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I know. Thanks. Been there many times
789	7/17/09 9:20	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	How many people and how many signed up
790	7/17/09 9:21	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I'll check><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:20 AM><Subject: Re: <How many people and how many signed up><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:57 AM><Subject: Re: <BTW its in the "citrus county auditorium". <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:30 AM><Subject: Re: <Ok><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:30 AM><Subject: Re: <Same number. <8888086959><4136004 code><I was told phone is up and running, but b/c its a big, open room, the echos are sort of bad. <Staff was working to position phone and mics to minimize echos. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:27 AM><Subject: <Samr number this morn?><</p>
791	7/17/09 9:24	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Has our ltr been filed in cases

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
802	7/17/09 10:04	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	We lost the phones. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:59 AM<Subject: Re: Ask staff to figure out how we can delay the upfront recoveries and I want it in writing <Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re: Mike needs to shut up. Those people need to speak and there is only 3 hours. Chair needs to do something. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re: I know<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re: And that ocala is 2:00. <That is B.S. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:28 AM<Subject: Re: I'm not happy that they did not schedule this until later. As they did yesterday<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:27 AM<Subject: Re: Cindy says about 200 in room and 51 signed up so far, with possibility of more. <Its going to be hard to get through everybody this morning. I think the chairman is going to have a problem. <I'm surprised "his back" was well enough to allow him to attend this AM. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:24 AM<Subject: Re: Has our ltr been filed in cases<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:21 AM<Subject: Re: I'll check<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:20 AM<Subject: Re: How many people and how many signed up<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:57 AM<Subject: Re: BTW its in the "citrus county auditorium". <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:30 AM<Subject: Re: Ok<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:30 AM<Subject: Re: 8888086959<4136004 code<I was told phone is up and running, but b/c its a big, open room, the echos are sort of bad. <Staff was working to position phone and mics to minimize echos. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:27 AM<Subject: Re: Samr number this
803	7/17/09 10:05	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Me too
804	7/17/09 10:05	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Text someone now and tell them
805	7/17/09 10:06	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Sent to cindy and bill g<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: Text someone now and tell them<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 10:04 AM<Subject: Re: We lost the phones. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:59 AM<Subject: Re: Ask staff to figure out how we can delay the upfront recoveries and I want it in writing <Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re: Mike needs to shut up. Those people need to speak and there is only 3 hours. Chair needs to do something. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re: I know<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re: And that ocala is 2:00. <That is B.S. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:28 AM<Subject: Re: I'm not happy that they did not schedule this until later. As they did yesterday<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:27 AM<Subject: Re: Cindy says about 200 in room and 51 signed up so far, with possibility of more. <Its going to be hard to get through everybody this morning. I think the chairman is going to have a problem. <I'm surprised "his back" was well enough to allow him to attend this AM. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:24 AM<Subject: Re: Has our ltr been filed in cases<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:21 AM<Subject: Re: I'll check<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:20 AM<Subject: Re: How many people and how many signed up<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:57 AM<Subject: Re: BTW its in the "citrus county auditorium". <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:30 AM<Subject: Re: Ok<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:30 AM<Subject: Re: Same number. <8888086959<4136004 code<I was told phone is up and running, but b/c its a big, open room, the echos are sort of bad. <Staff was working to position phone and mics to minimize echos. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:27 AM<Subject: Re: Samr number this
806	7/17/09 10:07	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I am so angry at this. We can go sleep in space stations but we can't get a spkr phone to work
807	7/17/09 10:07	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Cindy mair said "we're working on it." <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: Text someone now and tell them<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 10:04 AM<Subject: Re: We lost the phones. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:59 AM<Subject: Re: Ask staff to figure out how we can delay the upfront recoveries and I want it in writing <Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re: Mike needs to shut up. Those people need to speak and there is only 3 hours. Chair needs to do something. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re: I know<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re: And that ocala is 2:00. <That is B.S. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:28 AM<Subject: Re: I'm not happy that they did not schedule this until later. As they did yesterday<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:27 AM<Subject: Re: Cindy says about 200 in room and 51 signed up so far, with possibility of more. <Its going to be hard to get through everybody this morning. I think the chairman is going to have a problem. <I'm surprised "his back" was well enough to allow him to attend this AM. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:24 AM<Subject: Re: Has our ltr been filed in cases<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:21 AM<Subject: Re: I'll check<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:20 AM<Subject: Re: How many people and how many signed up<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:57 AM<Subject: Re: BTW its in the "citrus county auditorium". <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:30 AM<Subject: Re: Ok<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:30 AM<Subject: Re: Same number. <8888086959<4136004 code<I was told phone is up and running, but b/c its a big, open room, the echos are sort of bad. <Staff was working to position phone and mics to minimize echos. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:27 AM<Subject: Re: Samr number this
808	7/17/09 10:08	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Yep. I wonder what happened. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 10:07 AM<Subject: Re: I am so angry at this. We can go sleep in space stations but we can't get a spkr phone to work<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 10:06 AM<Subject: Re: Sent to cindy and bill g<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: Text someone now and tell them<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 10:04 AM<Subject: Re: We lost the phones. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:59 AM<Subject: Re: Ask staff to figure out how we can delay the upfront recoveries and I want it in writing <Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re: Mike needs to shut up. Those people need to speak and there is only 3 hours. Chair needs to do something. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re: I know<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re: And that ocala is 2:00. <That is B.S. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:28 AM<Subject: Re: I'm not happy that they did not schedule this until later. As they did yesterday<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:27 AM<Subject: Re: Cindy says about 200 in room and 51 signed up so far, with possibility of more. <Its going to be hard to get through everybody this morning. I think the chairman is going to have a problem. <I'm surprised "his back" was well enough to allow him to attend this AM. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:24 AM<Subject: Re: Has our ltr been filed in cases<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:21 AM<Subject: Re: I'll check<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 9:20 AM<Subject: Re: How many people and how many signed up<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:57 AM<Subject: Re: BTW its in the "citrus county auditorium". <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:30 AM<Subject: Re: Ok<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:30 AM<Subject: Re: Same number. <8888086959<4136004 code<I was told phone is up and running, but b/c its a big, open room, the echos are sort of bad. <Staff was working to position phone and mics to minimize echos. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 8:27 AM<Subject: Re: Samr number this
809	7/17/09 10:30	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Also put in folder. "Not fair to older citizens to pay up front recoveries"
810	7/17/09 11:30	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I don't really know. I'm trying to find and print tecu briefs. So I'm only half listening. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 11:28 AM<Subject: Re: What did he say. I was distracted<
811	7/17/09 11:30	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Did steve hear or anyone else
812	7/17/09 11:31	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	No, he's gone for lunch. There will be a transcript that I'll print out. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 11:30 AM<Subject: Re: Did steve hear or anyone else<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 11:30 AM<Subject: Re: I don't really know. I'm trying to find and print tecu briefs. So I'm only half listening. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 11:28 AM<Subject: Re: What did he say. I was distracted<
813	7/17/09 11:32	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	That will be to late

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
846	7/17/09 16:57	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: 2:00	Will do. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 4:54 PM<Subject: Re: 2:00<Have you heard from chair re confidentiality issue? When will we be addressing this issue? Mon morn u need to tell them I have public request and it can't wait till aug 16 and that the PSC and all its talked about "broad discretion" should come up with mechanism to address if it is or is not confidential ASAP. Please feel free to share this written communication with the Chair<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 4:26 PM<Subject: Re: 2:00<Yep. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 4:17 PM<Subject: Re: 2:00<Something really wrong isn't there?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 4:15 PM<Subject: Re: 2:00<Yes, that's how he rolls. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 4:14 PM<Subject: Re: 2:00<Can u believe he did that? Tried to use that lame fpl issue. <-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 4:02 PM<Subject: Re: 2:00<Wouldn't it be nice if it did?<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: 2:00<You mean our "broad discretion" doesn't allow us to repeal the statute?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 2:18 PM<Subject: Re: 2:00<17 signed up, and about 70 in the room. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 2:10 PM<Subject: Re: 2:00<Find out how many spkrs<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 2:01 PM<Subject: 2:00<Phone is live. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 11:34 AM<Subject: Re:<Not ur fault<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 11:33 AM<Subject: Re:<Sorry. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 11:32 AM<Subject: Re:<That will be to late<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 11:31 AM<Subject: Re:<No, he's gone for lunch. There will be a transcript that I'll print out. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 11:30 AM<Subject: Re:<Did steve hear or anyone else<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 11:30 AM<Subject: Re:<I don't really know. I'm trying to find and print teo briefs. So I'm only half listening. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 11:28 AM<Subject: <What did he say. I was
847	7/17/09 16:57	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: 2:00	
848	7/17/09 16:58	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: 2:00	Thanks you too!<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 4:57 PM<Subject: Re: 2:00<Have a good weekend u deserve it after this week<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 4:57 PM<Subject: Re: 2:00<Will do. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 4:54 PM<Subject: Re: 2:00<Have you heard from chair re confidentiality issue? When will we be addressing this issue? Mon morn u need to tell them I have public request and it can't wait till aug 16 and that the PSC and all its talked about "broad discretion" should come up with mechanism to address if it is or is not confidential ASAP. Please feel free to share this written communication with the Chair<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 4:26 PM<Subject: Re: 2:00<Yep. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 4:17 PM<Subject: Re: 2:00<Something really wrong isn't there?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 4:15 PM<Subject: Re: 2:00<Yes, that's how he rolls. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 4:14 PM<Subject: Re: 2:00<Can u believe he did that? Tried to use that lame fpl issue. <-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 4:02 PM<Subject: Re: 2:00<Wouldn't it be nice if it did?<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: 2:00<You mean our "broad discretion" doesn't allow us to repeal the statute? <-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 2:18 PM<Subject: Re: 2:00<17 signed up, and about 70 in the room. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 2:10 PM<Subject: Re: 2:00<Find out how many spkrs<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 2:01 PM<Subject: 2:00<Phone is live. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 11:34 AM<Subject: Re:<Not ur fault<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 11:33 AM<Subject: Re:<Sorry. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 11:32 AM<Subject: Re:<That will be to late<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 11:31 AM<Subject: Re:<No, he's gone for lunch. There will be a transcript that I'll print out. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 11:30 AM<Subject: Re:<Did steve hear or anyone else<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 11:30 AM<Subject: Re:<I don't really know. I'm trying to find and print teo briefs. So I'm only half listening. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 17, 2009 11:28 AM<Subject: <What did he say. I was
849	7/24/09 13:12	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Which company gave that ridiculous offer?
850	7/24/09 13:14	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Fpl. Pef has basically said they plan to do whatever fpl does. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 24, 2009 1:12 PM<Subject: <Which company gave that ridiculous offer? <
851	7/24/09 13:54	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Did u get e mail to booter?
852	7/24/09 13:56	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Just got your email printing it now. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 24, 2009 1:54 PM<Subject: Re:<Did u get e mail to booter?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 24, 2009 1:14 PM<Subject: Re:<Fpl. Pef has basically said they plan to do whatever fpl does. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 24, 2009 1:12 PM<Subject: <Which company gave that ridiculous offer? <
853	7/24/09 13:56	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Ok
854	7/24/09 14:00	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Booter not here, office dark and notes on his computer screen. His secretary also gone, computer off. MaryAnne gone for the afternoon. Don't know who is in charge or if you want me to give it to anyone else. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 24, 2009 1:56 PM<Subject: Re:<Ok<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 24, 2009 1:56 PM<Subject: Re:<Just got your email printing it now. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 24, 2009 1:54 PM<Subject: Re:<Did u get e mail to booter?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 24, 2009 1:14 PM<Subject: Re:<Fpl. Pef has basically said they plan to do whatever fpl does. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 24, 2009 1:12 PM<Subject: <Which company gave that ridiculous offer? <
855	7/24/09 14:01	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	No call booter cell and tell him it is of critical nature and I expect our general counsel to address this today
856	7/24/09 14:03	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Did u get my last?
857	7/24/09 14:04	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Letter	One thing about your letter. Remember you are prohibited by 350 from commenting on a pending matter and showing bias. You have to be careful here. <You will be voting at the 8/16 agenda on this item - my advice is you are already very close to non-impartiality on this issue. You don't want an ethics complaint. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 24, 2009 1:56 PM<Subject: Re:<Ok<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 24, 2009 1:56 PM<Subject: Re:<Just got your email printing it now. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 24, 2009 1:54 PM<Subject: Re:<Did u get e mail to booter?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 24, 2009 1:14 PM<Subject: Re:<Fpl. Pef has basically said they plan to do whatever fpl does. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 24, 2009 1:12 PM<Subject: <Which company gave that ridiculous offer? <
858	7/24/09 14:06	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Yes I will do it. Per my last, I would advise a couple deep breaths here. If a motion to disqualify comes, we don't want too much to support it. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 24, 2009 2:03 PM<Subject: <Did u get my last?<
859	7/24/09 14:08	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Just hold a min and let me think. I have asked all companies. Not just ones with cases. Don't call booter just yet
860	7/24/09 14:10	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	How does trying to get something made public show bias?
861	7/24/09 14:10	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I'll wait until I here from you. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 24, 2009 2:08 PM<Subject: Re:<Just hold a min and let me think. I have asked all companies. Not just ones with cases. Don't call booter just yet<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 24, 2009 2:06 PM<Subject: Re:<Yes I will do it. Per my last, I would advise a couple deep breaths here. If a motion to disqualify comes, we don't want too much to support it. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 24, 2009 2:03 PM<Subject: <Did u get my last?<
862	7/24/09 14:12	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	It is not about the issue it is about the publics right to that info and the timely manner which the law says it shall be given.
863	7/24/09 14:51	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	If taken off a case are there preclusions to speaking about it?
864	7/24/09 14:54	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Very few. Only 350.41(g)and (h) - "unprofessional manner" and "avoid impropriety and promote public confidence"<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 24, 2009 2:51 PM<Subject: Re:<If taken off a case are there preclusions to speaking about it?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 24, 2009 2:06 PM<Subject: Re:<Yes I will do it. Per my last, I would advise a couple deep breaths here. If a motion to disqualify comes, we don't want too much to support it. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 24, 2009 2:03 PM<Subject: <Did u get my last?<

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
901	7/27/09 9:36	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	No. They are not involved in this case. Only fpl and FGT. Its sad when a private company is the only intervenor to protect the ratepayers. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:34 AM<Subject: Re: <And is opc going to say anything?<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:32 AM<Subject: Re: <Lisa and KM here now. Standing around visiting. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re: <No prob I am calling in now<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re: <Oh. Might be personal email. I'll look when I get back to office. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:29 AM<Subject: Re: <They were replies to yours<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:28 AM<Subject: Re: <On way down at hearing room to \$ake sure no problems and scout. Don't see emails on BB<Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:26 AM<Subject: Re: <I have e mailed u twice<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:13 AM<Subject: Re: <I'll let him know. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM<Subject: Re: <Yes<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM<Subject: Re: <Bill came by. They are going to do "a moment of silence" for Sen King. He asked if you'd want to say anything?<Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:00 AM<Subject: Re: <Starting at nine or nine thirty<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 8:59 AM<Subject: <None of the comsrs are down here yet.
902	7/27/09 9:37	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Why isn't opc involved
903	7/27/09 9:37	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	There is no real need. They almost certainly can get by w/o it. Fpls point is it might be good thing in the long term. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:35 AM<Subject: Re: <Is there a real need at this time for them to build this pipeline? Can they get by with existing <Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:32 AM<Subject: Re: <Lisa and KM here now. Standing around visiting. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re: <No prob I am calling in now<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re: <Oh. Might be personal email. I'll look when I get back to office. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:29 AM<Subject: Re: <They were replies to yours<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:28 AM<Subject: Re: <On way down at hearing room to \$ake sure no problems and scout. Don't see emails on BB<Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:26 AM<Subject: Re: <I have e mailed u twice<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:13 AM<Subject: Re: <I'll let him know. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM<Subject: Re: <Yes<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM<Subject: Re: <Bill came by. They are going to do "a moment of silence" for Sen King. He asked if you'd want to say anything?<Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:00 AM<Subject: Re: <Starting at nine or nine thirty<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 8:59 AM<Subject: <None of the comsrs are down here yet.
904	7/27/09 9:38	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Got it. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:36 AM<Subject: Re: <Have steve come down to see if he recognizes them<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:35 AM<Subject: Re: <No. They are supposed to be from some private production company. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:33 AM<Subject: Re: <Do cameraman look familiar<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:31 AM<Subject: Re: <There are 3 cameras in the room. They "will not disclose who they are filming for" according to chuck. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re: <No prob I am calling in now<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re: <Oh. Might be personal email. I'll look when I get back to office. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:29 AM<Subject: Re: <They were replies to yours<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:28 AM<Subject: Re: <On way down at hearing room to \$ake sure no problems and scout. Don't see emails on BB<Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:26 AM<Subject: Re: <I have e mailed u twice<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:13 AM<Subject: Re: <I'll let him know. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM<Subject: Re: <Yes<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM<Subject: Re: <Bill came by. They are going to do "a moment of silence" for Sen King. He asked if you'd want to say anything?<Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:00 AM<Subject: Re: <Starting at nine or nine thirty<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 8:59 AM<Subject: <None of the comsrs are down here yet.
905	7/27/09 9:39	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	That \$2 will be on top of everything else. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:36 AM<Subject: Re: <In addition to rate and roe increase?<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:35 AM<Subject: Re: <\$1.6 in rate base. I think I saw in the testimony that's about \$2 a month. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:34 AM<Subject: Re: <Talk to ne about your reservation of fpls request. How much to customers<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:32 AM<Subject: Re: <Lisa and KM here now. Standing around visiting. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re: <No prob I am calling in now<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re: <Oh. Might be personal email. I'll look when I get back to office. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:29 AM<Subject: Re: <They were replies to yours<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:28 AM<Subject: Re: <On way down at hearing room to \$ake sure no problems and scout. Don't see emails on BB<Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:26 AM<Subject: Re: <I have e mailed u twice<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:13 AM<Subject: Re: <I'll let him know. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM<Subject: Re: <Yes<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM<Subject: Re: <Bill came by. They are going to do "a moment of silence" for Sen King. He asked if you'd want to say anything?<Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:00 AM<Subject: Re: <Starting at nine or nine thirty<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 8:59 AM<Subject: <None of the comsrs are down here yet.
906	7/27/09 9:39	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Well then its a horrible time to want to do this. I wonder if cameras will stay entire time? Or fold up after 10 mins.
907	7/27/09 9:39	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I do not know. <They haven't traditionally gotten involved in power plant need cases. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:37 AM<Subject: Re: <Why isn't opc involved<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:36 AM<Subject: Re: <No. They are not involved in this case. Only fpl and FGT. Its sad when a private company is the only intervenor to protect the ratepayers. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:34 AM<Subject: Re: <And is opc going to say anything?<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:32 AM<Subject: Re: <Lisa and KM here now. Standing around visiting. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re: <No prob I am calling in now<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:29 AM<Subject: Re: <Oh. Might be personal email. I'll look when I get back to office. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:29 AM<Subject: Re: <They were replies to yours<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:28 AM<Subject: Re: <On way down at hearing room to \$ake sure no problems and scout. Don't see emails on BB<Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:26 AM<Subject: Re: <I have e mailed u twice<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:13 AM<Subject: Re: <I'll let him know. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM<Subject: Re: <Yes<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM<Subject: Re: <Bill came by. They are going to do "a moment of silence" for Sen King. He asked if you'd want to say anything?<Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:00 AM<Subject: Re: <Starting at nine or nine thirty<Original Message: <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 8:59 AM<Subject: <None of the comsrs are down here yet.
908	7/27/09 9:40	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	But the pipeline is not needed and isn't this a need determination?

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
909	7/27/09 9:41	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Fpl is going to try and convince you it is needed. They will need some amount of NG in the future; Q is how much and can FGT supply it? -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:40 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>But the pipeline is not needed and isn't this a need determination?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:39 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>That \$2 will be on top of everything else. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:36 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>In addition to rate and roe increase?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:35 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>\$1.6 in rate base. I think I saw in the testimony that's about \$2 a month. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:34 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Talk to me about your reservation of fpls request. How much to customers?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:32 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Lisa and KM here now. Standing around visiting. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>No prob I am calling in now?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Oh. Might be personal email. I'll look when I get back to office. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:29 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>They were replies to yours?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:28 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>On way down at hearing room to \$ake sure no problems and scout. Don't see emails on BB?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:26 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>I have e mailed u twice?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:13 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>I'll let him know. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Yes?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Bill came by. They are going to do "a moment of silence" for Sen King. He asked if you'd want to say anything?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:00 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Starting at nine or nine thirty?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 8:59 AM><Subject: <<>>None of the comsrs are down here yet.
910	7/27/09 9:43	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	This is not the time to do it
911	7/27/09 9:43	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I believe entire time. 1 aimed at bench, 1 at witness, 1 at counsel table. <<>>Not wearing ID badges or clear press credentials. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:39 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Well then its a horrible time to want to do this. I wonder if cameras will stay entire time? Or fold up after 10 mins. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:37 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>There is no real need. They almost certainly can get by w/o it. Fpls point is it might be good thing in the long term. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:35 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Is there a real need at this time for them to build this pipeline? Can they get by with existing <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:32 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Lisa and KM here now. Standing around visiting. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>No prob I am calling in now?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Oh. Might be personal email. I'll look when I get back to office. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:29 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>They were replies to yours?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:28 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>On way down at hearing room to \$ake sure no problems and scout. Don't see emails on BB?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:26 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>I have e mailed u twice?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:13 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>I'll let him know. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Yes?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Bill came by. They are going to do "a moment of silence" for Sen King. He asked if you'd want to say anything?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:00 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Starting at nine or nine thirty?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 8:59 AM><Subject: <<>>None of the comsrs are down here yet.
912	7/27/09 9:45	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	What are these stipulations
913	7/27/09 9:46	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I think that is probably right. FPL will say with the 2 new repowerings it is an opportunity, and with the economy in the tank prices for materials will be favorable. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:43 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>This is not the time to do it?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:41 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Fpl is going to try and convince you it is needed. They will need some amount of NG in the future; Q is how much and can FGT supply it?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:40 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>But the pipeline is not needed and isn't this a need determination?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:39 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>That \$2 will be on top of everything else. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:36 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>In addition to rate and roe increase?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:35 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>\$1.6 in rate base. I think I saw in the testimony that's about \$2 a month. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:34 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Talk to me about your reservation of fpls request. How much to customers?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:32 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Lisa and KM here now. Standing around visiting. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>No prob I am calling in now?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Oh. Might be personal email. I'll look when I get back to office. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:29 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>They were replies to yours?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:28 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>On way down at hearing room to \$ake sure no problems and scout. Don't see emails on BB?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:26 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>I have e mailed u twice?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:13 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>I'll let him know. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Yes?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Bill came by. They are going to do "a moment of silence" for Sen King. He asked if you'd want to say anything?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:00 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Starting at nine or nine thirty?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 8:59 AM><Subject: <<>>None of the comsrs are
914	7/27/09 9:46	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	U should have advised me of stips
915	7/27/09 9:46	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Procedural stuff. They are fine - not of any substance. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:45 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>What are these stipulations?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:43 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>I believe entire time. 1 aimed at bench, 1 at witness, 1 at counsel table. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:39 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Well then its a horrible time to want to do this. I wonder if cameras will stay entire time? Or fold up after 10 mins. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:37 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>There is no real need. They almost certainly can get by w/o it. Fpls point is it might be good thing in the long term. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:35 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Is there a real need at this time for them to build this pipeline? Can they get by with existing <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:32 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Lisa and KM here now. Standing around visiting. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>No prob I am calling in now?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Oh. Might be personal email. I'll look when I get back to office. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:29 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>They were replies to yours?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:28 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>On way down at hearing room to \$ake sure no problems and scout. Don't see emails on BB?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:26 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>I have e mailed u twice?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:13 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>I'll let him know. <<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Yes?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Bill came by. They are going to do "a moment of silence" for Sen King. He asked if you'd want to say anything?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:00 AM><Subject: Re: <<>>Starting at nine or nine thirty?<<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 8:59 AM><Subject: <<>>None of the comsrs are

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
934	7/27/09 10:02	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Exactly. <Fpl says those sales will benefit ratepayers, but I have 100% confidence it will not. When have you EVER seen the ratepayers benefit from fpl's actions?>Fpl will find some way to keep any \$\$ generated - I don't know how, but I have high confidence in them. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:00 AM<Subject: Re:<If they sell to others why should rate payers pay for them to make money unless the ratepayers benefitted?>-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:59 AM<Subject: Re:<That is one of the huge issues. If just them, way oversized for current needs. If sell to others, they are a NG company, but would be avoiding FERC jurisdiction/oversight. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:57 AM<Subject: Re:<Will they charge to allow others to use it or is it just for their use only?>-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:54 AM<Subject: Re:<Today you listen to witnesses and ask questions. No vote. <Down the road you will vote on whether there is a need or not, and if there is, is the fpl plan the most cost-effective option?>-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:52 AM<Subject: Re:<So today we determine need? And there is no need at this time?>-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:51 AM<Subject: Re:<No. They will not until after hearing. 2+ months to vote. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re:<Staff has not made rec yet?>-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<Subject: Re:<Will do. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<Subject: Re:<Ok but give me advance warning next time pls<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:46 AM<Subject: Re:<Procedural stuff. They are fine - not of any substance. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:45 AM<Subject: Re:<What are these stipulations?>-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:43 AM<Subject: Re:<I believe entire time. 1 aimed at bench, 1 at witness, 1 at counsel table. <Not wearing ID badges or clear press credentials. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:39 AM<Subject: Re:<Well then its a horrible time to want to do this. I wonder if cameras will stay entire time? Or fold up after 10 mins. <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:37 AM<Subject: Re:<There is no real need. They almost certainly can get by w/o it. Fpls point is it might be good thing in the long term. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:35 AM<Subject: Re:<Is there a real need at this time for them to build this pipeline? Can they get by with existing <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:32 AM<Subject: Re:<Lisa and KM here now. Standing around visiting. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re:<No prob I am calling in now<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re:<Oh. Might be personal email. I'll look when I get back to office. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:29 AM<Subject: Re:<They were replies to yours<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:28 AM<Subject: Re:<On way down at hearing room to Sake sure no problems and scout. Don't see emails on BB<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:26 AM<Subject: Re:<I have e mailed u twice<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:13 AM<Subject: Re:<I'll let him know. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM<Subject: Re:<Yes<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM<Subject: Re:<Bill came by. They are going to do "a moment of silence" for Sen King. He asked if you'd want to say anything?>-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:00 AM<Subject: Re:<Starting at nine or nine thirty<-----Original</p>
935	7/27/09 10:03	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>They aren't here now. <What floyd just said is 100% right. If the project makes sense, why won't they build as stabd-alone company??>-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:01 AM<Subject: Re:<News article says that ope would get involved if its approved<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:59 AM<Subject: Re:<That is one of the huge issues. If just them, way oversized for current needs. If sell to others, they are a NG company, but would be avoiding FERC jurisdiction/oversight. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:57 AM<Subject: Re:<Will they charge to allow others to use it or is it just for their use only?>-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:54 AM<Subject: Re:<Today you listen to witnesses and ask questions. No vote. <Down the road you will vote on whether there is a need or not, and if there is, is the fpl plan the most cost-effective option?>-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:52 AM<Subject: Re:<So today we determine need? And there is no need at this time?>-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:51 AM<Subject: Re:<No. They will not until after hearing. 2+ months to vote. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re:<Staff has not made rec yet?>-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<Subject: Re:<Ok but give me advance warning next time pls<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:46 AM<Subject: Re:<Procedural stuff. They are fine - not of any substance. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:45 AM<Subject: Re:<What are these stipulations?>-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:43 AM<Subject: Re:<I believe entire time. 1 aimed at bench, 1 at witness, 1 at counsel table. <Not wearing ID badges or clear press credentials. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:39 AM<Subject: Re:<Well then its a horrible time to want to do this. I wonder if cameras will stay entire time? Or fold up after 10 mins. <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:37 AM<Subject: Re:<There is no real need. They almost certainly can get by w/o it. Fpls point is it might be good thing in the long term. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:35 AM<Subject: Re:<Is there a real need at this time for them to build this pipeline? Can they get by with existing <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:32 AM<Subject: Re:<Lisa and KM here now. Standing around visiting. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re:<No prob I am calling in now<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re:<Oh. Might be personal email. I'll look when I get back to office. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:29 AM<Subject: Re:<They were replies to yours<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:28 AM<Subject: Re:<On way down at hearing room to Sake sure no problems and scout. Don't see emails on BB<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:26 AM<Subject: Re:<I have e mailed u twice<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:13 AM<Subject: Re:<I'll let him know. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM<Subject: Re:<Yes<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM<Subject: Re:<Bill came by. They are going to do "a moment of silence" for Sen King. He asked if you'd want to say anything?>-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:00 AM<Subject: Re:<Starting at nine or nine thirty<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 8:59 AM<Subject: <None of the comsrs are down here yet.</p>
936	7/27/09 10:03	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Rt
937	7/27/09 10:05	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	He's good
938	7/27/09 10:06	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Wow

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
942	7/27/09 10:09	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA		<p>Got it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:08 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Make sure that point is outlined. That this has never been approved before. <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:03 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->They aren't here now. <-----What floyd just said is 100% right. If the project makes sense, why won't they build as stabd-alone company??<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:01 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->News article says that opc would get involved if its approved<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:59 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->That is one of the huge issues. If just them, way oversized for current needs. If sell to others, they are a NG company, but would be avoiding FERC jurisdiction/oversight. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:57 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Will they charge to allow others to use it or is it just for their use only<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:54 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Today you listen to witnesses and ask questions. No vote. <-----Down the road you will vote on whether there is a need or not, and if there is, is the fpl plan the most cost-effective option?<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:52 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->So today we determine need? And there is no need at this time?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:51 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->No. They will not until after hearing. 2+ months to vote. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:49 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Staff has not made rec yet?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Will do. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Ok but give me advance warning next time pls<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:46 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Procedural stuff. They are fine - not of any substance. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:45 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->What are these stipulations?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:43 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I believe entire time. 1 aimed at bench, 1 at witness, 1 at counsel table. <-----Not wearing ID badges or clear press credentials. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:39 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Well then its a horrible time to want to do this. I wonder if cameras will stay entire time? Or fold up after 10 mins. <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:37 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->There is no real need. They almost certainly can get by w/o it. Fpls point is it might be good thing in the long term. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:35 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Is there a real need at this time for them to build this pipeline? Can they get by with existing <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:32 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Lisa and KM here now. Standing around visiting. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->No prob I am calling in now<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Oh. Might be personal email. I'll look when I get back to office. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:29 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->They were replies to yours<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:28 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->On way down at hearing room to \$ake sure no problems and scout. Don't see emails on BB<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:26 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I have e mailed u twice<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:13 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I'll let him know. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yes<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Bill came by. They are going to do "a moment of silence" for Sen King. He asked if you'd want to say anything?<-----Original Message----->From: <-----></p>
943	7/27/09 10:09	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	They are and w e need to boldly mark these comments so that later when this comes back around I can see them again
944	7/27/09 10:10	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	
945	7/27/09 10:10	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Got it. I'll print this part of the transcript and have it with the documents at the vote. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:09 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->They are and w e need to boldly mark these comments so that later when this comes back around I can see them again<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:06 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yep. And I find these very good points. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:05 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->He's good<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:03 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->They aren't here now. <-----What floyd just said is 100% right. If the project makes sense, why won't they build as stabd-alone company??<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:01 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->News article says that opc would get involved if its approved<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:59 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->That is one of the huge issues. If just them, way oversized for current needs. If sell to others, they are a NG company, but would be avoiding FERC jurisdiction/oversight. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:57 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Will they charge to allow others to use it or is it just for their use only<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:54 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Today you listen to witnesses and ask questions. No vote. <-----Down the road you will vote on whether there is a need or not, and if there is, is the fpl plan the most cost-effective option?<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:52 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->So today we determine need? And there is no need at this time?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:51 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->No. They will not until after hearing. 2+ months to vote. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:49 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Staff has not made rec yet?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Will do. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Ok but give me advance warning next time pls<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:46 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Procedural stuff. They are fine - not of any substance. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:45 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->What are these stipulations?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:43 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I believe entire time. 1 aimed at bench, 1 at witness, 1 at counsel table. <-----Not wearing ID badges or clear press credentials. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:39 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Well then its a horrible time to want to do this. I wonder if cameras will stay entire time? Or fold up after 10 mins. <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:37 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->There is no real need. They almost certainly can get by w/o it. Fpls point is it might be good thing in the long term. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:35 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Is there a real need at this time for them to build this pipeline? Can they get by with existing <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:32 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Lisa and KM here now. Standing around visiting. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->No prob I am calling in now<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Oh. Might be personal email. I'll look when I get back to office. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:29 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->They were replies to yours<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:28 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->On way down at hearing room to \$ake sure no problems and scout. Don't see emails on BB<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:26 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I have e mailed u twice<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:13 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I'll let him</p>
946	7/27/09 10:12	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Is it correct that fpl cust will pay for the 2nd pipeline that another comp will own?
947	7/27/09 10:13	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	And tab it
948	7/27/09 10:14	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Is there to be a public hearing on this ?

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
949	7/27/09 10:15	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>The customers will pay to use it, yes. Right now, they pay fgt and gulfstream. <v>So, cust will pay company e for the AL-stärke transport. (Then pay fpl \$1.6B for the in-state part)<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:12 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Is it correct that fpl cust will pay for the 2nd pipeline that another comp will own?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:09 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Got it. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:08 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Make sure that point is outlined. That this has never been approved before. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:03 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>They aren't here now. <v>What floyd just said is 100% right. If the project makes sense, why won't they build as stabd-alone company??<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:01 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>News article says that opc would get involved if its approved<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:59 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>That is one of the huge issues. If just them, way oversized for current needs. If sell to others, they are a NG company, but would be avoiding FERC jurisdiction/oversight. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:57 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Will they charge to allow others to use it or is it just for their use only<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:54 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Today you listen to witnesses and ask questions. No vote. <v>Down the road you will vote on whether there is a need or not, and if there is, is the fpl plan the most cost-effective option?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:52 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>So today we determine need? And there is no need at this time?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:51 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>No. They will not until after hearing. 2+ months to vote. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:49 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Staff has not made rec yet?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Will do. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Ok but give me advance warning next time pls<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:46 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Procedural stuff. They are fine - not of any substance. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:45 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>What are these stipulations<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:43 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>I believe entire time. 1 aimed at bench, 1 at witness, 1 at counsel table. <v>Not wearing ID badges or clear press credentials. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:39 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Well then its a horrible time to want to do this. I wonder if cameras will stay entire time? Or fold up after 10 mins. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:37 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>There is no real need. They almost certainly can get by w/o it. Fpls point is it might be good thing in the long term. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:35 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Is there a real need at this time for them to build this pipeline? Can they get by with existing <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:32 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Lisa and KM here now. Standing around visiting. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>No prob I am calling in now<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Oh. Might be personal email. I'll look when I get back to office. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:28 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>They were replies to yours<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:28 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>On way down at hearing room to Sake sure no problems and scout. Don't see emails on BB<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:26 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>I have e mailed u twice<v>-----Original Message-----</p>
950	7/27/09 10:15	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Got it. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:13 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>And tab it<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:10 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Got it. I'll print this part of the transcript and have it with the documents at the vote. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:09 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>They are and we need to boldly mark these comments so that later when this comes back around I can see them again<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:06 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Yep. And I find these very good points. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:05 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>He's good<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:03 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>They aren't here now. <v>What floyd just said is 100% right. If the project makes sense, why won't they build as stabd-alone company??<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:01 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>News article says that opc would get involved if its approved<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:59 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>That is one of the huge issues. If just them, way oversized for current needs. If sell to others, they are a NG company, but would be avoiding FERC jurisdiction/oversight. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:57 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Will they charge to allow others to use it or is it just for their use only<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:54 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Today you listen to witnesses and ask questions. No vote. <v>Down the road you will vote on whether there is a need or not, and if there is, is the fpl plan the most cost-effective option?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:52 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>So today we determine need? And there is no need at this time?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:51 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>No. They will not until after hearing. 2+ months to vote. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:49 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Staff has not made rec yet?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Will do. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Ok but give me advance warning next time pls<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:46 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Procedural stuff. They are fine - not of any substance. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:45 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>What are these stipulations<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:43 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>I believe entire time. 1 aimed at bench, 1 at witness, 1 at counsel table. <v>Not wearing ID badges or clear press credentials. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:39 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Well then its a horrible time to want to do this. I wonder if cameras will stay entire time? Or fold up after 10 mins. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:37 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>There is no real need. They almost certainly can get by w/o it. Fpls point is it might be good thing in the long term. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:35 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Is there a real need at this time for them to build this pipeline? Can they get by with existing <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:32 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Lisa and KM here now. Standing around visiting. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>No prob I am calling in now<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>Oh. Might be personal email. I'll look when I get back to office. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:29 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>They were replies to yours<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:28 AM<v>Subject: Re:<v><v>On way down at hearing room to Sake sure no problems and scout. Don't see emails on BB<v>-----Original Message-----</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
953	7/27/09 10:24	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>JR and Charlie are in the back of the room sitting with the audience. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:14 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Is there to be a public hearing on this ?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:10 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Got it. I'll print this part of the transcript and have it with the documents at the vote. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:09 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->They are and we need to boldly mark these comments so that later when this comes back around I can see them again<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:06 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Yep. And I find these very good points. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:05 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->He's good<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:03 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->They aren't here now. <----->What floyd just said is 100% right. If the project makes sense, why won't they build as stabd-alone company??<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:01 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->News article says that opc would get involved if its approved<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:59 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->That is one of the huge issues. If just them, way oversized for current needs. If sell to others, they are a NG company, but would be avoiding FERC jurisdiction/oversight. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:57 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Will they charge to allow others to use it or is it just for their use only<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:54 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Today you listen to witnesses and ask questions. No vote. <----->Down the road you will vote on whether there is a need or not, and if there is, is the fpl plan the most cost-effective option?<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:52 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->So today we determine need? And there is no need at this time?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:51 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->No. They will not until after hearing. 2+ months to vote. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:49 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Staff has not made rec yet?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Will do. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Ok but give me advance warning next time pls<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:46 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Procedural stuff. They are fine - not of any substance. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:45 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->What are these stipulations<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:43 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->I believe entire time. 1 aimed at bench, 1 at witness, 1 at counsel table. <----->Not wearing ID badges or clear press credentials. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:39 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Well then its a horrible time to want to do this. I wonder if cameras will stay entire time? Or fold up after 10 mins. <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:37 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->There is no real need. They almost certainly can get by w/o it. Fpls point is it might be good thing in the long term. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:35 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Is there a real need at this time for them to build this pipeline? Can they get by with existing <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:32 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Lisa and KM here now. Standing around visiting. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->No prob I am calling in now<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Oh. Might be personal email. I'll look when I get back to office. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:29 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->They were replies to yours<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:28 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->On way</p>
954	7/27/09 10:27	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Thanks
955	7/27/09 10:27	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>The guy who is regulatory VP for teco peoples gas is in audience also. I forget his name, but the guy who was pushing the riders. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:14 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Is there to be a public hearing on this ?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:10 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Got it. I'll print this part of the transcript and have it with the documents at the vote. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:09 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->They are and we need to boldly mark these comments so that later when this comes back around I can see them again<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:06 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Yep. And I find these very good points. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:05 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->He's good<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:03 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->They aren't here now. <----->What floyd just said is 100% right. If the project makes sense, why won't they build as stabd-alone company??<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:01 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->News article says that opc would get involved if its approved<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:59 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->That is one of the huge issues. If just them, way oversized for current needs. If sell to others, they are a NG company, but would be avoiding FERC jurisdiction/oversight. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:57 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Will they charge to allow others to use it or is it just for their use only<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:54 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Today you listen to witnesses and ask questions. No vote. <----->Down the road you will vote on whether there is a need or not, and if there is, is the fpl plan the most cost-effective option?<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:52 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->So today we determine need? And there is no need at this time?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:51 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->No. They will not until after hearing. 2+ months to vote. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:49 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Staff has not made rec yet?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Will do. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Ok but give me advance warning next time pls<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:46 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Procedural stuff. They are fine - not of any substance. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:45 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->What are these stipulations<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:43 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->I believe entire time. 1 aimed at bench, 1 at witness, 1 at counsel table. <----->Not wearing ID badges or clear press credentials. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:39 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Well then its a horrible time to want to do this. I wonder if cameras will stay entire time? Or fold up after 10 mins. <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:37 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->There is no real need. They almost certainly can get by w/o it. Fpls point is it might be good thing in the long term. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:35 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Is there a real need at this time for them to build this pipeline? Can they get by with existing <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:32 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Lisa and KM here now. Standing around visiting. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->No prob I am calling in now<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->Oh. Might be personal email. I'll look when I get back to office. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:29 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->They were replies to yours<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:28 AM<-----Subject: Re: <----->On way</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
956	7/27/09 10:32	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I'm heading back to up to office.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:27 AM<Subject: Re:<Thanks>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:23 AM<Subject: Re:<I ran down and looked at the number. I'll get it to you when I get back up to office. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:01 AM<Subject: Re:<News article says that opc would get involved if its approved-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:59 AM<Subject: Re:<That is one of the huge issues. If just them, way oversized for current needs. If sell to others, they are a NG company, but would be avoiding FERC jurisdiction/oversight. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:57 AM<Subject: Re:<Will they charge to allow others to use it or is it just for their use only-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:54 AM<Subject: Re:<Today you listen to witnesses and ask questions. No vote. -----<Down the road you will vote on whether there is a need or not, and if there is, is the fpl plan the most cost-effective option?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:52 AM<Subject: Re:<So today we determine need? And there is no need at this time?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:51 AM<Subject: Re:<No. They will not until after hearing. 2+ months to vote. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re:<Staff has not made rec yet?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<Subject: Re:<Will do. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<Subject: Re:<Ok but give me advance warning next time pls-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:46 AM<Subject: Re:<Procedural stuff. They are fine - not of any substance. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:45 AM<Subject: Re:<What are these stipulations-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:43 AM<Subject: Re:<I believe entire time. 1 aimed at bench, 1 at witness, 1 at counsel table. -----<Not wearing ID badges or clear press credentials. -----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:39 AM<Subject: Re:<Well then its a horrible time to want to do this. I wonder if cameras will stay entire time? Or fold up after 10 mins.-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:37 AM<Subject: Re:<There is no real need. They almost certainly can get by w/o it. Fpls point is it might be good thing in the long term. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:35 AM<Subject: Re:<Is there a real need at this time for them to build this pipeline? Can they get by with existing -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:32 AM<Subject: Re:<Lisa and KM here now. Standing around visiting. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re:<No prob I am calling in now-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:29 AM<Subject: Re:<Oh, Might be personal email. I'll look when I get back to office. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:28 AM<Subject: Re:<On your way down at hearing room to Sake sure no problems and scout. Don't see emails on BB-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:26 AM<Subject: Re:<I have e mailed u twice-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:13 AM<Subject: Re:<I'll let him know. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM<Subject: Re:<Yes-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:12 AM<Subject: Re:<Bill came by. They are going to do "a moment of silence" for Sen King. He asked if you'd want to say anything?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:00 AM<Subject: Re:<Starting at</p>
957	7/27/09 10:42	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Why the break. Somethings up
958	7/27/09 10:43	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yes, I agree. Unless chairman just needs to pee. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:42 AM<Subject: Re:<Why the break. Somethings up-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:24 AM<Subject: Re:<JR and Charlie are in the back of the room sitting with the audience. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:14 AM<Subject: Re:<Is there to be a public hearing on this ?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:10 AM<Subject: Re:<Got it. I'll print this part of the transcript and have it with the documents at the vote. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:09 AM<Subject: Re:<They are and we need to boldly mark these comments so that later when this comes back around I can see them again-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:06 AM<Subject: Re:<Yep. And I find these very good points. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re:<He's good-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:03 AM<Subject: Re:<They aren't here now. -----<What floyd just said is 100% right. If the project makes sense, why won't they build as stabd-alone company??-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:01 AM<Subject: Re:<News article says that opc would get involved if its approved-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:59 AM<Subject: Re:<That is one of the huge issues. If just them, way oversized for current needs. If sell to others, they are a NG company, but would be avoiding FERC jurisdiction/oversight. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:57 AM<Subject: Re:<Will they charge to allow others to use it or is it just for their use only-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:54 AM<Subject: Re:<Today you listen to witnesses and ask questions. No vote. -----<Down the road you will vote on whether there is a need or not, and if there is, is the fpl plan the most cost-effective option?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:52 AM<Subject: Re:<So today we determine need? And there is no need at this time?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:51 AM<Subject: Re:<No. They will not until after hearing. 2+ months to vote. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re:<Staff has not made rec yet?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<Subject: Re:<Will do. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<Subject: Re:<Ok but give me advance warning next time pls-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:46 AM<Subject: Re:<Procedural stuff. They are fine - not of any substance. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:45 AM<Subject: Re:<What are these stipulations-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:43 AM<Subject: Re:<I believe entire time. 1 aimed at bench, 1 at witness, 1 at counsel table. -----<Not wearing ID badges or clear press credentials. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:39 AM<Subject: Re:<Well then its a horrible time to want to do this. I wonder if cameras will stay entire time? Or fold up after 10 mins. -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:37 AM<Subject: Re:<There is no real need. They almost certainly can get by w/o it. Fpls point is it might be good thing in the long term. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:35 AM<Subject: Re:<Is there a real need at this time for them to build this pipeline? Can they get by with existing -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:32 AM<Subject: Re:<Lisa and KM here now. Standing around visiting. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30 AM<Subject: Re:<No prob I am calling in now-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:30</p>
959	7/27/09 10:57	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	He could get up and do that. Strange

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
960	7/27/09 10:59	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>When they came back, chair said something to floyd about being ready. Seems like floyd may have wanted break to get some documents ready. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:57 AM<Subject: Re: He could get up and do that. Strange<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:43 AM<Subject: Re: Yes, I agree. Unless chairman just needs to pee. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:42 AM<Subject: Re: Why the break. Somethings up<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:24 AM<Subject: Re: JR and Charlie are in the back of the room sitting with the audience. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:14 AM<Subject: Re: Is there to be a public hearing on this ?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:10 AM<Subject: Re: Got it. I'll print this part of the transcript and have it with the documents at the vote. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:09 AM<Subject: Re: They are and we need to boldly mark these comments so that later when this comes back around I can see them again<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:06 AM<Subject: Re: Yep. And I find these very good points. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: He's good<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:03 AM<Subject: Re: They aren't here now. <What floyd just said is 100% right. If the project makes sense, why won't they build as stabd-alone company??<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:01 AM<Subject: Re: News article says that opc would get involved if its approved<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:59 AM<Subject: Re: That is one of the huge issues. If just them, way oversized for current needs. If sell to others, they are a NG company, but would be avoiding FERC jurisdiction/oversight. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:57 AM<Subject: Re: Will they charge to allow others to use it or is it just for their use only<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:54 AM<Subject: Re: Today you listen to witnesses and ask questions. No vote. <Down the road you will vote on whether there is a need or not, and if there is, is the fpl plan the most cost-effective option?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:52 AM<Subject: Re: So today we determine need? And there is no need at this time?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:51 AM<Subject: Re: No. They will not until after hearing. 2+ months to vote. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re: Staff has not made rec yet?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<Subject: Re: Will do. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<Subject: Re: Ok but give me advance warning next time pls<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:46 AM<Subject: Re: Procedural stuff. They are fine - not of any substance. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:45 AM<Subject: Re: What are these stipulations<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:43 AM<Subject: Re: I believe entire time. 1 aimed at bench, 1 at witness, 1 at counsel table. <Not wearing ID badges or clear press credentials. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:39 AM<Subject: Re: Well then its a horrible time to want to do this. I wonder if cameras will stay entire time? Or fold up after 10 mins. <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:37 AM<Subject: Re: There is no real need. They almost certainly can get by w/o it. Fpls point is it might be good thing in the long term. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:35 AM<Subject: Re: Is there a real need at this time for them to build this pipeline? Can they get by with existing</p>
961	7/27/09 11:03	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	What the hell kind of leading question was that?
962	7/27/09 11:04	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	
963	7/27/09 11:05	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>A good one. Staff just caught him in a lie, and are now pointing out the extra risks to ratepayers. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:03 AM<Subject: Re: What the hell kind of leading question was that?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:59 AM<Subject: Re: When they came back, chair said something to floyd about being ready. Seems like floyd may have wanted break to get some documents ready. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:57 AM<Subject: Re: He could get up and do that. Strange<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:43 AM<Subject: Re: Yes, I agree. Unless chairman just needs to pee. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:42 AM<Subject: Re: Why the break. Somethings up<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:24 AM<Subject: Re: JR and Charlie are in the back of the room sitting with the audience. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:14 AM<Subject: Re: Is there to be a public hearing on this ?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:10 AM<Subject: Re: Got it. I'll print this part of the transcript and have it with the documents at the vote. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:09 AM<Subject: Re: They are and we need to boldly mark these comments so that later when this comes back around I can see them again<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:06 AM<Subject: Re: Yep. And I find these very good points. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: He's good<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:03 AM<Subject: Re: They aren't here now. <What floyd just said is 100% right. If the project makes sense, why won't they build as stabd-alone company??<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:01 AM<Subject: Re: News article says that opc would get involved if its approved<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:59 AM<Subject: Re: That is one of the huge issues. If just them, way oversized for current needs. If sell to others, they are a NG company, but would be avoiding FERC jurisdiction/oversight. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:57 AM<Subject: Re: Will they charge to allow others to use it or is it just for their use only<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:54 AM<Subject: Re: Today you listen to witnesses and ask questions. No vote. <Down the road you will vote on whether there is a need or not, and if there is, is the fpl plan the most cost-effective option?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:52 AM<Subject: Re: So today we determine need? And there is no need at this time?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:51 AM<Subject: Re: No. They will not until after hearing. 2+ months to vote. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re: Staff has not made rec yet?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<Subject: Re: Will do. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<Subject: Re: Ok but give me advance warning next time pls<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:46 AM<Subject: Re: Procedural stuff. They are fine - not of any substance. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:45 AM<Subject: Re: What are these stipulations<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:43 AM<Subject: Re: I believe entire time. 1 aimed at bench, 1 at witness, 1 at counsel table. <Not wearing ID badges or clear press credentials. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:39 AM<Subject: Re: Well then its a horrible time to want to do this. I wonder if cameras will stay entire time? Or fold up after 10 mins. <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy</p>
964	7/27/09 11:06	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I heard something else. I heard staff sound like fpl when they asked if it were true that the psc had oversight

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
965	7/27/09 11:06	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yes. Martha Brown - one of the top lawyers we have. She is very slow, but very very smart and 20+ years here at psc. Used to be in appeals and was telecom supervisor once a long time ago. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:04 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Is that our staff?><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:59 AM><Subject: Re: <>><When they came back, chair said something to floyd about being ready. Seems like floyd may have wanted break to get some documents ready. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:57 AM><Subject: Re: <>><He could get up and do that. Strange-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:43 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Yes, I agree. Unless chairman just needs to pee. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:42 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Why the break. Somethings up-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:24 AM><Subject: Re: <>><JR and Charlie are in the back of the room sitting with the audience. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:14 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Is there to be a public hearing on this ?><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:10 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Got it. I'll print this part of the transcript and have it with the documents at the vote. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:09 AM><Subject: Re: <>><They are and we need to boldly mark these comments so that later when this comes back around I can see them again-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:06 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Yep. And I find these very good points. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:05 AM><Subject: Re: <>><He's good-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:03 AM><Subject: Re: <>><They aren't here now. -----What floyd just said is 100% right. If the project makes sense, why won't they build as stabd-alone company??-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:01 AM><Subject: Re: <>><News article says that opc would get involved if its approved-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:59 AM><Subject: Re: <>><That is one of the huge issues. If just them, way oversized for current needs. If sell to others, they are a NG company, but would be avoiding FERC jurisdiction/oversight. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:57 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Will they charge to allow others to use it or is it just for their use only-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:54 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Today you listen to witnesses and ask questions. No vote. -----Down the road you will vote on whether there is a need or not, and if there is, is the fpl plan the most cost-effective option?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:52 AM><Subject: Re: <>><So today we determine need? And there is no need at this time?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:51 AM><Subject: Re: <>><No. They will not until after hearing. 2+ months to vote. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:49 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Staff has not made rec yet?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Will do. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Ok but give me advance warning next time pls-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:46 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Procedural stuff. They are fine - not of any substance. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:45 AM><Subject: Re: <>><What are these stipulations-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:43 AM><Subject: Re: <>><I believe entire time. 1 aimed at bench, 1 at witness, 1 at counsel table. -----Not wearing ID badges or clear press credentials. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:39 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Well then its a horrible time to want to do this. I wonder if cameras will stay entire time? Or fold up after 10</p>
966	7/27/09 11:07	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>She has nice speaking voice</p>
967	7/27/09 11:08	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yes, she asked that question. That was good b/c it builds record that you would have to regulate, and psc has no experience/staff/money to learn how. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:06 AM><Subject: Re: <>><I heard something else. I heard staff sound like fpl when they asked if it were true that the psc had oversight?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:05 AM><Subject: Re: <>><A good one. Staff just caught him in a lie, and are now pointing out the extra risks to ratepayers. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:03 AM><Subject: Re: <>><What the hell kind of leading question was that?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:59 AM><Subject: Re: <>><When they came back, chair said something to floyd about being ready. Seems like floyd may have wanted break to get some documents ready. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:57 AM><Subject: Re: <>><He could get up and do that. Strange-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:43 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Yes, I agree. Unless chairman just needs to pee. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:42 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Why the break. Somethings up-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:24 AM><Subject: Re: <>><JR and Charlie are in the back of the room sitting with the audience. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:14 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Is there to be a public hearing on this ?><-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:10 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Got it. I'll print this part of the transcript and have it with the documents at the vote. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:09 AM><Subject: Re: <>><They are and we need to boldly mark these comments so that later when this comes back around I can see them again-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:06 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Yep. And I find these very good points. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:05 AM><Subject: Re: <>><He's good-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:03 AM><Subject: Re: <>><They aren't here now. -----What floyd just said is 100% right. If the project makes sense, why won't they build as stabd-alone company??-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:01 AM><Subject: Re: <>><News article says that opc would get involved if its approved-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:59 AM><Subject: Re: <>><That is one of the huge issues. If just them, way oversized for current needs. If sell to others, they are a NG company, but would be avoiding FERC jurisdiction/oversight. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:57 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Will they charge to allow others to use it or is it just for their use only-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:54 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Today you listen to witnesses and ask questions. No vote. -----Down the road you will vote on whether there is a need or not, and if there is, is the fpl plan the most cost-effective option?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:52 AM><Subject: Re: <>><So today we determine need? And there is no need at this time?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:51 AM><Subject: Re: <>><No. They will not until after hearing. 2+ months to vote. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:49 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Staff has not made rec yet?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Will do. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Ok but give me advance warning next time pls-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:46 AM><Subject: Re: <>><Procedural stuff. They are fine - not of any substance. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:45 AM><Subject: Re: <>><What are these stipulations-----Original Message-----<From: Larry</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
968	7/27/09 11:09	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yes. I like her a lot. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re:<She has nice speaking voice<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:06 AM<Subject: Re:<Yes. Martha Brown - one of the top lawyers we have. She is very slow, but very very smart and 20+ years here at psc. Used to be in appeals and was telecom supervisor once a long time ago. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:04 AM<Subject: Re:<Is that our staff?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:59 AM<Subject: Re:<When they came back, chair said something to floyd about being ready. Seems like floyd may have wanted break to get some documents ready. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:57 AM<Subject: Re:<He could get up and do that. Strange<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:43 AM<Subject: Re:<Yes, I agree. Unless chairman just needs to pee. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:42 AM<Subject: Re:<Why the break. Somethings up<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:24 AM<Subject: Re:<JR and Charlie are in the back of the room sitting with the audience. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:14 AM<Subject: Re:<Is there to be a public hearing on this ?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:10 AM<Subject: Re:<Got it. I'll print this part of the transcript and have it with the documents at the vote. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:09 AM<Subject: Re:<They are and we need to boldly mark these comments so that later when this comes back around I can see them again<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:06 AM<Subject: Re:<Yep. And I find these very good points. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re:<He's good<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:03 AM<Subject: Re:<They aren't here now. <-----What floyd just said is 100% right. If the project makes sense, why won't they build as stabd-alone company??<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:01 AM<Subject: Re:<News article says that opc would get involved if its approved<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:59 AM<Subject: Re:<That is one of the huge issues. If just them, way oversized for current needs. If sell to others, they are a NG company, but would be avoiding FERC jurisdiction/oversight. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:57 AM<Subject: Re:<Will they charge to allow others to use it or is it just for their use only<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:54 AM<Subject: Re:<Today you listen to witnesses and ask questions. No vote. <-----Down the road you will vote on whether there is a need or not, and if there is, is the fpl plan the most cost-effective option?<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:52 AM<Subject: Re:<So today we determine need? And there is no need at this time?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:51 AM<Subject: Re:<No. They will not until after hearing. 2+ months to vote. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re:<Staff has not made rec yet?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<Subject: Re:<Will do. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<Subject: Re:<Ok but give me advance warning next time pls<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:46 AM<Subject: Re:<Procedural stuff. They are fine - not of any substance. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:45 AM<Subject: Re:<What are these stipulations<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:43 AM<Subject: Re:<I believe entire time, 1 aimed at bench, 1 at</p>
969	7/27/09 11:11	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Notice how witness is trying to avoid answering the questions. He said il, then changed his mind, and now wants to explain why he changed his mind. <-----Weasell!!!<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re:<She has nice speaking voice<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:06 AM<Subject: Re:<Yes. Martha Brown - one of the top lawyers we have. She is very slow, but very very smart and 20+ years here at psc. Used to be in appeals and was telecom supervisor once a long time ago. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:04 AM<Subject: Re:<Is that our staff?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:59 AM<Subject: Re:<When they came back, chair said something to floyd about being ready. Seems like floyd may have wanted break to get some documents ready. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:57 AM<Subject: Re:<He could get up and do that. Strange<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:43 AM<Subject: Re:<Yes, I agree. Unless chairman just needs to pee. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:42 AM<Subject: Re:<Why the break. Somethings up<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:24 AM<Subject: Re:<JR and Charlie are in the back of the room sitting with the audience. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:14 AM<Subject: Re:<Is there to be a public hearing on this ?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:10 AM<Subject: Re:<Got it. I'll print this part of the transcript and have it with the documents at the vote. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:09 AM<Subject: Re:<They are and we need to boldly mark these comments so that later when this comes back around I can see them again<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:06 AM<Subject: Re:<Yep. And I find these very good points. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re:<He's good<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:03 AM<Subject: Re:<They aren't here now. <-----What floyd just said is 100% right. If the project makes sense, why won't they build as stabd-alone company??<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:01 AM<Subject: Re:<News article says that opc would get involved if its approved<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:59 AM<Subject: Re:<That is one of the huge issues. If just them, way oversized for current needs. If sell to others, they are a NG company, but would be avoiding FERC jurisdiction/oversight. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:57 AM<Subject: Re:<Will they charge to allow others to use it or is it just for their use only<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:54 AM<Subject: Re:<Today you listen to witnesses and ask questions. No vote. <-----Down the road you will vote on whether there is a need or not, and if there is, is the fpl plan the most cost-effective option?<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:52 AM<Subject: Re:<So today we determine need? And there is no need at this time?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:51 AM<Subject: Re:<No. They will not until after hearing. 2+ months to vote. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re:<Staff has not made rec yet?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<Subject: Re:<Will do. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:47 AM<Subject: Re:<Ok but give me advance warning next time pls<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:46 AM<Subject: Re:<Procedural stuff. They are fine - not of any substance. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 9:45 AM<Subject: Re:<What are these stipulations<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry</p>
970	7/27/09 11:19	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>It also bolsters the fpl view that it will still be looked at by psc. Oh here's why the chair took a break. He got a question to ask</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
981	7/27/09 12:13	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>What objection?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:48 AM<Subject: Re:<<<What about this objection?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:35 AM<Subject: Re:<<<That is the whole point. For it to be "more economical" you have to make all sorts of assumptions and decisions and value judgements that FGT is contesting. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:33 AM<Subject: Re:<<<So how can he say to carter it will be more economical?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:33 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Lateral pipelines. Short (20miles). Expansion of FGTs capacity to bring gas to S FL. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:31 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Fpl could get gas from existing lines, what needs to be done for them to connect to existing line?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:27 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Yep. But this could really hurt him. If the press really pick-up on this, could be hard for him to explain to the legis/gov at reappointment. Will depend on press and how good a case fgt makes. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:25 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Also prob needed a script and explanation. He is just getting them to repeat the savings part for fpl. It is so obvious. I'm going to throw up<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:20 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Yep. But why couldn't BG have just emailed him?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:19 AM<Subject: Re:<<<It also bolsters the fpl view that it will still be looked at by psc. Oh here's why the chair took a break. He got a question to ask<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:08 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Yes, she asked that question. That was good b/c it builds record that you would have to regulate, and psc has no experience/staff/money to learn how. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:06 AM<Subject: Re:<<<I heard something else. I heard staff sound like fpl when they asked if it were true that the psc had oversight?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:05 AM<Subject: Re:<<<A good one. Staff just caught him in a lie, and are now pointing out the extra risks to ratepayers. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:03 AM<Subject: Re:<<<What the hell kind of leading question was that?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:59 AM<Subject: Re:<<<When they came back, chair said something to floyd about being ready. Seems like floyd may have wanted break to get some documents ready. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:57 AM<Subject: Re:<<<He could get up and do that. Strange<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:43 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Yes, I agree. Unless chairman just needs to pee. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:42 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Why the break. Somethings up<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:24 AM<Subject: Re:<<<JR and Charlie are in the back of the room sitting with the audience. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:14 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Is there to be a public hearing on this ?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:10 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Got it. I'll print this part of the transcript and have it with the documents at the vote. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:09 AM<Subject: Re:<<<They are and we need to boldly mark these comments so that later when this comes back around I can see them again<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:06 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Yep. And I find these very good points. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re:<<<He's good<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:03 AM<Subject: Re:<<<They aren't here now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:00 AM<Subject: Re:<<<What floyd just said is 100% right. If the project makes sense, why won't they build</p>
982	7/27/09 12:14	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Ok I was on phone with congressman in DC and will have to do a short conference call at 3:30 for very improtant call. If not done u need to listen up for me. Also what is skop asking
983	7/27/09 12:15	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	It was awhile ago the msg I sent took forever to get to you
984	7/27/09 12:16	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Trying to build FPI's case. He was saying fpls roe is "transparent" but pipelines have an roe that is "embedded" but ratepayers pay roe either way. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:14 PM<Subject: Re:<<<Ok I was on phone with congressman in DC and will have to do a short conference call at 3:30 for very improtant call. If not done u need to listen up for me. Also what is skop asking<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:35 AM<Subject: Re:<<<That is the whole point. For it to be "more economical" you have to make all sorts of assumptions and decisions and value judgements that FGT is contesting. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:33 AM<Subject: Re:<<<So how can he say to carter it will be more economical?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:33 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Lateral pipelines. Short (20miles). Expansion of FGTs capacity to bring gas to S FL. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:31 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Fpl could get gas from existing lines, what needs to be done for them to connect to existing line?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:27 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Yep. But this could really hurt him. If the press really pick-up on this, could be hard for him to explain to the legis/gov at reappointment. Will depend on press and how good a case fgt makes. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:25 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Also prob needed a script and explanation. He is just getting them to repeat the savings part for fpl. It is so obvious. I'm going to throw up<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:20 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Yep. But why couldn't BG have just emailed him?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:19 AM<Subject: Re:<<<It also bolsters the fpl view that it will still be looked at by psc. Oh here's why the chair took a break. He got a question to ask<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:08 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Yes, she asked that question. That was good b/c it builds record that you would have to regulate, and psc has no experience/staff/money to learn how. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:06 AM<Subject: Re:<<<I heard something else. I heard staff sound like fpl when they asked if it were true that the psc had oversight?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:05 AM<Subject: Re:<<<A good one. Staff just caught him in a lie, and are now pointing out the extra risks to ratepayers. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:03 AM<Subject: Re:<<<What the hell kind of leading question was that?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:59 AM<Subject: Re:<<<When they came back, chair said something to floyd about being ready. Seems like floyd may have wanted break to get some documents ready. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:57 AM<Subject: Re:<<<He could get up and do that. Strange<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:43 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Yes, I agree. Unless chairman just needs to pee. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:42 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Why the break. Somethings up<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:24 AM<Subject: Re:<<<JR and Charlie are in the back of the room sitting with the audience. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:14 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Is there to be a public hearing on this ?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:10 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Got it. I'll print this part of the transcript and have it with the documents at the vote. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:09 AM<Subject: Re:<<<They are and we need to boldly mark these comments so that later when this comes back around I can see them again<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:06 AM<Subject: Re:<<<Yep. And I find these very good points. <-----Original </p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
985	7/27/09 12:17	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I HATE it when that happens. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:15 PM><Subject: Re: <It was awhile ago the msg I sent took forever to get to you><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:13 PM><Subject: Re: <What objection?><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:48 AM><Subject: Re: <What about this objection?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:35 AM><Subject: Re: <That is the whole point. For it to be "more economical" you have to make all sorts of assumptions and value judgements that FGT is contesting. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:33 AM><Subject: Re: <So how can he say to carter it will be more economical><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:33 AM><Subject: Re: <Lateral pipelines. Short (20miles). Expansion of FGTs capacity to bring gas to S FL. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:31 AM><Subject: Re: <Fpl could get gas fro existing lines, what needs to be done for them to connect to existing line><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:27 AM><Subject: Re: <Yep. But this could really hurt him. If the press really pick-up on this, could be hard for him to explain to the legis/gov at reappointment. Will depend on press and how good a case fgt makes. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:25 AM><Subject: Re: <Also prob needed a script and explanation. He is just getting them to repeat the savings part for fpl. It is so obvious. I'm going to throw up><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:20 AM><Subject: Re: <Yep. But why couldn't BG have just emailed him?><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:19 AM><Subject: Re: <It also bolsters the fpl view that it will still be looked at by psc. Oh here's why the chair took a break. He got a question to ask><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:08 AM><Subject: Re: <Yes, she asked that question. That was good b/c it builds record that you would have to regulate, and psc has no experience/staff/money to learn how. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:06 AM><Subject: Re: <I heard something else. I heard staff sound like fpl when they asked if it were true that the psc had oversight><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:05 AM><Subject: Re: <A good one. Staff just caught him in a lie, and are now pointing out the extra risks to ratepayers. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:03 AM><Subject: Re: <What the hell kind of leading question was that?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:59 AM><Subject: Re: <When they came back, chair said something to floyd about being ready. Seems like floyd may have wanted break to get some documents ready. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:57 AM><Subject: Re: <He could get up and do that. Strange <Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:43 AM><Subject: Re: <Yes, I agree. Unless chairman just needs to pee. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:42 AM><Subject: Re: <Why the break. Somethings up><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:24 AM><Subject: Re: <JR and Charlie are in the back of the room sitting with the audience. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:14 AM><Subject: Re: <Is there to be a public hearing on this ?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:10 AM><Subject: Re: <Got it. I'll print this part of the transcript and have it with the documents at the vote. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:09 AM><Subject: Re: <They are and we need to boldly mark these comments so that later when this comes back around I can see them again><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:06 AM><Subject: Re: <Yep. And I find these very good points. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:05</p>
986	7/27/09 12:22	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Morely	<p>If you want to ask any details about future population/growth stuff, she is the witness. <I don't think you'll get anything from her - she'll say "models show..." over and over. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:15 PM><Subject: Re: <It was awhile ago the msg I sent took forever to get to you><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:13 PM><Subject: Re: <What objection?><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:48 AM><Subject: Re: <What about this objection?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:35 AM><Subject: Re: <That is the whole point. For it to be "more economical" you have to make all sorts of assumptions and value judgements that FGT is contesting. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:33 AM><Subject: Re: <So how can he say to carter it will be more economical><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:33 AM><Subject: Re: <Lateral pipelines. Short (20miles). Expansion of FGTs capacity to bring gas to S FL. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:31 AM><Subject: Re: <Fpl could get gas fro existing lines, what needs to be done for them to connect to existing line><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:27 AM><Subject: Re: <Yep. But this could really hurt him. If the press really pick-up on this, could be hard for him to explain to the legis/gov at reappointment. Will depend on press and how good a case fgt makes. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:25 AM><Subject: Re: <Also prob needed a script and explanation. He is just getting them to repeat the savings part for fpl. It is so obvious. I'm going to throw up><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:20 AM><Subject: Re: <Yep. But why couldn't BG have just emailed him?><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:19 AM><Subject: Re: <It also bolsters the fpl view that it will still be looked at by psc. Oh here's why the chair took a break. He got a question to ask><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:08 AM><Subject: Re: <Yes, she asked that question. That was good b/c it builds record that you would have to regulate, and psc has no experience/staff/money to learn how. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:06 AM><Subject: Re: <I heard something else. I heard staff sound like fpl when they asked if it were true that the psc had oversight><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:05 AM><Subject: Re: <A good one. Staff just caught him in a lie, and are now pointing out the extra risks to ratepayers. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:03 AM><Subject: Re: <What the hell kind of leading question was that?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:59 AM><Subject: Re: <When they came back, chair said something to floyd about being ready. Seems like floyd may have wanted break to get some documents ready. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:57 AM><Subject: Re: <He could get up and do that. Strange <Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:43 AM><Subject: Re: <Yes, I agree. Unless chairman just needs to pee. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:42 AM><Subject: Re: <Why the break. Somethings up><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:24 AM><Subject: Re: <JR and Charlie are in the back of the room sitting with the audience. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:14 AM><Subject: Re: <Is there to be a public hearing on this ?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:10 AM><Subject: Re: <Got it. I'll print this part of the transcript and have it with the documents at the vote. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:09 AM><Subject: Re: <They are and we need to boldly mark these comments so that later when this comes back around I can see them again><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:06</p>
987	7/27/09 12:23	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>If u see a good opportunity for me to insert a necessary question let me know. I will be asking questions as they come to me only if I think it exposes something that needs to be exposed or put on the record</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
988	7/27/09 12:24	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Depends on the question as to when is a good time. Each witness covers different areas. <I agree that should only ask Qs when necessary and not waste peoples time. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:23 PM<Subject: Re:<If I see a good opportunity for me to insert a necessary question let me know. I will be asking questions as they come to me only if I think it exposes something that needs to be exposed or put on the record-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:16 PM<Subject: Re:<Tryong to build FPI's case. He was saying fpls roe is "transparent" but pipelines have an roe that is "embedded" but ratepayers pay roe either way. <Skop is wrong - fgt makes money for shs only if best price. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:14 PM<Subject: Re:<Ok I was on phone with congressman in DC and will have to do a short conference call at 3:30 for very improtant call. If not done u need to listen up for me. Also what is skop asking-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:35 AM<Subject: Re:<That is the whole point. For it to be "more economical" you have to make all sorts of assumptions and decisions and value judgements that FGT is contesting. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:33 AM<Subject: Re:<Lateral pipelines. Short (20miles). Expansion of FGTs capacity to bring gas to S FL. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:31 AM<Subject: Re:<Fpl could get gas fro existing lines, what needs to be done for them to connect to existing line-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:27 AM<Subject: Re:<Yep. But this could really hurt him. If the press really pick-up on this, could be hard for him to explain to the legis/gov at reappointment. Will depend on press and how good a case fgt makes. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:25 AM<Subject: Re:<Also prob needed a script and explanation. He is just getting them to repeat the savings part for fpl. It is so obvious. I'm going to throw up-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:20 AM<Subject: Re:<Yep. But why couldn't BG have just emailed him?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:19 AM<Subject: Re:<It also bolsters the fpl view that it will still be looked at by psc. Oh here's why the chair took a break. He got a question to ask-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:08 AM<Subject: Re:<Yes, she asked that question. That was good b/c it builds record that you would have to regulate, and psc has no experience/staff/money to learn how. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:06 AM<Subject: Re:<I heard something else. I heard staff sound like fpl when they asked if it were true that the psc had oversight-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:05 AM<Subject: Re:<A good one. Staff just caught him in a lie, and are now pointing out the extra risks to ratepayers. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:03 AM<Subject: Re:<What the hell kind of leading qestion was that?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:59 AM<Subject: Re:<When they came back, chair said something to floyd about being ready. Seems like floyd may have wanted break to get some documents ready. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:57 AM<Subject: Re:<He could get up and do that. Strange-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:43 AM<Subject: Re:<Yes, I agree. Unless chairman just needs to pee. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:42 AM<Subject: Re:<Why the break. Somethings up-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:24 AM<Subject: Re:<JR and Charlie are in the back of the room sitting with the audience. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:14 AM<Subject: Re:<Is there to be a public hearing on this ?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent:</p>
989	7/27/09 12:56	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>I am working on dissent and hope to have it to you soon. I used all of your research re floridians united. You need to thoroughly go over it and fill in footnotes (notice xxs). Make sure I am correct and don't be araid to say if I am not. My goal is to be correct. Feel free to comment also.</p>
990	7/27/09 12:57	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Absolutely will do<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:56 PM<Subject: Re:<I am working on dissent and hope to have it to you soon. I used all of your research re floridians united. You need to thoroughly go over it and fill in footnotes (notice xxs). Make sure I am correct and don't be araid to say if I am not. My goal is to be correct. Feel free to comment also. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:24 PM<Subject: Re:<Depends on the question as to when is a good time. Each witness covers different areas. <I agree that should only ask Qs when necessary and not waste peoples time. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:23 PM<Subject: Re:<If I see a good opportunity for me to insert a necessary question let me know. I will be asking questions as they come to me only if I think it exposes something that needs to be exposed or put on the record-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:16 PM<Subject: Re:<Tryong to build FPI's case. He was saying fpls roe is "transparent" but pipelines have an roe that is "embedded" but ratepayers pay roe either way. <Skop is wrong - fgt makes money for shs only if best price. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:14 PM<Subject: Re:<Ok I was on phone with congressman in DC and will have to do a short conference call at 3:30 for very improtant call. If not done u need to listen up for me. Also what is skop asking-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:35 AM<Subject: Re:<That is the whole point. For it to be "more economical" you have to make all sorts of assumptions and decisions and value judgements that FGT is contesting. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:33 AM<Subject: Re:<So how can he say to carter it will be more economical-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:31 AM<Subject: Re:<Lateral pipelines. Short (20miles). Expansion of FGTs capacity to bring gas to S FL. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:31 AM<Subject: Re:<Fpl could get gas fro existing lines, what needs to be done for them to connect to existing line-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:27 AM<Subject: Re:<Yep. But this could really hurt him. If the press really pick-up on this, could be hard for him to explain to the legis/gov at reappointment. Will depend on press and how good a case fgt makes. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:25 AM<Subject: Re:<Also prob needed a script and explanation. He is just getting them to repeat the savings part for fpl. It is so obvious. I'm going to throw up-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:20 AM<Subject: Re:<Yep. But why couldn't BG have just emailed him?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:19 AM<Subject: Re:<It also bolsters the fpl view that it will still be looked at by psc. Oh here's why the chair took a break. He got a question to ask-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:08 AM<Subject: Re:<Yes, she asked that question. That was good b/c it builds record that you would have to regulate, and psc has no experience/staff/money to learn how. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:06 AM<Subject: Re:<I heard something else. I heard staff sound like fpl when they asked if it were true that the psc had oversight-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:05 AM<Subject: Re:<A good one. Staff just caught him in a lie, and are now pointing out the extra risks to ratepayers. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:03 AM<Subject: Re:<What the hell kind of leading qestion was that?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:59 AM<Subject: Re:<When they came back, chair said something to floyd about being ready. Seems like floyd may have wanted break to get some documents ready. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:57 AM<Subject: Re:<He could get up and do that. Strange-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:43 AM<Subject: Re:<Yes, I agree. Unless chairman just needs to pee. <-----</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
991	7/27/09 13:50	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Not back yet	<p>But I heard the chair try and get everyone seated so they can start. <From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:56 PM>Subject: Re: <I am working on dissent and hope to have it to you soon. I used all of your research re floridians united. You need to thoroughly go over it and fill in footnotes (notice xxs). Make sure I am correct and don't be afraid to say if I am not. My goal is to be correct. Feel free to comment also. <From: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:24 PM>Subject: Re: <Depends on the question as to when is a good time. Each witness covers different areas. <I agree that should only ask Qs when necessary and not waste peoples time. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:23 PM>Subject: Re: <If I see a good opportunity for me to insert a necessary question let me know. I will be asking questions as they come to me only if I think it exposes something that needs to be exposed or put on the record><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:16 PM>Subject: Re: <Tryong to build FPT's case. He was saying fpls roe is "transparent" but pipelines have an roe that is "embedded" but ratepayers pay roe either way. <Skop is wrong - fgt makes money for shs only if best price. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:14 PM>Subject: Re: <Ok I was on phone with congressman in DC and will have to do a short conference call at 3:30 for very important call. If not done u need to listen up for me. Also what is skop asking><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:35 AM>Subject: Re: <That is the whole point. For it to be "more economical" you have to make all sorts of assumptions and decisions and value judgements that FGT is contesting. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:33 AM>Subject: Re: <So how can he say to carter it will be more economical><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:33 AM>Subject: Re: <Lateral pipelines. Short (20miles). Expansion of FGts capacity to bring gas to S FL. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:31 AM>Subject: Re: <Fpl could get gas fro existing lines, what needs to be done for them to connect to existing line><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:27 AM>Subject: Re: <Yep. But this could really hurt him. If the press really pick-up on this, could be hard for him to explain to the legis/gov at reappointment. Will depend on press and how good a case fgt makes. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:25 AM>Subject: Re: <Also prob needed a script and explanation. He is just getting them to repeat the savings part for fpl. It is so obvious. I'm going to throw up><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:20 AM>Subject: Re: <Yep. But why couldn't BG have just emailed him?><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:19 AM>Subject: Re: <It also bolsters the fpl view that it will still be looked at by psc. Oh here's why the chair took a break. He got a question to ask><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:08 AM>Subject: Re: <Yes, she asked that question. That was good b/c it builds record that you would have to regulate, and psc has no experience/staff/money to learn how. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:06 AM>Subject: Re: <I heard something else. I heard staff sound like fpl when they asked if it were true that the psc had oversight><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:05 AM>Subject: Re: <A good one. Staff just caught him in a lie, and are now pointing out the extra risks to ratepayers. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:03 AM>Subject: Re: <What the hell kind of leading question was that?><Original Message><From: Larry Argenziano>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:59 AM>Subject: Re: <When they came back, chair said something to floyd about being ready. Seems like floyd may have wanted break to get some documents ready. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:57 AM>Subject: Re: <He could get up and do that. Strange><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:43 AM>Subject: Re: <Yes, I agree. Unless chairman</p>
992	7/27/09 14:32	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	<p>What the heck is NS doing?</p>
993	7/27/09 14:33	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>I don't know. I think he's trying to make sure he has support to vote either way. <From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:32 PM>Subject: Re: Not back yet<What the heck is NS doing?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 1:50 PM>Subject: Re: Not back yet<But I heard the chair try and get everyone seated so they can start. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:56 PM>Subject: Re: <I am working on dissent and hope to have it to you soon. I used all of your research re floridians united. You need to thoroughly go over it and fill in footnotes (notice xxs). Make sure I am correct and don't be afraid to say if I am not. My goal is to be correct. Feel free to comment also. <From: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:24 PM>Subject: Re: <Depends on the question as to when is a good time. Each witness covers different areas. <I agree that should only ask Qs when necessary and not waste peoples time. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:23 PM>Subject: Re: <If I see a good opportunity for me to insert a necessary question let me know. I will be asking questions as they come to me only if I think it exposes something that needs to be exposed or put on the record><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:16 PM>Subject: Re: <Tryong to build FPT's case. He was saying fpls roe is "transparent" but pipelines have an roe that is "embedded" but ratepayers pay roe either way. <Skop is wrong - fgt makes money for shs only if best price. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:14 PM>Subject: Re: <Ok I was on phone with congressman in DC and will have to do a short conference call at 3:30 for very important call. If not done u need to listen up for me. Also what is skop asking><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:35 AM>Subject: Re: <That is the whole point. For it to be "more economical" you have to make all sorts of assumptions and decisions and value judgements that FGT is contesting. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:33 AM>Subject: Re: <So how can he say to carter it will be more economical><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:33 AM>Subject: Re: <Lateral pipelines. Short (20miles). Expansion of FGts capacity to bring gas to S FL. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:31 AM>Subject: Re: <Fpl could get gas fro existing lines, what needs to be done for them to connect to existing line><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:27 AM>Subject: Re: <Yep. But this could really hurt him. If the press really pick-up on this, could be hard for him to explain to the legis/gov at reappointment. Will depend on press and how good a case fgt makes. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:25 AM>Subject: Re: <Also prob needed a script and explanation. He is just getting them to repeat the savings part for fpl. It is so obvious. I'm going to throw up><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:20 AM>Subject: Re: <Yep. But why couldn't BG have just emailed him?><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:19 AM>Subject: Re: <It also bolsters the fpl view that it will still be looked at by psc. Oh here's why the chair took a break. He got a question to ask><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:08 AM>Subject: Re: <Yes, she asked that question. That was good b/c it builds record that you would have to regulate, and psc has no experience/staff/money to learn how. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:06 AM>Subject: Re: <I heard something else. I heard staff sound like fpl when they asked if it were true that the psc had oversight><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:05 AM>Subject: Re: <A good one. Staff just caught him in a lie, and are now pointing out the extra risks to ratepayers. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:03 AM>Subject: Re: <What the hell kind of leading question was that?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 10:59 AM>Subject: Re: <When they came back, chair said something to floyd about being ready. Seems like floyd may</p>
994	7/27/09 14:34	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	I guess so
995	7/27/09 15:11	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	Did he say come back ay 24 after?

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
996	7/27/09 15:12	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>I think so. You'll be on your phone call, yes?<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:11 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->Did he say come back ay 24 after?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:33 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->I don't know. I think he's trying to make sure he has support to vote either way. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:32 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->What the heck is NS doing?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 1:50 PM<----->Subject: Not back yet<----->But I heard the chair try and get everyone seated so they can start. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:56 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I am working on dissent and hope to have it to you soon. I used all of your research re floridians united. You need to thoroughly go over it and fill in footnotes (notice xxs). Make sure I am correct and don't be afraid to say if I am not. My goal is to be correct. Feel free to comment also. <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:24 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Depends on the question as to when is a good time. Each witness covers different areas. <----->I agree that should only ask Qs when necessary and not waste peoples time. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:23 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->If u see a good opportunity for me to insert a necessary question let me know. I will be asking questions as they come to me only if I think it exposes something that needs to be exposed or put on the record<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:16 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Tryong to build FPI's case. He was saying fpls roe is "transparent" but pipelines have an roe that is "embedded" but ratepayers pay roe either way. <-----Skop is wrong - fgt makes money for shs only if best price. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:14 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Ok I was on phone with congressman in DC and will have to do a short conference call at 3:30 for very improtant call. If not done u need to listen up for me. Also what is skop asking<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:35 AM<----->Subject: Re: <----->That is the whole point. For it to be "more economical" you have to make all sorts of assumptions and decisions and value judgements that FGT is contesting. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:33 AM<----->Subject: Re: <----->So how can he say to carter it will be more economical<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:33 AM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Lateral pipelines. Short (20miles). Expansion of FGTs capacity to bring gas to S FL. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:31 AM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Fpl could get gas from existing lines, what needs to be done for them to connect to existing line<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:27 AM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yep. But this could really hurt him. If the press really pick-up on this, could be hard for him to explain to the legis/gov at reappointment. Will depend on press and how good a case fgt makes. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:25 AM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Also prob needed a script and explanation. He is just getting them to repeat the savings part for fpl. It is so obvious. I'm going to throw up<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:20 AM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yep. But why couldn't BG have just emailed him?<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:19 AM<----->Subject: Re: <----->It also bolsters the fpl view that it will still be looked at by psc. Oh here's why the chair took a break. He got a question to ask<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:08 AM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yes, she asked that question. That was good b/c it builds record that you would have to regulate, and psc has no experience/staff/money to learn how. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:06 AM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I heard something else. I heard staff sound like fpl when they asked if it were true that the psc had oversight<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:05 AM<----->Subject: Re: <----->A good one. Staff just caught him in a lie, and are now pointing out the extra risks to ratepayers. <-----Original Message-----></p>
997	7/27/09 15:12	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	Yes
998	7/27/09 15:34	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	Has fpl and progress provided to commission All the info I requested re salaries? I know they want it confidential but did they provide all that ia asked for?
999	7/27/09 15:37	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	Has fpl and progress provided to commission All the info I requested re salaries? I know they want it confidential but did they provide all that ia asked for?
1000	7/27/09 15:39	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>I was due today. I'll check and find out if it came in. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:37 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->Has fpl and progress provided to commission All the info I requested re salaries? I know they want it confidential but did they provide all that ia asked for?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:12 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->I think so. You'll be on your phone call, yes?<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:11 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->Did he say come back ay 24 after?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:33 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->I don't know. I think he's trying to make sure he has support to vote either way. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:32 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->What the heck is NS doing?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 1:50 PM<----->Subject: Not back yet<----->But I heard the chair try and get everyone seated so they can start. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:56 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I am working on dissent and hope to have it to you soon. I used all of your research re floridians united. You need to thoroughly go over it and fill in footnotes (notice xxs). Make sure I am correct and don't be afraid to say if I am not. My goal is to be correct. Feel free to comment also. <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:24 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Depends on the question as to when is a good time. Each witness covers different areas. <----->I agree that should only ask Qs when necessary and not waste peoples time. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:23 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->If u see a good opportunity for me to insert a necessary question let me know. I will be asking questions as they come to me only if I think it exposes something that needs to be exposed or put on the record<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:16 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Tryong to build FPI's case. He was saying fpls roe is "transparent" but pipelines have an roe that is "embedded" but ratepayers pay roe either way. <-----Skop is wrong - fgt makes money for shs only if best price. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:14 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Ok I was on phone with congressman in DC and will have to do a short conference call at 3:30 for very improtant call. If not done u need to listen up for me. Also what is skop asking<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:35 AM<----->Subject: Re: <----->That is the whole point. For it to be "more economical" you have to make all sorts of assumptions and decisions and value judgements that FGT is contesting. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:33 AM<----->Subject: Re: <----->So how can he say to carter it will be more economical<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:33 AM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Lateral pipelines. Short (20miles). Expansion of FGTs capacity to bring gas to S FL. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:31 AM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Fpl could get gas from existing lines, what needs to be done for them to connect to existing line<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:27 AM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yep. But this could really hurt him. If the press really pick-up on this, could be hard for him to explain to the legis/gov at reappointment. Will depend on press and how good a case fgt makes. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:25 AM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Also prob needed a script and explanation. He is just getting them to repeat the savings part for fpl. It is so obvious. I'm going to throw up<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:20 AM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yep. But why couldn't BG have just emailed him?<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:19 AM<----->Subject: Re: <----->It also bolsters the fpl view that it will still be looked at by psc. Oh here's why the chair took a break. He got a question to ask<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:08 AM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yes, she asked that question. That was good b/c it builds record that you would have to regulate, and psc has no experience/staff/money to learn how. <-----Original Message-----></p>
1001	7/27/09 15:55	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	
1002	7/27/09 15:57	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	So as of right now we do not know if they even gave the commission what I asked for entirely? I need to also know if teco wants the same conditions as fpl and pef. I need to know soon

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1007	7/27/09 16:07	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>Teco has been done. <?>We have fpl above 165k in building. <?>I haven't heard back if have pef above 165 yet, but it is due today like fpl's was. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:05 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>Do we have responses from progress? We have fpl and teco? <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:00 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>I just heard back we have responses from FPL - copies are being made. <?>Have not heard back from the attorney on the other case. <?>I think we are fine with teco. I have to discuss with you, but after my review, I think we have what we need w/o pushing a confidentiality issue with them. <?>So I recommend we notify teco they are good, and then can focus on fpl/pef. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:57 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>So as of right now we do not know if they even gave the commission what I asked for entirely? I need to also know if teco wants the same conditions as fpl and pef. I need to know soon<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:39 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>I was due today. I'll check and find out if it came in. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:37 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>Has fpl and progress provided to commission All the info I requested re salaries? I know they want it confidential but did they provide all that is asked for?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:12 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>I think so. You'll be on your phone call, yes?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:11 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>Did he say come back ay 24 after?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:33 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>I don't know. I think he's trying to make sure he has support to vote either way. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:32 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>What the heck is NS doing?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 1:50 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>But I heard the chair try and get everyone seated so they can start. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:56 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>I am working on dissent and hope to have it to you soon. I used all of our research re floridians united. You need to thoroughly go over it and fill in footnotes (notice xxs). Make sure I am correct and don't be afraid to say if I am not. My goal is to be correct. Feel free to comment also. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:24 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Depends on the question as to when is a good time. Each witness covers different areas. <?>I agree that should only ask Qs when necessary and not waste peoples time. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:23 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>If u see a good opportunity for me to insert a necessary question let me know. I will be asking questions as they come to me only if I think it exposes something that needs to be exposed or put on the record<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:16 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Tryong to build FPL's case. He was saying fpls roe is "transparent" but pipelines have an roe that is "embedded" but ratepayers pay roe either way. <?>Skop is wrong - fgt makes money for shs only if best price. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:14 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Ok I was on phone with congressman in DC and will have to do a short conference call at 3:30 for very important call. If not done u need to listen up for me. Also what is skop asking<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:35 AM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>That is the whole point. For it to be "more economical" you have to make all sorts of assumptions and decisions and value judgements that FGT is contesting. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:33 AM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>So how can he say to carter it will be more economical<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:33 AM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Lateral pipelines. Short (20miles). Expansion of FGTs capacity to bring gas to S FL. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:31 AM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Fpl could get gas fro existing lines, what needs to be done for them</p>
1008	7/27/09 16:08	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Compensation	<p>Why should we modify what may belong to the public?</p>
1009	7/27/09 16:08	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>I will find out tomorrow. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:44 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>The press release was sent out on the 24th. I want to know when notice was published. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:28 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>I do not know. <?>It would have been published in IAW some time ago. <?>I don't know when we might have sent out a press release. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:28 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>I just got a google alert of the pscs 7/24 press release of this pipeline meeting. Was there for certain another notice of this meeting and when was it published? <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:16 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>Not a bad idea at all. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:15 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>I am so mad at the moment that we better talk tomorrow. Also staff better be thinking that if I go back to legislature what changes I am going to make at this PSC. Some senators may just want to do it now<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:13 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>Right. <?>Do you want to talk about what we have for gulfteco tonight or wait until tomorrow?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:03 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>Well that is a shame. But no excuse. I have been waiting on this critical info long enough then it made me think the company was at fault. I could have blasted the comp for a staff goof up<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:01 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>Lisa Bennett and I think she is over-worked and over-stressed and doesn't do a good job of prioritizing. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:00 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>Unacceptable. Especially on a hot issue and a public records request like this. Who was it and why do they have such a lax work behavior?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:57 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>I think the pef attorney was not going to advise, just bring the stuff down when it was copied. <?>I think our atty on the fpl case dropped the ball totally, and if we hadn't asked, she wouldn't have told us. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:55 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>And you had to inquire at my request or they were not going to advise?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:54 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>The numbers are not available electronically. <?>The electronic notice of filing was received at 3:35 PM. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:52 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>Who advised us that info was available electronically? We could of had that this morn? Is that correct?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:49 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>Yes, it is. <?>There are reasons why that process makes sense for some types of documents. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:48 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>Its our fault for not demanding from the start that it be brought to psc. If I knew that the psc allowed this unreal practice I would have made it clear. So psc allows staff to regularly go to utilities office to obtain info they need so they become evn friendlier with the utility they regulate. How nice<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:44 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>It is my understanding that<?>Company electronically filed the notices that the discovery had been responded to. Part of that electronic response was the info was at the fpl office. When we asked the lawyer to get it to us, she followed up and realized the data was not physically here. So lawyer called fpl and said, send it over. <?>This is just the usual low-level BS the companies engage in regularly. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:40 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>Who told them "no way". Where they simply ignoring the request and deadline? Did they call and say the info was there and waiting? <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:33 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>They wanted to keep them at their building and "allow" us to come look at them. <?>We said no way. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:33 PM<?>Subject: Re: Not back yet<?><?>They</p>
1010	7/27/09 16:10	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	<p>Are they still wanting us to just allow bands and averages of salaries? Still want confidentiality and names redacted is not enough for them?</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1011	7/27/09 16:10	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Compensation	<p>B/c we have the numbers non-confidential now; b/c you said names aren't important; b/c you said general titles are ok; and most importantly, getting teco resolved solved several very serious legal/logistical/procedural/strategic issues very clearly. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:08 PM<Subject: Re: Compensation<Why should we modify what may belong to the public?>>>Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:06 PM<Subject: Compensation<They gave us the names, titles and positions. <If we will drop the names, and let them modify a couple (not very many) of positions to something more generic (for example, VP instead of VP for fuel procurement) the rest is open and we have no issue. <When this is over, I can call you and read the specific ones they are concerned about. <I don't see a problem. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:02 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I want to know what teco sent first. Have u reviewed the TECO submission? Are they giving the titles and positions? >>>Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I just heard back we have responses from FPL - copies are being made. <Have not heard back from the attorney on the other case. <I think we are fine with teco. I have to discuss with you, but after my review, I think we have what we need w/o pushing a confidentiality issue with them. <So I recommend we notify teco they are good, and then can focus on fpl/pf. >>>Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<So as of right now we do not know if they even gave the commission what I asked for entirely? I need to also know if TEco wants the same conditions as fpl and pf. I need to know soon>>>Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:39 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I was due today. I'll check and find out if it came in. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:37 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Has fpl and progress provided to commission All the info I requested re salaries? I know they want it confidential but did they provide all that ia asked for?>>>Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:12 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I think so. You'll be on your phone call, yes?>>>Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:11 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Did he say come back ay 24 after?>>>Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:33 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I don't know. I think he's trying to make sure he has support to vote either way. >>>Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:32 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<What the heck is NS doing?>>>Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 1:50 PM<Subject: Not back yet<But I heard the chair try and get everyone seated so they can start. >>>Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:56 PM<Subject: Re: <I am working on dissent and hope to have it to you soon. I used all of your research re floridians united. You need to throughly go over it and fill in footnotes (notice xxs). Make sure I am correct and don't be afraid to say if I am not. My goal is to be correct. Feel free to comment also. >>>Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:24 PM<Subject: Re: <Depends on the question as to when is a good time. Each witness covers different areas. <I agree that should only ask Qs when necessary and not waste peoples time. >>>Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:23 PM<Subject: Re: <If u see a good opportunity for me to insert a necessary question let me know. I will be asking questions as they come to me only if I think it exposes something that needs to be exposed or put on the record>>>Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:16 PM<Subject: Re: <Tryong to build FPL's case. He was saying fpls roe is "transparent" but pipelines have an roe that is "embedded" but ratepayers pay roe either way. <Skop is wrong - fgt makes money for shs only if best price. >>>Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:14 PM<Subject: Re: <Ok I was on phone with congressman in DC and will have to do a short conference call at 3:30 for very important call. If not done u need to listen up for me. Also what is skop asking>>>Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy</p>
1012	7/27/09 16:12	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Compensation	I nevri said general titles are ok? Where did u get that from all I said was that redacting names was acceptable to me. That's all
1013	7/27/09 16:12	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	The press release was sent out on the 24th. I want to know when notice was published.
1014	7/27/09 16:12	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	Larry filing. Means actually in the posession of the clerk, doesn't it?
1015	7/27/09 16:12	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>For fpl and pf, yes. <I got it backward - we have pf in the building and not fpl as of 15 minutes ago. <Fpl filed the notice that they were responding. I'm trying to find out if the fpl numbers are in the building or at their office. I demanded copies of the actual answers for both companies immediately. >>>Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:10 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Are they still wanting us to just allow bands and averages of salanes? Still want confidentiality and names redacted is not enough for them?>>>Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:07 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Teco has been done. <We have fpl above 165k in building. <I haven't heard back if have pf above 165 yet, but it is due today like fpl's was. >>>Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:05 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Do we have responses from progress? We have fpl and teco? >>>Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I just heard back we have responses from FPL - copies are being made. <Have not heard back from the attorney on the other case. <I think we are fine with teco. I have to discuss with you, but after my review, I think we have what we need w/o pushing a confidentiality issue with them. <So I recommend we notify teco they are good, and then can focus on fpl/pf. >>>Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<So as of right now we do not know if they even gave the commission what I asked for entirely? I need to also know if TEco wants the same conditions as fpl and pf. I need to know soon>>>Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:39 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I was due today. I'll check and find out if it came in. >>>Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:37 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Has fpl and progress provided to commission All the info I requested re salaries? I know they want it confidential but did they provide all that ia asked for?>>>Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:12 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I think so. You'll be on your phone call, yes?>>>Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:11 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Did he say come back ay 24 after?>>>Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:33 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I don't know. I think he's trying to make sure he has support to vote either way. >>>Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:32 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<What the heck is NS doing?>>>Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 1:50 PM<Subject: Not back yet<But I heard the chair try and get everyone seated so they can start. >>>Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:56 PM<Subject: Re: <I am working on dissent and hope to have it to you soon. I used all of your research re floridians united. You need to throughly go over it and fill in footnotes (notice xxs). Make sure I am correct and don't be afraid to say if I am not. My goal is to be correct. Feel free to comment also. >>>Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:24 PM<Subject: Re: <Depends on the question as to when is a good time. Each witness covers different areas. <I agree that should only ask Qs when necessary and not waste peoples time. >>>Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:23 PM<Subject: Re: <If u see a good opportunity for me to insert a necessary question let me know. I will be asking questions as they come to me only if I think it exposes something that needs to be exposed or put on the record>>>Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:16 PM<Subject: Re: <Tryong to build FPL's case. He was saying fpls roe is "transparent" but pipelines have an roe that is "embedded" but ratepayers pay roe either way. <Skop is wrong - fgt makes money for shs only if best price. >>>Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:14 PM<Subject: Re: <Ok I was on phone with congressman in DC and will have to do a short conference call at 3:30 for very important call. If not done u need to listen up for me. Also what is skop asking>>>Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 11:35 AM<Subject: Re: <That is the whole point. For it</p>
1016	7/27/09 16:12	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Compensation	Whatever the public is entitled to is what I want to happen
1017	7/27/09 16:13	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Staff response	They better be. As this was known for awhile. I guess they don't think commissioners requests are to be taken seriously

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1018	7/27/09 16:13	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Compensation	<p>I'm sorry. That is what we have for gulf and fpuc and I thought that was ok. <v>We'll need to talk so I can read this stuff to you. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:12 PM<v>Subject: Re: Compensation<v><v>nev said general titles are ok? Where did u get that from all I said was that redacting names was acceptable to me. That's all<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:10 PM<v>Subject: Re: Compensation<v><v>B/c we have the numbers non-confidential now; b/c you said names aren't important; b/c you said general titles are ok; and most importantly, getting teco resolved solved several very serious legal/logistical/procedural/strategic issues very clearly. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:08 PM<v>Subject: Re: Compensation<v><v>Why should we modify what may belong to the public?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:06 PM<v>Subject: Compensation<v><v>They gave us the names, titles and positions. <v>If we will drop the names, and let them modify a couple (not very many) of positions to something more generic (for example, VP instead of VP for fuel procurement) the rest is open and we have no issue. <v>When this is over, I can call you and read the specific ones they are concerned about. <v>I don't see a problem. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:02 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v><v>I want to know what teco sent first. Have u reviewed the TECO submission? Are they giving the titles and positions? <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:00 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v><v>I just heard back we have responses from FPL - copies are being made. <v>Have not heard back from the attorney on the other case. <v>I think we are fine with teco. I have to discuss with you, but after my review, I think we have what we need w/o pushing a confidentiality issue with them. <v>So I recommend we notify teco they are good, and then can focus on fpl/pf. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:57 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v><v>So as of right now we do not know if they even gave the commission what I asked for entirely? I need to also know if teco wants the same conditions as fpl and pef. I need to know soon<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:39 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v><v>I was due today. I'll check and find out if it came in. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:37 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v><v>Has fpl and progress provided to commission All the info I requested re salaries? I know they want it confidential but did they provide all that ia asked for?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:12 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v><v>I think so. You'll be on your phone call, yes?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:11 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v><v>Did he say come back ay 24 after?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:33 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v><v>I don't know. I think he's trying to make sure he has support to vote either way. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:32 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v><v>What the heck is NS doing?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 1:50 PM<v>Subject: Not back yet<v><v>But I heard the chair try and get everyone seated so they can start. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:56 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I am working on dissent and hope to have it to you soon. I used all of your research re floridians united. You need to thoroughly go over it and fill in footnotes (notice xxs). Make sure I am correct and don't be afraid to say if I am not. My goal is to be correct. Feel free to comment also. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:24 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Depends on the question as to when is a good time. Each witness covers different areas. <v>I agree that should only ask Qs when necessary and not waste peoples time. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:23 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>If u see a good opportunity for me to insert a necessary question let me know. I will be asking questions as they come to me only if I think it exposes something that needs to be espoused or put on the record<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:16 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Tryong to build</p>
1019	7/27/09 16:14	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Compensation	<p>I understand that. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:12 PM<v>Subject: Re: Compensation<v><v>Whatever the public is entitled to is what I want to happen<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:10 PM<v>Subject: Re: Compensation<v><v>B/c we have the numbers non-confidential now; b/c you said names aren't important; b/c you said general titles are ok; and most importantly, getting teco resolved solved several very serious legal/logistical/procedural/strategic issues very clearly. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:08 PM<v>Subject: Re: Compensation<v><v>Why should we modify what may belong to the public?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:06 PM<v>Subject: Compensation<v><v>They gave us the names, titles and positions. <v>If we will drop the names, and let them modify a couple (not very many) of positions to something more generic (for example, VP instead of VP for fuel procurement) the rest is open and we have no issue. <v>When this is over, I can call you and read the specific ones they are concerned about. <v>I don't see a problem. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:02 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v><v>I want to know what teco sent first. Have u reviewed the TECO submission? Are they giving the titles and positions? <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:00 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v><v>I just heard back we have responses from FPL - copies are being made. <v>Have not heard back from the attorney on the other case. <v>I think we are fine with teco. I have to discuss with you, but after my review, I think we have what we need w/o pushing a confidentiality issue with them. <v>So I recommend we notify teco they are good, and then can focus on fpl/pf. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:57 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v><v>So as of right now we do not know if they even gave the commission what I asked for entirely? I need to also know if teco wants the same conditions as fpl and pef. I need to know soon<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:39 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v><v>I was due today. I'll check and find out if it came in. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:37 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v><v>Has fpl and progress provided to commission All the info I requested re salaries? I know they want it confidential but did they provide all that ia asked for?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:12 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v><v>I think so. You'll be on your phone call, yes?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:11 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v><v>Did he say come back ay 24 after?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:33 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v><v>I don't know. I think he's trying to make sure he has support to vote either way. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:32 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v><v>What the heck is NS doing?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 1:50 PM<v>Subject: Not back yet<v><v>But I heard the chair try and get everyone seated so they can start. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:56 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I am working on dissent and hope to have it to you soon. I used all of your research re floridians united. You need to thoroughly go over it and fill in footnotes (notice xxs). Make sure I am correct and don't be afraid to say if I am not. My goal is to be correct. Feel free to comment also. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:24 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Depends on the question as to when is a good time. Each witness covers different areas. <v>I agree that should only ask Qs when necessary and not waste peoples time. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:23 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>If u see a good opportunity for me to insert a necessary question let me know. I will be asking questions as they come to me only if I think it exposes something that needs to be espoused or put on the record<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:16 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Tryong to build FPT's case. He was saying fpls roe is "transparent" but pipelines have an roe that is "embedded" but ratepayers pay roe either way. <v>Skop is wrong - fgt makes money for shs only if best</p>
1020	7/27/09 16:14	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	So once again, we have PEFs not FPLs? Or you have no answer as to whether fpls is in the bldg
1021	7/27/09 16:15	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Compensation	No u told me gulf and fpuc had no problems at all with my request. None. Are u telling me something different now?

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1022	7/27/09 16:15	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>We have pefs (it is being copied) and I don't know if we have fpls in the building as of now. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:14 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->So once again, we have PEFs not FPLs? Or you have no answer as to whether fpls is in the bldg<----->Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:12 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->For fpl and pef, yes. <----->I got it backward - we have pef in the building and not fpl as of 15 minutes ago. <----->Fpl filed the notice that they were responding. I'm trying to find out if the fpl numbers are in the building or at their office. I demanded copies of the actual answers for both companies immediately. <----->Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:10 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->Are they still wanting us to just allow bands and averages of salaries? Still want confidentiality and names redacted is not enough for them?<----->Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:07 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->Teco has been done. <----->We have fpl above 165k in building. <----->I haven't heard back if have pef above 165 yet, but it is due today like fpl's was. <----->Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:05 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->Do we have responses from progress? We have fpl and teco? <----->Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:00 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->I just heard back we have responses from FPL - copies are being made. <----->Have not heard back from the attorney on the other case. <----->I think we are fine with teco. I have to discuss with you, but after my review, I think we have what we need w/o pushing a confidentiality issue with them. <----->So I recommend we notify teco they are good, and then can focus on fpl/pef. <----->Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:57 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->So as of right now we do not know if they even gave the commission what I asked for entirely? I need to also know if ECo wants the same conditions as fpl and pef. I need to know soon<----->Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:39 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->I was due today. I'll check and find out if it came in. <----->Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:37 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->Has fpl and progress provided to commission All the info I requested re salaries? I know they want it confidential but did they provide all that ia asked for?<----->Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:12 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->I think so. You'll be on your phone call, yes?<----->Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:11 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->Did he say come back ay 24 after?<----->Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:33 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->I don't know. I think he's trying to make sure he has support to vote either way. <----->Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:32 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->What the heck is NS doing?<----->Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 1:50 PM<----->Subject: Not back yet<----->But I heard the chair try and get everyone seated so they can start. <----->Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:56 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I am working on dissent and hope to have it to you soon. I used all of your research re flordians united. You need to thoroughly go over it and fill in footnotes (notice xxs). Make sure I am correct and don't be afraid to say if I am not. My goal is to be correct. Feel free to comment also. <----->Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:24 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Depends on the question as to when is a good time. Each witness covers different areas. <----->I agree that should only ask Qs when necessary and not waste peoples time. <----->Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:23 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->If u see a good opportunity for me to insert a necessary question let me know. I will be asking questions as they come to me only if I think it exposes something that needs to be exposed or put on the record<----->Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:16 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Tryong to build FPL's case. He was saying fpls roe is "transparent" but pipelines have an roe that is "embedded" but ratepayers pay roe either way. <----->Skop is wrong - fgt makes money for shs only if best price. <----->Original</p>
1023	7/27/09 16:17	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	Does pefs have what I asked for and are they still saying it is confidential and all they will do is averages of salaries in bands?
1024	7/27/09 16:19	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	Do we have pefs, tecos, fpuc, and gulfs???? All but fpl?
1025	7/27/09 16:19	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Compensation	<p>I guess I am. <----->Fpuc gave us names and titles. <----->Gulf gave us names and relatively imprecise titles. <----->Teco gave us names and titles. Teco asked for confidentiality of names and a small number of very precise/specific titles, not the majority. <----->None of these 3 asked the numbers themselves be confidential. <----->Pef and fpl asked for confidentiality of everything - names, titles and the actual numbers. <----->Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:15 PM<----->Subject: Re: Compensation<----->No u told me gulf and fpuc had no problems at all with my request. None. Are u telling me something different now? <----->Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:13 PM<----->Subject: Re: Compensation<----->I'm sorry. That is what we have for gulf and fpuc and I thought that was ok. <----->We'll need to talk so I can read this stuff to you. <----->Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:12 PM<----->Subject: Re: Compensation<----->I never said general titles are ok? Where did u get that from all I said was that redacting names was acceptable to me. That's all<----->Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:10 PM<----->Subject: Re: Compensation<----->B/c we have the numbers non-confidential now; b/c you said names aren't important; b/c you said general titles are ok; and most importantly, getting teco resolved solved several very serious legal/logistical/procedural/strategic issues very cleanly. <----->Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:08 PM<----->Subject: Re: Compensation<----->Why should we modify what may belong to the public?<----->Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:06 PM<----->Subject: Compensation<----->They gave us the names, titles and positions. <----->If we will drop the names, and let them modify a couple (not very many) of positions to something more generic (for example, VP instead of VP for fuel procurement) the rest is open and we have no issue. <----->When this is over, I can call you and read the specific ones they are concerned about. <----->I don't see a problem. <----->Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:02 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->I want to know what teco sent first. Have u reviewed the TECO submission? Are they giving the titles and positions? <----->Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:00 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->I just heard back we have responses from FPL - copies are being made. <----->Have not heard back from the attorney on the other case. <----->I think we are fine with teco. I have to discuss with you, but after my review, I think we have what we need w/o pushing a confidentiality issue with them. <----->So I recommend we notify teco they are good, and then can focus on fpl/pef. <----->Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:57 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->So as of right now we do not know if they even gave the commission what I asked for entirely? I need to also know if ECo wants the same conditions as fpl and pef. I need to know soon<----->Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:39 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->I was due today. I'll check and find out if it came in. <----->Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:37 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->Has fpl and progress provided to commission All the info I requested re salaries? I know they want it confidential but did they provide all that ia asked for?<----->Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:12 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->I think so. You'll be on your phone call, yes?<----->Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:11 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->Did he say come back ay 24 after?<----->Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:33 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->I don't know. I think he's trying to make sure he has support to vote either way. <----->Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:32 PM<----->Subject: Re: Not back yet<----->What the heck is NS doing?<----->Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 1:50 PM<----->Subject: Not back yet<----->But I heard the chair try and get everyone seated so they can start. <----->Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:56 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I am working on dissent and hope to have it to you soon. I used all of your research re flordians united. You need to thoroughly go over it and fill in footnotes (notice xxs). Make sure I am correct and don't be afraid to say if I am not. My goal is to be correct. Feel free to comment also.</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1026	7/27/09 16:27	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>For 3 we have everything. <For pef, we have the responses in the building, and they will be copied and delivered to me promptly. <For fpl, they are being delivered to us by courier from fpl's office. I expect they'll be physically in the building by 5:00, but I probably won't have them until they can be copied in the AM. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:19 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Do we have pefs, tecos, fpluc, and gulls???? All but fpl?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:15 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<We have pefs (it is being copied) and I don't know if we have fpls in the building as of now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:14 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<So once again, we have PEFs not FPLs? Or you have no answer as to whether fpls is in the bldg<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:12 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For fpl and pef, yes. <I got it backward - we have pef in the building and not fpl as of 15 minutes ago. <Fpl filed the notice that they were responding. I'm trying to find out if the fpl numbers are in the building or at their office. I demanded copies of the actual answers for both companies immediately. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:10 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Are they still wanting us to just allow bands and averages of salaries? Still want confidentiality and names redacted is not enough for them?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:07 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Teco has been done. <We have fpl above 165k in building. <I haven't heard back if have pef above 165 yet, but it is due today like fpl's was. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:05 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Do we have responses from progress? We have fpl and teco? <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I just heard back we have responses from FPL - copies are being made. <Have not heard back from the attorney on the other case. <I think we are fine with teco. I have to discuss with you, but after my review, I think we have what we need w/o pushing a confidentiality issue with them. <So I recommend we notify teco they are good, and then can focus on fpl/pef. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<So as of right now we do not know if they even gave the commission what I asked for entirely? I need to also know if teco wants the same conditions as fpl and pef. I need to know soon<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:39 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I was due today. I'll check and find out if it came in. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:37 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Has fpl and progress provided to commission All the info I requested re salaries? I know they want it confidential but did they provide all that ia asked for?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:12 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I think so. You'll be on your phone call, yes?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:11 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Did he say come back ay 24 after?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:33 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I don't know. I think he's trying to make sure he has support to vote either way. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:32 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<What the heck is NS doing?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 1:50 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<But I heard the chair try and get everyone seated so they can start. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:56 PM<Subject: Re: <I am working on dissent and hope to have it to you soon. I used all of your research re floridians united. You need to thoroughly go over it and fill in footnotes (notice xxs). Make sure I am correct and don't be afraid to say if I am not. My goal is to be correct. Feel free to comment also. <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:24 PM<Subject: Re: <Depends on the question as to when is a good time. Each witness covers different areas. <I agree that should only ask Qs when necessary and not waste peoples time. <-----Original Message-----</p>
1027	7/27/09 16:28	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	<p>They wait until the end of the day for what reason?</p>
1028	7/27/09 16:29	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p> Pef's response has all dat you asked for. <They are saying it is all confidential. <I was told pef is willing to agree to the averages and bands offered by fpl as a "resolution" to the "dispute" over confidential treatment. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:17 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Does pefs have what I asked for and are they still saying it is confidential and all they will do is averages of salaries in bands?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:15 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<We have pefs (it is being copied) and I don't know if we have fpls in the building as of now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:14 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<So once again, we have PEFs not FPLs? Or you have no answer as to whether fpls is in the bldg<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:12 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For fpl and pef, yes. <I got it backward - we have pef in the building and not fpl as of 15 minutes ago. <Fpl filed the notice that they were responding. I'm trying to find out if the fpl numbers are in the building or at their office. I demanded copies of the actual answers for both companies immediately. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:10 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Are they still wanting us to just allow bands and averages of salaries? Still want confidentiality and names redacted is not enough for them?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:07 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Teco has been done. <We have fpl above 165k in building. <I haven't heard back if have pef above 165 yet, but it is due today like fpl's was. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:05 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Do we have responses from progress? We have fpl and teco? <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I just heard back we have responses from FPL - copies are being made. <Have not heard back from the attorney on the other case. <I think we are fine with teco. I have to discuss with you, but after my review, I think we have what we need w/o pushing a confidentiality issue with them. <So I recommend we notify teco they are good, and then can focus on fpl/pef. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<So as of right now we do not know if they even gave the commission what I asked for entirely? I need to also know if teco wants the same conditions as fpl and pef. I need to know soon<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:39 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I was due today. I'll check and find out if it came in. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:37 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Has fpl and progress provided to commission All the info I requested re salaries? I know they want it confidential but did they provide all that ia asked for?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:12 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I think so. You'll be on your phone call, yes?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:11 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Did he say come back ay 24 after?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:33 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I don't know. I think he's trying to make sure he has support to vote either way. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:32 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<What the heck is NS doing?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 1:50 PM<Subject: Not back yet<But I heard the chair try and get everyone seated so they can start. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:56 PM<Subject: Re: <I am working on dissent and hope to have it to you soon. I used all of your research re floridians united. You need to thoroughly go over it and fill in footnotes (notice xxs). Make sure I am correct and don't be afraid to say if I am not. My goal is to be correct. Feel free to comment also. <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:24 PM<Subject: Re: <Depends on the question as to when is a good time. Each witness covers different areas. <I agree that should only ask Qs when necessary and not waste peoples time. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: </p>
1029	7/27/09 16:30	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	<p>No way.</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1030	7/27/09 16:31	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>B/c they can. <Fpl didn't intend to give us the responses in the building. Lawyer called after I emailed her and told they to send it over here physically. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:28 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wait until the end of the day for what reason?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:27 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For 3 we have everything. <For pef, we have the responses in the building, and they will be copied and delivered to me promptly. <For fpl, they are being delivered to us by courier from fpl's office. I expect they'll be physically in the building by 5:00, but I probably won't have them until they can be copied in the AM. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:19 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Do we have pefs, tecos, fpu, and gulls???? All but fpl?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:15 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<We have pefs (it is being copied) and I don't know if we have fpls in the building as of now. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:14 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<So once again, we have PEFs not FPLs? Or you have no answer as to whether fpls is in the bldg<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:12 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For fpl and pef, yes. <I got it backward - we have pef in the building and not fpl as of 15 minutes ago. <Fpl filed the notice that they were responding. I'm trying to find out if the fpl numbers are in the building or at their office. I demanded copies of the actual answers for both companies immediately. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:10 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Are they still wanting us to just allow bands and averages of salaries? Still want confidentiality and names redacted is not enough for them?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:07 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Teco has been done. <We have fpl above 165k in building. <I haven't heard back if have pef above 165 yet, but it is due today like fpl's was. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:05 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Do we have responses from progress? We have fpl and teco? <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I just heard back we have responses from FPL - copies are being made. <Have not heard back from the attorney on the other case. <I think we are fine with teco. I have to discuss with you, but after my review, I think we have what we need w/o pushing a confidentiality issue with them. <So I recommend we notify teco they are good, and then can focus on fpl/pef. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<So as of right now we do not know if they even gave the commission what I asked for entirely? I need to also know if ECo wants the same conditions as fpl and pef. I need to know soon<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:39 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I was due today. I'll check and find out if it came in. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:37 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Has fpl and progress provided to commission All the info I requested re salaries? I know they want it confidential but did they provide all that is asked for?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:12 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I think so. You'll be on your phone call, yes?<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:11 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Did he say come back ay 24 after?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:33 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I don't know. I think he's trying to make sure he has support to vote either way. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:32 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<What the heck is NS doing?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 1:50 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<But I heard the chair try and get everyone seated so they can start. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:56 PM<Subject: Re: <I am working on dissent and hope to have it to you soon. I used all of your research re floridians united. You need to thoroughly go over it and fill in</p>
1031	7/27/09 16:31	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>I agree. It is beyond bullshit. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:30 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<No way. <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:29 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Pef's response has all dat you asked for. <They are saying it is all confidential. <I was told pef is willing to agree to the averages and bands offered by fpl as a "resolution" to the "dispute" over confidential treatment. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:17 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Does pefs have what I asked for and are they still saying it is confidential and all they will do is averages of salaries in bands?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:15 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<We have pefs (it is being copied) and I don't know if we have fpls in the building as of now. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:14 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<So once again, we have PEFs not FPLs? Or you have no answer as to whether fpls is in the bldg<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:12 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For fpl and pef, yes. <I got it backward - we have pef in the building and not fpl as of 15 minutes ago. <Fpl filed the notice that they were responding. I'm trying to find out if the fpl numbers are in the building or at their office. I demanded copies of the actual answers for both companies immediately. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:10 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Are they still wanting us to just allow bands and averages of salaries? Still want confidentiality and names redacted is not enough for them?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:07 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Teco has been done. <We have fpl above 165k in building. <I haven't heard back if have pef above 165 yet, but it is due today like fpl's was. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:05 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Do we have responses from progress? We have fpl and teco? <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I just heard back we have responses from FPL - copies are being made. <Have not heard back from the attorney on the other case. <I think we are fine with teco. I have to discuss with you, but after my review, I think we have what we need w/o pushing a confidentiality issue with them. <So I recommend we notify teco they are good, and then can focus on fpl/pef. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<So as of right now we do not know if they even gave the commission what I asked for entirely? I need to also know if ECo wants the same conditions as fpl and pef. I need to know soon<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:39 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I was due today. I'll check and find out if it came in. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:37 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Has fpl and progress provided to commission All the info I requested re salaries? I know they want it confidential but did they provide all that is asked for?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:12 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I think so. You'll be on your phone call, yes?<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:11 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Did he say come back ay 24 after?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:33 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I don't know. I think he's trying to make sure he has support to vote either way. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:32 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<What the heck is NS doing?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 1:50 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<But I heard the chair try and get everyone seated so they can start. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 12:56 PM<Subject: Re: <I am working on dissent and hope to have it to you soon. I used all of your research re floridians united. You need to thoroughly go over it and fill in footnotes (notice xxs). Make sure I am correct and don't be afraid to say if I am not. My goal is to be correct. Feel free to comment also. <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy</p>
1032	7/27/09 16:32	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	<p>What do u mean they were not going to give us responses in the bldg?</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1033	7/27/09 16:33	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>They wanted to keep them at their building and "allow" us to come look at them. <v>We said no way. <v>-----Original Message-----</p> <p><v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:32 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>What do u mean they were not going to give us responses in the bldg?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:31 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>B/c they can. <v>Fpl didn't intend to give us the responses in the building. Lawyer called after I emailed her and told they to send it over here physically. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:28 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>They wait until the end of the day for what reason?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:27 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>For 3 we have everything. <v>For pef, we have the responses in the building, and they will be copied and delivered to me promptly. <v>For fpl, they are being delivered to us by courier from fpl's office. I expect they'll be physically in the building by 5:00, but I probably won't have them until they can be copied in the AM. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:19 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>Do we have pefs, tecos, fpuc, and gulfs???? All but fpl?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:15 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>We have pefs (it is being copied) and I don't know if we have fpls in the building as of now. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:14 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>So once again, we have PEFs not FPLs? Or you have no answer as to whether fpls is in the bldg<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:12 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>For fpl and pef, yes. <v>I got it backward - we have pef in the building and not fpl as of 15 minutes ago. <v>Fpl filed the notice that they were responding. I'm trying to find out if the fpl numbers are in the building or at their office. I demanded copies of the actual answers for both companies immediately. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:10 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>Are they still wanting us to just allow bands and averages of salaries? Still want confidentiality and names redacted is not enough for them?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:07 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>Teco has been done. <v>We have fpl above 165k in building. <v>I haven't heard back if have pef above 165 yet, but it is due today like fpl's was. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:05 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>Do we have responses from progress? We have fpl and teco? <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:00 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>I just heard back we have responses from FPL - copies are being made. <v>Have not heard back from the attorney on the other case. <v>I think we are fine with teco. I have to discuss with you, but after my review, I think we have what we need w/o pushing a confidentiality issue with them. <v>So I recommend we notify teco they are good, and then can focus on fpl/pef. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:57 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>So as of right now we do not know if they even gave the commission what I asked for entirely? I need to also know if teco wants the same conditions as fpl and pef. I need to know soon<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:39 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>I was due today. I'll check and find out if it came in. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:37 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>Has fpl and progress provided to commission All the info I requested re salaries? I know they want it confidential but did they provide all that ia asked for?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:12 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>I think so. You'll be on your phone call, yes?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:11 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>Did he say come back ay 24 after?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:33 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>I don't know. I think he's trying to make sure he has support to vote either way. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:32 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>What the heck is NS doing?<v>-----Original Message-----</p>
1034	7/27/09 16:36	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	Get out of here. Who had the nerve to tell you thatav. What did staff tell them?
1035	7/27/09 16:40	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	Who told them "no way". Where they simply ignoring the request and deadline? Did they call and say the info was there and waiting?
1036	7/27/09 16:40	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>"No, you bring them over here today."<v>Company trying to keep confidential stuff in their office is very standard. <v>There are large amounts of routine things staff ask for, go over and look at, decide they don't need in our building, and leave there. Much easier on our clerk's office, and we do have some space issues - only one small secure storage room and it is pretty full. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:36 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>Get out of here. Who had the nerve to tell you thatav. What did staff tell them?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:33 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>They wanted to keep them at their building and "allow" us to come look at them. <v>We said no way. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:32 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>What do u mean they were not going to give us responses in the bldg?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:31 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>B/c they can. <v>Fpl didn't intend to give us the responses in the building. Lawyer called after I emailed her and told they to send it over here physically. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:28 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>They wait until the end of the day for what reason?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:27 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>For 3 we have everything. <v>For pef, we have the responses in the building, and they will be copied and delivered to me promptly. <v>For fpl, they are being delivered to us by courier from fpl's office. I expect they'll be physically in the building by 5:00, but I probably won't have them until they can be copied in the AM. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:19 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>Do we have pefs, tecos, fpuc, and gulfs???? All but fpl?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:15 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>We have pefs (it is being copied) and I don't know if we have fpls in the building as of now. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:14 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>So once again, we have PEFs not FPLs? Or you have no answer as to whether fpls is in the bldg<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:12 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>For fpl and pef, yes. <v>I got it backward - we have pef in the building and not fpl as of 15 minutes ago. <v>Fpl filed the notice that they were responding. I'm trying to find out if the fpl numbers are in the building or at their office. I demanded copies of the actual answers for both companies immediately. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:10 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>Are they still wanting us to just allow bands and averages of salaries? Still want confidentiality and names redacted is not enough for them?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:07 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>Teco has been done. <v>We have fpl above 165k in building. <v>I haven't heard back if have pef above 165 yet, but it is due today like fpl's was. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:05 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>Do we have responses from progress? We have fpl and teco? <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:00 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>I just heard back we have responses from FPL - copies are being made. <v>Have not heard back from the attorney on the other case. <v>I think we are fine with teco. I have to discuss with you, but after my review, I think we have what we need w/o pushing a confidentiality issue with them. <v>So I recommend we notify teco they are good, and then can focus on fpl/pef. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:57 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>So as of right now we do not know if they even gave the commission what I asked for entirely? I need to also know if teco wants the same conditions as fpl and pef. I need to know soon<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:39 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>I was due today. I'll check and find out if it came in. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:37 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>Has fpl and progress provided to commission All the info I requested re salaries? I know they want it confidential but did they provide all that ia asked for?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:12 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>I think so. You'll be on your phone call, yes?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:11 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>Did he say come back ay 24 after?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:33 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>I don't know. I think he's trying to make sure he has support to vote either way. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 2:32 PM<v>Subject: Re: Not back yet<v>What the heck is NS doing?<v>-----Original Message-----</p>
1037	7/27/09 16:43	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	Did they inform that it was ready and there? The way the psc does business is horrible

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1038	7/27/09 16:44	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>It is my understanding that: Company electronically filed the notices that the discovery had been responded to. Part of that electronic response was the info was at the fpl office. When we asked the lawyer to get it to us, she followed up and realized the data was not physically here. So lawyer called fpl and said, send it over. This is just the usual low-level BS the companies engage in regularly.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:40 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Who told them "no way". Where they simply ignoring the request and deadline? Did they call and say the info was there and waiting? <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wanted to keep them at their building and "allow" us to come look at them. <-----We said no way. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:32 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<What do u mean they were not going to give us responses in the bldg?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:31 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<B/c they can. <-----Fpl didn't intend to give us the responses in the building. Lawyer called after I emailed her and told they to send it over here physically. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:28 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wait until the end of the day for what reason?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:27 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For 3 we have everything. <-----For pef, we have the responses in the building, and they will be copied and delivered to me promptly. <-----For fpl, they are being delivered to us by courier from fpl's office. I expect they'll be physically in the building by 5:00, but I probably won't have them until they can be copied in the AM. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:19 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Do we have pefs, tecos, fpu, and gulfs???? All but fpl?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:15 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<We have pefs (it is being copied) and I don't know if we have fpls in the building as of now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:14 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<So once again, we have PEFs not FPLs? Or you have no answer as to whether fpls is in the bldg?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:12 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For fpl and pef, yes. <-----I got it backward - we have pef in the building and not fpl as of 15 minutes ago. <-----Fpl filed the notice that they were responding. I'm trying to find out if the fpl numbers are in the building or at their office. I demanded copies of the actual answers for both companies immediately. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:10 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Are they still wanting us to just allow bands and averages of salaries? Still want confidentiality and names redacted is not enough for them?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:07 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Teco has been done. <-----We have fpl above 165k in building. <-----I haven't heard back if have pef above 165 yet, but it is due today like fpl's was. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:05 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Do we have responses from progress? We have fpl and teco? <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I just heard back we have responses from FPL - copies are being made. <-----Have not heard back from the attorney on the other case. <-----I think we are fine with teco. I have to discuss with you, but after my review, I think we have what we need w/o pushing a confidentiality issue with them. <-----So I recommend we notify teco they are good, and then can focus on fpl/pef. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<So as of right now we do not know if they even gave the commission what I asked for entirely? I need to also know if teco wants the same conditions as fpl and pef. I need to know soon<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:39 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I was due today. I'll check and find out if it came in. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:37 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Has fpl and progress provided to commission all the info I requested re salaries? I know they want it confidential but did they provide all that is asked</p>
1039	7/27/09 16:45	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>Yes it is. <-----And yes, the electronic notice was "info done and ready for you to look at."<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:43 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Did they inform that it was ready and there? The way the psc does business is horrible <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:40 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<No, you bring them over here today. <-----Company trying to keep confidential stuff in their office is very standard. <-----There are large amounts of routine things staff ask for, go over and look at, decide they don't need in our building, and leave there. Much easier on our clerk's office, and we do have some space issues - only one small secure storage room and it is pretty full. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:36 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Get out of here. Who had the nerve to tell you that. What did staff tell them?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wanted to keep them at their building and "allow" us to come look at them. <-----We said no way. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:32 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<What do u mean they were not going to give us responses in the bldg?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:31 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<B/c they can. <-----Fpl didn't intend to give us the responses in the building. Lawyer called after I emailed her and told they to send it over here physically. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:28 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wait until the end of the day for what reason?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:27 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For 3 we have everything. <-----For pef, we have the responses in the building, and they will be copied and delivered to me promptly. <-----For fpl, they are being delivered to us by courier from fpl's office. I expect they'll be physically in the building by 5:00, but I probably won't have them until they can be copied in the AM. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:19 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Do we have pefs, tecos, fpu, and gulfs???? All but fpl?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:15 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<We have pefs (it is being copied) and I don't know if we have fpls in the building as of now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:14 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<So once again, we have PEFs not FPLs? Or you have no answer as to whether fpls is in the bldg?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:12 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For fpl and pef, yes. <-----I got it backward - we have pef in the building and not fpl as of 15 minutes ago. <-----Fpl filed the notice that they were responding. I'm trying to find out if the fpl numbers are in the building or at their office. I demanded copies of the actual answers for both companies immediately. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:10 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Are they still wanting us to just allow bands and averages of salaries? Still want confidentiality and names redacted is not enough for them?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:07 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Teco has been done. <-----We have fpl above 165k in building. <-----I haven't heard back if have pef above 165 yet, but it is due today like fpl's was. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:05 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Do we have responses from progress? We have fpl and teco? <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I just heard back we have responses from FPL - copies are being made. <-----Have not heard back from the attorney on the other case. <-----I think we are fine with teco. I have to discuss with you, but after my review, I think we have what we need w/o pushing a confidentiality issue with them. <-----So I recommend we notify teco they are good, and then can focus on fpl/pef. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<So as of right now we do not know if they even gave the commission what I asked for entirely? I need to also know if teco wants the same conditions as fpl and pef. I need to know soon<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:39 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I was due today. I'll check and find out if it came in. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 3:37 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Has fpl and progress provided to commission all the info I requested re salaries? I know they want it confidential but did they provide all that is asked</p>
1040	7/27/09 16:48	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	<p>Its our fault for not demanding from the start that it be brought to psc. If I knew that the psc allowed this unreal practice I would have made it clear. So psc allows staff to regularly go to utilities office to obtain info they need so they become evn friendlier with the utility they regulate. How nice</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1045	7/27/09 16:52	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>The electronic info is just the notice of filing it is NOT the answers themselves. <From: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:50 PM<Subject: Re: building. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:45 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Where is the electronic info that I can look at and how come you didn't know that and review it?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:43 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Did they inform that it was ready and there? The way the psc does business is horrible <Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:40 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<No, you bring them over here today.<Company trying to keep confidential stuff in their office is very standard. <There are large amounts of routine things staff ask for, go over and look at, decide they don't need in our building, and leave there. Much easier on our clerk's office, and we do have some space issues - only one small secure storage room and it is pretty full. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:36 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Get out of here. Who had the nerve to tell you that. What did staff tell them?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wanted to keep them at their building and "allow" us to come look at them. <We said no way. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:32 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<What do u mean they were not going to give us responses in the bldg?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:31 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<B/c they can. <Fpl didn't intend to give us the responses in the building. Lawyer called after I emailed her and told they to send it over here physically. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:28 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wait until the end of the day for what reason?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:27 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For 3 we have everything. <For pef, we have the responses in the building, and they will be copied and delivered to me promptly. <For fpl, they are being delivered to us by courier from fpl's office. I expect they'll be physically in the building by 5:00, but I probably won't have them until they can be copied in the AM. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:19 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Do we have pefs, tecos, fpu, and gulfs???? All but fpl?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:15 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<We have pefs (it is being copied) and I don't know if we have fpls in the building as of now. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:14 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<So once again, we have PEFs not FPLs? Or you have no answer as to whether fpls is in the bldg?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:12 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For fpl and pef, yes. <I got it backward - we have pef in the building and not fpl as of 15 minutes ago. <Fpl filed the notice that they were responding, I'm trying to find out if the fpl numbers are in the building or at their office. I demanded copies of the actual answers for both companies immediately. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:10 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Are they still wanting us to just allow bands and averages of salaries? Still want confidentiality and names redacted is not enough for them?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:07 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Teco has been done. <We have fpl above 165k in building. <I haven't heard back if have pef above 165 yet, but it is due today like fpl's was. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:05 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Do we have responses from progress? We have fpl and teco? <Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I just heard back we have responses from FPL - copies are being made. <Have not heard back from the attorney on the other case. <I think we are fine with teco. I have to discuss with you, but after my review, I think we have what we need w/o pushing a</p>
1046	7/27/09 16:52	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	Who advised us that info was available electronically? We could of had that this morn? Is that correct?
1047	7/27/09 16:53	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	So when did we get this elec nce that it was available and why didn't staff alert my office that is was ready. When where they going to do something about getting it?
1048	7/27/09 16:54	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>The numbers are not available electronically. <The electronic notice of filing was received at 3:35 PM. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:52 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Who advised us that info was available electronically? We could of had that this morn? Is that correct?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:49 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Yes, it is. <There are reasons why that process makes sense for some types of documents. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:48 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Its our fault for not demanding from the start that it be brought to psc. If I knew that the psc allowed this unreal practice I would have made it clear. So psc allows staff to regularly go to utilities office to obtain info they need so they become evn friendlier with the utility they regulate. How nice<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:44 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Who told them "no way". Where they simply ignoring the request and deadline? Did they call and say the info was there and waiting? <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:43 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wanted to keep them at their building and "allow" us to come look at them. <We said no way. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:32 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<What do u mean they were not going to give us responses in the bldg?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:31 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<B/c they can. <Fpl didn't intend to give us the responses in the building. Lawyer called after I emailed her and told they to send it over here physically. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:28 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wait until the end of the day for what reason?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:27 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For 3 we have everything. <For pef, we have the responses in the building, and they will be copied and delivered to me promptly. <For fpl, they are being delivered to us by courier from fpl's office. I expect they'll be physically in the building by 5:00, but I probably won't have them until they can be copied in the AM. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:19 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Do we have pefs, tecos, fpu, and gulfs???? All but fpl?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:15 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<We have pefs (it is being copied) and I don't know if we have fpls in the building as of now. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:14 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<So once again, we have PEFs not FPLs? Or you have no answer as to whether fpls is in the bldg?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:12 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For fpl and pef, yes. <I got it backward - we have pef in the building and not fpl as of 15 minutes ago. <Fpl filed the notice that they were responding, I'm trying to find out if the fpl numbers are in the building or at their office. I demanded copies of the actual answers for both companies immediately. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:10 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Are they still wanting us to just allow bands and averages of salaries? Still want confidentiality and names redacted is not enough for them?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:07 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Teco has been done. <We have fpl above 165k in building. <I haven't heard back if have pef above 165 yet, but it is due today like fpl's was. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:05 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Do we have responses from progress? We have fpl and teco? <Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I just heard back we</p>
1049	7/27/09 16:55	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	And you had to inquire at my request or they were not going to advise?

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1053	7/27/09 16:59	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>I thought that was the understanding. <I will work hard in the future to stress that point. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:58 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<When do you think staff was going to go over to FPL's office to get it? From now on when I request info from a ufl I want it understood for certain that I want that info delivered to my office with no exceptions <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:56 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For fpl, it was filed at 3:35. <I emailed the inquiry to staff at 3:55. <I don't know when they were going to tell us. <For pef, the attorney was already in the process of having a copy of the answers made for us, so that atty was on the ball. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:53 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Sowhen did we get this elec ntice that it was available and why didn't staff alert my office that it was ready. When where they going to do something about getting it? <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:52 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<The electronic info is just the notice of filing it is NOT the answers themselves. <That is the problem with getting the info into the building. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:50 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Where is the electronic info that I can look at and how come you didn't know that and review it?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:45 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Yes it is. <And yes, the electronic notice was "info done and ready for you to look at."<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:43 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Did they inform that it was ready and there? The way the psc does business is horrible <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:40 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<"No, you bring them over here today."<Company trying to keep confidential stuff in their office is very standard. <There are large amounts of routine things staff ask for, go over and look at, decide they don't need in our building, and leave there. Much easier on our clerk's office, and we do have some space issues - only one small secure storage room and it is pretty full. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:36 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Get out of here. Who had the nerve to tell you thatat. What did staff tell them?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wanted to keep them at their building and "allow" us to come look at them. <We said no way. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:32 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<What do u mean they were not going to give us responses in the bldg?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:31 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<B/c they can. <Fpl didn't intend to give us the responses in the building. Lawyer called after I emailed her and told they to send it over here physically. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:28 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wait until the end of the day for what reason?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:27 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For 3 we have everything. <For pef, we have the responses in the building, and they will be copied and delivered to me promptly. <For fpl, they are being delivered to us by courier from fpl's office. I expect they'll be physically in the building by 5:00, but I probably won't have them until they can be copied in the AM. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:19 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Do we have pefs, tecos, fpu, and gulfs???? All but fpl?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:15 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<We have pefs (it is being copied) and I don't know if we have fpls in the building as of now. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:14 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<So once again, we have PEFs not FPLs? Or you have no answer as to whether fpls is in the bldg<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:12 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For fpl and pef, yes. <I got it backward - we have pef in the building and not fpl as of 15 minutes ago. <Fpl filed the notice that they were responding. I'm trying to find out if the fpl</p>
1054	7/27/09 17:00	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	Unacceptable. Especially on a hot issue and a public records request like this. Who was it and why do they have such a lax work behavior?
1055	7/27/09 17:01	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	Oh this issue will be brought up at IA or I may even write a letter. this stuff has got to change
1056	7/27/09 17:01	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>Lisa Bennett and I think she is over-worked and over-stressed and doesn't do a good job of prioritizing. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:00 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Unacceptable. Especially on a hot issue and a public records request like this. Who was it and why do they have such a lax work behavior?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:57 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I think the pef attorney was not going to advise, just bring the stuff down when it was copied. <I think our atty on the fpl case dropped the ball totally, and if we hadn't asked, she wouldn't have told us. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:55 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<And you had to inquire at my request or they were not going to advise?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:54 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<The numbers are not available electronically. <The electronic notice of filing was received at 3:35 PM. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:52 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Who advised us that info was available electronically? We could of had that this morn? Is that correct?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:49 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Yes, it is. <There are reasons why that process makes sense for some types of documents. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:48 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Its our fault for not demanding from the start that it be brought to psc. If I knew that the psc allowed this unreal practice I would have made it clear. So psc allows staff to regularly go to utilities office to obtain info they need so they become evn friendlier with the utility they regulate. How nice<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:44 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<It is my understanding that <Company electronically filed the notices that the discovery had been responded to. Part of that electronic response was the info was at the fpl office. When we asked the lawyer to get it to us, she followed up and realized the data was not physically here. So lawyer called fpl and said, send it over. <This is just the usual low-level BS the companies engage in regularly. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:40 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Who told them "no way". Where they simply ignoring the request and deadline? Did they call and say the info was there and waiting? <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wanted to keep them at their building and "allow" us to come look at them. <We said no way. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:32 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<What do u mean they were not going to give us responses in the bldg?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:31 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<B/c they can. <Fpl didn't intend to give us the responses in the building. Lawyer called after I emailed her and told they to send it over here physically. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:28 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wait until the end of the day for what reason?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:27 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For 3 we have everything. <For pef, we have the responses in the building, and they will be copied and delivered to me promptly. <For fpl, they are being delivered to us by courier from fpl's office. I expect they'll be physically in the building by 5:00, but I probably won't have them until they can be copied in the AM. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:19 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Do we have pefs, tecos, fpu, and gulfs???? All but fpl?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:15 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<We have pefs (it is being copied) and I don't know if we have fpls in the building as of now. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:14 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<So once again, we have PEFs not FPLs? Or you have no answer as to whether fpls is in the bldg<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:12 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For fpl and pef, yes. <I got it backward - we have pef in the building and not fpl as of 15 minutes ago. <Fpl filed the notice that they were</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1057	7/27/09 17:01	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>Got it. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:01 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<On this issue will be brought up at 10 or 11 may even write a letter. This stuff has got to change<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:59 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I thought that was the understanding. <I will work hard in the future to stress that point. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:58 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<When do you think staff was going to go over to FPL's office to get it? From now on when I request info from a util I want it understood for certain that I want that info delivered to my office with no exceptions <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:56 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For fpl, it was filed at 3:35. <I emailed the inquiry to staff at 3:55. <I don't know when they were going to tell us. <For pef, the attorney was already in the process of having a copy of the answers made for us, so that atty was on the ball. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:53 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Sowhen did we get this elec ntice that it was available and why didn't staff alert my office that it was ready. When where they going to do something about getting it? <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:52 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<The electronic info is just the notice of filing it is NOT the answers themselves. <That is the problem with getting the info into the building. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:50 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Where is the electronic info that I can look at and how come you didn't know that and review it? <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:45 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Yes it is. <And yes, the electronic notice was "info done and ready for you to look at." <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:43 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Did they inform that it was ready and there? The way the psc does business is horrible <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:40 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<"No, you bring them over here today." <Company trying to keep confidential stuff in their office is very standard. <There are large amounts of routine things staff ask for, go over and look at, decide they don't need in our building, and leave there. Much easier on our clerk's office, and we do have some space issues - only one small secure storage room and it is pretty full. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:36 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Get out of here. Who had the nerve to tell you that. What did staff tell them? <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wanted to keep them at their building and "allow" us to come look at them. <We said no way. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:32 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<What do u mean they were not going to give us responses in the bldg? <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:31 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<B/c they can. <Fpl didn't intend to give us the responses in the building. Lawyer called after I emailed her and told they to send it over here physically. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:28 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wait until the end of the day for what reason? <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:27 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For 3 we have everything. <For pef, we have the responses in the building, and they will be copied and delivered to me promptly. <For fpl, they are being delivered to us by courier from fpl's office. I expect they'll be physically in the building by 5:00, but I probably won't have them until they can be copied in the AM. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:19 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Do we have pefs, tecos, fpu, and gulfs??? All but fpl? <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:15 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<We have pefs (it is being copied) and I don't know if we have fpls in the building as of now. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:14 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<So once again, we</p>
1058	7/27/09 17:03	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	Well that is a shame. But no excuse. I have been waiting on this critical info long enough then it made me think the company was at fault. I could have blasted the comp for a staff goof up
1059	7/27/09 17:09	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	Its after 5. Have we gotten fpls info? Or is staff just going to go home and forget about it?
1060	7/27/09 17:11	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>I do not know. <I called attorney who said it was supposed to be delivered to clerks office. <They lock door at 5, so I can't call or go down there to find out if it was delivered. <I will check first thing in the morning 0800 to see if it was delivered this PM. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:09 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Its after 5. Have we gotten fpls info? Or is staff just going to go home and forget about it? <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:01 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Lisa Bennett and I think she is over-worked and over-stressed and doesn't do a good job of prioritizing. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:00 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Unacceptable. Especially on a hot issue and a public records request like this. Who was it and why do they have such a lax work behavior? <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:57 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I think the pef attorney was not going to advise, just bring the stuff down when it was copied. <I think our atty on the fpl case dropped the ball totally, and if we hadn't asked, she wouldn't have told us. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:55 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<And you had to inquire at my request or they were not going to advise? <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:54 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<The numbers are not available electronically. <The electronic notice of filing was received at 3:35 PM. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:52 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Who advised us that info was available electronically? We could of had that this morn? Is that correct? <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:49 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Yes, it is. <There are reasons why that process makes sense for some types of documents. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:48 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Its our fault for not demanding from the start that it be brought to psc. If I knew that the psc allowed this unreal practice I would have made it clear. So psc allows staff to regularly go to utilities office to obtain info they need so they become evn friendlier with the utility they regulate. How nice? <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:44 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<It is my understanding that <Company electronically filed the notices that the discovery had been responded to. Part of that electronic response was the info was at the fpl office. When we asked the lawyer to get it to us, she followed up and realized the data was not physically here. So lawyer called fpl and said, send it over. <This is just the usual low-level BS the companies engage in regularly. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:40 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Who told them "no way". Where they simply ignoring the request and deadline? Did they call and say the info was there and waiting? <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wanted to keep them at their building and "allow" us to come look at them. <We said no way. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:32 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<What do u mean they were not going to give us responses in the bldg? <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:31 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<B/c they can. <Fpl didn't intend to give us the responses in the building. Lawyer called after I emailed her and told they to send it over here physically. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:28 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wait until the end of the day for what reason? <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:27 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For 3 we have everything. <For pef, we have the responses in the building, and they will be copied and delivered to me promptly. <For fpl, they are being delivered to us by courier from fpl's office. I expect they'll be physically in the building by 5:00, but I probably won't have them until they can be copied in the AM. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:19 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Do we have pefs, tecos, fpu, and gulfs??? All but fpl? <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27,</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1061	7/27/09 17:13	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	Right. <Do you want to talk about what we have for gulf/teco tonight or wait until tomorrow?><-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:03 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Well that is a shame. But no excuse. I have been waiting on this critical info long enough then it made me think the company was at fault. I could have blasted the comp for a staff goof up><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:01 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Lisa Bennett and I think she is over-worked and over-stressed and doesn't do a good job of prioritizing. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:00 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Unacceptable. Especially on a hot issue and a public records request like this. Who was it and why do they have such a lax work behavior?><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:57 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I think the pef attorney was not going to advise, just bring the stuff down when it was copied. <I think our atty on the fpl case dropped the ball totally, and if we hadn't asked, she wouldn't have told us. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:55 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<And you had to inquire at my request or they were not going to advise?><-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:54 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<The numbers are not available electronically. <The electronic notice of filing was received at 3:35 PM. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:52 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Who advised us that info was available electronically? We could of had that this morn? Is that correct?><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:49 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Yes, it is. <There are reasons why that process makes sense for some types of documents. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:48 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Its our fault for not demanding from the start that it be brought to psc. If I knew that the psc allowed this unreal practice I would have made it clear. So psc allows staff to regularly go to utilities office to obtain info they need so they become even friendlier with the utility they regulate. How nice<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:44 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<It is my understanding that:<Company electronically filed the notices that the discovery had been responded to. Part of that electronic response was the info was at the fpl office. When we asked the lawyer to get it to us, she followed up and realized the data was not physically here. So lawyer called fpl and said, send it over. <This is just the usual low-level BS the companies engage in regularly. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:40 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Who told them "no way". Where they simply ignoring the request and deadline? Did they call and say the info was there and waiting? <-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wanted to keep them at their building and "allow" us to come look at them. <We said no way. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:32 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<What do u mean they were not going to give us responses in the bldg?><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:31 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<B/c they can. <Fpl didn't intend to give us the responses in the building. Lawyer called after I emailed her and told they to send it over here physically. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:28 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wait until the end of the day for what reason?><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:27 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For 3 we have everything. <For pef, we have the responses in the building, and they will be copied and delivered to me promptly. <For fpl, they are being delivered to us by courier from fpl's office. I expect they'll be physically in the building by 5:00, but I probably won't have them until they can be copied in the AM. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:19 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Do we have pefs, tecos, fpu, and gulfs???? All but fpl?><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:15 PM<Subject: Re: Not back
1062	7/27/09 17:13	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	This is total bull. How disrespectful to my request of this info. And how unprofessional. How did the chr say we back in 10 then adjorn?
1063	7/27/09 17:15	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	I think he was checking to see how much more questioning. When he heard "extensive cross" he decided we won't finish today for sure so decided to recess. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:13 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<This is total bull. How disrespectful to my request of this info. And how unprofessional. How did the chr say we back in 10 then adjorn?><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:11 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I do not know. <I called attorney who said it was supposed to be delivered to clerks office. <They lock door at 5, so I can't call or go down there to find out if it was delivered. <I will check first thing in the morning 0800 to see if it was delivered this PM. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:09 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Its after 5. Have we gotten fpls info? Or is staff just going to go home and forget about it?><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:01 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Lisa Bennett and I think she is over-worked and over-stressed and doesn't do a good job of prioritizing. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:00 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Unacceptable. Especially on a hot issue and a public records request like this. Who was it and why do they have such a lax work behavior?><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:57 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I think the pef attorney was not going to advise, just bring the stuff down when it was copied. <I think our atty on the fpl case dropped the ball totally, and if we hadn't asked, she wouldn't have told us. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:55 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<And you had to inquire at my request or they were not going to advise?><-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:54 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<The numbers are not available electronically. <The electronic notice of filing was received at 3:35 PM. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:52 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Who advised us that info was available electronically? We could of had that this morn? Is that correct?><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:49 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Yes, it is. <There are reasons why that process makes sense for some types of documents. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:48 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Its our fault for not demanding from the start that it be brought to psc. If I knew that the psc allowed this unreal practice I would have made it clear. So psc allows staff to regularly go to utilities office to obtain info they need so they become even friendlier with the utility they regulate. How nice<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:44 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<It is my understanding that:<Company electronically filed the notices that the discovery had been responded to. Part of that electronic response was the info was at the fpl office. When we asked the lawyer to get it to us, she followed up and realized the data was not physically here. So lawyer called fpl and said, send it over. <This is just the usual low-level BS the companies engage in regularly. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:40 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Who told them "no way". Where they simply ignoring the request and deadline? Did they call and say the info was there and waiting? <-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wanted to keep them at their building and "allow" us to come look at them. <We said no way. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:32 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<What do u mean they were not going to give us responses in the bldg?><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:31 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<B/c they can. <Fpl didn't intend to give us the responses in the building. Lawyer called after I emailed her and told they to send it over here physically. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:28 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wait until the end of the day for what reason?><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:27 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<For 3 we have everything. <For
1064	7/27/09 17:15	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	I am so mad at the moment that we better talk tomorrow. Also staff better be thinking that if I go back to legislature what changes I am going to make at this PSC. Some senators may just want to do it now

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1065	7/27/09 17:16	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>Not a bad idea at all. <From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:15 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><I am so mad at the moment that we better talk tomorrow. Also staff better be thinking that if I go back to legislature what changes I am going to make at this PSC. Some senators may just want to do it now.><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:13 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><Right. <Do you want to talk about what we have for gulf/teco tonight or wait until tomorrow?><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:03 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><Well that is a shame. But no excuse. I have been waiting on this critical info long enough then it made me think the company was at fault. I could have blasted the comp for a staff goof up><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:01 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><Lisa Bennett and I think she is over-worked and over-stressed and doesn't do a good job of prioritizing. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:00 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><Unacceptable. Especially on a hot issue and a public records request like this. Who was it and why do they have such a lax work behavior?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:57 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><I think the pef attorney was not going to advise, just bring the stuff down when it was copied. <I think our atty on the fpl case dropped the ball totally, and if we hadn't asked, she wouldn't have told us. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:55 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><And you had to inquire at my request or they were not going to advise?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:54 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><The numbers are not available electronically. <The electronic notice of filing was received at 3:35 PM. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:52 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><Who advised us that info was available electronically? We could of had that this morn? Is that correct?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:49 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><Yes, it is. <There are reasons why that process makes sense for some types of documents. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:48 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><Its our fault for not demanding from the start that it be brought to psc. If I knew that the psc allowed this unreal practice I would have made it clear. So psc allows staff to regularly go to utilities office to obtain info they need so they become evn friendlier with the utility they regulate. How nice><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:44 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><It is my understanding that:<Company electronically filed the notices that the discovery had been responded to. Part of that electronic response was the info was at the fpl office. When we asked the lawyer to get it to us, she followed up and realized the data was not physically here. So lawyer called fpl and said, send it over. <This is just the usual low-level BS the companies engage in regularly. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:40 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><Who told them "no way". Where they simply ignoring the request and deadline? Did they call and say the info was there and waiting? <Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><They wanted to keep them at their building and "allow" us to come look at them. <We said no way. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:32 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><What do u mean they were not going to give us responses in the bldg?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:31 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><B/c they can. <Fpl didn't intend to give us the responses in the building. Lawyer called after I emailed her and told they to send it over here physically. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:28 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><They wait until the end of the day for what reason?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:27 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><For 3 we have everything. <For pef, we have the responses in the building, and they will be copied and delivered to me promptly. <For fpl, they are being delivered to</p>
1066	7/27/09 17:28	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	<p>I just got a google alert of the pscs 7/24 press release of this pipeline meeting. Was there for certain another notice of this meeting and when was it published?</p>
1067	7/27/09 17:29	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>I do not know. <I would have been published in fAW some time ago. <I don't know when we might have sent out a press release. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:28 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><I just got a google alert of the pscs 7/24 press release of this pipeline meeting. Was there for certain another notice of this meeting and when was it published? <Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:16 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><Not a bad idea at all. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:15 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><I am so mad at the moment that we better talk tomorrow. Also staff better be thinking that if I go back to legislature what changes I am going to make at this PSC. Some senators may just want to do it now.><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:13 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><Right. <Do you want to talk about what we have for gulf/teco tonight or wait until tomorrow?><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:03 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><Well that is a shame. But no excuse. I have been waiting on this critical info long enough then it made me think the company was at fault. I could have blasted the comp for a staff goof up><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:01 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><Lisa Bennett and I think she is over-worked and over-stressed and doesn't do a good job of prioritizing. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:00 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><Unacceptable. Especially on a hot issue and a public records request like this. Who was it and why do they have such a lax work behavior?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:57 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><I think the pef attorney was not going to advise, just bring the stuff down when it was copied. <I think our atty on the fpl case dropped the ball totally, and if we hadn't asked, she wouldn't have told us. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:55 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><And you had to inquire at my request or they were not going to advise?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:54 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><The numbers are not available electronically. <The electronic notice of filing was received at 3:35 PM. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:52 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><Who advised us that info was available electronically? We could of had that this morn? Is that correct?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:49 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><Yes, it is. <There are reasons why that process makes sense for some types of documents. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:48 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><Its our fault for not demanding from the start that it be brought to psc. If I knew that the psc allowed this unreal practice I would have made it clear. So psc allows staff to regularly go to utilities office to obtain info they need so they become evn friendlier with the utility they regulate. How nice><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:44 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><It is my understanding that:<Company electronically filed the notices that the discovery had been responded to. Part of that electronic response was the info was at the fpl office. When we asked the lawyer to get it to us, she followed up and realized the data was not physically here. So lawyer called fpl and said, send it over. <This is just the usual low-level BS the companies engage in regularly. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:40 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><Who told them "no way". Where they simply ignoring the request and deadline? Did they call and say the info was there and waiting? <Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><They wanted to keep them at their building and "allow" us to come look at them. <We said no way. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:32 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><What do u mean they were not going to give us responses in the bldg?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:31 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet><B/c they can. <Fpl didn't intend to give us the responses in the building. Lawyer called</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1068	7/27/09 17:39	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Staff response	<p>I just got this from staf.: <From: Marsha Rule (fpl lawyer) called about 4:45 to let me know that the FPL documents were delivered to the clerk's office. Kim Pena will be making copies for you of both the FPL and PEF information and placing them in red folders. She will let you know as soon as she has made the copies. I believe they will be available for you tomorrow (Tuesday).><-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:28 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I just got a google alert of the pscs 7/24 press release of this pipeline meeting. Was there for certain another notice of this meeting and when was it published?><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:16 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Not a bad idea at all.><-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:15 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I am so mad at the moment that we better talk tomorrow. Also staff better be thinking that if I go back to legislature what changes I am going to make at this PSC. Some senators may just want todo it now<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:13 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Right.><Do you want to talk about what we have for gulf/teco tonight or wait until tomorrow?><-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:03 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Well that is a shame. But no excuse. I have been waiting on this critical info long enough then it made me think the company was at fault. I could have blasted the comp for a staff goof up<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:01 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Lisa Bennett and I think she is over-worked and over-stressed and doesn't do a good job of prioritizing.><-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:00 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Unacceptable. Especially on a hot issue and a public records request like this. Who was it and why do they have such a lax work behavior?><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:57 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I think the pef attorney was not going to advise, just bring the stuff down when it was copied.><I think our atty on the fpl case dropped the ball totally, and if we hadn't asked, she wouldn't have told us.><-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:55 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<And you had to inquire at my request or they were not going to advise?><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:54 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<The numbers are not available electronically.><The electronic notice of filing was received at 3:35 PM.><-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:52 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Who advised us that info was available electronically? We could of had that this morn? Is that correct?><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:49 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Yes, it is.><There are reasons why that process makes sense for some types of documents.><-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:48 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Its our fault for not demanding from the start that it be brought to psc. If I knew that the psc allowed this unreal practice I would have made it clear. So psc allows staff to regularly go to utilities office to obtain info they need so they become evn friendlier with the utility they regulate. How nice<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:44 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<It is my understanding that:><Company electronically filed the notices that the discovery had been responded to. Part of that electronic response was the info was at the fpl office. When we asked the lawyer to get it to us, she followed up and realized the data was not physically here. So lawyer called fpl and said, send it over.><This is just the usual low-level BS the companies engage in regularly.><-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:40 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Who told them "no way". Where they simply ignoring the request and deadline? Did they call and say the info was there and waiting?><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<They wanted to keep them at their building and "allow" us to come look at them.><We said no way.><-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:32 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<What do u mean they were not going</p>
1069	7/27/09 17:55	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Staff response	<p>It seems like they don't put too much priority on comissioners, no.><-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:45 PM<Subject: Re: Staff response<They better be. As this was known for awhile. I guess they don't think commissioners requests are to be taken seriously<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:39 PM<Subject: Staff response<I just got this from staf.:><From: Marsha Rule (fpl lawyer) called about 4:45 to let me know that the FPL documents were delivered to the clerk's office. Kim Pena will be making copies for you of both the FPL and PEF information and placing them in red folders. She will let you know as soon as she has made the copies. I believe they will be available for you tomorrow (Tuesday).><-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:28 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I just got a google alert of the pscs 7/24 press release of this pipeline meeting. Was there for certain another notice of this meeting and when was it published?><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:16 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Not a bad idea at all.><-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:15 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I am so mad at the moment that we better talk tomorrow. Also staff better be thinking that if I go back to legislature what changes I am going to make at this PSC. Some senators may just want todo it now<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:13 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Right.><Do you want to talk about what we have for gulf/teco tonight or wait until tomorrow?><-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:03 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Well that is a shame. But no excuse. I have been waiting on this critical info long enough then it made me think the company was at fault. I could have blasted the comp for a staff goof up<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:01 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Lisa Bennett and I think she is over-worked and over-stressed and doesn't do a good job of prioritizing.><-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 5:00 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Unacceptable. Especially on a hot issue and a public records request like this. Who was it and why do they have such a lax work behavior?><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:57 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<I think the pef attorney was not going to advise, just bring the stuff down when it was copied.><I think our atty on the fpl case dropped the ball totally, and if we hadn't asked, she wouldn't have told us.><-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:55 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<And you had to inquire at my request or they were not going to advise?><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:54 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<The numbers are not available electronically.><The electronic notice of filing was received at 3:35 PM.><-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:52 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Who advised us that info was available electronically? We could of had that this morn? Is that correct?><-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:49 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Yes, it is.><There are reasons why that process makes sense for some types of documents.><-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:48 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Its our fault for not demanding from the start that it be brought to psc. If I knew that the psc allowed this unreal practice I would have made it clear. So psc allows staff to regularly go to utilities office to obtain info they need so they become evn friendlier with the utility they regulate. How nice<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:44 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<It is my understanding that:><Company electronically filed the notices that the discovery had been responded to. Part of that electronic response was the info was at the fpl office. When we asked the lawyer to get it to us, she followed up and realized the data was not physically here. So lawyer called fpl and said, send it over.><This is just the usual low-level BS the companies engage in regularly.><-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:40 PM<Subject: Re: Not back yet<Who told them "no way". Where they simply ignoring the request and deadline? Did</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1074	7/27/09 18:26	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>It is an intentional response to a practical problem. <R>Discovery responses can be thousands of pages each. There can be 10, 15 intervenors in a case. <R>Many are routine staff data requests or intervenor "data dumps". <R>Having to mail 10 copies of 1000 pages of routine requests is very wasteful. <R>Not having to photocopy, transport, log-in, and scan each page saves lots of time and money. <R>If any party wants a copy of any particular part, they can photocopy just that piece, saving everybody time, money, and resources. <R>Not to mention space to store everything. We have a 10x10 room in the legal division that has nothing but discovery responses - I'd guess about 50,000 pages, and that's just active cases in use right now. <R>So, I think it is intentional, it is not incompetent, and it is something that developed over many years of practical experience. <R>With the agreement of all the intervenors, including OPC, FRF, AARP FIPUG. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 6:16 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>Is this your legal answer or what's practiced regularly at the Psc? After you get me definition I will figure out what "not always" and "sometimes" means. Is this intentional or incompetence or is it just that psc is so happy "with the way its always been done" ?<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 6:09 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>Sometimes but not always. 90% of the time yes, "fled" would mean in the clerk's office. <R>The actual document here was a "notice of filing responses to staff's ## set of interrogatories" which serves to notify all the parties that the actual answers now exist (somewhere).<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 6:04 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>Larry filing. Means actually in the possession of the clerk, doesn't it?<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:56 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>For fpl, it was filed at 3:35. <R>I emailed the inquirey to staff at 3:55. <R>I don't know when they were going to tell us. <R>For pef, the attorney was already in the process of having a copy of the answers made for us, so that atty was on the ball. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:53 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>So when did we get this elec nce that it was available and why didn't staff alert my office that it was ready. When where they going to do something about getting it? <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:52 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>The electronic info is just the notice of filing it is NOT the answers themselves. <R>That is the problem with getting the info into the building. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:50 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>Where is the electronic info that I can look at and how come you didn't know that and review it?<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:45 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>Yes it is. <R>And yes, the electronic notice was "info done and ready for you to look at."<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:43 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>Did they inform that it was ready and there? The way the psc does business is horrible <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:40 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>"No, you bring them over here today."<R>Company trying to keep confidential stuff in their office is very standard. <R>There are large amounts of routine things staff ask for, go over and look at, decide they don't need in our building, and leave there. Much easier on our clerk's office, and we do have some space issues - only one small secure storage room and it is pretty full. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:36 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>Get out of here. Who had the nerve to tell you that. What did staff tell them?<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:33 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>They wanted to keep them at their building and "allow" us to come look at them. <R>We said no way. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:32 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>What do u mean they were not going to give us responses in the bldg?<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:31 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>B/c they can. <R>Fpl didn't intend to give us the responses in the building. Lawyer called after I emailed her and told they to send it over here physically. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:28 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>They wait until the</p>
1075	7/27/09 18:39	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	<p>That's all well and good, but the law is to be followed. If "filing" means that it must be filed and in clerks possession then breaking the law is still wrong however impracticable. What disturbs me is that it is not up to bureaucrats to determine that it being impracticable, its ok to violate law. If that's what's being done. Seek the change from the elected branch. If it is not law I can understand why it could be costly or a problem, but you see how getting so comfortable in that practice presented a problem today. My request, even if it was large paperwork, should have been red flagged as NOT just business as usual.</p>
1076	7/27/09 18:41	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	<p>Even your response is somewhat troubling. It seems to say, we see an inefficiency and we will just do it this way to correct it, and immot. Certain you see that sometimes even if you're right in that determination, that only the policy makers can fix it.</p>
1077	7/27/09 18:44	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>Yes. In my view, todays problem was a failure of some staff to understand what was going on, what was important, and to act accordingly. <R>That's why some staff are never moved up in promotion. <R>I think today was an individual failure and a supervisory failure, not a problem with the response to discovery procedures in general. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 6:39 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>That's all well and good, but the law is to be followed. If "filing" means that it must be filed and in clerks possession then breaking the law is still wrong however impracticable. What disturbs me is that it is not up to bureaucrats to determine that it being impracticable, its ok to violate law. If that's what's being done. Seek the change from the elected branch. If it is not law I can understand why it could be costly or a problem, but you see how getting so comfortable in that practice presented a problem today. My request, even if it was large paperwork, should have been red flagged as NOT just business as usual. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 6:26 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>It is an intentional response to a practical problem. <R>Discovery responses can be thousands of pages each. There can be 10, 15 intervenors in a case. <R>Many are routine staff data requests or intervenor "data dumps". <R>Having to mail 10 copies of 1000 pages of routine requests is very wasteful. <R>Not having to photocopy, transport, log-in, and scan each page saves lots of time and money. <R>If any party wants a copy of any particular part, they can photocopy just that piece, saving everybody time, money, and resources. <R>Not to mention space to store everything. We have a 10x10 room in the legal division that has nothing but discovery responses - I'd guess about 50,000 pages, and that's just active cases in use right now. <R>So, I think it is intentional, it is not incompetent, and it is something that developed over many years of practical experience. <R>With the agreement of all the intervenors, including OPC, FRF, AARP FIPUG. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 6:16 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>Is this your legal answer or what's practiced regularly at the Psc? After you get me definition I will figure out what "not always" and "sometimes" means. Is this intentional or incompetence or is it just that psc is so happy "with the way its always been done" ?<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 6:09 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>Sometimes but not always. 90% of the time yes, "fled" would mean in the clerk's office. <R>The actual document here was a "notice of filing responses to staff's ## set of interrogatories" which serves to notify all the parties that the actual answers now exist (somewhere).<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 6:04 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>Larry filing. Means actually in the possession of the clerk, doesn't it?<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:56 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>For fpl, it was filed at 3:35. <R>I emailed the inquirey to staff at 3:55. <R>I don't know when they were going to tell us. <R>For pef, the attorney was already in the process of having a copy of the answers made for us, so that atty was on the ball. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:53 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>So when did we get this elec nce that it was available and why didn't staff alert my office that it was ready. When where they going to do something about getting it? <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:52 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>The electronic info is just the notice of filing it is NOT the answers themselves. <R>That is the problem with getting the info into the building. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:50 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>Where is the electronic info that I can look at and how come you didn't know that and review it?<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:45 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>Yes it is. <R>And yes, the electronic notice was "info done and ready for you to look at."<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:43 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>Did they inform that it was ready and there? The way the psc does business is horrible <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:40 PM<R>Subject: Re: Not back yet<R><R>"No, you bring them over here today."<R>Company trying to keep confidential stuff in their office is very standard. <R>There are large amounts of routine things staff ask for, go over and look at, decide they don't need in our building, and leave there. Much</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1078	7/27/09 18:50	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA		<p>It will depend on what the law says. I have not researched this subject. I know that on the civil litigation side, most discovery is not filed with the court clerk. I suspect, without having done the research, that the only statutory requirement is the notice of filing without the actual information attached. I am comfortable telling you I will be surprised if Chapter 120 contains explicit direction on what comprises "filing" in the context of discovery responses during an administrative proceeding. But I have been wrong many times before.</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 27, 2009 6:41 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet Even your response is somewhat troubling. It seems to say, we see an inefficiency and we will just do it this way to correct it, and imnot. Certain you see that sometimes even if you r right in that determination, that only the policy makers can fix it.</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 27, 2009 6:26 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet It is an intentional response to a practical problem. Discovery responses can be thousands of pages each. There can be 10, 15 intervenors in a case. Many are routine staff data requests or intervenor "data dumps". Having to mail 10 copies of 1000 pages of routine requests is very wasteful. Not having to photocopy, transport, log-in, and scan each page saves lots of time and money. If any party wants a copy of any particular part, they can photocopy just that piece, saving everybody time, money, and resources. Not to mention space to store everything. We have a 10x10 room in the legal division that has nothing but discovery responses - I'd guess about 50,000 pages, and that's just active cases in use right now. So, I think it is intentional, it is not incompetent, and it is something that developed over many years of practical experience. With the agreement of all the intervenors, including OPC, FRF, AARP FIPUG.</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 27, 2009 6:16 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet Is this your legal answer or what's practiced regularly at the Psc? After you get me definition I will figure out what "not always" and "sometimes" means. Is this intentional or incompetence or is it just that psc is so happy "with the way its always been done" ?</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 27, 2009 6:09 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet Sometimes but not always. 90% of the time yes, "fled" would mean in the clerk's office. The actual document here was a "notice of filing responses to staff's ## set of interrogatories" which serves to notify all the parties that the actual answers now exist (somewhere).</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 27, 2009 6:04 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet Larry filing. Means actually in the possession of the clerk, doesn't it?</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:56 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet For fpl, it was filed at 3:35. I emailed the inquiry to staff at 3:55. I don't know when they were going to tell us. For pef, the attorney was already in the process of having a copy of the answers made for us, so that atty was on the ball.</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:53 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet Sowhen did we get this elec ntice that it was available and why didn't staff alert my office that it was ready. When where they going to do something about getting it? </p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:52 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet The electronic info is just the notice of filing it is NOT the answers themselves. That is the problem with getting the info into the building.</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:50 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet Where is the electronic info that I can look at and how come you didn't know that and review it?</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:45 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet Yes it is. And yes, the electronic notice was "info done and ready for you to look at."</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:43 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet Did they inform that it was ready and there? The way the psc does business is horrible.</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:40 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet No, you bring them over here today. Company trying to keep confidential stuff in their office is very standard. There are large amounts of routine things staff ask for, go over and look at, decide they don't need in our building, and leave there. Much easier on our clerk's office, and we do have some space issues - only one small secure storage room and it is pretty full.</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Nancy</p>
1079	7/27/09 18:51	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	First of all in todays world a disc can hold very much info and it should be required to be used. Secondly, in your comment that it was not a problem with response to discovery, is yet to be determined. You have to get me a legal opinion of what "fled" means
1080	7/27/09 18:53	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Not back yet	Check the rules of procedure also
1081	7/27/09 18:53	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Not back yet	<p>I understand.</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 27, 2009 6:51 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet First of all in todays world a disc can hold very much info and it should be required to be used. Secondly, in your comment that it was not a problem with response to discovery, is yet to be determined. You have to get me a legal opinion of what "fled" means.</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 27, 2009 6:44 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet Yes. In my view, todays problem was a failure of some staff to understand what was going on, what was important, and to act accordingly. That's why some staff are never moved up in promotion. I think today was an individual failure and a supervisory failure, not a problem with the response to discovery procedures in general.</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 27, 2009 6:39 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet That's all well and good, but the law is to be followed. If "filing" means that it must be filed and in clerks possession then breaking the law is still wrong however impracticable. What disturbs me is that it is not up to bureaucrats to determine that it being impracticable, its ok to violate law. If that's what's being done. Seek the change from the elected branch. If it is not law I can understand why it could be costly or a problem, but you see how getting so comfortable in that practice presented a problem today. My request, even if it was large paperwork, should have been red flagged as NOT just business as usual.</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 27, 2009 6:26 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet It is an intentional response to a practical problem. Discovery responses can be thousands of pages each. There can be 10, 15 intervenors in a case. Many are routine staff data requests or intervenor "data dumps". Having to mail 10 copies of 1000 pages of routine requests is very wasteful. Not having to photocopy, transport, log-in, and scan each page saves lots of time and money. If any party wants a copy of any particular part, they can photocopy just that piece, saving everybody time, money, and resources. Not to mention space to store everything. We have a 10x10 room in the legal division that has nothing but discovery responses - I'd guess about 50,000 pages, and that's just active cases in use right now. So, I think it is intentional, it is not incompetent, and it is something that developed over many years of practical experience. With the agreement of all the intervenors, including OPC, FRF, AARP FIPUG.</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 27, 2009 6:16 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet Is this your legal answer or what's practiced regularly at the Psc? After you get me definition I will figure out what "not always" and "sometimes" means. Is this intentional or incompetence or is it just that psc is so happy "with the way its always been done" ?</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 27, 2009 6:09 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet Sometimes but not always. 90% of the time yes, "fled" would mean in the clerk's office. The actual document here was a "notice of filing responses to staff's ## set of interrogatories" which serves to notify all the parties that the actual answers now exist (somewhere).</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 27, 2009 6:04 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet Larry filing. Means actually in the possession of the clerk, doesn't it?</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:56 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet For fpl, it was filed at 3:35. I emailed the inquiry to staff at 3:55. I don't know when they were going to tell us. For pef, the attorney was already in the process of having a copy of the answers made for us, so that atty was on the ball.</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:53 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet Sowhen did we get this elec ntice that it was available and why didn't staff alert my office that it was ready. When where they going to do something about getting it? </p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:52 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet The electronic info is just the notice of filing it is NOT the answers themselves. That is the problem with getting the info into the building.</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:50 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet Where is the electronic info that I can look at and how come you didn't know that and review it?</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:45 PM Subject: Re: Not back yet Yes it is. And yes, the electronic notice was "info done and ready for you to look at."</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 27, 2009 4:43</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1150	7/28/09 15:37	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	No, it really is not. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:32 PM> Subject: Re: That's the whole used and useful problem with this case. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:31 PM> Subject: Re: That's not fair to anyone today<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:31 PM> Subject: Re: 2021 is the first year of "benefit" and it doesn't become positive until 2040s. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:29 PM> Subject: Re: So no benefit until 2012 or did he say 2021? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:24 PM> Subject: Re: What? That they haven't planned for divestiture? No. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:23 PM> Subject: Re: Is that true? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:07 PM> Subject: Re: Should be back about now. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:04 PM> Subject: Re: How long is break<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:52 PM> Subject: Re: Mr Sexton, can you sort of sum up for me very simply, what are the benefits to the ratepayers who will start paying for the pipeline in 2014? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:50 PM> Subject: Re: My concern. 1 what benefit to rate payer now and when fpl uses it for others , do ratepayers get profit? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:45 PM> Subject: Re: Got it. I'll let secretary know right now. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:43 PM> Subject: Re: As soon as he gets in<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:20 PM> Subject: Re: Ok<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:18 PM> Subject: Re: When will he be back? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:16 PM> Subject: Re: He is "home sick." <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:05 PM> Subject: Re: Is booter gone for the day? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 1:58 PM> Subject: Re: Booter is not in his office. Looks like he is gone and not coming back. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 1:47 PM> Subject: Re: lim on and no ones there. "The leader has not
1151	7/28/09 15:37	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I agree
1152	7/28/09 15:37	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Yeah, but he is a great consumer advocate. He really cares about them. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:35 PM> Subject: Re: I know<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:32 PM> Subject: Re: He is such a butt. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:31 PM> Subject: Re: I'd love to respond to his nonsense<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:30 PM> Subject: Re: Skop is so full of shit. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:23 PM> Subject: Re: Is that true? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:07 PM> Subject: Re: Should be back about now. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:04 PM> Subject: Re: How long is break<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:52 PM> Subject: Re: Mr Sexton, can you sort of sum up for me very simply, what are the benefits to the ratepayers who will start paying for the pipeline in 2014? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:50 PM> Subject: Re: My concern. 1 what benefit to rate payer now and when fpl uses it for others , do ratepayers get profit? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:45 PM> Subject: Re: Got it. I'll let secretary know right now. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:43 PM> Subject: Re: As soon as he gets in<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:42 PM> Subject: Re: Do you want me to call him at home now, or wait until the morning? I can tell his secretary to have him come to my office as soon as he gets in. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:20 PM> Subject: Re: Secretary says he'll be back in the morning. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:18 PM> Subject: Re: When will he be back? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:16 PM> Subject: Re: He is "home sick." <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:05 PM> Subject: Re: Is booter gone for the day? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 1:58 PM> Subject: Re: Booter is not in his office. Looks like he is gone and not coming back. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 1:47 PM> Subject: Re: lim on and no ones there. "The leader has not
1153	7/28/09 15:37	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	God help them
1154	7/28/09 15:40	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Does fpl have to take by eminent domain for pipn
1155	7/28/09 15:41	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	They will try to buy the easements outright, but will use ED if they have to. (Ratepayer) money is no object to them. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:40 PM> Subject: Re: Does fpl have to take by eminent domain for pipn<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:37 PM> Subject: Re: Yeah, but he is a great consumer advocate. He really cares about them. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:35 PM> Subject: Re: I know<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:32 PM> Subject: Re: He is such a butt. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:31 PM> Subject: Re: I'd love to respond to his nonsense<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:30 PM> Subject: Re: Skop is so full of shit. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:23 PM> Subject: Re: Is that true? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:07 PM> Subject: Re: Should be back about now. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:04 PM> Subject: Re: How long is break<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:52 PM> Subject: Re: Mr Sexton, can you sort of sum up for me very simply, what are the benefits to the ratepayers who will start paying for the pipeline in 2014? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:50 PM> Subject: Re: My concern. 1 what benefit to rate payer now and when fpl uses it for others , do ratepayers get profit? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:45 PM> Subject: Re: Got it. I'll let secretary know right now. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:43 PM> Subject: Re: As soon as he gets in<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:42 PM> Subject: Re: Do you want me to call him at home now, or wait until the morning? I can tell his secretary to have him come to my office as soon as he gets in. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:20 PM> Subject: Re: Secretary says he'll be back in the morning. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:18 PM> Subject: Re: When will he be back? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:16 PM> Subject: Re: He is "home sick." <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:05 PM> Subject: Re: Is booter gone for the day? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 1:58 PM> Subject: Re: Booter is not in his office. Looks like he is gone and not coming back. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 28, 2009 1:47 PM> Subject: Re: lim on and no ones there. "The leader has not
1156	7/28/09 15:44	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	How much does that amount to? We will need to know that. U r right ratepayer \$ no concern to them

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1157	7/28/09 15:46	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I don't know. I'm sure the land costs are in the filings somewhere, but I haven't seen them specifically enumerated. We'd be talking about easements mostly, not outright ownership. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:44 PM Subject: Re: How much does that amount to? We will need to know that. U r right ratepayer \$ no concern to them Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:41 PM Subject: Re: They will try to buy the easements outright, but will use ED if they have to. (Ratepayer) money is no object to them. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:40 PM Subject: Re: Does fpl have to take by eminent domain for pipel? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:37 PM Subject: Re: Yeah, but he is a great consumer advocate. He really cares about them. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:35 PM Subject: Re: I know Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:32 PM Subject: Re: He is such a butt. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:31 PM Subject: Re: I'd love to respond to his nonsense Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:30 PM Subject: Re: Skop is so full of shit. Transparency look ratepayer in the eye while fu@ing them. The balls to say some exhibit is going to make it ok. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:23 PM Subject: Re: Is that true? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:07 PM Subject: Re: Should be back about now. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 28, 2009 3:04 PM Subject: Re: How long is break? Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:52 PM Subject: Re: Mr Sexton, can you sort of sum up for me very simply, what are the benefits to the ratepayers who will start paying for the pipeline in 2014? Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:50 PM Subject: Re: My concern. 1 what benefit to rate payer now and when fpl uses it for others, do ratepayers get profit? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:45 PM Subject: Re: Got it. I'll let secretary know right now. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:43 PM Subject: Re: As soon as he gets in Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:42 PM Subject: Re: Do you want me to call him at home now, or wait until the morning? I can tell his secretary to have him come to my office as soon as he gets in. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:20 PM Subject: Re: Ok Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:20 PM Subject: Re: Secretary says he'll be back in the morning. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:18 PM Subject: Re: When will he be back? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:16 PM Subject: Re: He is "home sick" I understand its following a minor medical procedure. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 28, 2009 2:05 PM Subject: Re: Is booter gone for the day? Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 28, 2009 1:58 PM Subject: Re: Booter is not in his office. Looks like he is gone and not coming back. Also MaryAnne is in the hearing. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 28, 2009 1:47 PM Subject: lim on and no ones there. The leader has not
1158	7/29/09 11:13	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Compensation	Sent you a long email about the compensation/confidentiality issues. Hopefully it will give you the details about what we do and don't have and where some of the issues are. I'm ready to discuss whenever. Also talked to booter this AM and told him what you told me to.
1159	7/29/09 11:42	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Compensation	Are u at lunch? Lft u voice mail
1160	7/29/09 11:45	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Compensation	Talking to bill Mcnulty. Want me to call you? Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 29, 2009 11:42 AM Subject: Re: Compensation Are u at lunch? Lft u voice mail Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 29, 2009 11:13 AM Subject: Compensation Sent you a long email about the compensation/confidentiality issues. Hopefully it will give you the details about what we do and don't have and where some of the issues are. I'm ready to discuss whenever. Also talked to booter this AM and told him what you told me to. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 29, 2009 11:42 AM Subject: Re: Compensation Are u at lunch? Lft u voice mail
1161	7/29/09 12:18	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Compensation	Do u recall KM saying something to the effect of "she believed that numbers should be confidential" in TECO case?
1162	7/29/09 12:19	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Compensation	I don't recall her saying that, no. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 29, 2009 12:18 PM Subject: Re: Compensation Do u recall KM saying something to the effect of "she believed that numbers should be confidential" in TECO case? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 29, 2009 11:45 AM Subject: Re: Compensation Talking to bill Mcnulty. Want me to call you? Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 29, 2009 11:42 AM Subject: Re: Compensation Are u at lunch? Lft u voice mail Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 29, 2009 11:13 AM Subject: Compensation Sent you a long email about the compensation/confidentiality issues. Hopefully it will give you the details about what we do and don't have and where some of the issues are. I'm ready to discuss whenever. Also talked to booter this AM and told him what you told me to.
1163	7/29/09 12:19	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Compensation	I recall some comment in regard to that but can't remember where
1164	7/29/09 12:21	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Compensation	I'll look around for it in the transcripts. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 29, 2009 12:19 PM Subject: Re: Compensation I recall some comment in regard to that but can't remember where Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 29, 2009 12:19 PM Subject: Re: Compensation I don't recall her saying that, no. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 29, 2009 12:18 PM Subject: Re: Compensation Do u recall KM saying something to the effect of "she believed that numbers should be confidential" in TECO case? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 29, 2009 11:45 AM Subject: Re: Compensation Talking to bill Mcnulty. Want me to call you? Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 29, 2009 11:42 AM Subject: Re: Compensation Are u at lunch? Lft u voice mail Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 29, 2009 11:13 AM Subject: Compensation Sent you a long email about the compensation/confidentiality issues. Hopefully it will give you the details about what we do and don't have and where some of the issues are. I'm ready to discuss whenever. Also talked to booter this AM and told him what you told me to.
1165	7/29/09 12:51	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Compensation	Can u pull up testimony from Dr Jacobs on July 15th and review but also get to me? Also I guess there is redacted exhibits? Suzan Franks from citrus co says she thinks, according to a physicist friend of hers, per acted "recklessly" with applying to nrc wout having partners for the venture??? She will be e mailing me her concerns. I want to be ahead on that testimony
1166	7/29/09 13:03	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Compensation	Don't forget "why wasn't it in clips?
1167	7/29/09 13:04	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Compensation	I emailed you the redacted (non-confidential) public version. I'll order a copy of the confidential version from clerk's office. Will probably be late today before it gets done. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 29, 2009 12:51 PM Subject: Re: Compensation Can u pull up testimony from Dr Jacobs on July 15th and review but also get to me? Also I guess there is redacted exhibits? Suzan Franks from citrus co says she thinks, according to a physicist friend of hers, per acted "recklessly" with applying to nrc wout having partners for the venture??? She will be e mailing me her concerns. I want to be ahead on that testimony Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 29, 2009 12:21 PM Subject: Re: Compensation I'll look around for it in the transcripts. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 29, 2009 12:19 PM Subject: Re: Compensation I recall some comment in regard to that but can't remember where Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 29, 2009 12:19 PM Subject: Re: Compensation I don't recall her saying that, no. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 29, 2009 12:18 PM Subject: Re: Compensation Do u recall KM saying something to the effect of "she believed that numbers should be confidential" in TECO case? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 29, 2009 11:45 AM Subject: Re: Compensation Talking to bill Mcnulty. Want me to call you? Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 29, 2009 11:42 AM Subject: Re: Compensation Are u at lunch? Lft u voice mail Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 29, 2009 11:13 AM Subject: Compensation Sent you a long email about the compensation/confidentiality issues. Hopefully it will give you the details about what we do and don't have and where some of the issues are. I'm ready to discuss whenever. Also talked to booter this AM and told him what you told me to.
1168	7/29/09 15:06	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Franks letter	I think the Franks letter to AG/gov should be filed in both the nuclear clause and rate case dockets. I wanted to make sure you agreed before sending it down, however. Please advise. Larry
1169	7/29/09 15:08	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Booters response	I assume you saw his email to you. There are a couple areas where his statements don't match what happened. I don't know if or how you want to proceed with this issue. L
1170	7/29/09 16:03	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Booters response	No I did not see his e mail
1171	7/29/09 16:04	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Booters response	I think he sent it to office account. We'll send it to your Gmail right now. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:03 PM Subject: Re: Booters response No I did not see his e mail Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Jul 29, 2009 3:08 PM Subject: Booters response I assume you saw his email to you. There are a couple areas where his statements don't match what happened. I don't know if or how you want to proceed with this issue. L
1172	7/29/09 16:17	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Booters response	I just replied. I will have more to say
1173	7/29/09 16:25	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Booters response	Did u get my response to him?
1174	7/29/09 16:26	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Booters response	Did u get my response to him?
1175	7/29/09 16:33	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Who are u talking to?

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1176	7/29/09 16:33	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I'm just getting home from work. Talking to my mom on phone. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re:<<Who are u talking to?<
1177	7/29/09 16:34	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	OK. I assumd u were still w booter. Respond when u can
1178	7/29/09 16:35	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I'll lool at it right now. <Why would I ave been with Booter?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:34 PM<Subject: Re:<<OK. I assumd u were still w booter. Respond when u can<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re:<<I'm just getting home from work. Talking to my mom on phone. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: <<Who are u talking to?<<<
1179	7/29/09 16:38	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I thought from ur last post u were with him.
1180	7/29/09 16:40	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Not with him thought u were talking to him about my response to his
1181	7/29/09 16:46	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Booter response	More re:BI's "response". <1> 1 we emailed staff to get this started - they didn't call us. <2> clerk did not copy first thing tues am, when I called around 9:00 clerk said she didn't understand atty's vauge instructions. I explained, then she copied. <3> the first email in the ones he sent you is fpl at 3:34. I called staff 3:54. Lisa didn't email to fpl until 4:??, which was after she had my email saying I wanted the info now. So, the emails do not! indicate staff was responding. <4> THE BIG LIE. PEF's responses were filed FRIDAY. Nobody notified me friday or monday until I emailed at 3:34. Then, atty katherine said, we'll get them copied asap. Which meant with fpl tuesday at 10:00. So katherine did not follow up, was not going after independently, did not do anything until I emailed, and then did not get anything done until tues at 10. BI doesn't mention this, and only focuses on lisa's part. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:34 PM<Subject: Re:<<OK. I assumd u were still w booter. Respond when u can<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re:<<I'm just getting home from work. Talking to my mom on phone. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: <<Who are u talking to?<<<
1182	7/29/09 16:48	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Nope. I didn't look at my BB until I got home. <Did talk to maryanne to make sure teco was resolved the way you instructed. If there's one thing we learned, its to keep checking back - don't rely on them to do anything on their own. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:40 PM<Subject: Re:<<Not with him thought u were talking to him about my response to his<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:35 PM<Subject: Re:<<I'll lool at it right now. <Why would I ave been with Booter?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:34 PM<Subject: Re:<<OK. I assumd u were still w booter. Respond when u can<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re:<<I'm just getting home from work. Talking to my mom on phone. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: <<Who are u talking to?<<<
1183	7/29/09 16:58	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	You are very correct
1184	7/29/09 17:12	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	How do I get booters address up on bkbry. I now you told me once before, just forgot
1185	7/29/09 17:18	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	For a PIN, the doty key left of the trackball; scroll down to compose pin and click; type "pat" then scroll UP to lookup and click; scroll down to patrick imhof and click. <Roughly the same for regular email. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 5:12 PM<Subject: Re:<<How do I get booters address up on bkbry. I now you told me once before, just forgot<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:48 PM<Subject: Re:<<Nope. I didn't look at my BB until I got home. <Did talk to maryanne to make sure teco was resolved the way you instructed. If there's one thing we learned, its to keep checking back - don't rely on them to do anything on their own. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:40 PM<Subject: Re:<<Not with him thought u were talking to him about my response to his<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:35 PM<Subject: Re:<<I'll lool at it right now. <Why would I ave been with Booter?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:34 PM<Subject: Re:<<OK. I assumd u were still w booter. Respond when u can<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re:<<I'm just getting home from work. Talking to my mom on phone. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: <<Who are u talking to?<<<
1186	7/29/09 17:19	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Thanks
1187	7/29/09 17:21	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	No I don't want ti pin him I want to e mail him.
1188	7/29/09 17:22	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Same process, just compose email. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 5:21 PM<Subject: Re:<<No I don't want ti pin him I want to e mail him. <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 5:18 PM<Subject: Re:<<For a PIN, the doty key left of the trackball; scroll down to compose pin and click; type "pat" then scroll UP to lookup and click; scroll down to patrick imhof and click. <Roughly the same for regular email. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 5:12 PM<Subject: Re:<<How do I get booters address up on bkbry. I now you told me once before, just forgot<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:48 PM<Subject: Re:<<Nope. I didn't look at my BB until I got home. <Did talk to maryanne to make sure teco was resolved the way you instructed. If there's one thing we learned, its to keep checking back - don't rely on them to do anything on their own. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:40 PM<Subject: Re:<<Not with him thought u were talking to him about my response to his<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:35 PM<Subject: Re:<<I'll lool at it right now. <Why would I ave been with Booter?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:34 PM<Subject: Re:<<OK. I assumd u were still w booter. Respond when u can<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re:<<I'm just getting home from work. Talking to my mom on phone. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: <<Who are u talking to?<<<
1189	7/29/09 17:22	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Sorry I see it thanks
1190	7/29/09 17:23	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	When I put pat or imhoff it says no address found
1191	7/29/09 17:24	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Sorry again I got it
1192	7/29/09 17:25	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	For email try booter<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 5:23 PM<Subject: Re:<<When I put pat or imhoff it says no address found<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 5:22 PM<Subject: Re:<<Same process, just compose email. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 5:21 PM<Subject: Re:<<No I don't want ti pin him I want to e mail him. <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 5:18 PM<Subject: Re:<<For a PIN, the doty key left of the trackball; scroll down to compose pin and click; type "pat" then scroll UP to lookup and click; scroll down to patrick imhof and click. <Roughly the same for regular email. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 5:12 PM<Subject: Re:<<How do I get booters address up on bkbry. I now you told me once before, just forgot<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:48 PM<Subject: Re:<<Nope. I didn't look at my BB until I got home. <Did talk to maryanne to make sure teco was resolved the way you instructed. If there's one thing we learned, its to keep checking back - don't rely on them to do anything on their own. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:40 PM<Subject: Re:<<Not with him thought u were talking to him about my response to his<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:35 PM<Subject: Re:<<I'll lool at it right now. <Why would I ave been with Booter?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:34 PM<Subject: Re:<<OK. I assumd u were still w booter. Respond when u can<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re:<<I'm just getting home from work. Talking to my mom on phone. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 29, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: <<Who are u talking to?<<<
1193	7/29/09 17:25	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	BIMHOF@PSC.STAT E.FL.US	Fw: Booter response	
1194	7/30/09 14:00	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Tab her and the man before her
1195	7/30/09 14:03	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		How was it ever decided to not make SHs mandatory?
1196	7/30/09 14:04	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I do not know. It has been that way since I started with the pSC. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Jul 30, 2009 2:03 PM<Subject: <<How was it ever decided to not make SHs mandatory?<
1197	7/30/09 14:10	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Tab that man
1198	7/30/09 14:14	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Tab him also
1199	7/30/09 14:54	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Btw. I think I was right in regards to "filing". The rules you sent say as does the FRCP, that papers shall be filed with clerk. What you describe as the large papers or boxes being kept at their offices is just a casual agreement but still does not adhere to rule.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1215	7/31/09 9:54	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Be assured. <P>I will work on it all today. <P>At this point, the only thing I understand I'm supposed to be doing is looking for the discretion comments from the agenda. <P>You have my footnotes and questions/comments already. <P>There is no "deadline.". Order is scheduled to be issued Monday at 3:30, but that is aspirational. They WILL NOT issue until they get our dissent, and there is no binding time that it has to be issued. Monday is the goal. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 31, 2009 9:46 AM<P>Subject: <P><P>I need to be assured that dissent is done today and assured of deadline to file. I have appts at 11 then at at one so I can't see it unless real soon or much later<P>
1216	7/31/09 10:00	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Ok.
1217	7/31/09 16:29	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		I need you to call me
1218	7/31/09 16:34	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Tried you back on your mobile. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 31, 2009 4:29 PM<P>Subject: <P><P>I need you to call me<P>
1219	7/31/09 16:34	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	What numre to reach you
1220	7/31/09 16:35	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	567-1878<P>The one you just tried. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 31, 2009 4:34 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>What numre to reach you<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 31, 2009 4:34 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Tried you back on your mobile. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 31, 2009 4:29 PM<P>Subject: <P><P>I need you to call me<P><P>
1221	7/31/09 17:51	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Sent an email to your gmail account. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 31, 2009 4:34 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>What numre to reach you<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Jul 31, 2009 4:34 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Tried you back on your mobile. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Jul 31, 2009 4:29 PM<P>Subject: <P><P>I need you to call me<P><P>
1222	7/31/09 17:55	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Will go look now. Btw. The Nebraska psc says "communications that do not pertain to procedural aspects of contested case" are not ex parte
1223	8/3/09 11:39	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Teco	Sent you and email with updated tecu dissent for your review. Have 3 points I need guidance on from you. <P>L
1224	8/3/09 12:57	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		U at lunch? I email you final drafts. Remove noted to you embedded in drafts and add footnotes where indicated please. Use the final copies I sent and advise after you re read
1225	8/3/09 12:58	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I'm at lunch with my brother, I'll be back in an hour or so. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 3, 2009 12:57 PM<P>Subject: <P><P>U at lunch? I email you final drafts. Remove noted to you embedded in drafts and add footnotes where indicated please. Use the final copies I sent and advise after you re read<P>
1226	8/3/09 12:59	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Just make sure dissent gets in before end of day. But call me or text cell when you re read
1227	8/3/09 13:00	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Say hello to ur bro
1228	8/3/09 13:00	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Will do. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 3, 2009 12:59 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Just make sure dissent gets in before end of day. But call me or text cell when you re read<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 3, 2009 12:58 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I'm at lunch with my brother, I'll be back in an hour or so. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 3, 2009 12:57 PM<P>Subject: <P><P>U at lunch? I email you final drafts. Remove noted to you embedded in drafts and add footnotes where indicated please. Use the final copies I sent and advise after you re read<P><P>
1229	8/3/09 13:00	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Will do. He has serious job stress. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 3, 2009 1:00 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Say hello to ur bro<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 3, 2009 12:58 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I'm at lunch with my brother, I'll be back in an hour or so. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 3, 2009 12:57 PM<P>Subject: <P><P>U at lunch? I email you final drafts. Remove noted to you embedded in drafts and add footnotes where indicated please. Use the final copies I sent and advise after you re read<P><P>
1230	8/3/09 13:01	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Don't we all?
1231	8/3/09 14:11	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Letter	Back at the office. Have a letter from bootler to barb peterson. <P>1 by statute information has to be treated as confidential until determination is made<P>2 "we do not believe there has been an unreasonable delay or lack of good faith in responding to PRR..."<P>Thought you'd like to know. <P>
1232	8/3/09 15:41	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Dissent	Was sent to gmail. No Rule, but I came up with a footnote that I think you'll really like. Let me know, <P>L
1233	8/5/09 12:11	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Have you filed the dissent?
1234	8/5/09 12:11	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	No. Order not ready yet. I'm led to believe it "shouldn't be much longer." <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 5, 2009 12:11 PM<P>Subject: <P><P>Have you filed the dissent?<P>
1235	8/5/09 13:11	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Ok
1236	8/5/09 18:14	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Did dissent go in? It seems NS just tried to call and all I can think of is he read the dissent.
1237	8/5/09 18:28	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Nate	Dissent did not go out today. <P>There was a PRR (mary ellen klaus) about the psc raises. NS is still very upset about the fact ryder got one. (SL and I believe NS tipped her off.)<P>He is worried now b/c he didn't sign the authorization, and the prr shows 43 raises, most for less than 5%, and most for very low level staffers. <P>It is my opinion that NS tipped off reporter, now now he sees response to Prr makes him look bad for opposing raises for very low paid and overworked staffers. <P>He barged into my office ranting and acting crazy - but it was all about ryder's raise and he doesn't think the prr reflects all the reasons he opposes ryder. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 5, 2009 6:14 PM<P>Subject: <P><P>Did dissent go in? It seems NS just tried to call and all I can think of is he read the dissent. <P>
1238	8/6/09 0:21	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		I know you are leaving tomorrow. I am concerned about the dissent. Tell me what the plan is to get it in? Has staff told you what their hold up is?
1239	8/6/09 7:47	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I do not know for sure. I understand it is being reviewed by management, but this is my impression/supposition. <P>Plan is to have SL email it once I get the word from staff they are ready for it. Its on the shared drive, so no problem there. They will then paste it into the order verbatim and issue. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 6, 2009 12:21 AM<P>Subject: <P><P>I know you are leaving tomorrow. I am concerned about the dissent. Tell me what the plan is to get it in? Has staff told you what their hold up is?<P>
1240	8/6/09 8:59	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	That I will. <P>I'll let you know right away. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 6, 2009 9:00 AM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Ok as long as there is no problem doing so. Let me know when it happens have a safe and fun trip<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 6, 2009 7:47 AM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I do not know for sure. I understand it is being reviewed by management, but this is my impression/supposition. <P>Plan is to have SL email it once I get the word from staff they are ready for it. Its on the shared drive, so no problem there. They will then paste it into the order verbatim and issue. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 6, 2009 12:21 AM<P>Subject: <P><P>I know you are leaving tomorrow. I am concerned about the dissent. Tell me what the plan is to get it in? Has staff told you what their hold up is?<P><P>
1241	8/6/09 9:00	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Ok as long as there is no problem doing so. Let me know when it happens have a safe and fun trip
1243	8/6/09 9:02	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	BTW NS called me 7 times last night. I did not answer so I have to assume its the salary issue.
1242	8/6/09 9:02	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	He was REALLY worked up when he talked at me. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 6, 2009 9:02 AM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>BTW NS called me 7 times last night. I did not answer so I have to assume its the salary issue. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 6, 2009 8:59 AM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>That I will. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 6, 2009 9:00 AM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Ok as long as there is no problem doing so. Let me know when it happens have a safe and fun trip<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 6, 2009 7:47 AM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I do not know for sure. I understand it is being reviewed by management, but this is my impression/supposition. <P>Plan is to have SL email it once I get the word from staff they are ready for it. Its on the shared drive, so no problem there. They will then paste it into the order verbatim and issue. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 6, 2009 12:21 AM<P>Subject: <P><P>I know you are leaving tomorrow. I am concerned about the dissent. Tell me what the plan is to get it in? Has staff told you what their hold up is?<P><P>
1244	8/6/09 9:06	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	What was his main problem? Was it that the media was doing that made him nuts?

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1304	8/10/09 14:42	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	<p>I'll be listening for you. I'll make notes of anything interesting and share with you later. Hope your session goes well!-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:41 PM><Subject: Re: Start><Going to go to therapy soon. I won't be calling back>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:03 PM><Subject: Re: Start><I'm sure you won't miss any fireworks. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:02 PM><Subject: Re: Start><I will have to leave in about an hour>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:01 PM><Subject: Re: Start><So you are on now?>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:01 PM><Subject: Re: Start><I'm on. Same number as before>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:00 PM><Subject: Re: Start><What number?>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:00 PM><Subject: Re: Start><I'm trying to call back tells me entry is not valid <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:56 PM><Subject: Re: Start><The chairman just gave the 1 minute warning. <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:50 PM><Subject: Re: Start><Ok>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:50 PM><Subject: Start><Looks like they're getting ready to start. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:38 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Let me know when they go back. I pushed therapy to a little later on. <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:35 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Steve says he thinks they are going back at 1:50. And I hear bill garner in his office, so I guess that makes sense. I thought chair said 1:30, and they went on break at 12:30, but...<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:32 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><I'm back on but its telling me leader has not yet arrived>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:15 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Not a problem. I'll make sure they keep the line open whenever. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:14 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Ok. I have therapy. Also therapy all week at times when meetings taking place. Tomorrow at 11, Wed same time then specialist Thurs, fri therapy at 11. So I will be on and off phone>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:09 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Yes. Back at 1:30. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:09 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Have they gone to lunch?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 12:49 PM><Subject: Skop><Asked Monully where Skop was. He just shrugged his shoulders and said hopefully he'll be here tomorrow. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 11:23 AM><Subject: Re: Fpl employees><Yes>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 11:15 AM><Subject: Fpl employees><I have the documents. Are you at your gmail? Easier to type on keyboard than pin on BB. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 11:07 AM><Subject: Re: Call in number><Ok>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 11:07 AM><Subject: Re: Call in number><I'm on it right now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 10:59 AM><Subject: Re: Call in number><Isn't there someone you can ask?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 10:58 AM><Subject: Re: Call in number><I don't see anything in the online docket file about intervention by the fpl employees. May not be online yet if was filed this morning. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 10:30 AM><Subject: Re: Call in number><Did u find out about FPL employees?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 9:46 AM><Subject: Re: Call in number><Yes it is. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 9:45 AM><Subject: Re: Call in number><That's good</p>
1305	8/10/09 14:45	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Start	<p>Its torture. My therapist, Ogar the torturer, believes in pain</p>
1306	8/10/09 14:46	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	<p>Pain=progress. <Keep telling yourself that. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:45 PM><Subject: Re: Start><Its torture. My therapist, Ogar the torturer, believes in pain <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:42 PM><Subject: Re: Start><I'll be listening for you. I'll make notes of anything interesting and share with you later. Hope your session goes well!-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:41 PM><Subject: Re: Start><Going to go to therapy soon. I won't be calling back>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:03 PM><Subject: Re: Start><I'm sure you won't miss any fireworks. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:02 PM><Subject: Re: Start><I will have to leave in about an hour>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:01 PM><Subject: Re: Start><So you are on now?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:01 PM><Subject: Re: Start><I'm on. Same number as before>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:00 PM><Subject: Re: Start><What number?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:00 PM><Subject: Re: Start><I'm trying to call back tells me entry is not valid <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:56 PM><Subject: Re: Start><The chairman just gave the 1 minute warning. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:50 PM><Subject: Re: Start><Ok>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:50 PM><Subject: Start><Looks like they're getting ready to start. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:38 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Let me know when they go back. I pushed therapy to a little later on. <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:35 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Steve says he thinks they are going back at 1:50. And I hear bill garner in his office, so I guess that makes sense. I thought chair said 1:30, and they went on break at 12:30, but...<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:32 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><I'm back on but its telling me leader has not yet arrived>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:15 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Not a problem. I'll make sure they keep the line open whenever. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:14 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Ok. I have therapy. Also therapy all week at times when meetings taking place. Tomorrow at 11, Wed same time then specialist Thurs, fri therapy at 11. So I will be on and off phone>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:09 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Yes. Back at 1:30. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:09 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Have they gone to lunch?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 12:49 PM><Subject: Skop><Asked Monully where Skop was. He just shrugged his shoulders and said hopefully he'll be here tomorrow. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 11:23 AM><Subject: Re: Fpl employees><Yes>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 11:15 AM><Subject: Fpl employees><I have the documents. Are you at your gmail? Easier to type on keyboard than pin on BB. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 11:07 AM><Subject: Re: Call in number><Ok>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 11:07 AM><Subject: Re: Call in number><I'm on it right now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 10:59 AM><Subject: Re: Call in number><Isn't there someone you can ask?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 10:58 AM><Subject: Re: Call in number><I don't see anything in the online docket file about intervention by the fpl employees. May not be online yet if was filed this morning. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10,</p>
1307	8/10/09 14:46	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Start	<p>I am. I repeat it over and over with a few cuss words inbetween</p>
1308	8/10/09 16:09	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: 5:30	<p>What r they doing</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1313	8/10/09 16:15	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: 5:30	<p>Yep. Long day tomorrow. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 5:46 PM<Subject: Re: 5:30<Ok. Tom 9:30<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 5:46 PM<Subject: Re: 5:30<That was Katherine Fleming the lead staff atty who wanted to talk to the parties. Chair is already up here in suite. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 5:45 PM<Subject: Re: 5:30<I heard someone say she wanted parties to stay in bldg while she talked to. Chr<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 5:42 PM<Subject: Re: 5:30<Just quit for the day. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 5:41 PM<Subject: Re: 5:30<What r they doing<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 5:03 PM<Subject: 5:30<Chair just announced he intends to go to 5:30 tonight. <He plans to go "until at least 7:00" tomorrow!!<That is going to suck. <We might be able to finish with 3rd witness today. 22 total. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 4:24 PM<Subject: Re: Nate<NS asking questions<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 4:20 PM<Subject: Re: Nate<Nope. He knows you'll ask if you have questions and otherwise leave you be. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 4:19 PM<Subject: Re: Nate<Has matt asked for me<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 4:18 PM<Subject: Nate<Just called in (from a cellphone in a moving car - you can hear the road noise). 4:17 in the afternoon. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:46 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I am. I repeat it over and over with a few cuss words inbetween<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:46 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Pain=progress. <Keep telling yourself that. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:45 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Its torture. My therapist, Ogar the torturer, believes in pain <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:42 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I'll be listening for you. I'll make notes of anything interesting and share with you later. Hope your session goes well<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:41 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Going to go to therapy soon. I won't be calling back<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:03 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I'm sure you won't miss any fireworks. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:02 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I will have to leave in about an hour<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:01 PM<Subject: Re: Start<So you are on now?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:01 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I'm on. Same number as before<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:00 PM<Subject: Re: Start<What number?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:00 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I'm trying to call back tells me entry is not valid <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:56 PM<Subject: Re: Start<The chairman just gave the 1 minute warning. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:50 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Ok<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:50 PM<Subject: Start<Looks like they're getting ready to start. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:38 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Let me know when they go back. I pushed therapy to a little later on. <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:35 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Steve says he thinks they are going back at 1:50. And I hear bill gamer in his office, so I guess that makes sense. I thought chair said 1:30, and they went on break at 12:30, but...<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:32 PM<Subject: Re: Just called in (from a cellphone in a moving car - you can hear the road noise). 4:17 in the afternoon. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:46 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I am. I repeat it over and over with a few cuss words inbetween<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:46 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Pain=progress. <Keep telling yourself that. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:45 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Its torture. My therapist, Ogar the torturer, believes in pain <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:42 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I'll be listening for you. I'll make notes of anything interesting and share with you later. Hope your session goes well<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:41 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Going to go to therapy soon. I won't be calling back<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:03 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I'm sure you won't miss any fireworks. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:02 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I will have to leave in about an hour<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:01 PM<Subject: Re: Start<So you are on now?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:01 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I'm on. Same number as before<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:00 PM<Subject: Re: Start<What number?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:00 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I'm trying to call back tells me entry is not valid <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:56 PM<Subject: Re: Start<The chairman just gave the 1 minute warning. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:50 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Ok<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:50 PM<Subject: Start<Looks like they're getting ready to start. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:38 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Let me know when they go back. I pushed therapy to a little later on. <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:35 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Steve says he thinks they are going back at 1:50. And I hear bill gamer in his office, so I guess that makes sense. I thought chair said 1:30, and they went on break at 12:30, but...<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:32 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<I'm back on but its telling me leader has not yet arrived<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:15 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Not a problem. I'll make sure they keep the line open whenever. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:14 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Ok. I have therapy. Allso therapy all week at times when meetings taking place. Tomorrow at 11, Wed same time then specialist Thurs, fri therapy at 11. So I will be on and off phone<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:09 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Yes. Back at 1:30. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:09 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Have they gone to lunch?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 12:49 PM<Subject: Skop<Asked McNulty where Skop was. He just shrugged his shoulders and said hopefully he'll be here tomorrow. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 11:23 AM<Subject: Re: Fpl employees<Yes<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 11:15 AM<Subject: Fpl employees<I have the documents. Are you at your gmail? Easier to type on keyboard than pin on BB. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re: Call in number<Ok<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re: Call in number<I'm on it right now. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009</p>
1314	8/10/09 16:18	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Nate	<p>Just called in (from a cellphone in a moving car - you can hear the road noise). 4:17 in the afternoon. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:46 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I am. I repeat it over and over with a few cuss words inbetween<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:46 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Pain=progress. <Keep telling yourself that. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:45 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Its torture. My therapist, Ogar the torturer, believes in pain <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:42 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I'll be listening for you. I'll make notes of anything interesting and share with you later. Hope your session goes well<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:41 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Going to go to therapy soon. I won't be calling back<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:03 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I'm sure you won't miss any fireworks. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:02 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I will have to leave in about an hour<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:01 PM<Subject: Re: Start<So you are on now?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:01 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I'm on. Same number as before<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:00 PM<Subject: Re: Start<What number?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:00 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I'm trying to call back tells me entry is not valid <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:56 PM<Subject: Re: Start<The chairman just gave the 1 minute warning. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:50 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Ok<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:50 PM<Subject: Start<Looks like they're getting ready to start. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:38 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Let me know when they go back. I pushed therapy to a little later on. <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:35 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Steve says he thinks they are going back at 1:50. And I hear bill gamer in his office, so I guess that makes sense. I thought chair said 1:30, and they went on break at 12:30, but...<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:32 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<I'm back on but its telling me leader has not yet arrived<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:15 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Not a problem. I'll make sure they keep the line open whenever. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:14 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Ok. I have therapy. Allso therapy all week at times when meetings taking place. Tomorrow at 11, Wed same time then specialist Thurs, fri therapy at 11. So I will be on and off phone<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:09 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Yes. Back at 1:30. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:09 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Have they gone to lunch?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 12:49 PM<Subject: Skop<Asked McNulty where Skop was. He just shrugged his shoulders and said hopefully he'll be here tomorrow. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 11:23 AM<Subject: Re: Fpl employees<Yes<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 11:15 AM<Subject: Fpl employees<I have the documents. Are you at your gmail? Easier to type on keyboard than pin on BB. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re: Call in number<Ok<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 10, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re: Call in number<I'm on it right now. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 10, 2009</p>
1315	8/10/09 16:19	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Nate	<p>Has matt asked for me</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1316	8/10/09 16:20	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Nate	<p>Nope. He knows you'll ask if you have questions and otherwise leave you be. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 4:19 PM><Subject: Re: Nate><><Has matt asked for me>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 4:18 PM><Subject: Nate><><Just called in (from a cellphone in a moving car - you can hear the road noise). 4:17 in the afternoon. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:46 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><I am. I repeat it over and over with a few cuss words inbetween>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:46 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><Pain=progress. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:45 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><Its torture. My therapist, Ogar the torturer, believes in pain -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:42 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><I'll be listening for you. I'll make notes of anything interesting and share with you later. Hope your session goes well!-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:41 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><Going to go to therapy soon. I won't be calling back>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:03 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><I'm sure you won't miss any fireworks. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:02 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><I will have to leave in about an hour>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:01 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><So you are on now?>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:01 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><I'm on. Same number as before>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:00 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><What number?>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:00 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><I'm trying to call back tells me entry is not valid -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:56 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><The chairman just gave the 1 minute warning. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:50 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><Ok>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:50 PM><Subject: Start><><Looks like they're getting ready to start. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:38 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><><Let me know when they go back. I pushed therapy to a little later on. -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:35 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><><Steve says he thinks they are going back at 1:50. And I hear bill garner in his office, so I guess that makes sense. I thought chair said 1:30, and they went on break at 12:30, but...-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:32 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><><I'm back on but its telling me leader has not yet arrived>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:15 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><><Not a problem. I'll make sure they keep the line open whenever. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:14 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><><Ok. I have therapy. Also therapy all week at times when meetings taking place. Tomorrow at 11, Wed same time then specialist Thurs, fri therapy at 11. So I will be on and off phone>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:09 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><><Yes. Back at 1:30. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:09 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><><Have they gone to lunch?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 12:49 PM><Subject: Skop><><Asked Mculnly where Skop was. He just shrugged his shoulders and said hopefully he'll be here tomorrow. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 11:23 AM><Subject: Re: Fpl employees><><Yes>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 11:15 AM><Subject: Fpl employees><><I have the documents. Are you at your gmail? Easier to type on keyboard than pin on BB. -----Original Message-----</p>
1317	8/10/09 16:24	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Nate	<p>NS asking questions</p>
1318	8/10/09 16:26	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Nate	<p>Nope. Just wanted people to know he was on phone. I suspect he either hung up or has it muted and in the seat next to him. I can't believe he's listening with all the road noise before. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 4:24 PM><Subject: Re: Nate><><NS asking questions>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 4:20 PM><Subject: Re: Nate><><Nope. He knows you'll ask if you have questions and otherwise leave you be. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 4:19 PM><Subject: Re: Nate><><Has matt asked for me>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 4:18 PM><Subject: Nate><><Just called in (from a cellphone in a moving car - you can hear the road noise). 4:17 in the afternoon. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:46 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><I am. I repeat it over and over with a few cuss words inbetween>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:46 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><Pain=progress. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:45 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><Its torture. My therapist, Ogar the torturer, believes in pain -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:42 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><I'll be listening for you. I'll make notes of anything interesting and share with you later. Hope your session goes well!-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:41 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><Going to go to therapy soon. I won't be calling back>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:03 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><I'm sure you won't miss any fireworks. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:02 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><I will have to leave in about an hour>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:01 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><So you are on now?>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:01 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><I'm on. Same number as before>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:00 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><What number?>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 2:00 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><I'm trying to call back tells me entry is not valid -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:56 PM><Subject: Re: Start><><The chairman just gave the 1 minute warning. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:50 PM><Subject: Start><><Looks like they're getting ready to start. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:38 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><><Let me know when they go back. I pushed therapy to a little later on. -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:35 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><><Steve says he thinks they are going back at 1:50. And I hear bill garner in his office, so I guess that makes sense. I thought chair said 1:30, and they went on break at 12:30, but...-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:32 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><><I'm back on but its telling me leader has not yet arrived>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:15 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><><Not a problem. I'll make sure they keep the line open whenever. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:14 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><><Ok. I have therapy. Also therapy all week at times when meetings taking place. Tomorrow at 11, Wed same time then specialist Thurs, fri therapy at 11. So I will be on and off phone>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 1:09 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><><Have they gone to lunch?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 10, 2009 12:49 PM><Subject: Skop><><Asked Mculnly where Skop was. He just shrugged his shoulders</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1335	8/11/09 10:03	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I'm doing it now. Sent the intervention rule stuff to ur gmail. <>just read his motion and made notes. I'll be pulling the cited cases next. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 10:01 AM<>Subject: <><>Have u looked at the richards motion?<>
1336	8/11/09 10:03	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Great
1337	8/11/09 10:37	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Pulled the cases. I have NOT read them fully, but looking at the summaries/headnotes, I think we'll be able to distinguish this situation from barry's argument. <>More to follow when I've had a chance to read them. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 10:03 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>Great<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 10:03 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>I'm doing it now. Sent the intervention rule stuff to ur gmail. <>just read his motion and made notes. I'll be pulling the cited cases next. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 10:01 AM<>Subject: <><>Have u looked at the richards motion?<><><>
1338	8/11/09 10:39	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I think I would like to ead them also so we can compare our thoughts
1339	8/11/09 10:40	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I'll email. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 10:39 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>I think I would like to ead them also so we can compare our thoughts <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 10:37 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>Pulled the cases. I have NOT read them fully, but looking at the summaries/headnotes, I think we'll be able to distinguish this situation from barry's argument. <>More to follow when I've had a chance to read them. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 10:03 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>Great<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 10:03 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>I'm doing it now. Sent the intervention rule stuff to ur gmail. <>just read his motion and made notes. I'll be pulling the cited cases next. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 10:01 AM<>Subject: <><>Have u looked at the richards motion?<><><><>
1340	8/11/09 10:40	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Great
1341	8/11/09 11:04	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Westlaw	This is my login information to westlaw. I don't think we got you your own account. Let me know when you are logged in, since the system probably won't let us both be logged-in from 2 different locations at the same time. <>www.Westlaw.com<>Password:6732906DHQV<>You have to enter a client ID - it can be anything. <>If it asks somewhere, my user name is Harris, Larry
1342	8/11/09 11:05	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Westlaw	You do what you need to do. I can go there later tonight
1343	8/11/09 11:06	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Westlaw	Ok. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 11:05 AM<>Subject: Re: Westlaw<><>You do what you need to do. I can go there later tonight<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 11:04 AM<>Subject: Westlaw<><>This is my login information to westlaw. I don't think we got you your own account. Let me know when you are logged in, since the system probably won't let us both be logged-in from 2 different locations at the same time. <>www.Westlaw.com<>Password:6732906DHQV<>You have to enter a client ID - it can be anything. <>If it asks somewhere, my user name is Harris, Larry<><>
1344	8/11/09 13:00	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Did they just break? Know when they are coming back? I went to rest rm did not hear. I moved therapy to afternoon
1345	8/11/09 13:01	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Yes, on break until 2:15. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:00 PM<>Subject: <><>Did they just break? Know when they are coming back? I went to rest rm did not hear. I moved therapy to afternoon<>
1346	8/11/09 13:01	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Steve at lunch?
1347	8/11/09 13:02	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	He is here. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:01 PM<>Subject: Re: <><>Steve at lunch?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:01 PM<>Subject: Re: <><>Yes, on break until 2:15. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:00 PM<>Subject: <><>Did they just break? Know when they are coming back? I went to rest rm did not hear. I moved therapy to afternoon<><><>
1348	8/11/09 13:02	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Did he get e mail re petersons emails?
1349	8/11/09 13:03	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Yes we have been talking about that. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:02 PM<>Subject: Re: <><>Did he get e mail re petersons emails?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:02 PM<>Subject: Re: <><>He is here. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:01 PM<>Subject: Re: <><>Steve at lunch?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:01 PM<>Subject: Re: <><>Yes, on break until 2:15. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:00 PM<>Subject: <><>Did they just break? Know when they are coming back? I went to rest rm did not hear. I moved therapy to afternoon<><><><>
1350	8/11/09 13:08	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Letter	Looked at your letter. I am concerned that it could be another example of "bias" against the IOUs (fpl since they are named) and with the rate cases 2 weeks from now, more opportunity for them to commit mischief.
1351	8/11/09 13:15	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	What is the "other" bias" you think I have disclosed? And what if I have several things I think need to be addressed by legis not pertaining to any case before us? What's ur take?
1352	8/11/09 13:20	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	Session is not for 9 months, and I don't think bills have to be filed until January. So, I think it can wait until then. <>Despite the fact letter doesn't refer to pending case, it would be one more piece of paper with your name on it that could be twisted by the highly paid scumbags the utilities hire. <>I don't see the harm of filing away and waiting until after rate cases over, nor do I see the leverage gained by filing now. <>But I certainly do not see the big picture you do, nor do I know all the facts you know, or what your strategy is, and ur better at politics than I will ever be. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:15 PM<>Subject: Re: Letter<><>What is the "other" bias" you think I have disclosed? And what if I have several things I think need to be addressed by legis not pertaining to any case before us? What's ur take?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:08 PM<>Subject: Letter<><>Looked at your letter. I am concerned that it could be another example of "bias" against the IOUs (fpl since they are named) and with the rate cases 2 weeks from now, more opportunity for them to commit mischief. <><><><>
1353	8/11/09 13:28	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	Good points I will think more about
1354	8/11/09 13:30	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	SL putting in dockets right now, so that's done with <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:28 PM<>Subject: Re: Letter<><>Good points I will think more about<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:20 PM<>Subject: Re: Letter<><>Session is not for 9 months, and I don't think bills have to be filed until January. So, I think it can wait until then. <>Despite the fact letter doesn't refer to pending case, it would be one more piece of paper with your name on it that could be twisted by the highly paid scumbags the utilities hire. <>I don't see the harm of filing away and waiting until after rate cases over, nor do I see the leverage gained by filing now. <>But I certainly do not see the big picture you do, nor do I know all the facts you know, or what your strategy is, and ur better at politics than I will ever be. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:15 PM<>Subject: Re: Letter<><>What is the "other" bias" you think I have disclosed? And what if I have several things I think need to be addressed by legis not pertaining to any case before us? What's ur take?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:08 PM<>Subject: Letter<><>Looked at your letter. I am concerned that it could be another example of "bias" against the IOUs (fpl since they are named) and with the rate cases 2 weeks from now, more opportunity for them to commit mischief. <><><><>
1355	8/11/09 13:35	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	
1356	8/11/09 14:27	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	Sent something to gmail. You'll want to read it right away. <>Staff says to ignore constitutional argument and if fpl wants info confidential, exclude salaries from rates. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:35 PM<>Subject: Re: Letter<><>Ok<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:30 PM<>Subject: Re: Letter<><>SL putting in dockets right now, so that's done with <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:28 PM<>Subject: Re: Letter<><>Good points I will think more about<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:20 PM<>Subject: Re: Letter<><>Session is not for 9 months, and I don't think bills have to be filed until January. So, I think it can wait until then. <>Despite the fact letter doesn't refer to pending case, it would be one more piece of paper with your name on it that could be twisted by the highly paid scumbags the utilities hire. <>I don't see the harm of filing away and waiting until after rate cases over, nor do I see the leverage gained by filing now. <>But I certainly do not see the big picture you do, nor do I know all the facts you know, or what your strategy is, and ur better at politics than I will ever be. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:15 PM<>Subject: Re: Letter<><>What is the "other" bias" you think I have disclosed? And what if I have several things I think need to be addressed by legis not pertaining to any case before us? What's ur take?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:08 PM<>Subject: Letter<><>Looked at your letter. I am concerned that it could be another example of "bias" against the IOUs (fpl since they are named) and with the rate cases 2 weeks from now, more opportunity for them to commit mischief. <><><><>
1357	8/11/09 14:29	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	That is exactly what I just thought of. If they don't want them public, then let shareholders pay salaries

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1358	8/11/09 14:30	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	<p>Yep. Staff finally got it right for once. <P>And they sidestep BR's entire argument - which they needed to. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:29 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>That is exactly what I just thought of. If they don't want them public, then let shareholders pay salaries<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:27 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Sent something to gmail. You'll want to read it right away. <P>Staff says to ignore constitutional argument and if fpl wants info confidential, exclude salaries from rates. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:35 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Ok<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:30 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>SL putting in dockets right now, so that's done with <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:28 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Good points I will think more about<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:20 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Session is not for 9 months, and I don't think bills have to be filed until January. So, I think it can wait until then. <P>Despite the fact letter doesn't refer to pending case, it would be one more piece of paper with your name on it that could be twisted by the highly paid scumbags the utilities hire. <P>I don't see the harm of filing away and waiting until after rate cases over, nor do I see the leverage gained by filing now. <P>But I certainly do not see the big picture you do, nor do I know all the facts you know, or what your strategy is, and ur better at politics than I will ever be. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:15 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>What is the "other" bias" you think I have disclosed? And what if I have several things I think need to be addressed by legis not pertaining to any case before us? What's ur take?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:08 PM<P>Subject: Letter<P><P>Looked at your letter. I am concerned that it could be another example of "bias" against the IOUs (fpl since they are named) and with the rate cases 2 weeks from now, more opportunity for them to commit mischief.</p>
1359	8/11/09 14:31	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	Which staff did this excellent response
1360	8/11/09 14:31	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	Simple and beautiful. I was just saying that same thing to frank
1361	8/11/09 14:31	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	<p>Rosanne. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:31 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Which staff did this excellent response<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:27 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Sent something to gmail. You'll want to read it right away. <P>Staff says to ignore constitutional argument and if fpl wants info confidential, exclude salaries from rates. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:35 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Ok<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:30 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>SL putting in dockets right now, so that's done with <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:28 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Good points I will think more about<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:20 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Session is not for 9 months, and I don't think bills have to be filed until January. So, I think it can wait until then. <P>Despite the fact letter doesn't refer to pending case, it would be one more piece of paper with your name on it that could be twisted by the highly paid scumbags the utilities hire. <P>I don't see the harm of filing away and waiting until after rate cases over, nor do I see the leverage gained by filing now. <P>But I certainly do not see the big picture you do, nor do I know all the facts you know, or what your strategy is, and ur better at politics than I will ever be. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:15 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>What is the "other" bias" you think I have disclosed? And what if I have several things I think need to be addressed by legis not pertaining to any case before us? What's ur take?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:08 PM<P>Subject: Letter<P><P>Looked at your letter. I am concerned that it could be another example of "bias" against the IOUs (fpl since they are named) and with the rate cases 2 weeks from now, more opportunity for them to commit mischief.</p>
1362	8/11/09 14:32	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	<p>Yep. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:31 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Simple and beautiful. I was just saying that same thing to frank <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:30 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Yep. Staff finally got it right for once. <P>And they sidestep BR's entire argument - which they needed to. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:29 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>That is exactly what I just thought of. If they don't want them public, then let shareholders pay salaries<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:27 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Sent something to gmail. You'll want to read it right away. <P>Staff says to ignore constitutional argument and if fpl wants info confidential, exclude salaries from rates. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:35 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Ok<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:30 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>SL putting in dockets right now, so that's done with <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:28 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Good points I will think more about<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:20 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Session is not for 9 months, and I don't think bills have to be filed until January. So, I think it can wait until then. <P>Despite the fact letter doesn't refer to pending case, it would be one more piece of paper with your name on it that could be twisted by the highly paid scumbags the utilities hire. <P>I don't see the harm of filing away and waiting until after rate cases over, nor do I see the leverage gained by filing now. <P>But I certainly do not see the big picture you do, nor do I know all the facts you know, or what your strategy is, and ur better at politics than I will ever be. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:15 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>What is the "other" bias" you think I have disclosed? And what if I have several things I think need to be addressed by legis not pertaining to any case before us? What's ur take?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:08 PM<P>Subject: Letter<P><P>Looked at your letter. I am concerned that it could be another example of "bias" against the IOUs (fpl since they are named) and with the rate cases 2 weeks from now, more opportunity for them to commit mischief.</p>
1363	8/11/09 14:32	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	Tel me lastname again
1364	8/11/09 14:34	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	<p>Rosanne Gervasi. <P>Samantha Cibula (appeals chief) is her direct supervisor. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:32 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Tel me lastname again<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:31 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Rosanne. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:31 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Which staff did this excellent response<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:27 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Sent something to gmail. You'll want to read it right away. <P>Staff says to ignore constitutional argument and if fpl wants info confidential, exclude salaries from rates. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:35 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Ok<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:30 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>SL putting in dockets right now, so that's done with <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:28 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Good points I will think more about<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:20 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>Session is not for 9 months, and I don't think bills have to be filed until January. So, I think it can wait until then. <P>Despite the fact letter doesn't refer to pending case, it would be one more piece of paper with your name on it that could be twisted by the highly paid scumbags the utilities hire. <P>I don't see the harm of filing away and waiting until after rate cases over, nor do I see the leverage gained by filing now. <P>But I certainly do not see the big picture you do, nor do I know all the facts you know, or what your strategy is, and ur better at politics than I will ever be. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:15 PM<P>Subject: Re: Letter<P><P>What is the "other" bias" you think I have disclosed? And what if I have several things I think need to be addressed by legis not pertaining to any case before us? What's ur take?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:08 PM<P>Subject: Letter<P><P>Looked at your letter. I am concerned that it could be another example of "bias" against the IOUs (fpl since they are named) and with the rate cases 2 weeks from now, more opportunity for them to commit mischief.</p>
1365	8/11/09 14:36	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	Is that Italian or Portuguese? Tell her I think she rocks. That's the kind of work I would hope came from all the attorneys at PSC

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1379	8/11/09 15:05	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	How can there not be a deadline, as it relates to an appeal?
1380	8/11/09 15:30	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	<p>We have an internal policy on getting orders out in 20 days, but no firm actionable deadline. The appellate timeline doesn't start to run until the order is issued. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:05 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<How can there not be a deadline, as it relates to an appeal?>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<I do it right now.>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:02 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Ok. Tell MB I want those changes asap. If they are of complete, give me what they have. Or find out when they think they will be done. Something stinks here>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:00 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<There is no deadline. They have sent us nothing about the changes.>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:59 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<What's the deadline and have they sent us a side by side of the changes>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:58 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<No.>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:57 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Has teco recon been filed yet?>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Will do.>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Remind me to call her tomorrow>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:41 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<She is not here this afternoon - I checked already. Offsetting time - I guess from working all weekend on this Rec.>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:39 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Get me her number>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:37 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Italian.>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:36 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Is that Italian or portuguese? Tell her I think she rocks. That's the kind of work I would hope came from all the attorneys at PSC>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:34 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Rosanne Gervasi.>>>Samantha Cibula (appeals chief) is her direct supervisor.>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:32 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Tel me lastname again>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:31 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Rosanne.>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:31 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Which staff did this excellent response>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:27 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Sent something to gmail. You'll want to read it right away.>>>Staff says to ignore constitutional argument and if fpl wants info confidential, exclude salaries from rates.>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:35 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Ok>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:30 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<SL putting in dockets right now, so that's done with>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:28 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Good points I will think more about>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:20 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Session is not for 9 months, and I don't think bills have to be filed until January. So, I think it can wait until then.>>>Despite the fact letter doesn't refer to pending case, it would be one more piece of paper with your name on it that could be twisted by the highly paid scumbags the utilities hire.>>>I don't see the harm of filing away and waiting until after rate cases over, nor do I see the leverage gained by filing now.>>>But I certainly do not see the big picture you do, nor do I know all the facts you know, or what your strategy is, and ur better at politics than I will ever be.>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:15 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<What is the "other" bias" you think I have disclosed? And what if I have several things I think need to be addressed by legis not pertaining to any case before us? What's ur take?>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:15 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<I can't see any reason to delay issuance. I think its laziness and management being distracted by everything else going on.>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Also what could be tactical reasons for delaying it?>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<I'll do it right now.>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:02 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Ok. Tell MB I want those changes asap. If they are of complete, give me what they have. Or find out when they think they will be done. Something stinks here>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:00 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<There is no deadline. They have sent us nothing about the changes.>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:59 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<What's the deadline and have they sent us a side by side of the changes>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:58 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<No.>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:57 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Has teco recon been filed yet?>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Will do.>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Remind me to call her tomorrow>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:41 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<She is not here this afternoon - I checked already. Offsetting time - I guess from working all weekend on this Rec.>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:39 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Get me her number>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:37 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Italian.>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:36 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Is that Italian or portuguese? Tell her I think she rocks. That's the kind of work I would hope came from all the attorneys at PSC>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:34 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Rosanne Gervasi.>>>Samantha Cibula (appeals chief) is her direct supervisor.>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:32 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Tel me lastname again>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:31 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Rosanne.>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:31 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Which staff did this excellent response>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:27 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Sent something to gmail. You'll want to read it right away.>>>Staff says to ignore constitutional argument and if fpl wants info confidential, exclude salaries from rates.>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:35 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Ok>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:30 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<SL putting in dockets right now, so that's done with>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:28 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Good points I will think more about>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:20 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Session is not for 9 months, and I don't think bills have to be filed until January. So, I think it can wait until then.>>>Despite the fact letter doesn't refer to pending case, it would be one more piece of paper with your name on it that could be twisted by the highly paid scumbags the utilities hire.>>>I don't see the harm of filing away and waiting until after rate cases over, nor do I see the leverage gained by filing now.>>>But I certainly do not see the big picture you do, nor do I know all the facts you know, or what your strategy is, and ur better at politics than I will ever be.>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:15 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<What is the "other" bias" you think I have disclosed? And what if I have several things I think need to be addressed by legis not pertaining to any case before us? What's ur take?>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:15 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<What if the order never gets filed, what's the remedy?</p>
1382	8/11/09 15:31	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	What if the order never gets filed, what's the remedy?
1383	8/11/09 15:31	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	Did u spk to MB?

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1388	8/11/09 15:34	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	<p>People keep asking...and asking...and asking...and finally, it gets issued. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->So how does it proceed especially is a party wants to appeal?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:32 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->There isn't a practical one. We went through this with skop on sunshine energy. Only remedy is to file a mandamus in court, which could take a year or more. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:31 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->What if the order never gets filed, what's the remedy?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:30 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->We have an internal policy on getting orders out in 20 days, but no firm actionable deadline. The appellate timeline doesn't start to run until the order is issued. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:05 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->How can there not be a deadline, as it relates to an appeal?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->I'll do it right now. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:02 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Ok. Tell MB I want those changes asap. If they are of complete, give me what they have. Or find out when they think they will be done. Something stinks here<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:00 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->There is no deadline. They have sent us nothing about the changes. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:59 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->What's the deadline and have they sent us a side by side of the changes<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:58 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->No. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:57 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Has teo recon been filed yet?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Will do. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Remind me to call her tomorrow<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:41 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->She is not here this afternoon - I checked already. Offsetting time - I guess from working all weekend on this Rec. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:39 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Get me her number<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:37 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Italian. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:36 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Is that Italian or portuguese? Tell her I think she rocks. That's the kind of work I would hope came from all the attorneys at PSC<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:34 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Rosanne Gervasi. <-----Samantha Cibula (appeals chief) is her direct supervisor. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:32 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Tel me lastname again<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:31 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Which staff did this excellent response<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:27 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Sent something to gmail. You'll want to read it right away. <-----Staff says to ignore constitutional argument and if ppl wants info confidential, exclude salaries from rates. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:35 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Ok<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:30 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->SL putting in dockets right now, so that's done with <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:28 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Good points I will think more about<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:20 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Session is not for 9 months, and I don't think bills have to be filed until January. So, I think it can wait until then. <-----Despite the fact letter doesn't refer to pending case, it would be one more piece of paper with your name on it that could</p>
1389	8/11/09 15:35	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	<p>That he knew we had asked for changes, he gave his to keino, and to tell keino directly. Which I did. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->What did booter say?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->To booter, then to keino. Told him to get us changes right now. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:31 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Did u spk to MB?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:30 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->I can't see any reason to delay issuance. I think its laziness and management being distracted by everything else going on. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Also what could be tactical reasons for delaying it?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->I'll do it right now. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:02 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Ok. Tell MB I want those changes asap. If they are of complete, give me what they have. Or find out when they think they will be done. Something stinks here<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:00 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->There is no deadline. They have sent us nothing about the changes. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:59 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->What's the deadline and have they sent us a side by side of the changes<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:58 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->No. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:57 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Has teo recon been filed yet?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Will do. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Remind me to call her tomorrow<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:41 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->She is not here this afternoon - I checked already. Offsetting time - I guess from working all weekend on this Rec. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:39 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Get me her number<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:37 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Italian. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:36 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Is that Italian or portuguese? Tell her I think she rocks. That's the kind of work I would hope came from all the attorneys at PSC<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:34 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Rosanne Gervasi. <-----Samantha Cibula (appeals chief) is her direct supervisor. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:32 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Tel me lastname again<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:31 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Which staff did this excellent response<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:27 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Sent something to gmail. You'll want to read it right away. <-----Staff says to ignore constitutional argument and if ppl wants info confidential, exclude salaries from rates. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:35 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Ok<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:30 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->SL putting in dockets right now, so that's done with <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:28 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Good points I will think more about<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:20 PM<----->Subject: Re: Letter<----->Session is not for 9 months, and I don't think bills have to be filed until January. So, I think it can wait until then. <-----Despite the fact letter doesn't refer to pending case, it would be one more piece of paper with your name on it that could</p>
1390	8/11/09 15:37	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	<p>Skop is there now?</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1391	8/11/09 15:38	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	<p>Yes. He showed up in person about 11:00. I meant to tell you, but got distracted. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:37 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Skop is there now?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:35 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><That he knew we had asked for changes, he gave his to keino, and to tell keino directly. Which I did. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><What did booter say?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><To booter, then to keino. Told him to get us changes right now. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:31 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Did u spk to MB?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:30 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><I can't see any reason to delay issuance. I think its laziness and management being distracted by everything else going on. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Also what could be tactical reasons for delaying it?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><I'll do it right now. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:02 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Ok. Tell MB I want those changes asap. If they are of complete, give me what they have. Or find out when they think they will be done. Something stinks here<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:00 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><There is no deadline. They have sent us nothing about the changes. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:59 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><What's the deadline and have they sent us a side by side of the changes?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:58 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><No. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:57 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Has tecu recon been filed yet?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Will do. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Remind me to call her tomorrow<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:41 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><She is not here this afternoon - I checked already. Offsetting time - I guess from working all weekend on this Rec. <Its 413-6224<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:39 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Get me her number<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:37 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Italian. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:36 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Is that Italian or portuguese? Tell her I think she rocks. That's the kind of work I would hope came from all the attorneys at PSC<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:34 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Rosanne Gervasi. <Samantha Cibula (appeals chief) is her direct supervisor. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:32 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Tel me lastname again<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:31 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Rosanne. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:31 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Which staff did this excellent response?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:27 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Sent something to gmail. You'll want to read it right away. <Staff says to ignore constitutional argument and if ppl wants info confidential, exclude salaries from rates. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:35 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Ok<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:30 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><SL putting in dockets right now, so that's done with <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 1:28 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Good</p>
1392	8/11/09 15:39	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	<p>Is he advertising for cos?</p>
1393	8/11/09 15:41	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	<p>Yes. And announcing his willingness to do whatever the companies want, as long as they give him a reason he can justify to himself. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:39 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Is he advertising for cos?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:38 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Yes. He showed up in person about 11:00. I meant to tell you, but got distracted. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:37 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Skop is there now?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:35 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><That he knew we had asked for changes, he gave his to keino, and to tell keino directly. Which I did. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><What did booter say?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><To booter, then to keino. Told him to get us changes right now. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:31 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Did u spk to MB?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:30 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><I can't see any reason to delay issuance. I think its laziness and management being distracted by everything else going on. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Also what could be tactical reasons for delaying it?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><I'll do it right now. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:02 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Ok. Tell MB I want those changes asap. If they are of complete, give me what they have. Or find out when they think they will be done. Something stinks here<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:00 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><There is no deadline. They have sent us nothing about the changes. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:59 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><What's the deadline and have they sent us a side by side of the changes?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:58 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><No. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:57 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Has tecu recon been filed yet?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Will do. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:41 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Remind me to call her tomorrow<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:41 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><She is not here this afternoon - I checked already. Offsetting time - I guess from working all weekend on this Rec. <Its 413-6224<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:39 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Get me her number<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:37 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Italian. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:36 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Is that Italian or portuguese? Tell her I think she rocks. That's the kind of work I would hope came from all the attorneys at PSC<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:34 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Rosanne Gervasi. <Samantha Cibula (appeals chief) is her direct supervisor. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:32 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Tel me lastname again<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:31 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Rosanne. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:31 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Which staff did this excellent response?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:27 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Sent something to gmail. You'll want to read it right</p>
1394	8/11/09 15:47	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	<p>What the heck is he talking about</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1395	8/11/09 15:49	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	<p>He sort of lost me, but I think he's trying to float the idea of utility owned solar investments, paid for by ratepayers, with the warm fuzzy of schools. He doesn't care that roe is insane and ratepayers can't afford. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:47 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><What the heck is he talking about>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:41 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Yes. And announcing his willingness to do whatever the companies want, as long as they give him a reason he can justify to himself/press. <I'm hearing him say, subsidize the big utility owned investment and call it DSM. <You said roe. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:39 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Is he advertising for cos?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:38 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Yes. He showed up in person about 11:00. I meant to tell you, but got distracted. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:37 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Skop is there now?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:35 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><That he knew we had asked for changes, he gave his to keino, and to tell keino directly. Which I did. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><What did booter say>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><To booter, then to keino. Told him to get us changes right now. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:31 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Did u spk to MB?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:30 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><I can't see any reason to delay issuance. I think its laziness and management being distracted by everything else going on. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Also what could be tactical reasons for delaying it?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><I'll do it right now. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:02 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Ok. Tell MB I want those changes asap. If they are of complete, give me what they have. Or find out when they think they will be done. Something stinks here-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:00 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><There is no deadline. They have sent us nothing about the changes. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:59 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><What's the deadline and have they sent us a side by side of the changes?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:58 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><No. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:57 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Has teco recon been filed yet?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Will do. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Remind me to call her tomorrow-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:41 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><She is not here this afternoon - I checked already. Offsetting time - I guess from working all weekend on this Rec. <Its 413-6224-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:39 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Get me her number-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:37 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Italian. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:36 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Is that italian or portuguese? Tell her I think she rocks. That's the kind of work I would hope came from all the attorneys at PSC-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:34 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Rosanne Gervasi. <Samantha Cibula (appeals chief) is her direct supervisor. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:32 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Tel me lastname again-----</p>
1396	8/11/09 15:52	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	<p>Then what the hell is he talking about? One time pass through to ratepayers?<Why should ratepayers pay in a clause for the schools/taxpayers benefit?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:47 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><What the heck is he talking about>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:41 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Yes. And announcing his willingness to do whatever the companies want, as long as they give him a reason he can justify to himself/press. <I'm hearing him say, subsidize the big utility owned investment and call it DSM. <You said roe. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:39 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Is he advertising for cos?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:38 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Yes. He showed up in person about 11:00. I meant to tell you, but got distracted. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:37 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Skop is there now?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:35 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><That he knew we had asked for changes, he gave his to keino, and to tell keino directly. Which I did. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><What did booter say>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:31 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Did u spk to MB?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:30 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><I can't see any reason to delay issuance. I think its laziness and management being distracted by everything else going on. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Also what could be tactical reasons for delaying it?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><I'll do it right now. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:02 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Ok. Tell MB I want those changes asap. If they are of complete, give me what they have. Or find out when they think they will be done. Something stinks here-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:00 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><There is no deadline. They have sent us nothing about the changes. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:59 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><What's the deadline and have they sent us a side by side of the changes?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:58 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><No. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:57 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Has teco recon been filed yet?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Will do. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Remind me to call her tomorrow-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:41 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><She is not here this afternoon - I checked already. Offsetting time - I guess from working all weekend on this Rec. <Its 413-6224-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:39 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Get me her number-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:37 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Italian. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:36 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Is that italian or portuguese? Tell her I think she rocks. That's the kind of work I would hope came from all the attorneys at PSC-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:34 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Rosanne Gervasi. <Samantha Cibula (appeals chief) is her direct supervisor. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:32 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Tel me lastname again-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:31</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1397	8/11/09 15:53	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	<p>And why would the utilities do that??? They are not in the construction business-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:47 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><What the heck is he talking about>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:41 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Yes. And announcing his willingness to do whatever the companies want, as long as they give him a reason he can justify to himself/press. <I'm hearing him say, subsidize the big utility owned investment and call it DSM. <You said roe. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:39 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Is he advertising for cos?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:38 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Yes. He showed up in person about 11:00. I meant to tell you, but got distracted. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:37 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Skop is there now?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:35 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><That he knew we had asked for changes, he gave his to keino, and to tell keino directly. Which I did. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><To booter, then to keino. Told him to get us changes right now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:31 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Did u spk to MB?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:30 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><I can't see any reason to delay issuance. I think its laziness and management being distracted by everything else going on. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Also what could be tactical reasons for delaying it?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><I'll do it right now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:02 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Ok. Tell MB I want those changes asap. If they are of complete, give me what they have. Or find out when they think they will be done. Something stinks here<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:00 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><There is no deadline. They have sent us nothing about the changes. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:59 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><What's the deadline and have they sent us a side by side of the changes>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:58 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><No. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:57 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Has teo recon been filed yet?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Will do. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Remind me to call her tomorrow<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:41 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><She is not here this afternoon - I checked already. Offsetting time - I guess from working all weekend on this Rec. <Its 413-6224<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:39 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Get me her number<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:37 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Italian. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:36 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Is that italian or portuguese? Tell her I think she rocks. That's the kind of work I would hope came from all the attorneys at PSC<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:34 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Rosanne Gervasi. <Samantha Cibula (appeals chief) is her direct supervisor. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:32 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Tel me lastname again<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:31 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Rosanne. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy</p>
1398	8/11/09 15:54	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	<p>And what about all the private companies who would love to do this? Why should the companies be able to use ratepayer subsidies to displace private businesses-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:47 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><What the heck is he talking about<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:41 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Yes. And announcing his willingness to do whatever the companies want, as long as they give him a reason he can justify to himself/press. <I'm hearing him say, subsidize the big utility owned investment and call it DSM. <You said roe. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:39 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Is he advertising for cos?>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:38 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Yes. He showed up in person about 11:00. I meant to tell you, but got distracted. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:37 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Skop is there now?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:35 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><That he knew we had asked for changes, he gave his to keino, and to tell keino directly. Which I did. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><What did booter say<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><To booter, then to keino. Told him to get us changes right now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:31 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Did u spk to MB?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:30 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><I can't see any reason to delay issuance. I think its laziness and management being distracted by everything else going on. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Also what could be tactical reasons for delaying it?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><I'll do it right now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:02 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Ok. Tell MB I want those changes asap. If they are of complete, give me what they have. Or find out when they think they will be done. Something stinks here<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:00 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><There is no deadline. They have sent us nothing about the changes. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:59 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><What's the deadline and have they sent us a side by side of the changes>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:58 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><No. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:57 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Has teo recon been filed yet?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Will do. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Remind me to call her tomorrow<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:41 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><She is not here this afternoon - I checked already. Offsetting time - I guess from working all weekend on this Rec. <Its 413-6224<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:39 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Get me her number<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:37 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Italian. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:36 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Is that italian or portuguese? Tell her I think she rocks. That's the kind of work I would hope came from all the attorneys at PSC<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:34 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Rosanne Gervasi. <Samantha Cibula (appeals chief) is her direct supervisor. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:32 PM><Subject: Re: Letter><Tel me lastname again<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:31</p>
1399	8/11/09 15:57	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	<p>Have no clue what he wants and what the motion is</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1400	8/11/09 15:58	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	<p>No motion. He is trying to show that he is an innovative thinker. <And sidestep that he missed yesterday totally. <----- Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Have no clue what he wants and what the motion is<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:54 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<And what about all the private companies who would love to do this? Why should the companies be able to use ratepayer subsidies to displace private businesses<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:47 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<What the heck is he talking about<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:41 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Yes. And announcing his willingness to do whatever the companies want, as long as they give him a reason he can justify to himself/press. <I'm hearing him say, subsidize the big utility owned investment and call it DSM. <You said roe. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:39 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Is he advertising for cos?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:38 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Yes. He showed up in person about 11:00. I meant to tell you, but got distracted. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:37 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Skop is there now?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:35 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<That he knew we had asked for changes, he gave his to keino, and to tell keino directly. Which I did. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<What did booter say<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<To booter, then to keino. Told him to get us changes right now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:31 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Did u spk to MB?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:30 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<I can't see any reason to delay issuance. I think its laziness and management being distracted by everything else going on. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Also what could be tactical reasons for delaying it?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<I'll do it right now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:02 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Ok. Tell MB I want those changes asap. If they are of complete, give me what they have. Or find out when they think they will be done. Something stinks here<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:00 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<There is no deadline. They have sent us nothing about the changes. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:59 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<What's the deadline and have they sent us a side by side of the changes<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:58 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<No. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:57 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Has teo recon been filed yet?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Will do. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Remind me to call her tomorrow<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:41 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<She is not here this afternoon - I checked already. Offsetting time - I guess from working all weekend on this Rec. <Is 413-6224<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:39 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Get me her number<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:37 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Italian. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:36 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Is that Italian or portuguese? Tell her I think she rocks. That's the kind of work I would hope came from all the attorneys at PSC<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry</p>
1401	8/11/09 15:59	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	<p>Wish hed stop</p>
1402	8/11/09 16:00	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	<p>Yep. <He's trying to "teach" you and show "leadership" and prove how "innovative" he is<----- Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:59 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Wish hed stop <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:58 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<No motion. He is trying to show that he is an innovative thinker. <And sidestep that he missed yesterday totally. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Have no clue what he wants and what the motion is<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:54 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<And what about all the private companies who would love to do this? Why should the companies be able to use ratepayer subsidies to displace private businesses<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:47 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<What the heck is he talking about<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:41 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Yes. And announcing his willingness to do whatever the companies want, as long as they give him a reason he can justify to himself/press. <I'm hearing him say, subsidize the big utility owned investment and call it DSM. <You said roe. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:39 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Is he advertising for cos?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:38 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Yes. He showed up in person about 11:00. I meant to tell you, but got distracted. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:37 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Skop is there now?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:35 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<That he knew we had asked for changes, he gave his to keino, and to tell keino directly. Which I did. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<What did booter say<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<To booter, then to keino. Told him to get us changes right now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:31 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Did u spk to MB?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:30 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<I can't see any reason to delay issuance. I think its laziness and management being distracted by everything else going on. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Also what could be tactical reasons for delaying it?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<I'll do it right now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:02 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Ok. Tell MB I want those changes asap. If they are of complete, give me what they have. Or find out when they think they will be done. Something stinks here<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:00 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<There is no deadline. They have sent us nothing about the changes. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:59 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<What's the deadline and have they sent us a side by side of the changes<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:58 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<No. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:57 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Has teo recon been filed yet?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Will do. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Remind me to call her tomorrow<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:41 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<She is not here this afternoon - I checked already. Offsetting time - I guess from working all weekend on this Rec. <Is 413-6224<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:39 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Get me her number<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy</p>
1403	8/11/09 16:00	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	<p>Sure</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1404	8/11/09 16:00	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	<p>Yes he is - before you called him, he was trying to float an option for the ratepayers to pay through the clauses!!!!<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:59 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Wish hed stop<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:58 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<No motion. He is trying to show that he is an innovative thinker. <And sidestep that he missed yesterday totally. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Have no clue what he wants and what the motion is<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:54 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<And what about all the private companies who would love to do this? Why should the companies be able to use ratepayer subsidies to displace private businesses<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:47 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<What the heck is he talking about<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:41 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Yes. And announcing his willingness to do whatever the companies want, as long as they give him a reason he can justify to himself/press. <I'm hearing him say, subsidize the big utility owned investment and call it DSM. <You said roe. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:39 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Is he advertising for cos?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:38 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Yes. He showed up in person about 11:00. I meant to tell you, but got distracted. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:37 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Skop is there now?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:35 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<That he knew we had asked for changes, he gave his to keino, and to tell keino directly. Which I did. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<What did booter say<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<To booter, then to keino. Told him to get us changes right now. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:31 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Did u spk to MB?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:30 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<I can't see any reason to delay issuance. I think its laziness and management being distracted by everything else going on. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Also what could be tactical reasons for delaying it?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:03 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<I'll do it right now. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:02 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Ok. Tell MB I want those changes asap. If they are of complete, give me what they have. Or find out when they think they will be done. Something stinks here<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:00 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<There is no deadline. They have sent us nothing about the changes. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:59 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<What's the deadline and have they sent us a side by side of the changes<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:58 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<No. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:57 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Has teco recon been filed yet?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Will do. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:42 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Remind me to call her tomorrow<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:41 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<She is not here this afternoon - I checked already. Offsetting time - I guess from working all weekend on this Rec. <Its 413-6224<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 2:39 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Get me her number<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy</p>
1405	8/11/09 16:08	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	Why would an employee of FPL group sign affidavit ? If they are separete?
1406	8/11/09 16:10	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	<p>That is part of the mix - some of the officers/employees work for fpl group, then a portion of their comp is allocated back to fpl iou. With the earlier responses, they only gave us the allocated part, not total for employee. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 5:40 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Why would an employee of FPL group sign affidavit ? If they are separete?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 5:27 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<I don't know, but my gut is yes. Let me think about it a little while. But, the motion starts fpl and the 15.... Which to me opens the door to inquiring if fpl intends to pay him as part of the rate case. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 5:24 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Point is fpl could be paying for (they won't pay him now. It will be down the road before we see that if we find it. Does psc have right to ask now who's paying (BR)?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 5:17 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<There won't be any discovery between now and tuesday, and may not be any more before hearing starts in 12 days. <I can instruct staff to monitor the rate case expenses very carefully - that is where it will show up, eventually, if fpl tries to get ratepayers to foot the bill. <Adding the employees is indeed piling on, and they have 4 or more staff attornies working on the case already. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 5:04 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Can we find out who's paying (BR)? What staff attorney will be running staff depositions? I want to ask that attorney whether he thinks its discoverable. If its FPL as a party they are already entitled to the position, and adding 15 employees is just "piling on". <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Yes he is - before you called him, he was trying to float an option for the ratepayers to pay through the clauses!!!!<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:59 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Wish hed stop<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:58 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<No motion. He is trying to show that he is an innovative thinker. <And sidestep that he missed yesterday totally. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Have no clue what he wants and what the motion is<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:54 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<And what about all the private companies who would love to do this? Why should the companies be able to use ratepayer subsidies to displace private businesses<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:47 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<What the heck is he talking about<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:41 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Yes. And announcing his willingness to do whatever the companies want, as long as they give him a reason he can justify to himself/press. <I'm hearing him say, subsidize the big utility owned investment and call it DSM. <You said roe. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:39 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Is he advertising for cos?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:38 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Yes. He showed up in person about 11:00. I meant to tell you, but got distracted. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:37 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Skop is there now?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:35 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<That he knew we had asked for changes, he gave his to keino, and to tell keino directly. Which I did. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<What did booter say<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<To booter, then to keino. Told him to get us changes right now. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:31 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<Did u spk to MB?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:30 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<I can't see any reason to delay issuance. I think its laziness and management being distracted by everything else going on. <Original Message<From: Nancy</p>
1407	8/11/09 17:04	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	Can we find out who's paying (BR)? What staff attorney will be running staff depositions? I want to ask that attorney whether he thinks its discoverable. If its FPL as a party they are already entitled to the position, and adding 15 employees is just "piling on".
1408	8/11/09 17:10	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Did u read BRs mation carefully? They want to keep that info from us also .
1409	8/11/09 17:14	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I did read it. <What specific info?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 5:10 PM<Subject: <Did u read BRs mation carefully? They want to keep that info from us also . <</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1418	8/11/09 18:41	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	<p>From law school? I think 2006. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 6:39 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Do u happen to know the year NS graduated?>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 5:42 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<That is part of the mix - some of the officers/employees work for fpl group, then a portion of their comp is allocated back to fpl iou. With the earlier responses, they only gave us the allocated part, not total for employee. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 5:40 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Why would an employee of FPL group sign affidavit ? If they are separate?>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 5:27 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<I don't know, but my gut is yes. Let me think about it a little while. But, the motion starts fpl and the 15... Which to me opens the door to inquiring if fpl intends to pay him as part of the rate case. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 5:24 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Point is fpl could be paying for (they won't pay him now. It will be down the road before we see that if we find it. Does psc have right to ask now who's paying (BR)?>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 5:17 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<There won't be any discovery between now and tuesday, and may not be any more before hearing starts in 12 days. <>I can instruct staff to monitor the rate case expenses very carefully - that is where it will show up, eventually, if fpl tries to get ratepayers to foot the bill. <>Adding the employees is indeed piling on, and they have 4 or more staff attorneys working on the case already. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 5:04 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Can we find out who's paying (BR)? What staff attorney will be running staff depositions? I want to ask that attorney whether he thinks its discoverable. If its FPL as a party they are already entitled to the position, and adding 15 employees is just "piling on". <>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Yes he is - before you called him, he was trying to float an option for the ratepayers to pay through the clauses!!!!>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:59 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Wish had stop <>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:58 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<No motion. He is trying to show that he is an innovative thinker. <>And sidestep that he missed yesterday totally. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Have no clue what he wants and what the motion is?>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:54 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<And what about all the private companies who would love to do this? Why should the companies be able to use ratepayer subsidies to displace private businesses?>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:47 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<What the heck is he talking about?>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:41 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Yes. And announcing his willingness to do whatever the companies want, as long as they give him a reason he can justify to himself/press. <>I'm hearing him say, subsidize the big utility owned investment and call it DSM. <>You said roe. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:39 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Is he advertising for cos?>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:38 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Yes. He showed up in person about 11:00. I meant to tell you, but got distracted. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:37 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Skop is there now?>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:35 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<That he knew we had asked for changes, he gave his to keino, and to tell keino directly. Which I did. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<What did booter say?>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:33 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<To booter, then to keino. Told him to get us changes right now. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry</p>
1419	8/11/09 18:42	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	Engineering school?
1420	8/11/09 18:44	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Letter	<p>I don't know. But I think he's 4 years older than me, so that would mean he graduated in 1987 if he went straight from high school and 4 years of college. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Engineering school?>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 6:41 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<From law school? I think 2006. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 6:39 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Do u happen to know the year NS graduated?>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 5:42 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<That is part of the mix - some of the officers/employees work for fpl group, then a portion of their comp is allocated back to fpl iou. With the earlier responses, they only gave us the allocated part, not total for employee. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 5:40 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Why would an employee of FPL group sign affidavit ? If they are separate?>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 5:27 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<I don't know, but my gut is yes. Let me think about it a little while. But, the motion starts fpl and the 15... Which to me opens the door to inquiring if fpl intends to pay him as part of the rate case. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 5:24 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Point is fpl could be paying for (they won't pay him now. It will be down the road before we see that if we find it. Does psc have right to ask now who's paying (BR)?>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 5:17 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<There won't be any discovery between now and tuesday, and may not be any more before hearing starts in 12 days. <>I can instruct staff to monitor the rate case expenses very carefully - that is where it will show up, eventually, if fpl tries to get ratepayers to foot the bill. <>Adding the employees is indeed piling on, and they have 4 or more staff attorneys working on the case already. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 5:04 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Can we find out who's paying (BR)? What staff attorney will be running staff depositions? I want to ask that attorney whether he thinks its discoverable. If its FPL as a party they are already entitled to the position, and adding 15 employees is just "piling on". <>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Yes he is - before you called him, he was trying to float an option for the ratepayers to pay through the clauses!!!!>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:59 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Wish had stop <>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:58 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<No motion. He is trying to show that he is an innovative thinker. <>And sidestep that he missed yesterday totally. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Have no clue what he wants and what the motion is?>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:54 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<And what about all the private companies who would love to do this? Why should the companies be able to use ratepayer subsidies to displace private businesses?>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:47 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<What the heck is he talking about?>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:41 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Yes. And announcing his willingness to do whatever the companies want, as long as they give him a reason he can justify to himself/press. <>I'm hearing him say, subsidize the big utility owned investment and call it DSM. <>You said roe. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:39 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Is he advertising for cos?>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:38 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Yes. He showed up in person about 11:00. I meant to tell you, but got distracted. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:37 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<Skop is there now?>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 11, 2009 3:35 PM<Subject: Re: Letter<<<That he knew we had asked for changes, he gave his to keino, and to tell keino directly. Which I did. <>-----Original</p>
1421	8/11/09 18:45	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Letter	Thanks
1422	8/12/09 9:28	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Wed	Call in number, same as yesterday. <Number: 888-808-6959><Code: 4136261>
1423	8/12/09 9:29	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Wed	Ok
1424	8/12/09 9:29	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Wed	Nobody down here yet. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 9:29 AM<Subject: Re: Wed<<<Ok>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 9:28 AM<Subject: Wed<<<Call in number, same as yesterday. <Number: 888-808-6959><Code: 4136261><><><>
1425	8/12/09 9:34	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Wed	Katrina and Lisa down here. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 9:29 AM<Subject: Re: Wed<<<Ok>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 9:28 AM<Subject: Wed<<<Call in number, same as yesterday. <Number: 888-808-6959><Code: 4136261><><><>
1426	8/12/09 9:37	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Wed	I have to leave at 10:30 for therapy
1427	8/12/09 9:38	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Wed	Looks like Lisa will be running things this morning. She's looking for skop so she can start. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 9:29 AM<Subject: Re: Wed<<<Ok>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 9:28 AM<Subject: Wed<<<Call in number, same as yesterday. <Number: 888-808-6959><Code: 4136261><><><>
1428	8/12/09 9:39	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Wed	LE will be ching matt at EOC. State very worried abot flu season and how they handle it
1429	8/12/09 9:40	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Wed	Anybody see him
1430	8/12/09 9:40	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Wed	I'm sure you won't miss anything. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 9:37 AM<Subject: Re: Wed<<<I have to leave at 10:30 for therapy>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 9:28 AM<Subject: Wed<<<Call in number, same as yesterday. <Number: 888-808-6959><Code: 4136261><><><>
1431	8/12/09 9:41	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Wed	With re to changes of recon can u be specific as to what they are? If nothing substantive, why so many pages?

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1467	8/12/09 14:15	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I hear cartw over in his office, so I guess he's back. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 1:52 PM<Subject: Re: <Maybe he needs some sugar or food. His blood sugar must be way down. I'm getting ready to have someone take his pulse. He sounds like he's afflicted with the "tortoise syndrome">>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 1:46 PM<Subject: Re: <Yeah. Ben stein is more animated. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 1:46 PM<Subject: Re: <That witness sounds half dead<>>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 1:39 PM<Subject: Re: <Yep - and trying to explain he's not really trying to increase rates. Unlike yesterday. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 1:38 PM<Subject: Re: <So he's just making apologizing for yesterday<>>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 1:34 PM<Subject: Re: <Not to lunch yet. <>>>Skop asking questions about solar. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 1:33 PM<Subject: Re: <Are they at lunch? When back?<>>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 12:53 PM<Subject: Re: <Not on lunch yet. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 12:52 PM<Subject: Re: <I'm not home yet<>>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 12:30 PM<Subject: Re: <Taking 5 minute break. Think can finish with the next witness in 20 minutes, then will break for lunch. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: <NS saying much?<>>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 12:26 PM<Subject: Re: <Probably not. He seems to be trying to make his case through this witness, not cross-examining. <>>>He's done now; Suzanne brownless is on now. She's asking real cross questions about the filed testimony, unlike the other guy who was "do you know about...?"<>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 12:24 PM<Subject: Re: <Is what he asking for legitimate? Don't count if he is annoying and slow,do count if he has a right for what he is asking<>>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 12:20 PM<Subject: Re: <Matt not back. Going very slowly - NRDC atty is really bad. I think they're getting ready to break for lunch - hoping to finish with the witness. Susan clark is objecting to almost everything now, and lisa is sustaining most of them - keeps telling atty to move on (which he should!)<>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 12:17 PM<Subject: Re: <How is it going? Is matt back?<>>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 10:42 AM<Subject: Re: <Oh. <>>>I'm almost done with the email containing the comments on teco order. It'll be waiting on gmail when you get home. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 10:41 AM<Subject: Re: <On my way. To therapy<>>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 10:40 AM<Subject: <>>>Suzanne is right about one designated objector. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 9:55 AM<Subject: Re: Wed<>>>Its best not to be in tallahassee this fall. Every year the legislature, during fall committees, there are people from all over and they spread so many germs in those bldgs, flu runs rampant. Can u ask steve to mail me, unless available on net, the newest schedule for the next few months<>>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: Wed<>>>Yep. Its live virus and some will die from vaccine. <>>>Heard on radio the worried both regular flu and avian/swine at the same time this winter. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re: Wed<>>>I talked with senators yesterday and they all have their tamflu. It could be bad. But the vaccine is being rushed and that worries me. I worry about danger of vaccine too<>>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 9:45 AM<Subject: Re: Wed<>>>They should be. It is going to be huge. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 9:39 AM<Subject: Re: Wed<>>>LE will be chring matt at EOC. State very
1468	8/12/09 14:19	Nancy Argenziano: 32CD37E0	Larry Harris: 317E60BA	Re:	EOC was done some time ago
1469	8/12/09 14:52	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I just got call from Dr to go to office. I will leave now. Keep me up to date
1470	8/12/09 14:52	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Will do. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 2:52 PM<Subject: Re: <I just got call from Dr to go to office. I will leave now. Keep me up to date<>>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 2:15 PM<Subject: Re: <I hear cartw over in his office, so I guess he's back. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 1:52 PM<Subject: Re: <Maybe he needs some sugar or food. His blood sugar must be way down. I'm getting ready to have someone take his pulse. He sounds like he's afflicted with the "tortoise syndrome">>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 1:46 PM<Subject: Re: <Yeah. Ben stein is more animated. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 1:46 PM<Subject: Re: <That witness sounds half dead<>>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 1:39 PM<Subject: Re: <Yep - and trying to explain he's not really trying to increase rates. Unlike yesterday. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 1:38 PM<Subject: Re: <So he's just making apologizing for yesterday<>>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 1:34 PM<Subject: Re: <Not to lunch yet. <>>>Skop asking questions about solar. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 1:33 PM<Subject: Re: <Are they at lunch? When back?<>>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 12:53 PM<Subject: Re: <Not on lunch yet. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 12:52 PM<Subject: Re: <I'm not home yet<>>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 12:30 PM<Subject: Re: <Taking 5 minute break. Think can finish with the next witness in 20 minutes, then will break for lunch. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: <NS saying much?<>>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 12:26 PM<Subject: Re: <Probably not. He seems to be trying to make his case through this witness, not cross-examining. <>>>He's done now; Suzanne brownless is on now. She's asking real cross questions about the filed testimony, unlike the other guy who was "do you know about...?"<>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 12:24 PM<Subject: Re: <Is what he asking for legitimate? Don't count if he is annoying and slow,do count if he has a right for what he is asking<>>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 12:20 PM<Subject: Re: <Matt not back. Going very slowly - NRDC atty is really bad. I think they're getting ready to break for lunch - hoping to finish with the witness. Susan clark is objecting to almost everything now, and lisa is sustaining most of them - keeps telling atty to move on (which he should!)<>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 12:17 PM<Subject: Re: <How is it going? Is matt back?<>>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 10:42 AM<Subject: Re: <Oh. <>>>I'm almost done with the email containing the comments on teco order. It'll be waiting on gmail when you get home. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 10:41 AM<Subject: Re: <On my way. To therapy<>>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 10:40 AM<Subject: <>>>Suzanne is right about one designated objector. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 9:55 AM<Subject: Re: Wed<>>>Its best not to be in tallahassee this fall. Every year the legislature, during fall committees, there are people from all over and they spread so many germs in those bldgs, flu runs rampant. Can u ask steve to mail me, unless available on net, the newest schedule for the next few months<>>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: Wed<>>>Yep. Its live virus and some will die from vaccine. <>>>Heard on radio the worried both regular flu and avian/swine at the same time this winter. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re: Wed<>>>I talked with senators yesterday and they all have their tamflu. It could be bad. But the vaccine is being rushed and that worries me. I worry about
1471	8/12/09 14:53	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Be back as soon as I can
1472	8/12/09 15:05	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Is the agenda book already prepared for next tues? Do we have a clue where the confidentiality issue will be and how long a day do u think we will have? <>>>
1473	8/12/09 15:07	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Yes it is done<>>>Confidentiality are #s 3 and 4, so early in morning. <>>>Might be a long day - 30 items. Lots of water rate cases. Depends on what is taken off MS. <>>>Then IA to follow. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:05 PM<Subject: <>>>Is the agenda book already prepared for next tues? Do we have a clue where the confidentiality issue will be and how long a day do u think we will have? <>>>
1474	8/12/09 15:08	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Chair just announced going to 5:30 tonight, 8:00 OR LATER tomorrow. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:05 PM<Subject: <>>>Is the agenda book already prepared for next tues? Do we have a clue where the confidentiality issue will be and how long a day do u think we will have? <>>>
1475	8/12/09 15:09	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Why 3 and 4. Is that for progress and FpI?
1476	8/12/09 15:09	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	He must have something to do tonight. I think they will be done before 8 tomrww
1477	8/12/09 15:13	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	4 is the determination of the requests for confidentiality. 4A is the motions to compel/BR's response. They are 2 separate things.<>>>(No item 1, so first actual item is #2). <>>>Items 2 and 3 will probably move staff so actual 1st item to be considered will be #4 - confidentiality request determination. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:09 PM<Subject: Re: <>>>Why 3 and 4. Is that for progress and FpI?<>>>Original Message<<<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:07 PM<Subject: Re: <>>>Yes it is done<>>>Confidentiality are #s 3 and 4, so early in morning. <>>>Might be a long day - 30 items. Lots of water rate cases. Depends on what is taken off MS. <>>>Then IA to follow. <>>>Original Message<<<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:05 PM<Subject: <>>>Is the agenda book already prepared for next tues? Do we have a clue where the confidentiality issue will be and how long a day do u think we will have? <>>>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1478	8/12/09 15:14	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Wade hoppings visitation is tonight. He wants to schmooze. <?> don't. We are not even 1/2 through yet. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:09 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>He must have something to do tonight. I think they will be done before 8 tomw<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:08 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Chair just announced going to 5:30 tonight, 8:00 OR LATER tomorrow. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:05 PM<?>Subject: <?><?>Is the agenda book already prepared for next tues? Do we have a clue where the confidentiality issue will be and how long a day do u think we will have? <?><?><?>
1479	8/12/09 15:58	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Bench	Camera just showed the bench. Only chair and katrina. Nate and Lisa gone. Maybe just at bathroom? <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:09 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>He must have something to do tonight. I think they will be done before 8 tomw<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:08 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Chair just announced going to 5:30 tonight, 8:00 OR LATER tomorrow. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:05 PM<?>Subject: <?><?>Is the agenda book already prepared for next tues? Do we have a clue where the confidentiality issue will be and how long a day do u think we will have? <?><?><?>
1480	8/12/09 16:04	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Lisa and nate just came back in and were settling down. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:09 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>He must have something to do tonight. I think they will be done before 8 tomw<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:08 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Chair just announced going to 5:30 tonight, 8:00 OR LATER tomorrow. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:05 PM<?>Subject: <?><?>Is the agenda book already prepared for next tues? Do we have a clue where the confidentiality issue will be and how long a day do u think we will have? <?><?><?>
1481	8/12/09 16:08	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	They don't need a hearing. The prehearing officer will just issue an order granting intervention. That's how it works procedurally. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:38 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>How can they get notice out for PH before tues? They couldn't do it for confidentiality del?????<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 5:27 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Rosanne understands that the interventions are going to be granted by prehearing officers before tuesday. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:42 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Its backwards. Shouldn't the motion to intervene come first? Somebody has got this wrong<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:40 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Very. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:40 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Confusing<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:39 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>It might. I'm not sure if BR argument even applies to 1st rec. I think it does, but it might not. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:33 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I am planning to bring up issues contained in BR memorandum in the confidentiality discussion. Does this now create a problem in that the memorandum was contained in the motion to intervene, issue #4 ?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:28 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I am not sure and have been thinking about that. The 2 are pretty closely interrelated. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:26 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Is there any problem in considering Richards memorandum in the context of confidentiality issue? Nt <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:07 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Yes it is done<?>Confidentiality are #s 3 and 4, so early in morning. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:05 PM<?>Subject: <?><?>Is the agenda book already prepared for next tues? Do we have a clue where the confidentiality issue will be and how long a day do u think we will have? <?><?><?>
1482	8/12/09 16:13	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Rosanne	I feel better that Roseanne is on this
1483	8/12/09 16:26	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Is there any problem in considering Richards memorandum in the context of confidentiality issue? Nt
1484	8/12/09 16:28	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I am not sure and have been thinking about that. The 2 are pretty closely interrelated. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:26 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Is there any problem in considering Richards memorandum in the context of confidentiality issue? Nt <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:07 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Yes it is done<?>Confidentiality are #s 3 and 4, so early in morning. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:05 PM<?>Subject: <?><?>Is the agenda book already prepared for next tues? Do we have a clue where the confidentiality issue will be and how long a day do u think we will have? <?><?><?>
1485	8/12/09 16:28	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	If the vote is that info is not confidential, does that kick out (motion to intervene)?
1486	8/12/09 16:33	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I am planning to bring up issues contained in BR memorandum in the confidentiality discussion. Does this now create a problem in that the memorandum was contained in the motion to intervene, issue #4 ?
1487	8/12/09 16:37	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I don't think kinks out interventions. I think interventions should be on both pieces, as should BR's argument. My thought of how this should be worked through:<?>1 is the stuff already filed confidential or not? This is a determination of what the statute says!<?>2. Then, go to 4a about the new stuff. <?>2a, should the motion to compel be granted (should they have to file names and numbers)?<?>2B, if yes, and is filed, should it be confidential like the other stuff?<?>Answer to 1 should apply. <?>The hard part is how the BR argument fits in - seems like it applies equally to both old and new stuff. So, I'm wondering if the items need to be taken up together?<?>Thinking it through, then plan to talk to rosanne about it. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:28 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>If the vote is that info is not confidential, does that kick out (motion to intervene)?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:08 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Chair just announced going to 5:30 tonight, 8:00 OR LATER tomorrow. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:05 PM<?>Subject: <?><?>Is the agenda book already prepared for next tues? Do we have a clue where the confidentiality issue will be and how long a day do u think we will have? <?><?><?>
1488	8/12/09 16:39	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	It might. I'm not sure if BR argument even applies to 1st rec. I think it does, but it might not. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:33 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I am planning to bring up issues contained in BR memorandum in the confidentiality discussion. Does this now create a problem in that the memorandum was contained in the motion to intervene, issue #4 ?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:28 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I am not sure and have been thinking about that. The 2 are pretty closely interrelated. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:26 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Is there any problem in considering Richards memorandum in the context of confidentiality issue? Nt <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:07 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Yes it is done<?>Confidentiality are #s 3 and 4, so early in morning. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:05 PM<?>Subject: <?><?>Is the agenda book already prepared for next tues? Do we have a clue where the confidentiality issue will be and how long a day do u think we will have? <?><?><?>
1489	8/12/09 16:40	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Confusing
1490	8/12/09 16:40	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Very. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:40 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Confusing<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:39 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>It might. I'm not sure if BR argument even applies to 1st rec. I think it does, but it might not. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:33 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I am planning to bring up issues contained in BR memorandum in the confidentiality discussion. Does this now create a problem in that the memorandum was contained in the motion to intervene, issue #4 ?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:28 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I am not sure and have been thinking about that. The 2 are pretty closely interrelated. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:26 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Is there any problem in considering Richards memorandum in the context of confidentiality issue? Nt <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:07 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Yes it is done<?>Confidentiality are #s 3 and 4, so early in morning. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:05 PM<?>Subject: <?><?>Is the agenda book already prepared for next tues? Do we have a clue where the confidentiality issue will be and how long a day do u think we will have? <?><?><?>
1491	8/12/09 16:42	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Its backwards. Shouldn't the motion to intervene come first? Somebody has got this wrong
1492	8/12/09 16:44	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Fw:	
1493	8/12/09 16:46	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Can you reduce your conversation with moline and wilmington and place into record? Is that receipt of that info from u ex parte? I want to use that info at hearing
1494	8/12/09 16:51	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	If psc votes to say its not confidential 1st. (Could claim he was not afforded due process to add his comments to the argument. It is wrong and his mion needs to come up first. Talk to whoever u have to and then I am going to object to the order unless something makes sense
1495	8/12/09 17:02	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		I'm on.
1496	8/12/09 17:03	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Can you reduce your conversation with moline and wilmington and place into record? Is that receipt of that info from u ex parte? I want to use that info at hearing

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1497	8/12/09 17:23	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I don't see any way. It is pure hearsay - BM told me.... Only way would be to get them here to say it. But its my opinion its NOT relevant and not needed on tuesday. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 12, 2009 5:03 PM Subject: Re: Can you reduce your conversation with moline and wilmington and place into record? Is that receipt of that info from u ex parte? I want to use that info at hearing Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:40 PM Subject: Re: Very. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:40 PM Subject: Re: Confusing Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:39 PM Subject: Re: It might. I'm not sure if BR argument even applies to 1st rec. I think it does, but it might not. Might not b/c they might not have filed anything yet that they think is const privacy as opposed to statutory. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:33 PM Subject: Re: I am planning to bring up issues contained in BR memorandum in the confidentiality discussion. Does this now create a problem in that the memorandum was contained in the motion to intervene, issue #4 ? Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:28 PM Subject: Re: I am not sure and have been thinking about that. The 2 are pretty closely interrelated. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:26 PM Subject: Re: Is there any problem in considering Richards memorandum in the context of confidentiality issue? Nt Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:07 PM Subject: Re: Yes it is done Confidentiality are #s 3 and 4, so early in morning. Might be a long day - 30 items. Lots of water rate cases. Depends on what is taken off MS. Then IA to follow. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:05 PM Subject: Is the agenda book already prepared for next tues? Do we have a clue where the confidentiality issue will be and how long a day do u think we will have?
1498	8/12/09 17:26	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Just got done talking to Rosanne. She has thought about this pretty carefully and has a pretty good plan. PEF called her and asked if items were going to be combined. She doesn't think they should be. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:51 PM Subject: Re: If psc votes to say its not confidential 1st. (Could claim he was not afforded due process to add his comments to the argument. It is wrong and his mion needs to come up first. Talk to whoever u have to and them I am going to object to the order unless something makes sense Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:40 PM Subject: Re: Very. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:40 PM Subject: Re: Confusing Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:39 PM Subject: Re: It might. I'm not sure if BR argument even applies to 1st rec. I think it does, but it might not. Might not b/c they might not have filed anything yet that they think is const privacy as opposed to statutory. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:33 PM Subject: Re: I am planning to bring up issues contained in BR memorandum in the confidentiality discussion. Does this now create a problem in that the memorandum was contained in the motion to intervene, issue #4 ? Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:28 PM Subject: Re: I am not sure and have been thinking about that. The 2 are pretty closely interrelated. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:26 PM Subject: Re: Is there any problem in considering Richards memorandum in the context of confidentiality issue? Nt Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:07 PM Subject: Re: Yes it is done Confidentiality are #s 3 and 4, so early in morning. Might be a long day - 30 items. Lots of water rate cases. Depends on what is taken off MS. Then IA to follow. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:05 PM Subject: Is the agenda book already prepared for next tues? Do we have a clue where the confidentiality issue will be and how long a day do u think we will have?
1499	8/12/09 17:27	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Rosanne understands that the interventions are going to be granted by prehearing officers before tuesday. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:42 PM Subject: Re: Its backwards. Shouldn't the motion to intervene come first? Somebody has got this wrong Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:40 PM Subject: Re: Very. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:40 PM Subject: Re: Confusing Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:39 PM Subject: Re: It might. I'm not sure if BR argument even applies to 1st rec. I think it does, but it might not. Might not b/c they might not have filed anything yet that they think is const privacy as opposed to statutory. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:33 PM Subject: Re: I am planning to bring up issues contained in BR memorandum in the confidentiality discussion. Does this now create a problem in that the memorandum was contained in the motion to intervene, issue #4 ? Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:28 PM Subject: Re: I am not sure and have been thinking about that. The 2 are pretty closely interrelated. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 12, 2009 4:26 PM Subject: Re: Is there any problem in considering Richards memorandum in the context of confidentiality issue? Nt Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:07 PM Subject: Re: Yes it is done Confidentiality are #s 3 and 4, so early in morning. Might be a long day - 30 items. Lots of water rate cases. Depends on what is taken off MS. Then IA to follow. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 12, 2009 3:05 PM Subject: Is the agenda book already prepared for next tues? Do we have a clue where the confidentiality issue will be and how long a day do u think we will have?
1500	8/12/09 17:35	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Rosanne	So, rosanne sees it as: 1. Deal with the stuff that is filed. (Rec 4)Straight yes/no, what does the statute say about confidential or not. So, b's privacy concerns NOT relevant and he should be shut down quick. 2. Then go to 4a, and: A, tell br to go away - no jurisdiction to decide constitutional Q. B, order them to file to info. C, if they don't like, they can appeal to S court. Rosanne thinks: Psc has no jurisdiction to decide const Q; No need to go into details of the "harm" - (poaching, increased costs etc). Doesn't matter. Statute says what it says. No need for long, drawn out oral arguments; Sounds to me like staff is thinking right and is right on target with where you want to go and the arguments you want to make. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 12, 2009 5:02 PM Subject: I'm on.
1501	8/12/09 17:36	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	It is relevant. How can I get it in there? Figure a way I can mention. It concerns me that u don't see the relevancy. (Pounded on that issue (poachin) as well as making it public
1502	8/12/09 17:38	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	How can they get notice out for PH before tues? They couldn't do it for confidentiality det?????
1503	8/12/09 17:39	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	That's nice but what's her plan?
1504	8/12/09 17:39	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Then why is this on the agenda?
1505	8/13/09 15:10	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		It is appearing as though chr is really trying to rush the guy and it doesn't look good. I know he's slow but it doesn't look right
1506	8/13/09 18:41	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Talk to me about jumper creek on agenda and staffs rec
1507	8/13/09 18:55	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	They did they right thing and recommended you deny the transfer to aqua, as against the public interest, since all other system's rates will go up if JC is integrated into aqua system. This is very good, since aqua refused to withdraw their demand for the \$300k aquisation adjustment. Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 13, 2009 6:41 PM Subject: Talk to me about jumper creek on agenda and staffs rec
1508	8/13/09 19:02	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	What is edgars stance on issue and I want you to tell me if staffs rec suddenly changes. Even a tiny change. Be on top of this one and advise when something happens. If it does
1509	8/13/09 19:04	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I don't know edgar's position. I can look at transcript of last time in the morning and see what she said then.
I will watch this item like an eagle.
Original Message-----
From: Nancy Argenziano
To: Larry Harris
Sent: Aug 13, 2009 7:02 PM
Subject: Re:
What is edgars stance on issue and I want you to tell me if staffs rec suddenly changes. Even a tiny change. Be on top of this one and advise when something happens, if it does
Original Message-----
From: Larry Harris
To: Nancy Argenziano
Sent: Aug 13, 2009 6:55 PM
Subject: Re:
They did they right thing and recommended you deny the transfer to aqua, as against the public interest, since all other system's rates will go up if JC is integrated into aqua system.
This is very good, since aqua refused to withdraw their demand for the \$300k aquisation adjustment.
Original Message-----
From: Nancy Argenziano
To: Larry Harris
Sent: Aug 13, 2009 6:41 PM
Subject:
Talk to me about jumper creek on agenda and staffs rec

1510	8/13/09 19:06	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Very good. Something is up
1511	8/13/09 19:07	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	If you like I can wander down to legal tomorrow and visit with the attorney and see if he mentions anything?
Original Message-----
From: Nancy Argenziano
To: Larry Harris
Sent: Aug 13, 2009 7:06 PM
Subject: Re:
Very good. Something is up
Original Message-----
From: Larry Harris
To: Nancy Argenziano
Sent: Aug 13, 2009 7:04 PM
Subject: Re:
I don't know edgar's position. I can look at transcript of last time in the morning and see what she said then.
I will watch this item like an eagle.
Original Message-----
From: Nancy Argenziano
To: Larry Harris
Sent: Aug 13, 2009 7:02 PM
Subject: Re:
What is edgars stance on issue and I want you to tell me if staffs rec suddenly changes. Even a tiny change. Be on top of this one and advise when something happens, if it does
Original Message-----
From: Larry Harris
To: Nancy Argenziano
Sent: Aug 13, 2009 6:55 PM
Subject: Re:
They did they right thing and recommended you deny the transfer to aqua, as against the public interest, since all other system's rates will go up if JC is integrated into aqua system.
This is very good, since aqua refused to withdraw their demand for the \$300k aquisation adjustment.
Original Message-----
From: Nancy Argenziano
To: Larry Harris
Sent: Aug 13, 2009 6:41 PM
Subject:
Talk to me about jumper creek on agenda and staffs rec

1512	8/13/09 19:09	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Try to find out what u can and let staff know I support their rec and will defend it

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1539	8/17/09 14:13	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Teco	Teco order should be coming any day now. Keino waiting for final/last minute approval from his bosses. Said it will probably be tomorrow or Wed; this week definitely. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 1:17 PM<Subject: <On second thought I think the munis and coop communicatio to u then to me cud be exparte so in abundance of caution add to docket unless you have a real good reason why it shud not be<
1540	8/17/09 14:14	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Teco	Terribly slow<
1541	8/17/09 14:15	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Fpi prehearing	Is still going on. They had just taken a break for lunch. Right now, are still working through the issues - they are on #56. (Of at least 100)<So, not completed yet. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 1:17 PM<Subject: <On second thought I think the munis and coop communicatio to u then to me cud be exparte so in abundance of caution add to docket unless you have a real good reason why it shud not be<
1542	8/17/09 14:15	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Teco	Looks bad. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 2:14 PM<Subject: Re: Teco<Terribly slow<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 2:13 PM<Subject: Teco<Teco order should be coming any day now. Keino waiting for final/last minute approval from his bosses. Said it will probably be tomorrow or Wed; this week definitely. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 1:17 PM<Subject: <On second thought I think the munis and coop communicatio to u then to me cud be exparte so in abundance of caution add to docket unless you have a real good reason why it shud not be<
1543	8/17/09 15:14	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Larry. It comes down to if all 165 and above officers are disclosed, its OK. Don't u think? Give me feed back. We don't want them coming later screaming we did not make change
1544	8/17/09 15:16	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		If they are disclosed at the 165, then don't do anything. U r right
1545	8/17/09 15:18	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Just talked to bill. They report only what they are required to by IRS guidelines. This is generally the TOP 5 compensated employees. So, you just report those 5. Bill says most coops won't have many above \$100k, so could in fact end up reporting below 165. <They don't report anyone else outside what the IRS requires. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:14 PM<Subject: <LARRY. It comes down to if all 165 and above officers are disclosed, its OK. Don't u think? Give me feed back. We don't want them coming later screaming we did not make change <
1546	8/17/09 15:20	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	So then we probably want to say they Disclose "qualified" salaries
1547	8/17/09 15:20	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Could be disclosed at much less. <Or, you could theoretically have more than 5 making above 165 and only 5 highest reported. <Bill seemed to think the important point was they only disclose the 5 highest b/c required to by IRS, they don't disclose anyone else. <I'll do a new memo making this clear. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:16 PM<Subject: <If they are disclosed at the 165, then don't do anything. U r right<
1548	8/17/09 15:21	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Yep. <But don't say qualified - mandated would be a better word. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:20 PM<Subject: Re: <So then we probably want to say they Disclose "qualified" salaries<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:18 PM<Subject: Re: <Just talked to bill. They report only what they are required to by IRS guidelines. This is generally the TOP 5 compensated employees. So, you just report those 5. Bill says most coops won't have many above \$100k, so could in fact end up reporting below 165. <They don't report anyone else outside what the IRS requires. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:14 PM<Subject: <LARRY. It comes down to if all 165 and above officers are disclosed, its OK. Don't u think? Give me feed back. We don't want them coming later screaming we did not make change <
1549	8/17/09 15:23	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Larry you don't understand. Qualifies by my standard. Which was the 165 cut off
1550	8/17/09 15:25	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	No, they are saying your standard has no application to what they disclose. The \$ amount is immaterial to them, its just the top 5. <So, the \$165 threshold could not be met, and they'd still disclose 5. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:23 PM<Subject: Re: <LARRY you don't understand. Qualifies by my standard. Which was the 165 cut off<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:21 PM<Subject: Re: <Yep. <But don't say qualified - mandated would be a better word. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:20 PM<Subject: Re: <So then we probably want to say they Disclose "qualified" salaries<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:18 PM<Subject: Re: <Just talked to bill. They report only what they are required to by IRS guidelines. This is generally the TOP 5 compensated employees. So, you just report those 5. Bill says most coops won't have many above \$100k, so could in fact end up reporting below 165. <They don't report anyone else outside what the IRS requires. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:14 PM<Subject: <LARRY. It comes down to if all 165 and above officers are disclosed, its OK. Don't u think? Give me feed back. We don't want them coming later screaming we did not make change <
1551	8/17/09 15:27	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	If any of the "top five" are less than 165, were ok. Find out if they were on the last report
1552	8/17/09 15:29	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	For which utility?<There are a number of Co-ops in FL, and each reports for itself. <Bill leads me to believe that for almost all of them, the top 5 will be much less than 165. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:27 PM<Subject: Re: <If any of the "top five" are less than 165, were ok. Find out if they were on the last report<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:25 PM<Subject: Re: <No, they are saying your standard has no application to what they disclose. The \$ amount is immaterial to them, its just the top 5. <So, the \$165 threshold could not be met, and they'd still disclose 5. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:23 PM<Subject: Re: <LARRY you don't understand. Qualifies by my standard. Which was the 165 cut off<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:21 PM<Subject: Re: <Yep. <But don't say qualified - mandated would be a better word. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:20 PM<Subject: Re: <So then we probably want to say they Disclose "qualified" salaries<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:18 PM<Subject: Re: <Just talked to bill. They report only what they are required to by IRS guidelines. This is generally the TOP 5 compensated employees. So, you just report those 5. Bill says most coops won't have many above \$100k, so could in fact end up reporting below 165. <They don't report anyone else outside what the IRS requires. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:14 PM<Subject: <LARRY. It comes down to if all 165 and above officers are disclosed, its OK. Don't u think? Give me feed back. We don't want them coming later screaming we did not make change <
1553	8/17/09 15:32	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Larry go ask this question of bill. " Is there anyone not in the disclosed executives who makes more than 165? And advise me
1554	8/17/09 15:35	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	He's not in office and secretary wouldn't give me cell number. <Said to leave voicemail for him. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:32 PM<Subject: Re: <LARRY go ask this question of bill. " Is there anyone not in the disclosed executives who makes more than 165? And advise me<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:29 PM<Subject: Re: <For which utility?<There are a number of Co-ops in FL, and each reports for itself. <Bill leads me to believe that for almost all of them, the top 5 will be much less than 165. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:27 PM<Subject: Re: <If any of the "top five" are less than 165, were ok. Find out if they were on the last report<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:25 PM<Subject: Re: <No, they are saying your standard has no application to what they disclose. The \$ amount is immaterial to them, its just the top 5. <So, the \$165 threshold could not be met, and they'd still disclose 5. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:23 PM<Subject: Re: <LARRY you don't understand. Qualifies by my standard. Which was the 165 cut off<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:21 PM<Subject: Re: <Yep. <But don't say qualified - mandated would be a better word. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:20 PM<Subject: Re: <So then we probably want to say they Disclose "qualified" salaries<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:18 PM<Subject: Re: <Just talked to bill. They report only what they are required to by IRS guidelines. This is generally the TOP 5 compensated employees. So, you just report those 5. Bill says most coops won't have many above \$100k, so could in fact end up reporting below 165. <They don't report anyone else outside what the IRS requires. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:14 PM<Subject: <LARRY. It comes down to if all 165 and above officers are disclosed, its OK. Don't u think? Give me feed back. We don't want them coming later screaming we did not make change <
1555	8/17/09 15:37	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Call her back and tell her to give him ur number that the commissioner wants to talk to him asap
1556	8/17/09 15:38	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	If she will not, then give me her number

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1557	8/17/09 15:40	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Done. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:37 PM><Subject: Re: <<<Call her back and tell her to give him ur number that the commissioner wants to talk to him asap>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:35 PM><Subject: Re: <<<He's not in office and secretary wouldn't give me cell number. <<<Said to leave voicemail for him. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:32 PM><Subject: Re: <<<Larry go ask this question of bill. " Is there anyone not in the disclosed executives who makes more then 165? And advise me<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:29 PM><Subject: Re: <<<For which utility?<<<There are a number of Co-ops in FL, and each reports for itself. <<<Bill leads me to believe that for almost all of them, the top 5 will be much less than 165. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:27 PM><Subject: Re: <<< If any of the "top five" are less then 165, were ok. Find out if they were on the last report<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:25 PM><Subject: Re: <<<No, they are saying your standard has no application to what they disclose. The \$ amount is immaterial to them, its just the top 5. <<<So, the \$165 threshold could not be met, and they'd still disclose 5. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:23 PM><Subject: Re: <<<Larry you don't understand. Qualifies by my standard. Which was the 165 cut off<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:21 PM><Subject: Re: <<<Yes. <<<But don't say qualified - mandated would be a better word. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:20 PM><Subject: Re: <<<So then we probably want to say they Disclose "qualified" salaries<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:18 PM><Subject: Re: <<<Just talked to bill. They report only what they are required to by IRS guidelines. This is generally the TOP 5 compensated employees. So, you just report those 5. Bill says most coops won't have many above \$100k, so could in fact end up reporting below 165. <<<They don't report anyone else outside what the IRS requires. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:14 PM><Subject: <<<Larry. It comes down to if all 165 and above officers are disclosed, its OK. Don't u think? Give me feed back. We don't want
1558	8/17/09 15:40	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Said would get message to bill. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:38 PM><Subject: Re: <<<If she will not, then give me her number<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:35 PM><Subject: Re: <<<He's not in office and secretary wouldn't give me cell number. <<<Said to leave voicemail for him. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:32 PM><Subject: Re: <<<Larry go ask this question of bill. " Is there anyone not in the disclosed executives who makes more then 165? And advise me<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:29 PM><Subject: Re: <<<For which utility?<<<There are a number of Co-ops in FL, and each reports for itself. <<<Bill leads me to believe that for almost all of them, the top 5 will be much less than 165. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:27 PM><Subject: Re: <<< If any of the "top five" are less then 165, were ok. Find out if they were on the last report<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:25 PM><Subject: Re: <<<No, they are saying your standard has no application to what they disclose. The \$ amount is immaterial to them, its just the top 5. <<<So, the \$165 threshold could not be met, and they'd still disclose 5. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:23 PM><Subject: Re: <<<Larry you don't understand. Qualifies by my standard. Which was the 165 cut off<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:21 PM><Subject: Re: <<<Yes. <<<But don't say qualified - mandated would be a better word. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:20 PM><Subject: Re: <<<So then we probably want to say they Disclose "qualified" salaries<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:18 PM><Subject: Re: <<<Just talked to bill. They report only what they are required to by IRS guidelines. This is generally the TOP 5 compensated employees. So, you just report those 5. Bill says most coops won't have many above \$100k, so could in fact end up reporting below 165. <<<They don't report anyone else outside what the IRS requires. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:14 PM><Subject: <<<Larry. It comes down to if all 165 and above officers are disclosed, its OK. Don't u think? Give me feed back. We don't want them coming later
1559	8/17/09 15:42	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	He said it is possible, but he strongly doubts it. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:32 PM><Subject: Re: <<<Larry go ask this question of bill. " Is there anyone not in the disclosed executives who makes more then 165? And advise me<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:29 PM><Subject: Re: <<<For which utility?<<<There are a number of Co-ops in FL, and each reports for itself. <<<Bill leads me to believe that for almost all of them, the top 5 will be much less than 165. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:27 PM><Subject: Re: <<< If any of the "top five" are less then 165, were ok. Find out if they were on the last report<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:25 PM><Subject: Re: <<<No, they are saying your standard has no application to what they disclose. The \$ amount is immaterial to them, its just the top 5. <<<So, the \$165 threshold could not be met, and they'd still disclose 5. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:23 PM><Subject: Re: <<<Larry you don't understand. Qualifies by my standard. Which was the 165 cut off<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:21 PM><Subject: Re: <<<Yes. <<<But don't say qualified - mandated would be a better word. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:20 PM><Subject: Re: <<<So then we probably want to say they Disclose "qualified" salaries<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:18 PM><Subject: Re: <<<Just talked to bill. They report only what they are required to by IRS guidelines. This is generally the TOP 5 compensated employees. So, you just report those 5. Bill says most coops won't have many above \$100k, so could in fact end up reporting below 165. <<<They don't report anyone else outside what the IRS requires. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:14 PM><Subject: <<<Larry. It comes down to if all 165 and above officers are disclosed, its OK. Don't u think? Give me feed back. We don't want them coming later
1560	8/17/09 15:44	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Addendum to memorandum - Reference to "salaries was to "pertinent salaries". That all u do
1561	8/17/09 15:46	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	So correct the original memo? It would be easier at this point to do a new memo correcting his position. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:44 PM><Subject: Re: <<<Addendum to memorandum -. Reference to "salaries was to "pertinent salaries". That all u do<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:42 PM><Subject: Re: <<<He said it is possible, but he strongly doubts it. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:32 PM><Subject: Re: <<<Larry go ask this question of bill. " Is there anyone not in the disclosed executives who makes more then 165? And advise me<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:29 PM><Subject: Re: <<<For which utility?<<<There are a number of Co-ops in FL, and each reports for itself. <<<Bill leads me to believe that for almost all of them, the top 5 will be much less than 165. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 17, 2009 3:27 PM><Subject: Re: <<< If any of the "top five" are less then 165,

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1576	8/18/09 13:55	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	2:30. <?Did you call Rosanne?><?850-413-6224<?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 1:54 PM<?Subject: <?<?What time r we back<?
1577	8/18/09 13:56	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Yes
1578	8/18/09 14:33	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		It will be interesting to see how skop will try to facilitate consensus. What he will be willing to peel back from
1579	8/18/09 14:35	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	He'll give up names and titles. I don't know how he's going to come down on numbers, but I'd guess he'll make those public unless the other 3 lead very strongly against. Then he'll vote w/ them. <?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 2:33 PM<?Subject: <?<?It will be interesting to see how skop will try to facilitate consensus. What he will be willing to peel back from<?
1580	8/18/09 15:15	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	
1581	8/18/09 15:16	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	For both items 4 and 4A. <?DON'T let lisa make the motion to deny - make them vote you down first!!<?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 2:33 PM<?Subject: <?<?It will be interesting to see how skop will try to facilitate consensus. What he will be willing to peel back from<?
1582	8/18/09 15:37	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	No don't amend motion force the wuss to vote!!!!<?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 2:33 PM<?Subject: <?<?It will be interesting to see how skop will try to facilitate consensus. What he will be willing to peel back from<?
1583	8/18/09 15:38	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	OMG!!!!<?I did not see that coming - I would have bet \$1 million it was going to be a 3-2 vote. <?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 2:33 PM<?Subject: <?<?It will be interesting to see how skop will try to facilitate consensus. What he will be willing to peel back from<?
1584	8/18/09 15:54	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	
1585	8/18/09 15:55	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		I have been on
1586	8/18/09 15:57	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Cool. They said they were looking for you. <?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 3:55 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I have been on<?-----Original Message-----><?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 3:54 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?They say you're not on phone, and were waiting for you to get started. I told them to start and you'd join whenever. <?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 2:33 PM<?Subject: <?<?It will be interesting to see how skop will try to facilitate consensus. What he will be willing to peel back from<?<?<?
1587	8/18/09 15:58	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I was right there
1588	8/18/09 15:59	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		How long u think we have to go? Is this the fish camp one?
1589	8/18/09 16:00	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	No this is the 100bp reduction in roe for not complying with CUP. <?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 3:59 PM<?Subject: <?<?How long u think we have to go? Is this the fish camp one?<?
1590	8/18/09 16:00	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Got it
1591	8/18/09 16:01	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Staffs rec is to reduce? Opc on this?
1592	8/18/09 16:01	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	This item, then skop on the fpl renewable tariff (no issue I can see) then TCG. <?IA afterwards - just one item. <?I'm guessing after 5. <?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 3:59 PM<?Subject: <?<?How long u think we have to go? Is this the fish camp one?<?
1593	8/18/09 16:02	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Yes. <?Opc will speak. I think they agree with staff. <?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:01 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Staffs rec is to reduce? Opc on this?<?-----Original Message-----><?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:00 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?No this is the 100bp reduction in roe for not complying with CUP. <?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 3:59 PM<?Subject: <?<?How long u think we have to go? Is this the fish camp one?<?<?<?
1594	8/18/09 16:02	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Ok.
1595	8/18/09 16:05	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	What about the teco order?
1596	8/18/09 16:06	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Haven't heard anything. Good chance Keino was down at agenda, though. <?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:05 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?What about the teco order?<?-----Original Message-----><?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:02 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Yes. <?Opc will speak. I think they agree with staff. <?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:01 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Staffs rec is to reduce? Opc on this?<?-----Original Message-----><?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:00 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?No this is the 100bp reduction in roe for not complying with CUP. <?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 3:59 PM<?Subject: <?<?How long u think we have to go? Is this the fish camp one?<?<?<?
1597	8/18/09 16:08	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Yes I do. <?And it would be a valid reason to deny 100% of the salaries. They won't give you info = they haven't proven their case = their request fails. <?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:58 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Larry I suspect that fpl will appeal and not want to give us information we say we want, even if we keep it confidential. I want to be prepared to say BS to moving forward with rate case if they try to do that. Understand?<?-----Original Message-----><?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:50 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Call in number for IA is exactly the same. <?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:29 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Quickly get me ststute we used for aqua that says we can lower roe for quality and enviro. E mail to me asap<?-----Original Message-----><?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:11 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?The WMD woman who was supposed to be here but couldn't make it. <?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:10 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?A letter not signed? Where did it come from<?-----Original Message-----><?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:35 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Will do. <?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:35 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?You better let her know that I oppose an after change to what the commission was presented by staff, and what commissioners relied upon in making that crappy decision. She cannot change what was wrong after the fact. It may be an outright ethics violation to mislead what was presented at hearing<?-----Original Message-----><?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:32 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Yes it is. <?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:31 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?She can't change what they presented to the commish and what they relied upon, now. Part of my argument in re to due process issue was that they (staff) said chronoster recommended the step increase. I say he never recommended that. It was a passing remark that I think he meant they cud come back with limited proceeding. That's part of my argument, she can't change what they said was fact and why they made the recommendation that due process was met. That's BS<?-----Original Message-----><?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:23 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?She is asking staff to check what exactly chronster did, and report it correctly. Questioning what chron. actually did/said / how to characterize. <?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:21 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?But she has a question mark indicating that she's not sure if chronster actually made any recommendation. How can that be addressed after the fact<?-----Original Message-----><?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:18 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Order done after the hearing. <?I strongly doubt she read his testimony, or even listened to hearing. I believe she didn't det. involved until post-hearing rec was being developed. <?I think they are taking the time on the order b/c they think they are going to be appealed and are worried about getting every last detail right so they can defend the order in court. <?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:16 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Did she even review it before the hring? And why take all this time delaying it now if it doesn't change a thing?<?-----Original Message-----><?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:14 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?She should have, and no good after. <?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:12 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Don't u think she should have questioned that before hring? What possible good does it do after the hring?<?-----Original Message-----><?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:11 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?You mean MB's comment in the draft orders? That was way after the hearing. <?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:10 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Don't u think we should call every day as reminder that we are waiting and wondering why it is taking so long? Also I want to know if MB question as to the Chronoster comments re step increase was after the hearing or before where it could have made a difference<?-----Original Message-----><?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:06 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Haven't heard anything. Good chance Keino was down at agenda, though. <?-----Original Message-----><?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:05 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?What about the teco order?<?-----
1598	8/18/09 16:08	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Should we even move forward with any of it if they do that?
1599	8/18/09 16:10	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Don't u think we should call every day as reminder that we are waiting and wondering why it is taking so long? Also I want to know if MB question as to the Chronoster comments re step increase was after the hearing or before where it could have made a difference
1600	8/18/09 16:10	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	
1601	8/18/09 16:10	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Larry I suspect that fpl will appeal and not want to give us information we say we want, even if we keep it confidential. I want to be prepared to say BS to moving forward with rate case if they try to do that. Understand?

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1602	8/18/09 16:10	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>All we are taking away is his monopoly. He can still publish his book. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:00 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Should we even move forward with any of it if they do that?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:00 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Yes I do. <-----And it would be a valid reason to deny 100% of the salaries. They won't give you info = they haven't proven their case = their request fails. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:58 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Larry I suspect that fpl will appeal and not want to give us information we say we want, even if we keep it confidential. I want to be prepared to say BS to moving forward with rate case if they try to do that. Understand?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:50 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Call in number for IA is exactly the same. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:29 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Quickly get me ststute we used for aqua that says we can lower roe for quality and enviro. E mail to me asap<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:11 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----The WMD woman who was supposed to be here but couldn't make it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:10 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----A letter not signed? Where does it come from<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:35 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Will do. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:35 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----You better let her know that I oppose an after change to what the commission was presented by staff, and what commissioners relied upon in making that crappy decision. She cannot change what was wrong after the fact. It may be a outright ethics violation to mislead what was presented at hearing<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:32 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Yes it is. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:31 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----She can't change what they presented to the commish and what they relied upon, now. Part of my argument in re to due process issue was that they (staff) said chronoster recommended the step increase. I say he never recommended that. It was a passing remark that I think he meant they cud come back with limited proceeding. That's part of my argument, she can't change what they siad was fact and why they made the recommendation that due process ws met. That's BS<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:23 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----She is asking staff to check what exactly chronister did, and report it correctly. Questioning what chron. actually did/said / how to characterize. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:21 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----But she has a question mark indicating that she's not sure if chronister actually made any recommendation. How can that be addressed after the fact<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:18 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Order done after the hearing. <-----I strongly doubt she read his testimony, or even listened to hearing. I believe she didn't det involved until post-hearing rec was being developed. <-----I think they are taking the time on the order b/c they think they are going to be appealed and are worried about geeting every last detail right so they can defend the order in court. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:16 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Did she even review it before the hring? And why take all this time delaying it now if it doesn't change a thing?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:14 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----She should have, and no good after. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:12 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Don't u think she should have questioned that before hrng? What possible good does it do after the hrng?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:11 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----You mean MB's comment in the draft orders? That was way after the hearing. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:10 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Don't u think we should call every day as reminder that we are waiting and wondering why it is taking so long? Also I want to know if MB question as to the Chronoster comments re step increase was after the hearing or before where it could</p>
1603	8/18/09 16:10	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Yep
1604	8/18/09 16:10	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Which WMD
1605	8/18/09 16:11	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA		
1606	8/18/09 16:11	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA		St Johns river<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:10 PM<-----Subject: <-----Which WMD<----->
1607	8/18/09 16:11	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	What is current CUP and what are they using?
1608	8/18/09 16:11	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	
1609	8/18/09 16:12	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Don't u think she should have questioned that before hrng? What possible good does it do after the hrng?
1610	8/18/09 16:12	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	
1611	8/18/09 16:13	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	
1612	8/18/09 16:14	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>She should have, and no good after. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:12 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Don't u think she should have questioned that before hrng? What possible good does it do after the hrng?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:11 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----You mean MB's comment in the draft orders? That was way after the hearing. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:10 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Don't u think we should call every day as reminder that we are waiting and wondering why it is taking so long? Also I want to know if MB question as to the Chronoster comments re step increase was after the hearing or before where it could have made a difference<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:06 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Haven't heard anything. Good chance Keino was down at agenda, though. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:05 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----What about the teoo order?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:02 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Yes. <-----Opc will speak. I think they agree with staff. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:01 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----Staffs rec is to reduce? Opc on this?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:00 PM<-----Subject: Re:<-----No this is the 100bp reduction in roe for not complying with CUP. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 18, 2009 3:59 PM<-----Subject: <-----How long u think we have to go? Is this the fish camp one?<-----></p>
1613	8/18/09 16:16	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Did she even review it before the hring? And why take all this time delaying it now if it doesn't change a thing?
1614	8/18/09 16:18	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	
1615	8/18/09 16:21	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	But she has a question mark indicating that she's not sure if chronister actually made any recommendation. How can that be addressed after the fact
1616	8/18/09 16:23	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	
1617	8/18/09 16:31	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		<p>She can't change what they presented to the commish and what they relied upon, now. Part of my argument in re to due process issue was that they (staff) said chronoster recommended the step increase. I say he never recommended that. It was a passing remark that I think he meant they cud come back with limited proceeding. That's part of my argument, she can't change what they siad was fact and why they made the recommendation that due process ws met. That's BS</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1629	8/18/09 18:12	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I just don't like this guy's attitude. He wants to make us spend taxpayer \$\$\$ to provide stuff to him that he'll sell at a profit, including back to us. <R>He won't give for free to us. <R>he doesn't want to work with our technology. <R>He doesn't want to let us get stuff to him on our schedule. <R>AND he wants to require the PUBLIC to pay him to get a public record they can rely on. <R>I just don't see it. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:02 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>Yes. He won't work with us. Why should we allow him to make money from public documents when we can do it cheaper, easier, and better public access. <R>I think he's flat wrong. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:00 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>Should we even move forward with any of it if they do that?<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:00 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>Yes I do. <R>And it would be a valid reason to deny 100% of the salaries. They won't give you info = they haven't proven their case = their request fails. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:58 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>Larry I suspect that fpl will appeal and not want to give us information we say we want, even if we keep it confidential. I want to be prepared to say BS to moving forward with rate case if they try to do that. Understand?<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:50 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>Call in number for IA is exactly the same. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:29 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>Quickly get me ststute we used for aqua that says we can lower roe for quality and enviro. E mail to me asap<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:11 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>The WMD woman who was supposed to be here but couldn't make it. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:10 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>A letter not signed? Where did it come from<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:35 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>Will do. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:35 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>You better let her know that I oppose an after change to what the commission was presented by staff, and what commissioners relied upon in making that crappy decision. She cannot change what was wrong after the fact. It may be an outright ethics violation to mislead what was presented at hearing<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:32 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>Yes it is. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:31 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>She can't change what they presented to the commish and what they relied upon, now. Part of my argument in re to due process issue was that they (staff) said chronster recommended the step increase. I say he never recommended that. It was a passing remark that I think he meant they cud come back with limited proceeding. That's part of my argument, she can't change what they siad was fact and why they made the recommendation that due process ws met. That's BS<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:23 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>She is asking staff to check what exactly chronster did, and report it correctly. Questioning what chron. actually did/said / how to characterize. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:21 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>But she has a question mark indicating that she's not sure if chronster actually made any recommendation. How can that be addressed after the fact<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:18 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>Order done after the hearing. <R>I strongly doubt she read his testimony, or even listened to hearing. I believe she didn't det involved until post-hearing rec was being developed. <R>I think they are taking the time on the order b/c they think they are going to be appealed and are worried about geeting every last detail right so they can defend the order in court. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:16 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>Did she even review it before the hring? And why take all this time delaying it now if it doesn't change a thing?<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:14 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>She should have, and no good after. <R>-----Original Message-----</p>
1630	8/18/09 18:12	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>I know. Skop is right</p>
1631	8/18/09 18:13	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>He did do very well today. <R>Doesn't change who/what he is. <R>Maybe he's on new meds?<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:12 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>Wow skop gave it to him. Got to say skop did good all day. Wants to be friends again. Got to give him credit. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:02 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>All we are taking away is his monopoly. He can still publish his book. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:00 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>Should we even move forward with any of it if they do that?<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:00 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>Yes I do. <R>And it would be a valid reason to deny 100% of the salaries. They won't give you info = they haven't proven their case = their request fails. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:58 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>Larry I suspect that fpl will appeal and not want to give us information we say we want, even if we keep it confidential. I want to be prepared to say BS to moving forward with rate case if they try to do that. Understand?<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:50 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>Call in number for IA is exactly the same. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:29 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>Quickly get me ststute we used for aqua that says we can lower roe for quality and enviro. E mail to me asap<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:11 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>The WMD woman who was supposed to be here but couldn't make it. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:10 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>A letter not signed? Where did it come from<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:35 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>Will do. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:35 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>You better let her know that I oppose an after change to what the commission was presented by staff, and what commissioners relied upon in making that crappy decision. She cannot change what was wrong after the fact. It may be an outright ethics violation to mislead what was presented at hearing<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:32 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>Yes it is. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:31 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>She can't change what they presented to the commish and what they relied upon, now. Part of my argument in re to due process issue was that they (staff) said chronster recommended the step increase. I say he never recommended that. It was a passing remark that I think he meant they cud come back with limited proceeding. That's part of my argument, she can't change what they siad was fact and why they made the recommendation that due process ws met. That's BS<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:23 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>She is asking staff to check what exactly chronster did, and report it correctly. Questioning what chron. actually did/said / how to characterize. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:21 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>But she has a question mark indicating that she's not sure if chronster actually made any recommendation. How can that be addressed after the fact<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:18 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>Order done after the hearing. <R>I strongly doubt she read his testimony, or even listened to hearing. I believe she didn't det involved until post-hearing rec was being developed. <R>I think they are taking the time on the order b/c they think they are going to be appealed and are worried about geeting every last detail right so they can defend the order in court. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:16 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>Did she even review it before the hring? And why take all this time delaying it now if it doesn't change a thing?<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:14 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>She should have, and no good after. <R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Nancy Argenziano<R>To: Larry Harris<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:12 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>Don't u think she should have questioned that before hrg? What possible good does it do after the hrmg?<R>-----Original Message-----<R>From: Larry Harris<R>To: Nancy Argenziano<R>Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:11 PM<R>Subject: Re:<R><R>She should have, and no good after. <R>-----Original Message-----</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1632	8/18/09 18:14	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Now, he's saying you should keep his contract based on long history and his other business relationships. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:12 PM<Subject: Re: <Wow skop gave it to him. Got to say skop did good all day. Wants to be friends again. Got to give him credit. <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:02 PM<Subject: Re: <All we are taking away is his monopoly. He can still publish his book. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:00 PM<Subject: Re: <Should we even move forward with any of it if they do that?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:00 PM<Subject: Re: <Yes I do. <And it would be a valid reason to deny 100% of the salaries. They won't give you info = they haven't proven their case = their request fails. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:58 PM<Subject: Re: <Larry I suspect that fpl will appeal and not want to give us information we say we want, even if we keep it confidential. I want to be prepared to say BS to moving forward with rate case if they try to do that. Understand?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:50 PM<Subject: Re: <Call in number for IA is exactly the same. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:29 PM<Subject: Re: <Quickly get me ststute we used for aqua that says we can lower roe for quality and enviro. E mail to me asap<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:11 PM<Subject: Re: <The WMD woman who was supposed to be here but couldn't make it. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:10 PM<Subject: Re: <A letter not signed? Where does it come from<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:35 PM<Subject: Re: <Will do. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:35 PM<Subject: Re: <You better let her know that I oppose an after change to what the commission was presented by staff, and what commissioners relied upon in making that crappy decision. She cannot change what was wrong after the fact. It may be an outright ethics violation to mislead what was presented at hearing<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:32 PM<Subject: Re: <Yes it is. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:31 PM<Subject: Re: <She can't change what they presented to the commish and what they relied upon, now. Part of my argument in re to due process issue was that they (staff) said chronoster recommended the step increase. I say he never recommended that. It was a passing remark that I think he meant they cud come back with limited proceeding. That's part of my argument, she can't change what they siad was fact and why they made the recommendation that due process ws met. That's BS<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:23 PM<Subject: Re: <She is asking staff to check what exactly chronister did, and report it correctly. Questioning what chron. actually did/said / how to characterize. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:21 PM<Subject: Re: <But she has a question mark indicating that she's not sure if chronister actually made any recommendation. How can that be addressed after the fact<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:18 PM<Subject: Re: <Order done after the hearing. <I strongly doubt she read his testimony, or even listened to hearing. I believe she didn't det involved until post-hearing rec was being developed. <I think they are taking the time on the order b/c they think they are going to be appealed and are worried about geeting every last detail right so they can defend the order in court. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:16 PM<Subject: Re: <Did she even review it before the hring? And why take all this time delaying it now if it doesn't change a thing?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:14 PM<Subject: Re: <She should have, and no good after. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:12 PM<Subject: Re: <Don't u think she should have questioned that before hring? What possible good does it do after the hring?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: </p>
1633	8/18/09 18:20	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>And now he's saying since our budget hasn't been cut as badly as others, we should cut him a break!!!!<Why can't he give all agencies free copies - since it is public records that come from them!!!!<He sounds like a jerk to me. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:12 PM<Subject: Re: <Wow skop gave it to him. Got to say skop did good all day. Wants to be friends again. Got to give him credit. <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:02 PM<Subject: Re: <All we are taking away is his monopoly. He can still publish his book. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:00 PM<Subject: Re: <Should we even move forward with any of it if they do that?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:00 PM<Subject: Re: <Yes I do. <And it would be a valid reason to deny 100% of the salaries. They won't give you info = they haven't proven their case = their request fails. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:58 PM<Subject: Re: <Larry I suspect that fpl will appeal and not want to give us information we say we want, even if we keep it confidential. I want to be prepared to say BS to moving forward with rate case if they try to do that. Understand?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:50 PM<Subject: Re: <Call in number for IA is exactly the same. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:29 PM<Subject: Re: <Quickly get me ststute we used for aqua that says we can lower roe for quality and enviro. E mail to me asap<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:11 PM<Subject: Re: <The WMD woman who was supposed to be here but couldn't make it. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:10 PM<Subject: Re: <A letter not signed? Where does it come from<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:35 PM<Subject: Re: <Will do. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:35 PM<Subject: Re: <You better let her know that I oppose an after change to what the commission was presented by staff, and what commissioners relied upon in making that crappy decision. She cannot change what was wrong after the fact. It may be an outright ethics violation to mislead what was presented at hearing<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:32 PM<Subject: Re: <Yes it is. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:31 PM<Subject: Re: <She can't change what they presented to the commish and what they relied upon, now. Part of my argument in re to due process issue was that they (staff) said chronoster recommended the step increase. I say he never recommended that. It was a passing remark that I think he meant they cud come back with limited proceeding. That's part of my argument, she can't change what they siad was fact and why they made the recommendation that due process ws met. That's BS<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:23 PM<Subject: Re: <She is asking staff to check what exactly chronister did, and report it correctly. Questioning what chron. actually did/said / how to characterize. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:21 PM<Subject: Re: <But she has a question mark indicating that she's not sure if chronister actually made any recommendation. How can that be addressed after the fact<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:18 PM<Subject: Re: <Order done after the hearing. <I strongly doubt she read his testimony, or even listened to hearing. I believe she didn't det involved until post-hearing rec was being developed. <I think they are taking the time on the order b/c they think they are going to be appealed and are worried about geeting every last detail right so they can defend the order in court. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:16 PM<Subject: Re: <Did she even review it before the hring? And why take all this time delaying it now if it doesn't change a thing?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:14 PM<Subject: Re: <She should have, and no good after. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:12 PM<Subject: Re: <Don't u think she should have questioned that before hring? What possible good does it do</p>
1634	8/18/09 18:30	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>Yep</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1635	8/18/09 18:30	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>It hurts me to say that. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:12 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I know. Skop is right<----->Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:04 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->And I hate to say it but what skop is saying is correct - he refused to work with US to cut our costs. He wants to make money selling public records - including selling them to us. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:02 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yes<----->Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:02 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yes. He won't work with us. Why should we allow him to make money from public documents when we can do it cheaper, easier, and better public access. <----->I think he's flat wrong. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:00 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Should we even move forward with any of it if they do that?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:00 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yes I do. <----->And it would be a valid reason to deny 100% of the salaries. They won't give you info = they haven't proven their case = their request fails. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:58 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Larry I suspect that fpl will appeal and not want to give us information we say we want, even if we keep it confidential. I want to be prepared to say BS to moving forward with rate case if they try to do that. Understand?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:50 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Call in number for IA is exactly the same. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:29 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Quickly get me ststute we used for aqua that says we can lower roe for quality and enviro. E mail to me asap<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:11 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->The WMD woman who was supposed to be here but couldn't make it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:10 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->A letter not signed? Where does it come from?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:35 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Will do. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:35 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->You better let her know that I oppose an after change to what the commission was presented by staff, and what commissioners relied upon in making that crappy decision. She cannot change what was wrong after the fact. It may be a outright ethics violation to mislead what was presented at hearing<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:32 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yes it is. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:31 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->She can't change what they presented to the commish and what they relied upon, now. Part of my argument in re to due process issue was that they (staff) said chronoster recommended the step increase. I say he never recommended that. It was a passing remark that I think he meant they cud come back with limited proceeding. That's part of my argument, she can't change what they siad was fact and why they made the recommendation that due process ws met. That's BS<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:23 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->She is asking staff to check what exactly chronister did, and report it correctly. Questioning what chron. actually did/said / how to characterize. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:21 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->But she has a question mark indicating that she's not sure if chronister actually made any recommendation. How can that be addressed after the fact?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:18 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Order done after the hearing. <----->I strongly doubt she read his testimony, or even listened to hearing. I believe she didn't det involved until post-hearing rec was being developed. <----->I think they are taking the time on the order b/c they think they are going to be appealed and are worried about geeting every last detail right so they can defend the order in court. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:16 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Did she even review it before the hring? And why take all this time delaying it now if it doesn't change a thing?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:14 PM<----->Subject: Will we be paying more ? Period!</p>
1636	8/18/09 18:30	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Will we be paying more ? Period!
1637	8/18/09 18:30	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>We will pay less if we do it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:30 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Will we be paying more ? Period!<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:14 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Now, he's saying you should keep his contract based on long history and his other business relationships. <----->Who cares about 30 years history. He's not giving us love today. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:12 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Wow skop gave it to him. Got to say skop did good all day. Wants to be friends again. Got to give him credit. <-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:02 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->All we are taking away is his monopoly. He can still publish his book. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:00 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Should we even move forward with any of it if they do that?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:00 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yes I do. <----->And it would be a valid reason to deny 100% of the salaries. They won't give you info = they haven't proven their case = their request fails. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:58 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Larry I suspect that fpl will appeal and not want to give us information we say we want, even if we keep it confidential. I want to be prepared to say BS to moving forward with rate case if they try to do that. Understand?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:50 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Call in number for IA is exactly the same. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:29 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Quickly get me ststute we used for aqua that says we can lower roe for quality and enviro. E mail to me asap<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:11 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->The WMD woman who was supposed to be here but couldn't make it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:10 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->A letter not signed? Where does it come from?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:35 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Will do. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:35 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->You better let her know that I oppose an after change to what the commission was presented by staff, and what commissioners relied upon in making that crappy decision. She cannot change what was wrong after the fact. It may be a outright ethics violation to mislead what was presented at hearing<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:32 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yes it is. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:31 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->She can't change what they presented to the commish and what they relied upon, now. Part of my argument in re to due process issue was that they (staff) said chronoster recommended the step increase. I say he never recommended that. It was a passing remark that I think he meant they cud come back with limited proceeding. That's part of my argument, she can't change what they siad was fact and why they made the recommendation that due process ws met. That's BS<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:23 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->She is asking staff to check what exactly chronister did, and report it correctly. Questioning what chron. actually did/said / how to characterize. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:21 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->But she has a question mark indicating that she's not sure if chronister actually made any recommendation. How can that be addressed after the fact?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:18 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Order done after the hearing. <----->I strongly doubt she read his testimony, or even listened to hearing. I believe she didn't det involved until post-hearing rec was being developed. <----->I think they are taking the time on the order b/c they think they are going to be appealed and are worried about geeting every last detail right so they can defend the order in court. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:16 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Did she even review it before the hring? And why take all this time delaying it now if it doesn't change a thing?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:14 PM<----->Subject: Well then why r we doing this</p>
1638	8/18/09 18:32	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Well then why r we doing this

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1639	8/18/09 18:33	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I don't know why its not a slam dunk to chuck him. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:32 PM<Subject: Re: <Well then why r we doing this<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:30 PM<Subject: Re: <We will pay less if we do it. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:30 PM<Subject: Re: <Will we be paying more? Period!<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:14 PM<Subject: Re: <Now, he's saying you should keep his contract based on long history and his other business relationships. <Who cares about 30 years history. He's not giving us love today. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:12 PM<Subject: Re: <Wow skop gave it to him. Got to say skop did good all day. Wants to be friends again. Got to give him credit. <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:02 PM<Subject: Re: <All we are taking away is his monopoly. He can still publish his book. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:00 PM<Subject: Re: <Should we even move forward with any of it if they do that?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 6:00 PM<Subject: Re: <Yes I do. <And it would be a valid reason to deny 100% of the salaries. They won't give you info = they haven't proven their case = their request fails. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:58 PM<Subject: Re: <Larry I suspect that fpl will appeal and not want to give us information we say we want, even if we keep it confidential. I want to be prepared to say BS to moving forward with rate case if they try to do that. Understand?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:50 PM<Subject: Re: <Call in number for IA is exactly the same. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:29 PM<Subject: Re: <Quickly get me ststute we used for aqua that says we can lower roe for quality and enviro. E mail to me asap<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:11 PM<Subject: Re: <The VMD woman who was supposed to be here but couldn't make it. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 5:10 PM<Subject: Re: <A letter not signed? Where did it come from<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:35 PM<Subject: Re: <Will do. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:35 PM<Subject: Re: <You better let her know that I oppose an after change to what the commission was presented by staff, and what commissioners relied upon in making that crappy decision. She cannot change what was wrong after the fact. It may be a outright ethics violation to mislead what was presented at hearing<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:32 PM<Subject: Re: <Yes it is. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:31 PM<Subject: Re: <She can't change what they presented to the commish and what they relied upon, now. Part of my argument in re to due process issue was that they (staff) said chronoster recommended the step increase. I say he never recommended that. It was a passing remark that I think he meant they cud come back with limited proceeding. That's part of my argument, she can't change what they siad was fact and why they made the recommendation that due process was met. That's BS<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:23 PM<Subject: Re: <She is asking staff to check what exactly chronister did, and report it correctly. Questioning what chron. actually did/said / how to characterize. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:21 PM<Subject: Re: <But she has a question mark indicating that she's not sure if chronister actually made any recommendation. How can that be addressed after the fact<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:18 PM<Subject: Re: <Order done after the hearing. <I strongly doubt she read his testimony, or even listened to hearing. I believe she didn't det involved until post-hearing rec was being developed. <I think they are taking the time on the order b/c they think they are going to be appealed and are worried about getting every last detail right so they can defend the order in court. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 18, 2009 4:18 PM<Subject: Re: <I think I have to do mltr to chr and commish that next week at rate case I need that detailed info we voted on today for all the good reason we noted, understanding they have a right to appeal, but need that info for psc kept confidential during appeal, for rate case. I don't want to be smack dab there only to hear sorry we r not giving it while appealing. If that's the case I suggest the whole case be delayed until appeal is done
1640	8/18/09 21:58	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I think I have to do mltr to chr and commish that next week at rate case I need that detailed info we voted on today for all the good reason we noted, understanding they have a right to appeal, but need that info for psc kept confidential during appeal, for rate case. I don't want to be smack dab there only to hear sorry we r not giving it while appealing. If that's the case I suggest the whole case be delayed until appeal is done
1641	8/19/09 9:16	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Today	Same number for today. I'm going to tell the chair just to start this AM, not acknowledge you all morning, and you'll be on when you can. <Number: 888-808-6959<Code: 4136261<
1642	8/19/09 9:17	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Today	I will call in at 9:30 so he can acknowledge, soon after I will live and pick it up later
1643	8/19/09 9:19	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Today	I'll let him know. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:17 AM<Subject: Re: Today<I will call in at 9:30 so he can acknowledge, soon after I will live and pick it up later<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:16 AM<Subject: Today<Same number for today. I'm going to tell the chair just to start this AM, not acknowledge you all morning, and you'll be on when you can. <Number: 888-808-6959<Code: 4136261<
1644	8/19/09 9:37	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Today	Not too many people in the room. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:17 AM<Subject: Re: Today<I will call in at 9:30 so he can acknowledge, soon after I will live and pick it up later<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:16 AM<Subject: Today<Same number for today. I'm going to tell the chair just to start this AM, not acknowledge you all morning, and you'll be on when you can. <Number: 888-808-6959<Code: 4136261<
1645	8/19/09 9:39	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Today	There you go - they are acknowledging the population decreases. <So, that seems to be included. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:17 AM<Subject: Re: Today<I will call in at 9:30 so he can acknowledge, soon after I will live and pick it up later<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:16 AM<Subject: Today<Same number for today. I'm going to tell the chair just to start this AM, not acknowledge you all morning, and you'll be on when you can. <Number: 888-808-6959<Code: 4136261<
1646	8/19/09 9:41	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Please take note as I am getting ready to go
1647	8/19/09 9:41	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA		
1648	8/19/09 10:10	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Today	Its over. <No action today - coming to IA in september for vote. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:41 AM<Subject: Re: Today<Please take note as I am getting ready to go<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:39 AM<Subject: Re: Today<There you go they are acknowledging the population decreases. <So, that seems to be included. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:17 AM<Subject: Re: Today<I will call in at 9:30 so he can acknowledge, soon after I will live and pick it up later<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:16 AM<Subject: Today<Same number for today. I'm going to tell the chair just to start this AM, not acknowledge you all morning, and you'll be on when you can. <Number: 888-808-6959<Code: 4136261<
1649	8/19/09 10:12	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Today	R u kidding? Its done?
1650	8/19/09 10:13	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Today	Yep. <Nobody had any questions. <No load, gas or transmission problems over the next 10 years - mainly b/c of economic downturn. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:12 AM<Subject: Re: Today<R u kidding? Its done? <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:10 AM<Subject: Re: Today<Its over. <No action today - coming to IA in september for vote. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:41 AM<Subject: Re: Today<Please take note as I am getting ready to go<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:39 AM<Subject: Re: Today<There you go - they are acknowledging the population decreases. <So, that seems to be included. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:17 AM<Subject: Re: Today<I will call in at 9:30 so he can acknowledge, soon after I will live and pick it up later<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:16 AM<Subject: Today<Same number for today. I'm going to tell the chair just to start this AM, not acknowledge you all morning, and you'll be on when you can. <Number: 888-808-6959<Code: 4136261<
1651	8/19/09 10:14	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		What was population influx numbers?
1652	8/19/09 10:15	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Today	Didn't have the actual numbers. Said models were adjusted to take that into account. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:14 AM<Subject: Re: Today<What was population influx numbers? <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:13 AM<Subject: Re: Today<Yep. <Nobody had any questions. <No load, gas or transmission problems over the next 10 years - mainly b/c of economic downturn. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:12 AM<Subject: Re: Today<R u kidding? Its done? <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:10 AM<Subject: Re: Today<Its over. <No action today - coming to IA in september for vote. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:41 AM<Subject: Re: Today<Please take note as I am getting ready to go<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:39 AM<Subject: Re: Today<There you go - they are acknowledging the population decreases. <So, that seems to be included. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:17 AM<Subject: Re: Today<I will call in at 9:30 so he can acknowledge, soon after I will live and pick it up later<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:16 AM<Subject: Today<Same number for today. I'm going to tell the chair just to start this AM, not acknowledge you all morning, and you'll be on when you can. <Number: 888-808-6959<Code: 4136261<
1653	8/19/09 10:22	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Today	Did they indicate a decline at least?

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1670	8/19/09 11:19	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Today	<p>I thought about that. Seems like it would be perceived as "reaching" to try and postpone the entire case. I mean, the majority of the items are fully disclosed, audited, testimony filed, etc. So, I don't see a "rational relationship" or "logical consequence" to delay entire thing for the salaries. But, that same logic says the salaries SHOULD be delayed b/c of the "non-compliance." That is logical, rational, reasonable, and fully supportable. And if you leave the option of a future limited proceeding if/when they comply with the order, how can that possibly be unfair? Seems like that would be a very difficult position to fight.</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 19, 2009 11:05 AM Subject: Re: Today What about just saying defer rate case until appeal? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 19, 2009 11:02 AM Subject: Re: Today I say disallow 100% of salaries over 165k. Once they file the data, they can file a limited proceeding to recover. No file, no \$\$\$ Period. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:54 AM Subject: Re: Today That may be why we got the others vote yesterday. They had no choice, especially those up for re appt and at some break time yesterday I bet it was decided that they couldn't win and they could withhold info for ratecase because of appeal. -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:50 AM Subject: Re: Today I agree. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:50 AM Subject: Re: Today Great. I think fpl will not give -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:48 AM Subject: Re: Today I'll ask maryAnne/Rosanne. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:47 AM Subject: Re: Today You need to find out if fpl will be providing all the information on salaries above the 165K along with the "key" for next weeks rate case. If not I need to know now. -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:22 AM Subject: Re: Today Yes. Said was negative for the first time since 1946. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:22 AM Subject: Re: Today Did they indicate a decline at least? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:15 AM Subject: Re: Today Didn't have the actual numbers. Said models were adjusted to take that into account. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:14 AM Subject: Re: Today What was population influx numbers? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:13 AM Subject: Re: Today Nobody had any questions. No load, gas or transmission problems over the next 10 years - mainly b/c of economic downturn. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:12 AM Subject: Re: Today R u kidding? Its done? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:10 AM Subject: Re: Today Its over. No action today - coming to IA in september for vote. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:41 AM Subject: Re: Today Please take note as I am getting ready to go -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:39 AM Subject: Re: Today There you go - they are acknowledging the population decreases. So, that seems to be included. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:17 AM Subject: Re: Today I will call in at 9:30 so he can acknowledge, soon after I will live and pick it up later -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:16 AM Subject: Today Same number for today. I'm going to tell the chair just to start this AM, not acknowledge you all morning, and you'll be on when you can. Number: 888-808-6959 Code: 4136261</p>
1671	8/19/09 11:20	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Today	Good reasoning
1672	8/19/09 11:20	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Today	<p>If that is what you want to do. Do you want to let me get all info from rosanne/maryanne/mary bane.</p> <p>-----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 19, 2009 11:08 AM Subject: Re: Today Should I do it to chr of my concern with not having all the detailed info for rate case? Also indicating my displeasure of article mentioning that we have all we need? I'm leaning towards a yes on this -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 19, 2009 11:05 AM Subject: Re: Today I saw it myself. I'll print it. Have to pick my brother up at the car mechanic's so not back for 30 min or so. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 19, 2009 11:04 AM Subject: Re: Today Ok. I have article if needed -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 19, 2009 11:02 AM Subject: Re: Today Will. Mary bane is in a meeting. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 19, 2009 11:01 AM Subject: Re: Today Yes we do. Also, do you think u should talk to Devon about the ramifications of not having it? Also cindy is quoted in article saying even with appeal we have info we need to proceed. That has me furious. So now please go to MB and ask who told Cindy to say that and why? She did not do it by herself. How dare they. Tell her I want to know how they could possibly say that after what was said yesterday -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:58 AM Subject: Re: Today You are 100% dead-on right. That is exactly what will happen. I've been thinking about how to deal with that last night. Rosanne won't be in until this afternoon. Secretary didn't know where maryanne was/is. I left messages for both to call me. We HAVE to get ahead of this before next week. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:54 AM Subject: Re: Today That may be why we got the others vote yesterday. They had no choice, especially those up for re appt and at some break time yesterday I bet it was decided that they couldn't win and they could withhold info for ratecase because of appeal. -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:50 AM Subject: Re: Today I agree. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:50 AM Subject: Re: Today Great. I think fpl will not give -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:48 AM Subject: Re: Today I'll ask maryAnne/Rosanne. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:47 AM Subject: Re: Today You need to find out if fpl will be providing all the information on salaries above the 165K along with the "key" for next weeks rate case. If not I need to know now. -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:22 AM Subject: Re: Today Yes. Said was negative for the first time since 1946. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:22 AM Subject: Re: Today Did they indicate a decline at least? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:15 AM Subject: Re: Today Didn't have the actual numbers. Said models were adjusted to take that into account. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:14 AM Subject: Re: Today What was population influx numbers? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:13 AM Subject: Re: Today Nobody had any questions. No load, gas or transmission problems over the next 10 years - mainly b/c of economic downturn. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:12 AM Subject: Re: Today R u kidding? Its done? -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 19, 2009 10:10 AM Subject: Re: Today Its over. No action today - coming to IA in september for vote. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:41 AM Subject: Re: Today Please take note as I am getting ready to go -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:39 AM Subject: Re: Today There you go - they are acknowledging the population decreases. So, that seems to be included. -----Original Message----- From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 19, 2009 9:17 AM Subject: Re: Today I will call in at 9:30 so he can acknowledge, soon after I will live and pick it up later -----Original Message----- From: Larry Harris To: Nancy</p>
1673	8/19/09 11:23	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Today	<p>Get info first then I will decide what I want to do. Also angry about cindys comments. After u talk to MB about that I want the chr to know that all commissioners should be made aware before these comments go out. I think done on purpose to aid fpl for next wk so they don't hve to give info</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1697	8/19/09 16:57	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I told MB about the other political comment. MB said she didn't know about it. <From: Cindy reports directly to MB. >I don't think anybody has the duty of signing off on what she tells reporters by phone. Commissioners and mb sing off on press releases. And, sometimes mb reviews stuff b4 cindy tells a reporter, but this is more of a case-by-case thing. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 4:12 PM><Subject: Re: ><But doesn't she get direction for one supervisor? She can't be left all on her own to take from whatever and whichever staff person tells her? Who signs off on what goes out? Find out from Bane. Does Bane know about the other "political" comments that were made.? Let me know Banes answer soon please<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 3:38 PM><Subject: Re: ><Cindy just called. Admitted it was her mistake, she got it wrong, no excuse, was going to call reporter to fix. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 3:15 PM><Subject: Re: ><Rt. Ok what about MB? How long does it take for her to go talk to Cindy?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 2:38 PM><Subject: Re: ><Ask him when they think they will be done and what is it that is taking so long. This is truly ridiculous. How much more do they have. If u don't get a definitive answer I will call MB<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 2:35 PM><Subject: Re: ><What does ok mean? Are they done? If not what is it that they are doing?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 2:33 PM><Subject: Re: ><Already did. Keino said "ok" but he still hasn't asked me for the dissent. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 2:33 PM><Subject: Re: ><Its that time again to call and ask about TECO recon. Then advise me. <Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 1:52 PM><Subject: Re: ><11.75 I'm almost sure. Might be 11.25. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 1:51 PM><Subject: Re: ><What is the current ROE of FPL?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 1:36 PM><Subject: Re: ><Can we talk by phone or should I email it?><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 1:32 PM><Subject: Re: ><Why is he holding it up?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 1:20 PM><Subject: Re: ><With mary anne right now. <Rosanne won't be in today. Worked very very late last night doing the draft order. <Which Skop is holding up. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 1:19 PM><Subject: ><Get me MBs phone number. When r u meeting
1698	8/19/09 17:16	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Set up phone call for me with MB tom around 11:30
1699	8/19/09 17:18	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Will do. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 5:16 PM><Subject: Re: ><Set up phone call for me with MB tom around 11:30<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 4:57 PM><Subject: Re: ><I told MB about the other political comment. MB said she didn't know about it. <Cindy reports directly to MB. >I don't think anybody has the duty of signing off on what she tells reporters by phone. Commissioners and mb sing off on press releases. And, sometimes mb reviews stuff b4 cindy tells a reporter, but this is more of a case-by-case thing. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 4:12 PM><Subject: Re: ><But doesn't she get direction for one supervisor? She can't be left all on her own to take from whatever and whichever staff person tells her? Who signs off on what goes out? Find out from Bane. Does Bane know about the other "political" comments that were made.? Let me know Banes answer soon please<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 3:38 PM><Subject: Re: ><Cindy just called. Admitted it was her mistake, she got it wrong, no excuse, was going to call reporter to fix. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 3:15 PM><Subject: Re: ><Rt. Ok what about MB? How long does it take for her to go talk to Cindy?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 2:38 PM><Subject: Re: ><Will do. It would be Booter you would call. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 2:38 PM><Subject: Re: ><Ask him when they think they will be done and what is it that is taking so long. This is truly ridiculous. How much more do they have. If u don't get a definitive answer I will call MB<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 2:35 PM><Subject: Re: ><I don't know what they are doing. Keino knows we want it done and issued. I do not know what the delay is. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 2:35 PM><Subject: Re: ><What does ok mean? Are they done? If not what is it that they are doing?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 2:33 PM><Subject: Re: ><Already did. Keino said "ok" but he still hasn't asked me for the dissent. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 2:33 PM><Subject: Re: ><Its that time again to call and ask about TECO recon. Then advise me. <Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 1:52 PM><Subject: Re: ><What is the current ROE of FPL?><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 1:51 PM><Subject: Re: ><What is the current ROE of FPL?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 1:36 PM><Subject: Re: ><Can we talk by phone or should I email it?><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 1:32 PM><Subject: Re: ><Why is he holding it up?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 1:20 PM><Subject: Re: ><With mary anne right now. <Rosanne won't be in today. Worked very very late last night doing the draft order. <Which Skop is holding up. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 1:19 PM><Subject: ><Get me MBs phone number. When r u meeting with gervasi?><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 1:19 PM><Subject: ><Get me MBs phone number. When r u meeting with
1700	8/19/09 17:27	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Mary is totally free from 10:30 to 11:00 and any time after 12:30. She has a meeting from 11:00-12:30, but can step out of it to speak with you if that is the only time that will work for you. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 5:16 PM><Subject: Re: ><Set up phone call for me with MB tom around 11:30<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 4:57 PM><Subject: Re: ><I told MB about the other political comment. MB said she didn't know about it. <Cindy reports directly to MB. >I don't think anybody has the duty of signing off on what she tells reporters by phone. Commissioners and mb sing off on press releases. And, sometimes mb reviews stuff b4 cindy tells a reporter, but this is more of a case-by-case thing. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 4:12 PM><Subject: Re: ><But doesn't she get direction for one supervisor? She can't be left all on her own to take from whatever and whichever staff person tells her? Who signs off on what goes out? Find out from Bane. Does Bane know about the other "political" comments that were made.? Let me know Banes answer soon please<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 3:38 PM><Subject: Re: ><Cindy just called. Admitted it was her mistake, she got it wrong, no excuse, was going to call reporter to fix. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 3:15 PM><Subject: Re: ><Rt. Ok what about MB? How long does it take for her to go talk to Cindy?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 2:38 PM><Subject: Re: ><Will do. It would be Booter you would call. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 2:38 PM><Subject: Re: ><Ask him when they think they will be done and what is it that is taking so long. This is truly ridiculous. How much more do they have. If u don't get a definitive answer I will call MB<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 2:35 PM><Subject: Re: ><I don't know what they are doing. Keino knows we want it done and issued. I do not know what the delay is. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 2:35 PM><Subject: Re: ><What does ok mean? Are they done? If not what is it that they are doing?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 2:33 PM><Subject: Re: ><Already did. Keino said "ok" but he still hasn't asked me for the dissent. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 2:33 PM><Subject: Re: ><Its that time again to call and ask about TECO recon. Then advise me. <Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 1:52 PM><Subject: Re: ><What is the current ROE of FPL?><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 1:51 PM><Subject: Re: ><What is the current ROE of FPL?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 1:36 PM><Subject: Re: ><Can we talk by phone or should I email it?><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 1:32 PM><Subject: Re: ><Why is he holding it up?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 1:20 PM><Subject: Re: ><With mary anne right now. <Rosanne won't be in today. Worked very very late last night doing the draft order. <Which Skop is holding up. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 1:19 PM><Subject: ><Get me MBs phone number. When r u meeting with
1701	8/19/09 17:28	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Teco order	Just sent from Keino.<Because of a miscommunication, the final draft of the order has not been reviewed by legal management. The order should be issued tomorrow. <
1702	8/20/09 9:53	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Teco order	When should we give dissent?
1703	8/20/09 10:05	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Teco order	Keino is going to call me when order done, I'll email, and it will be attached and go out. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 20, 2009 9:53 AM><Subject: Re: Teco order><When should we give dissent?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 5:28 PM><Subject: Teco order><Just sent from Keino.<Because of a miscommunication, the final draft of the order has not been reviewed by legal management. The order should be issued tomorrow. <
1704	8/20/09 10:07	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Teco order	About time. Do they realize how long it has taken?
1705	8/20/09 10:12	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Teco order	Yes. They just didn't care about doing it in any hurry. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 20, 2009 10:07 AM><Subject: Re: Teco order><About time. Do they realize how long it has taken? ><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 20, 2009 10:05 AM><Subject: Re: Teco order><Keino is going to call me when order done, I'll email, and it will be attached and go out. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 20, 2009 9:53 AM><Subject: Re: Teco order><When should we give dissent?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 19, 2009 5:28 PM><Subject: Teco order><Just sent from Keino.<Because of a miscommunication, the final draft of the order has not been reviewed by legal management. The order should be issued tomorrow. <

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1732	8/21/09 12:16	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Lurking	Keino says it will be sent down to them before 12:30<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano><-----To: Larry Harris><-----Sent: Aug 21, 2009 11:38 AM><-----Subject: Re: Lurking><-----><-----Is teco order filed?><-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris><-----To: Nancy Argenziano><-----Sent: Aug 21, 2009 11:22 AM><-----Subject: Lurking><-----><-----So, I've been lurking around in legal. Nate has been carrying around the order and reading parts of his concurrence to anyone he can catch in their offices. <-----He is apparently very proud. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano><-----To: Larry Harris><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 4:56 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----Ok><-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris><-----To: Nancy Argenziano><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 4:53 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----No. He says he's going to stay late tonight and have it ready 1st thing tomorrow. <-----Was in a deposition and I interrupted to ask. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano><-----To: Larry Harris><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 4:49 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----Did they ever get order done?<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris><-----To: Nancy Argenziano><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:37 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----Nope. I'm sort of waiting for that to be done. Then I might take off. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano><-----To: Larry Harris><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:36 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----Ok. U can go if u hve nothing to do. Have we sent dissent in?<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris><-----To: Nancy Argenziano><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:35 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----He had some errands to run so he took off for the afternoon. Will be back in the AM. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano><-----To: Larry Harris><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:34 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----Yep. It may not be enough time. I am buying non portable phone so I don't lose phone because of discharge. Is steve there?<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris><-----To: Nancy Argenziano><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:30 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----Yep. Dead quiet. <-----Fpl is going to be really long days - 12 hours at least. Lots of anxiety that the 9 days won't be enough. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano><-----To: Larry Harris><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:29 PM><-----Subject: <-----><-----Is everything alright
1733	8/21/09 12:20	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Lurking	I also instructed him to tell clerks office to get it online asap. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano><-----To: Larry Harris><-----Sent: Aug 21, 2009 11:38 AM><-----Subject: Re: Lurking><-----><-----Is teco order filed?<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris><-----To: Nancy Argenziano><-----Sent: Aug 21, 2009 11:22 AM><-----Subject: Lurking><-----><-----So, I've been lurking around in legal. Nate has been carrying around the order and reading parts of his concurrence to anyone he can catch in their offices. <-----He is apparently very proud. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano><-----To: Larry Harris><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 4:56 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----Ok><-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris><-----To: Nancy Argenziano><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 4:53 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----No. He says he's going to stay late tonight and have it ready 1st thing tomorrow. <-----Was in a deposition and I interrupted to ask. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano><-----To: Larry Harris><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 4:49 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----Did they ever get order done?<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris><-----To: Nancy Argenziano><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:37 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----Nope. I'm sort of waiting for that to be done. Then I might take off. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano><-----To: Larry Harris><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:36 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----Ok. U can go if u hve nothing to do. Have we sent dissent in?<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris><-----To: Nancy Argenziano><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:35 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----He had some errands to run so he took off for the afternoon. Will be back in the AM. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano><-----To: Larry Harris><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:34 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----Yep. It may not be enough time. I am buying non portable phone so I don't lose phone because of discharge. Is steve there?<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris><-----To: Nancy Argenziano><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:30 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----Yep. Dead quiet. <-----Fpl is going to be really long days - 12 hours at least. Lots of anxiety that the 9 days won't be enough. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano><-----To: Larry Harris><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:29 PM><-----Subject: <-----><-----Is everything alright
1734	8/21/09 12:21	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Lurking	Ok let me know when it has occurred
1735	8/21/09 14:04	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Lurking	Online yet? Teco recon
1736	8/21/09 14:05	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Lurking	Yes it is. <-----I'll send link to gmail. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano><-----To: Larry Harris><-----Sent: Aug 21, 2009 2:04 PM><-----Subject: Re: Lurking><-----><-----Online yet? Teco recon<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris><-----To: Nancy Argenziano><-----Sent: Aug 21, 2009 12:20 PM><-----Subject: Re: Lurking><-----><-----I also instructed him to tell clerks office to get it online asap. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano><-----To: Larry Harris><-----Sent: Aug 21, 2009 11:38 AM><-----Subject: Re: Lurking><-----><-----Is teco order filed?<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris><-----To: Nancy Argenziano><-----Sent: Aug 21, 2009 11:22 AM><-----Subject: Lurking><-----><-----So, I've been lurking around in legal. Nate has been carrying around the order and reading parts of his concurrence to anyone he can catch in their offices. <-----He is apparently very proud. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano><-----To: Larry Harris><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 4:56 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----Ok><-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris><-----To: Nancy Argenziano><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 4:53 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----No. He says he's going to stay late tonight and have it ready 1st thing tomorrow. <-----Was in a deposition and I interrupted to ask. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano><-----To: Larry Harris><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 4:49 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----Did they ever get order done?<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris><-----To: Nancy Argenziano><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:37 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----Nope. I'm sort of waiting for that to be done. Then I might take off. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris><-----To: Nancy Argenziano><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:36 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----Ok. U can go if u hve nothing to do. Have we sent dissent in?<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano><-----To: Larry Harris><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:35 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----He had some errands to run so he took off for the afternoon. Will be back in the AM. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano><-----To: Larry Harris><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:34 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----Yep. It may not be enough time. I am buying non portable phone so I don't lose phone because of discharge. Is steve there?<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris><-----To: Nancy Argenziano><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:30 PM><-----Subject: Re: <-----><-----Yep. Dead quiet. <-----Fpl is going to be really long days - 12 hours at least. Lots of anxiety that the 9 days won't be enough. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano><-----To: Larry Harris><-----Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:29 PM><-----Subject: <-----><-----Is everything alright
1737	8/21/09 14:10	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Lurking	Grt. Also why don't both of u go home. Get out of there. We can talk mon on case
1738	8/21/09 14:10	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Lurking	Sounds GREAT to me!!<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano><-----To: Larry Harris><-----Sent: Aug 21, 2009 2:10 PM><-----Subject: Re: Lurking><-----><-----Grt. Also why don't both of u go home. Get out of there. We can talk mon on case.<-----Original Message-----><-----From: Larry Harris><-----To: Nancy Argenziano><-----Sent: Aug 21, 2009 2:05 PM><-----Subject: Re: Lurking><-----><-----Yes it is. <-----I'll send link to gmail. <-----Original Message-----><-----From: Nancy Argenziano><-----To

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1740	8/21/09 14:30	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Lurking	Will do. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 21, 2009 2:11 PM<Subject: Re: Lurking<Tell steve I said go hm too hve good weekend<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 21, 2009 2:10 PM<Subject: Re: Lurking<Sounds GREAT to me!<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 21, 2009 2:10 PM<Subject: Re: Lurking<Grt. Also why don't both of u go home. Get out of there. We can talk mon on case<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 21, 2009 2:05 PM<Subject: Re: Lurking<Yes it is. <I'll send link to gmail. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 21, 2009 2:04 PM<Subject: Re: Lurking<Online yet? Teco recon<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 21, 2009 12:20 PM<Subject: Re: Lurking<I also instructed him to tell clerks office to get it online asap. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 21, 2009 11:38 AM<Subject: Re: Lurking<Is teco order filed<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 21, 2009 11:22 AM<Subject: Lurking<So, I've been lurking around in legal. Nate has been carrying around the order and reading parts of his concurrence to anyone he can catch in their offices. <He is apparently very proud. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 20, 2009 4:56 PM<Subject: Re: <Ok<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 20, 2009 4:53 PM<Subject: Re: <No. He says he's going to stay late tonight and have it ready 1st thing tomorrow. <Was in a deposition and I interrupted to ask. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 20, 2009 4:49 PM<Subject: Re: <Did they ever get order done?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:37 PM<Subject: Re: <Nope. I'm sort of waiting for that to be done. Then I might take off. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:36 PM<Subject: Re: <Ok. U can go if u hve nothing to do. Have we sent dissent in?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:35 PM<Subject: Re: <He had some errands to run so he took off for the afternoon. Will be back in the AM. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:34 PM<Subject: Re: <Yep. It may not be enough time. I am buying non portable phone so I don't lose phone because of discharge. Is steve there?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:30 PM<Subject: Re: <Yep. Dead quiet. <Fpl is going to be really long days - 12 hours at least. Lots of anxiety that the 9 days won't be enough. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 20, 2009 2:29 PM<Subject: <Is
1741	8/23/09 14:37	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Please call me asap. Reporter called and I need answer to question.
1742	8/23/09 15:00	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Fw:	I need to know who invited us to go to yucca mtn and did opc attend also? I can't. Rembr. Call me
1743	8/23/09 15:21	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Never mind last e mails
1744	8/23/09 16:32	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Sorry, out for picnic and didn't have the BB. Do you still need any info?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 3:21 PM<Subject: <Never mind last e mails<
1745	8/23/09 16:33	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	No thanks. Hope u had a great tm
1746	8/23/09 16:33	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Yeah. Crissy wanted to have a picnic for our anniversary. <Now I have to cook her a special dinner. <.<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: <No thanks. Hope u had a great tm<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 4:32 PM<Subject: Re: <Sorry, out for picnic and didn't have the BB. Do you still need any info?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 3:21 PM<Subject: <Never mind last e mails<
1747	8/23/09 16:35	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Happy aniv.
1748	8/23/09 16:35	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Thanks!<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 4:35 PM<Subject: Re: <Happy aniv. <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: <Yeah. Crissy wanted to have a picnic for our anniversary. <Now I have to cook her a special dinner. <.<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: <No thanks. Hope u had a great tm<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 4:32 PM<Subject: Re: <Sorry, out for picnic and didn't have the BB. Do you still need any info?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 3:21 PM<Subject: <Never mind last e mails<
1749	8/23/09 16:36	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Can't believe how time flies
1750	8/23/09 17:08	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	On what page of Aug 21 teco order is there actual language denying intervenors motion for reconsideration? I see language granting motion for oral argument, how can they not have it in there
1751	8/23/09 17:09	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	If you look at the end, right before your dissent, there should be several "ordering paragraphs.". Should be there. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 5:08 PM<Subject: <On what page of Aug 21 teco order is there actual language denying intervenors motion for reconsideration? I see language granting motion for oral argument, how can they not have it in there <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 4:35 PM<Subject: Re: <Thanks<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 4:35 PM<Subject: Re: <Happy aniv. <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: <Yeah. Crissy wanted to have a picnic for our anniversary. <Now I have to cook her a special dinner. <.<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: <No thanks. Hope u had a great tm<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 4:32 PM<Subject: Re: <Sorry, out for picnic and didn't have the BB. Do you still need any info?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 3:21 PM<Subject: <Never mind last e mails<
1752	8/23/09 17:11	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I will look again but I didn't see it. Ill go check. Sorry to bother u but doing some work and that hit me that it wasn't there
1753	8/23/09 17:11	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Not a problem. I don't have the order or I'd look at it too. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 5:11 PM<Subject: Re: <I will look again but I didn't see it. Ill go check. Sorry to bother u but doing some work and that hit me that it wasn't there<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 5:09 PM<Subject: Re: <If you look at the end, right before your dissent, there should be several "ordering paragraphs.". Should be there. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 5:08 PM<Subject: Re: <On what page of Aug 21 teco order is there actual language denying intervenors motion for reconsideration? I see language granting motion for oral argument, how can they not have it in there <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 4:35 PM<Subject: Re: <Thanks<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: <Happy aniv. <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: <Yeah. Crissy wanted to have a picnic for our anniversary. <Now I have to cook her a special dinner. <.<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: <No thanks. Hope u had a great tm<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 4:32 PM<Subject: Re: <Sorry, out for picnic and didn't have the BB. Do you still need any info?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 3:21 PM<Subject: <Never mind last e mails<
1754	8/23/09 17:15	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Its not there. What kind of stunt r they pulling? That was the whole purpose of the hring. They waited for my dissent, they wrote pretty much what they had said in hring. Why did it take so long for what they finally produced? They waited for my dissent so now they r going to hve to come out with something else and they will hve seen my dissent so they can massage their response
1755	8/23/09 17:16	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I do not know. <I will look at it in the morning. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 5:15 PM<Subject: Re: <Its not there. What kind of stunt r they pulling? That was the whole purpose of the hring. They waited for my dissent, they wrote pretty much what they had said in hring. Why did it take so long for what they finally produced? They waited for my dissent so now they r going to hve to come out with something else and they will hve seen my dissent so they can massage their response<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 5:09 PM<Subject: Re: <If you look at the end, right before your dissent, there should be several "ordering paragraphs.". Should be there. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 5:08 PM<Subject: Re: <On what page of Aug 21 teco order is there actual language denying intervenors motion for reconsideration? I see language granting motion for oral argument, how can they not have it in there <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 4:35 PM<Subject: Re: <Thanks<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 4:35 PM<Subject: Re: <Happy aniv. <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: <Yeah. Crissy wanted to have a picnic for our anniversary. <Now I have to cook her a special dinner. <.<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 4:33 PM<Subject: Re: <No thanks. Hope u had a great tm<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 4:32 PM<Subject: Re: <Sorry, out for picnic and didn't have the BB. Do you still need any info?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 23, 2009 3:21 PM<Subject: <Never mind last e mails<
1756	8/23/09 17:16	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Can u believe this?

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1790	8/24/09 13:44	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I have to talk to staff - I don't see any fpl group or officers or directors listed. I suspect that info is in one of the earlier filings. -----Original Message----- <From: Nancy Argenziano <To: Larry Harris <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:40 PM <Subject: Re: <Do we get the titles and real amounts of total compensation for all above 165k? -----Original Message----- <From: Larry Harris <To: Nancy Argenziano <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:31 PM <Subject: Re: <It is a 37 page long spreadsheet. <1st column is title - dir rates and tariffs, pgd gen mangr II, N project mngr senior, as examples. <Then whether it is fpl or fpl group. <Then whether officer. <Then base salary, overtime, bonus, syock awards, option awards, non-equity incentive comp, all other, total comp, net allocation, and adjusted jurisdictional other O+M. For 08.09, 2010, and 2011. <The last page is a narrative that basically says, we just applied the inflation factor to the 2008 numbers to develop 09, 10, 11 amounts. <NOTE THAT THIS IS ALL FILED AS CONFIDENTIAL. I'LL HAVE TO FIND OUT HOW FPL IS PROCEEDING WITH THAT. <I don't know if they are going to file a separate request that will have to be ruled on, or if its part of their previous request, and thus within the 30 day appeal period from last week's agenda, or what. <Staff don't seem to know what os going on, so I'll have to ask butler or someone else from fpl, unless you want to ask on the record at some point. -----Original Message----- <From: Nancy Argenziano <To: Larry Harris <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:20 PM <Subject: Re: <Its kind of late now to address it even if there were probs. U gotta let me know. We will tk later. Wish I had psc laptop -----Original Message----- <From: Larry Harris <To: Nancy Argenziano <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:16 PM <Subject: Re: <I would guess so, since opo/intervenor and staff agree. At this point, with the disagreement between intervenors-staff, they wouldn't have agreed if any doubt. -----Original Message----- <From: Nancy Argenziano <To: Larry Harris <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:15 PM <Subject: R these steps ok in your opinion <----- <So do we have everything
1791	8/24/09 13:45	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<----- <So do we have everything
1792	8/24/09 13:45	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Ok
1793	8/24/09 13:45	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I'll go to my office and lok at the other filings right now. -----Original Message----- <From: Nancy Argenziano <To: Larry Harris <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM <Subject: Re: <So do we have everything -----Original Message----- <From: Larry Harris <To: Nancy Argenziano <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM <Subject: Re: <Titles yes. <Total comp yes. <Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. -----Original Message----- <From: Nancy Argenziano <To: Larry Harris <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:40 PM <Subject: Re: <Do we get the titles and real amounts of total compensation for all above 165k? -----Original Message----- <From: Larry Harris <To: Nancy Argenziano <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:31 PM <Subject: Re: <It is a 37 page long spreadsheet. <1st column is title - dir rates and tariffs, pgd gen mangr II, N project mngr senior, as examples. <Then whether it is fpl or fpl group. <Then whether officer. <Then base salary, overtime, bonus, syock awards, option awards, non-equity incentive comp, all other, total comp, net allocation, and adjusted jurisdictional other O+M. For 08.09, 2010, and 2011. <The last page is a narrative that basically says, we just applied the inflation factor to the 2008 numbers to develop 09, 10, 11 amounts. <NOTE THAT THIS IS ALL FILED AS CONFIDENTIAL. I'LL HAVE TO FIND OUT HOW FPL IS PROCEEDING WITH THAT. <I don't know if they are going to file a separate request that will have to be ruled on, or if its part of their previous request, and thus within the 30 day appeal period from last week's agenda, or what. <Staff don't seem to know what os going on, so I'll have to ask butler or someone else from fpl, unless you want to ask on the record at some point. -----Original Message----- <From: Nancy Argenziano <To: Larry Harris <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:20 PM <Subject: Re: <Its kind of late now to address it even if there were probs. U gotta let me know. We will tk later. Wish I had psc laptop -----Original Message----- <From: Larry Harris <To: Nancy Argenziano <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:16 PM <Subject: Re: <I would guess so, since opo/intervenor and staff agree. At this point, with the disagreement between intervenors-staff, they wouldn't have agreed if any doubt. -----Original Message----- <From: Nancy Argenziano <To: Larry Harris <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:15 PM <Subject: R these steps ok in your opinion <----- <
1794	8/24/09 13:56	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Ok
1795	8/24/09 14:07	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Yes, we now have all the info. <1 paper with officers/directors <1 spreadsheet with above \$200k <1 spreadsheet- filed today -with above \$165k, which includes the \$200k salaries also. <So we have everything. <It is still confidential. -----Original Message----- <From: Nancy Argenziano <To: Larry Harris <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:56 PM <Subject: Re: <Ok -----Original Message----- <From: Larry Harris <To: Nancy Argenziano <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM <Subject: Re: <I'll go to my office and lok at the other filings right now. -----Original Message----- <From: Nancy Argenziano <To: Larry Harris <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM <Subject: Re: <So do we have everything -----Original Message----- <From: Larry Harris <To: Nancy Argenziano <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM <Subject: Re: <Titles yes. <Total comp yes. <Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. -----Original Message----- <From: Nancy Argenziano <To: Larry Harris <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:40 PM <Subject: Re: <Do we get the titles and real amounts of total compensation for all above 165k? -----Original Message----- <From: Larry Harris <To: Nancy Argenziano <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:31 PM <Subject: Re: <It is a 37 page long spreadsheet. <1st column is title - dir rates and tariffs, pgd gen mangr II, N project mngr senior, as examples. <Then whether it is fpl or fpl group. <Then whether officer. <Then base salary, overtime, bonus, syock awards, option awards, non-equity incentive comp, all other, total comp, net allocation, and adjusted jurisdictional other O+M. For 08.09, 2010, and 2011. <The last page is a narrative that basically says, we just applied the inflation factor to the 2008 numbers to develop 09, 10, 11 amounts. <NOTE THAT THIS IS ALL FILED AS CONFIDENTIAL. I'LL HAVE TO FIND OUT HOW FPL IS PROCEEDING WITH THAT. <I don't know if they are going to file a separate request that will have to be ruled on, or if its part of their previous request, and thus within the 30 day appeal period from last week's agenda, or what. <Staff don't seem to know what os going on, so I'll have to ask butler or someone else from fpl, unless you want to ask on the record at some point. -----Original Message----- <From: Nancy Argenziano <To: Larry Harris <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:20 PM <Subject: Re: <Its kind of late now to address it even if there were probs. U gotta let me know. We will tk later. Wish I had psc laptop -----Original Message----- <From: Larry Harris <To: Nancy Argenziano <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:16 PM <Subject: Re: <I would guess so, since opo/intervenor and staff agree. At this point, with the disagreement between intervenors-staff, they wouldn't have agreed if any doubt. -----Original Message----- <From: Nancy Argenziano <To: Larry Harris <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:15 PM <Subject: R these steps ok in your opinion <----- <Do you want me to go down and watch opening stmlts, or watch them from up here in office? -----Original Message----- <From: Nancy Argenziano <To: Larry Harris <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:56 PM <Subject: Re: <Ok -----Original Message----- <From: Larry Harris <To: Nancy Argenziano <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM <Subject: Re: <I'll go to my office and lok at the other filings right now. -----Original Message----- <From: Nancy Argenziano <To: Larry Harris <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM <Subject: Re: <So do we have everything -----Original Message----- <From: Larry Harris <To: Nancy Argenziano <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM <Subject: Re: <Titles yes. <Total comp yes. <Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. -----Original Message----- <From: Nancy Argenziano <To: Larry Harris <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:40 PM <Subject: Re: <Do we get the titles and real amounts of total compensation for all above 165k? -----Original Message----- <From: Larry Harris <To: Nancy Argenziano <Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:31 PM

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1804	8/24/09 14:49	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Just met with Mary Bane. We're getting a hard copy that SL will be able to send to you. Should be able to reimburse SL also for postage. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:21 PM> Subject: Re: <Check with clerk for what?> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM> Subject: Re: <Let me check with clerk's office as to procedures. <I may miss some of opening statements. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM> Subject: Re: <No. Have steve put in post office bulk pkg envelope and mail to me. If its not that big the PO offers a envelope for 5 bucks> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:11 PM> Subject: Re: <I can check with clerk's office/ann cole about mailing them to you? Fed ex, they'd be there weds or thurs. <We might could fax, also, if u have a fax machine. I assume they will come out; I don't know if the highlighting will interfere with printing?> <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:10 PM> Subject: Re: <As long as you are confident of that. I will need to see those somehow> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:07 PM> Subject: Re: <Yes, we now have all the info. <1 paper with officers/directors> 1 spreadsheet with above \$200k> 1 spreadsheet- filed today -with above \$165k, which includes the \$200k salaries also. <So we have everything. <It is still confidential. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:56 PM> Subject: Re: <Ok> <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM> Subject: Re: <I'll go to my office and lok at the other filings right now. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM> Subject: Re: <So do we have everything> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM> Subject: Re: <Titles yes. <Total comp yes. <Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:40 PM> Subject: Re: <Do we get the titles and real amounts of total compensation for all above 165k?> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:31 PM> Subject: Re: <It is a 37 page long spreadsheet. <1st column is title - dir rates and tariffs. pgd gen mngr II, N project mngr senior, as examples. <Then whether it is fpl or fpl group. <Then whether officer. <Then base salary, overtime, bonus, syock awards, option awards, non-equity incentive comp, all other, total comp, net allocation, and adjusted jurisdictional other O+M. For 08,09, 2010, and 2011. <The last page is a narrative that basically says, we just applied the inflation factor to the 2008 numbers to develop 09, 10, 11 amounts. <NOTE THAT THIS IS ALL FILED AS CONFIDENTIAL. I'LL HAVE TO FIND OUT HOW FPL IS PROCEEDING WITH THAT. <I don't know if they are going to file a separate request that will have to be ruled on, or if its part of their previous request, and thus within the 30 day appeal period from last week's agenda, or what. <Staff don't seem to know what os going on, so I'll have to ask butler or someone else from fpl, unless you want to ask on the record at some point. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:20 PM> Subject: Re: <Its kind of late now to address it even if there were probs. U gotta let me know. We will tik later. Wish I had psc laptop> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:16 PM> Subject: Re: <I would guess so, since opc/intervenor and staff agree. At this point, with the disagreement between intervenors-staff, they wouldn't have agreed if any doubt. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:15</p>
1805	8/24/09 14:50	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>We have it. SL ready to go to post office. How you want? Over night, 2nd day, cheapest? <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:21 PM> Subject: Re: <Check with clerk for what?> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM> Subject: Re: <Let me check with clerk's office as to procedures. <I may miss some of opening statements. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM> Subject: Re: <No. Have steve put in post office bulk pkg envelope and mail to me. If its not that big the PO offers a envelope for 5 bucks> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:11 PM> Subject: Re: <I can check with clerk's office/ann cole about mailing them to you? Fed ex, they'd be there weds or thurs. <We might could fax, also, if u have a fax machine. I assume they will come out; I don't know if the highlighting will interfere with printing?> <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:10 PM> Subject: Re: <As long as you are confident of that. I will need to see those somehow> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:07 PM> Subject: Re: <Yes, we now have all the info. <1 paper with officers/directors> 1 spreadsheet with above \$200k> 1 spreadsheet- filed today -with above \$165k, which includes the \$200k salaries also. <So we have everything. <It is still confidential. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:56 PM> Subject: Re: <Ok> <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM> Subject: Re: <I'll go to my office and lok at the other filings right now. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM> Subject: Re: <So do we have everything> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM> Subject: Re: <Titles yes. <Total comp yes. <Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:40 PM> Subject: Re: <Do we get the titles and real amounts of total compensation for all above 165k?> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:31 PM> Subject: Re: <It is a 37 page long spreadsheet. <1st column is title - dir rates and tariffs. pgd gen mngr II, N project mngr senior, as examples. <Then whether it is fpl or fpl group. <Then whether officer. <Then base salary, overtime, bonus, syock awards, option awards, non-equity incentive comp, all other, total comp, net allocation, and adjusted jurisdictional other O+M. For 08,09, 2010, and 2011. <The last page is a narrative that basically says, we just applied the inflation factor to the 2008 numbers to develop 09, 10, 11 amounts. <NOTE THAT THIS IS ALL FILED AS CONFIDENTIAL. I'LL HAVE TO FIND OUT HOW FPL IS PROCEEDING WITH THAT. <I don't know if they are going to file a separate request that will have to be ruled on, or if its part of their previous request, and thus within the 30 day appeal period from last week's agenda, or what. <Staff don't seem to know what os going on, so I'll have to ask butler or someone else from fpl, unless you want to ask on the record at some point. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:20 PM> Subject: Re: <Its kind of late now to address it even if there were probs. U gotta let me know. We will tik later. Wish I had psc laptop> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:16 PM> Subject: Re: <I would guess so, since opc/intervenor and staff agree. At this point, with the disagreement between intervenors-staff, they wouldn't have agreed if any doubt. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:15</p>
1806	8/24/09 14:52	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	How large is it
1807	8/24/09 14:52	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>If we take it out of red folder, it will fit in one of those envelopes. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:52 PM> Subject: Re: <How large is it?> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:49 PM> Subject: Re: <Just met with Mary Bane. We're getting a hard copy that SL will be able to send to you. Should be able to reimburse SL also for postage. <Clerk's office didn't want to allow out of bldg. Mary said you comsr, you get. Period. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:21 PM> Subject: Re: <Check with clerk for what?> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM> Subject: Re: <Let me check with clerk's office as to procedures. <I may miss some of opening statements. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM> Subject: Re: <No. Have steve put in post office bulk pkg envelope and mail to me. If its not that big the PO offers a envelope for 5 bucks> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:11 PM> Subject: Re: <I can check with clerk's office/ann cole about mailing them to you? Fed ex, they'd be there weds or thurs. <We might could fax, also, if u have a fax machine. I assume they will come out; I don't know if the highlighting will interfere with printing?> <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:10 PM> Subject: Re: <As long as you are confident of that. I will need to see those somehow> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:07 PM> Subject: Re: <Yes, we now have all the info. <1 paper with officers/directors> 1 spreadsheet with above \$200k> 1 spreadsheet- filed today -with above \$165k, which includes the \$200k salaries also. <So we have everything. <It is still confidential. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:56 PM> Subject: Re: <Ok> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM> Subject: Re: <I'll go to my office and lok at the other filings right now. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM> Subject: Re: <So do we have everything> <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:40 PM> Subject: Re: <Do we get the titles and real amounts of total compensation for all above 165k?> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:31 PM> Subject: Re: <It is a 37 page long spreadsheet. <1st column is title - dir rates and tariffs. pgd gen mngr II, N project mngr senior, as examples. <Then whether it is fpl or fpl group. <Then whether officer. <Then base salary, overtime, bonus, syock awards, option awards, non-equity incentive comp, all other, total comp, net allocation, and adjusted jurisdictional other O+M. For 08,09, 2010, and 2011. <The last page is a narrative that basically says, we just applied the inflation factor to the 2008 numbers to develop 09, 10, 11 amounts. <NOTE THAT THIS IS ALL FILED AS CONFIDENTIAL. I'LL HAVE TO FIND OUT HOW FPL IS PROCEEDING WITH THAT. <I don't know if they are going to file a separate request that will have to be ruled on, or if its part of their previous request, and thus within the 30 day appeal period from last week's agenda, or what. <Staff don't seem to know what os going on, so I'll have to ask butler or someone else from fpl, unless you want to ask on the record at some point. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:20 PM> Subject: Re: <Its kind of late now to address it even if there were probs. U gotta let me know. We will tik later. Wish I had psc laptop> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:16 PM> Subject: Re: <I would guess so, since opc/intervenor and staff agree. At this point, with the disagreement between intervenors-staff, they wouldn't have agreed if any doubt. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:15 PM> Subject: Re: <R these slips ok in your</p>
1808	8/24/09 14:53	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Cheapest is fine since we have time. I will send re imbursement to steve

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1809	8/24/09 14:53	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>We don't have time if the salaries witness comes up in the next few days, and you want to ask Qs on these numbers. <From: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<Subject: Re: Cheapest is fine since we have time. I will send re imbursement to steve> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:50 PM<Subject: Re: We have it. SL ready to go to post office. How you want? Over night, 2nd day, cheapest?> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:21 PM<Subject: Re: Check with clerk for what?> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<Subject: Re: Let me check with clerk's office as to procedures. I may miss some of opening statements. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<Subject: Re: No. Have steve put in post office bulk pkg envelope and mail to me. If its not that big the PO offers a envelope for 5 bucks> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:11 PM<Subject: Re: I can check with clerk's office/ann cole about mailing them to you? Fed ex, they'd be there weds or thurs. <We might could fax, also, if u have a fax machine. I assume they will come out; I don't know if the highlighting will interfere with printing?> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:10 PM<Subject: Re: As long as you are confident of that. I will need to see those somehow> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:07 PM<Subject: Re: Yes, we now have all the info. <1 paper with officers/directors> 1 spreadsheet with above \$200k> 1 spreadsheet- filed today -with above \$165k, which includes the \$200k salaries also. <So we have everything. <It is still confidential. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:56 PM<Subject: Re: Ok> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM<Subject: Re: I'll go to my office and lok at the other filings right now. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM<Subject: Re: So do we have everything> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<Subject: Re: Titles yes. <Total comp yes. <Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:40 PM<Subject: Re: Do we get the titles and real amounts of total compensation for all above 165k?> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:31 PM<Subject: Re: It is a 37 page long spreadsheet. <1st column is title - dir rates and tariffs, pgd gen mangr II, N project mngr senior, as examples. <Then whether it is fpl or fpl group. <Then whether officer. <Then base salary, overtime, bonus, syock awards, option awards, non-equity incentive comp, all other, total comp, net allocation, and adjusted jurisdictional other O+M. For 08,09, 2010, and 2011. <The last page is a narrative that basically says, we just applied the inflation factor to the 2008 numbers to develop 09, 10, 11 amounts. <NOTE THAT THIS IS ALL FILED AS CONFIDENTIAL. I'LL HAVE TO FIND OUT HOW FPL IS PROCEEDING WITH THAT. <I don't know if they are going to file a separate request that will have to be ruled on, or if its part of their previous request, and thus within the 30 day appeal period from last week's agenda, or what. <Staff don't seem to know what os going on, so I'll have to ask bullter or someone else from fpl, unless you want to ask on the record at some point. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:20 PM<Subject: Re: Its kind of late now to address it even if there were probs. U gotta let me know. We will tk later. Wish I had psc laptop> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:16 PM<Subject: Re: I would guess so, since opc/intervenor and staff agree. At this point, with the disagreement between intervenors-staff, they wouldn't have agreed if any doubt. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:15 PM<Subject: Re: R these steps ok in your</p>
1810	8/24/09 14:55	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Then fed ex overnite to 6376 Eld rd. Whittier nc 28789
1811	8/24/09 14:56	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Ask steve if he has money to cover? I will pay him back or can he give fed ex my credit card
1812	8/24/09 14:59	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>He's got it. <He is on the way. <I gave him this address. Don't loose this - the numbered copy you are getting has to be returned to clerk sometime in the future. <!!!!Don't loose it!!!!> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:56 PM<Subject: Re: Ask steve if he has money to cover? I will pay him back or can he give fed ex my credit card> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<Subject: Re: We don't have time if the salaries witness comes up in the next few days, and you want to ask Qs on these numbers. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<Subject: Re: Cheapest is fine since we have time. I will send re imbursement to steve> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:50 PM<Subject: Re: We have it. SL ready to go to post office. How you want? Over night, 2nd day, cheapest?> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:21 PM<Subject: Re: Check with clerk for what?> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<Subject: Re: Let me check with clerk's office as to procedures. I may miss some of opening statements. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<Subject: Re: No. Have steve put in post office bulk pkg envelope and mail to me. If its not that big the PO offers a envelope for 5 bucks> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:11 PM<Subject: Re: I can check with clerk's office/ann cole about mailing them to you? Fed ex, they'd be there weds or thurs. <We might could fax, also, if u have a fax machine. I assume they will come out; I don't know if the highlighting will interfere with printing?> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:10 PM<Subject: Re: As long as you are confident of that. I will need to see those somehow> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:07 PM<Subject: Re: Yes, we now have all the info. <1 paper with officers/directors> 1 spreadsheet with above \$200k> 1 spreadsheet- filed today -with above \$165k, which includes the \$200k salaries also. <So we have everything. <It is still confidential. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:56 PM<Subject: Re: Ok> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM<Subject: Re: I'll go to my office and lok at the other filings right now. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM<Subject: Re: So do we have everything> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<Subject: Re: Titles yes. <Total comp yes. <Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:40 PM<Subject: Re: Do we get the titles and real amounts of total compensation for all above 165k?> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:31 PM<Subject: Re: It is a 37 page long spreadsheet. <1st column is title - dir rates and tariffs, pgd gen mangr II, N project mngr senior, as examples. <Then whether it is fpl or fpl group. <Then whether officer. <Then base salary, overtime, bonus, syock awards, option awards, non-equity incentive comp, all other, total comp, net allocation, and adjusted jurisdictional other O+M. For 08,09, 2010, and 2011. <The last page is a narrative that basically says, we just applied the inflation factor to the 2008 numbers to develop 09, 10, 11 amounts. <NOTE THAT THIS IS ALL FILED AS CONFIDENTIAL. I'LL HAVE TO FIND OUT HOW FPL IS PROCEEDING WITH THAT. <I don't know if they are going to file a separate request that will have to be ruled on, or if its part of their previous request, and thus within the 30 day appeal period from last week's agenda, or what. <Staff don't seem to know what os going on, so I'll have to ask bullter or someone else from fpl, unless you want to ask on the record at some point. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:20 PM<Subject: Re: Its kind of late now to address it even if there were probs. U gotta let me know. We will tk later. Wish I had psc laptop> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:16 PM<Subject: Re: I would guess so, since opc/intervenor and staff agree. At this point, with the disagreement between intervenors-staff, they wouldn't have agreed if any doubt. <From: Nancy</p>
1813	8/24/09 15:18	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I won't. Thanks
1814	8/24/09 15:24	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	When is salary issue up<

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1815	8/24/09 15:24	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>What is the bet?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:18 PM<Subject: Re:<<<I won't. Thanks<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:59 PM<Subject: Re:<<<He's got it. <>He is on the way. <>I gave him this address. Don't loose this - the numbered copy you are getting has to be returned to clerk sometime in the future. <>!!!!Don't loose it!!!!<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:56 PM<Subject: Re:<<<Ask steve if he has money to cover? I will pay him back or can he give fed ex my credit card<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<Subject: Re:<<<We don't have time if the salaries witness comes up in the next few days, and you want to ask Qs on these numbers. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<Subject: Re:<<<Cheapest is fine since we have time. I will send re imbursement to steve<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:50 PM<Subject: Re:<<<We have it. SL ready to go to post office. How you want? Over night, 2nd day, cheapest?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:21 PM<Subject: Re:<<<Check with clerk for what?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<Subject: Re:<<<Let me check with clerk's office as to procedures. <>I may miss some of opening statements. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<Subject: Re:<<<No. Have steve put in post office bulk pkg envelope and mail to me. If its not that big the PO offers a envelop for 5 bucks<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:11 PM<Subject: Re:<<<I can check with clerk's office/ann cole about mailing them to you? Fed ex, they'd be there weds or thurs. <>We might could fax, also, if u have a fax machine. I assume they will come out; I don't know if the highlighting will interfere with printing?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:10 PM<Subject: Re:<<<As long as you are confident of that. I will need to see those somehow<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:07 PM<Subject: Re:<<<Yes, we now have all the info. <>1 paper with officers/directors<>1 spreadsheet- filed today -with above \$165k, which includes the \$200k salaries also. <>So we have everything. <>It is still confidential. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:56 PM<Subject: Re:<<<Ok<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM<Subject: Re:<<<I'll go to my office and lok at the other filings right now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM<Subject: Re:<<<So do we have everything<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<Subject: Re:<<<Titles yes. <>Total comp yes. <>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:40 PM<Subject: Re:<<<Do we get the titles and real amounts of total compensation for all above 165k?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:31 PM<Subject: Re:<<<It is a 37 page long spreadsheet. <>1st column is title - dir rates and tariffs, pgd gen mangr II, N project mngr senior, as examples. <>Then whether it is fpl or fpl group. <>Then base salary, overtime, bonus, syock awards, option awards, non-equity incentive comp, all other, total comp, net allocation, and adjusted jurisdictional other O+M. For 08,09, 2010, and 2011. <>The last page is a narrative that basically says, we just applied the inflation factor to the 2008 numbers to develop 09, 10, 11 amounts. <>NOTE THAT THIS IS ALL FILED AS CONFIDENTIAL. I'LL HAVE TO FIND OUT HOW FPL IS PROCEEDING WITH THAT. <>I don't know if they are going to file a separate request that will have to be ruled on, or if its part of their previous request, and thus within the 30 day appeal period from last week's agenda, or what. <>Staff don't seem to know what os going on, so I'll have to ask butler or someone else from fpl, unless you want to ask on the record at some point. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:20 PM<Subject: Re:<<<Its kind of late now to address it even if there were probs. U gotta let me know. We will tik later. Wish I had psc laptop<-----Original Message-----</p>
1816	8/24/09 15:26	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>What? When do I need to hve salary in fo by?</p>
1817	8/24/09 15:27	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Let me look up which witness it is and where in order they are. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:26 PM<Subject: Re:<<<What? When do I need to hve salary in fo by? <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:24 PM<Subject: Re:<<<What is the bet?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:18 PM<Subject: Re:<<<I won't. Thanks<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:59 PM<Subject: Re:<<<He's got it. <>He is on the way. <>I gave him this address. Don't loose this - the numbered copy you are getting has to be returned to clerk sometime in the future. <>!!!!Don't loose it!!!!<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:56 PM<Subject: Re:<<<Ask steve if he has money to cover? I will pay him back or can he give fed ex my credit card<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<Subject: Re:<<<We don't have time if the salaries witness comes up in the next few days, and you want to ask Qs on these numbers. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<Subject: Re:<<<Cheapest is fine since we have time. I will send re imbursement to steve<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:50 PM<Subject: Re:<<<We have it. SL ready to go to post office. How you want? Over night, 2nd day, cheapest?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:21 PM<Subject: Re:<<<Check with clerk for what?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<Subject: Re:<<<Let me check with clerk's office as to procedures. <>I may miss some of opening statements. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<Subject: Re:<<<No. Have steve put in post office bulk pkg envelope and mail to me. If its not that big the PO offers a envelop for 5 bucks<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:11 PM<Subject: Re:<<<I can check with clerk's office/ann cole about mailing them to you? Fed ex, they'd be there weds or thurs. <>We might could fax, also, if u have a fax machine. I assume they will come out; I don't know if the highlighting will interfere with printing?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:10 PM<Subject: Re:<<<As long as you are confident of that. I will need to see those somehow<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:07 PM<Subject: Re:<<<Yes, we now have all the info. <>1 paper with officers/directors<>1 spreadsheet- filed today -with above \$165k, which includes the \$200k salaries also. <>So we have everything. <>It is still confidential. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:56 PM<Subject: Re:<<<Ok<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM<Subject: Re:<<<I'll go to my office and lok at the other filings right now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM<Subject: Re:<<<So do we have everything<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<Subject: Re:<<<Titles yes. <>Total comp yes. <>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:40 PM<Subject: Re:<<<Do we get the titles and real amounts of total compensation for all above 165k?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:31 PM<Subject: Re:<<<It is a 37 page long spreadsheet. <>1st column is title - dir rates and tariffs, pgd gen mangr II, N project mngr senior, as examples. <>Then whether it is fpl or fpl group. <>Then base salary, overtime, bonus, syock awards, option awards, non-equity incentive comp, all other, total comp, net allocation, and adjusted jurisdictional other O+M. For 08,09, 2010, and 2011. <>The last page is a narrative that basically says, we just applied the inflation factor to the 2008 numbers to develop 09, 10, 11 amounts. <>NOTE THAT THIS IS ALL FILED AS CONFIDENTIAL. I'LL HAVE TO FIND OUT HOW FPL IS PROCEEDING WITH THAT. <>I don't know if they are going to file a separate request that will have to be ruled on, or if its part of their previous request, and thus within the 30 day appeal period from last week's agenda, or what. <>Staff don't seem to know what os going on, so I'll have to ask butler or someone</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1818	8/24/09 15:36	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Looks like the 10th fpl witness is the salaries person. So, I'd guess wed afternoon. I hope not too much later than thursday. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:26 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>What? When do I need to hve salary in to by? <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:24 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>What is the bet?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:18 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I won't. Thanks<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:59 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>He's got it. <P>He is on the way. <P>I gave him this address. Don't loose this - the numbered copy you are getting has to be returned to clerk sometime in the future. <P>!!!!Don't loose it!!!!<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:56 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Ask steve if he has money to cover? I will pay him back or can he give fed ex my credit card<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>We don't have time if the salaries witness comes up in the next few days, and you want to ask Qs on these numbers. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Cheapest is fine since we have time. I will send re imbursement to steve<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:50 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>We have it. SL ready to go to post office. How you want? Over night, 2nd day, cheapest?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:21 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Check with clerk for what?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Let me check with clerk's office as to procedures. <P>I may miss some of opening statements. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>No. Have steve put in post office bulk pkg envelope and mail to me. If its not that big the PO offers a envelop for 5 bucks<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:11 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I can check with clerk's office/ann cole about mailing them to you? Fed ex, they'd be there weds or thurs. <P>We might could fax, also, if u have a fax machine. I assume they will come out; I don't know if the highlighting will interfere with printing?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:10 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>As long as you are confident of that. I will need to see those somehow<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:07 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Yes, we now have all the info. <P>1 paper with officers/directors<P>1 spreadsheet with above \$200k<P>1 spreadsheet- filed today -with above \$165k, which includes the \$200k salaries also. <P>So we have everything. <P>It is still confidential. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:56 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Ok<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I'll go to my office and lok at the other fillings right now. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>So do we have everything<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Titles yes. <P>Total comp yes. <P>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:40 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Do we get the titles and real amounts of total compensation for all above 165k?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:31 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>It is a 37 page long spreadsheet. <P>1st column is title - dir rates and tariffs, pgd gen mangr II, N project mngr senior, as examples. <P>Then whether it is fpl or fpl group. <P>Then whether officer. <P>Then base salary, overtime, bonus, syock awards, option awards, non-equity incentive comp, all other, total comp, net allocation, and adjusted jurisdictional other O+M. For 08,09, 2010, and 2011. <P>The last page is a narrative that basically says, we just applied the inflation factor to the 2008 numbers to develop 09, 10, 11 amounts. <P>NOTE THAT THIS IS ALL FILED AS CONFIDENTIAL. I'LL HAVE TO FIND OUT HOW FPL IS PROCEEDING WITH THAT. <P>I don't know if they are going to file a separate request that will have to be ruled on, or if its part of their previous request, and thus within the 30 day appeal period from last week's agenda, or what.</p>
1819	8/24/09 16:01	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Way to go sheff
1820	8/24/09 16:03	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yep. <P>He always does a good job. <P>I'm not sure about this guy, though. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:01 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Way to go sheff<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:36 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Looks like the 10th fpl witness is the salaries person. So, I'd guess wed afternoon. I hope not too much later than thursday. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:26 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>What? When do I need to hve salary in to by? <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:24 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>What is the bet?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:18 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I won't. Thanks<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:59 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>He's got it. <P>He is on the way. <P>I gave him this address. Don't loose this - the numbered copy you are getting has to be returned to clerk sometime in the future. <P>!!!!Don't loose it!!!!<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:56 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Ask steve if he has money to cover? I will pay him back or can he give fed ex my credit card<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>We don't have time if the salaries witness comes up in the next few days, and you want to ask Qs on these numbers. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Cheapest is fine since we have time. I will send re imbursement to steve<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:50 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>We have it. SL ready to go to post office. How you want? Over night, 2nd day, cheapest?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:21 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Check with clerk for what?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Let me check with clerk's office as to procedures. <P>I may miss some of opening statements. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:11 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I can check with clerk's office/ann cole about mailing them to you? Fed ex, they'd be there weds or thurs. <P>We might could fax, also, if u have a fax machine. I assume they will come out; I don't know if the highlighting will interfere with printing?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:10 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>As long as you are confident of that. I will need to see those somehow<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:07 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Yes, we now have all the info. <P>1 paper with officers/directors<P>1 spreadsheet with above \$200k<P>1 spreadsheet- filed today -with above \$165k, which includes the \$200k salaries also. <P>So we have everything. <P>It is still confidential. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:56 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Ok<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I'll go to my office and lok at the other fillings right now. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>So do we have everything<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Titles yes. <P>Total comp yes. <P>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:40 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Do we get the titles and real amounts of total compensation for all above 165k?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:31 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>It is a 37 page long spreadsheet. <P>1st column is title - dir rates and tariffs, pgd gen mangr II, N project mngr senior, as examples. <P>Then whether it is fpl or fpl group. <P>Then whether officer. <P>Then base salary, overtime, bonus, syock awards, option awards, non-equity incentive comp, all other, total comp, net allocation, and adjusted jurisdictional other O+M. For 08,09, 2010, and 2011. <P>The last page is a narrative that basically says, we just applied the inflation factor to the 2008</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1825	8/24/09 16:08	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>SL is back. <1> 1. Delivery tomorrow by 4:30<2> 2. He says armstrong ran for mayor. <3>-----Original Message-----<4>From: Nancy Argenziano<5> To: Larry Harris<6>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:07 PM<7>Subject: Re: <8><9>Wow<10>-----Original Message-----<11>From: Larry Harris<12> To: Nancy Argenziano<13>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:06 PM<14>Subject: Re: <15><16>Just to make sure you got his points, when he made points 1 2 3 he held that many fingers up. <17>You said "demonstrative speaking"<18>-----Original Message-----<19>From: Nancy Argenziano<20> To: Larry Harris<21>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:04 PM<22>Subject: Re: <23><24>He is doing alright also<25>-----Original Message-----<26>From: Larry Harris<27> To: Nancy Argenziano<28>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:03 PM<29>Subject: Re: <30><31>Yep. <32>He always does a good job. <33>I'm not sure about this guy, though. <34>-----Original Message-----<35>From: Nancy Argenziano<36> To: Larry Harris<37>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:01 PM<38>Subject: Re: <39><40>Way to go sheff<41>-----Original Message-----<42>From: Larry Harris<43> To: Nancy Argenziano<44>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:36 PM<45>Subject: Re: <46><47>Looks like the 10th fpl witness is the salaries person. So, I'd guess wed afternoon. I hope not too much later than thursday. <48>-----Original Message-----<49>From: Nancy Argenziano<50> To: Larry Harris<51>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:26 PM<52>Subject: Re: <53><54>What? When do I need to hve salary in fo by? <55>-----Original Message-----<56>From: Larry Harris<57> To: Nancy Argenziano<58>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:24 PM<59>Subject: Re: <60><61>What is the bet?<62>-----Original Message-----<63>From: Nancy Argenziano<64> To: Larry Harris<65>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:18 PM<66>Subject: Re: <67><68>I won't. Thanks<69>-----Original Message-----<70>From: Larry Harris<71> To: Nancy Argenziano<72>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:59 PM<73>Subject: Re: <74><75>He's got it. <76>He is on the way. <77>I gave him this address. Don't loose this - the numbered copy you are getting has to be returned to clerk sometime in the future. <78>!!!!Don't loose it!!!!<79>-----Original Message-----<80>From: Nancy Argenziano<81> To: Larry Harris<82>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:56 PM<83>Subject: Re: <84><85>Ask steve if he has money to cover? I will pay him back or can he give fed ex my credit card<86>-----Original Message-----<87>From: Larry Harris<88> To: Nancy Argenziano<89>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<90>Subject: Re: <91><92>We don't have time if the salaries witness comes up in the next few days, and you want to ask Qs on these numbers. <93>-----Original Message-----<94>From: Nancy Argenziano<95> To: Larry Harris<96>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<97>Subject: Re: <98><99>Cheapest is fine since we have time. I will send re imbursement to steve<100>-----Original Message-----<101>From: Larry Harris<102> To: Nancy Argenziano<103>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:50 PM<104>Subject: Re: <105><106>We have it. SL ready to go to post office. How you want? Over night, 2nd day, cheapest?<107>-----Original Message-----<108>From: Nancy Argenziano<109> To: Larry Harris<110>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:21 PM<111>Subject: Re: <112><113>Check with clerk for what?<114>-----Original Message-----<115>From: Larry Harris<116> To: Nancy Argenziano<117>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<118>Subject: Re: <119><120>Let me check with clerk's office as to procedures. <121>-----Original Message-----<122>From: Nancy Argenziano<123> To: Larry Harris<124>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<125>Subject: Re: <126><127>No. Have steve put in post office bulk pkg envelope and mail to me. If its not that big the PO offers a envelop for 5 bucks<128>-----Original Message-----<129>From: Larry Harris<130> To: Nancy Argenziano<131>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:11 PM<132>Subject: Re: <133><134>I can check with clerk's office/ann cole about mailing them to you? Fed ex, they'd be there weds or thurs. <135>-----Original Message-----<136>From: Nancy Argenziano<137> To: Larry Harris<138>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:10 PM<139>Subject: Re: <140><141>As long as you are confident of that. I will need to see those somehow<142>-----Original Message-----<143>From: Larry Harris<144> To: Nancy Argenziano<145>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:07 PM<146>Subject: Re: <147><148>Yes, we now have all the info. <149>1 paper with officers/directors<150>-----Original Message-----<151>From: Nancy Argenziano<152> To: Larry Harris<153>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:56 PM<154>Subject: Re: <155><156>Ok<157>-----Original Message-----<158>From: Larry Harris<159> To: Nancy Argenziano<160>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM<161>Subject: Re: <162><163>I'll go to my office and lok at the other filings right now. <164>-----Original Message-----<165>From: Nancy Argenziano<166> To: Larry Harris<167>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM<168>Subject: Re: <169><170>So do we have everything<171>-----Original Message-----<172>From: Larry Harris<173> To: Nancy Argenziano<174>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<175>Subject: Re: <176><177>Titles yes. <178>Total comp yes. <179>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <180>-----Original Message-----<181>From: Nancy Argenziano<182> To: Larry Harris<183>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<184>Subject: Re: <185><186>Titles yes. <187>Total comp yes. <188>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <189>-----Original Message-----<190>From: Nancy Argenziano<191> To: Larry Harris<192>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<193>Subject: Re: <194><195>Titles yes. <196>Total comp yes. <197>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <198>-----Original Message-----<199>From: Nancy Argenziano<200> To: Larry Harris<201>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<202>Subject: Re: <203><204>Titles yes. <205>Total comp yes. <206>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <207>-----Original Message-----<208>From: Nancy Argenziano<209> To: Larry Harris<210>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<211>Subject: Re: <212><213>Titles yes. <214>Total comp yes. <215>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <216>-----Original Message-----<217>From: Nancy Argenziano<218> To: Larry Harris<219>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<220>Subject: Re: <221><222>Titles yes. <223>Total comp yes. <224>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <225>-----Original Message-----<226>From: Nancy Argenziano<227> To: Larry Harris<228>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<229>Subject: Re: <230><231>Titles yes. <232>Total comp yes. <233>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <234>-----Original Message-----<235>From: Nancy Argenziano<236> To: Larry Harris<237>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<238>Subject: Re: <239><240>Titles yes. <241>Total comp yes. <242>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <243>-----Original Message-----<244>From: Nancy Argenziano<245> To: Larry Harris<246>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<247>Subject: Re: <248><249>Titles yes. <250>Total comp yes. <251>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <252>-----Original Message-----<253>From: Nancy Argenziano<254> To: Larry Harris<255>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<256>Subject: Re: <257><258>Titles yes. <259>Total comp yes. <260>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <261>-----Original Message-----<262>From: Nancy Argenziano<263> To: Larry Harris<264>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<265>Subject: Re: <266><267>Titles yes. <268>Total comp yes. <269>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <270>-----Original Message-----<271>From: Nancy Argenziano<272> To: Larry Harris<273>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<274>Subject: Re: <275><276>Titles yes. <277>Total comp yes. <278>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <279>-----Original Message-----<280>From: Nancy Argenziano<281> To: Larry Harris<282>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<283>Subject: Re: <284><285>Titles yes. <286>Total comp yes. <287>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <288>-----Original Message-----<289>From: Nancy Argenziano<290> To: Larry Harris<291>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<292>Subject: Re: <293><294>Titles yes. <295>Total comp yes. <296>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <297>-----Original Message-----<298>From: Nancy Argenziano<299> To: Larry Harris<300>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<301>Subject: Re: <302><303>Titles yes. <304>Total comp yes. <305>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <306>-----Original Message-----<307>From: Nancy Argenziano<308> To: Larry Harris<309>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<310>Subject: Re: <311><312>Titles yes. <313>Total comp yes. <314>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <315>-----Original Message-----<316>From: Nancy Argenziano<317> To: Larry Harris<318>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<319>Subject: Re: <320><321>Titles yes. <322>Total comp yes. <323>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <324>-----Original Message-----<325>From: Nancy Argenziano<326> To: Larry Harris<327>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<328>Subject: Re: <329><330>Titles yes. <331>Total comp yes. <332>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <333>-----Original Message-----<334>From: Nancy Argenziano<335> To: Larry Harris<336>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<337>Subject: Re: <338><339>Titles yes. <340>Total comp yes. <341>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <342>-----Original Message-----<343>From: Nancy Argenziano<344> To: Larry Harris<345>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<346>Subject: Re: <347><348>Titles yes. <349>Total comp yes. <350>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <351>-----Original Message-----<352>From: Nancy Argenziano<353> To: Larry Harris<354>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<355>Subject: Re: <356><357>Titles yes. <358>Total comp yes. <359>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <360>-----Original Message-----<361>From: Nancy Argenziano<362> To: Larry Harris<363>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<364>Subject: Re: <365><366>Titles yes. <367>Total comp yes. <368>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <369>-----Original Message-----<370>From: Nancy Argenziano<371> To: Larry Harris<372>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<373>Subject: Re: <374><375>Titles yes. <376>Total comp yes. <377>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <378>-----Original Message-----<379>From: Nancy Argenziano<380> To: Larry Harris<381>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<382>Subject: Re: <383><384>Titles yes. <385>Total comp yes. <386>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <387>-----Original Message-----<388>From: Nancy Argenziano<389> To: Larry Harris<390>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<391>Subject: Re: <392><393>Titles yes. <394>Total comp yes. <395>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <396>-----Original Message-----<397>From: Nancy Argenziano<398> To: Larry Harris<399>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<400>Subject: Re: <401><402>Titles yes. <403>Total comp yes. <404>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <405>-----Original Message-----<406>From: Nancy Argenziano<407> To: Larry Harris<408>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<409>Subject: Re: <410><411>Titles yes. <412>Total comp yes. <413>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <414>-----Original Message-----<415>From: Nancy Argenziano<416> To: Larry Harris<417>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<418>Subject: Re: <419><420>Titles yes. <421>Total comp yes. <422>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <423>-----Original Message-----<424>From: Nancy Argenziano<425> To: Larry Harris<426>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<427>Subject: Re: <428><429>Titles yes. <430>Total comp yes. <431>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <432>-----Original Message-----<433>From: Nancy Argenziano<434> To: Larry Harris<435>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<436>Subject: Re: <437><438>Titles yes. <439>Total comp yes. <440>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <441>-----Original Message-----<442>From: Nancy Argenziano<443> To: Larry Harris<444>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<445>Subject: Re: <446><447>Titles yes. <448>Total comp yes. <449>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <450>-----Original Message-----<451>From: Nancy Argenziano<452> To: Larry Harris<453>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<454>Subject: Re: <455><456>Titles yes. <457>Total comp yes. <458>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <459>-----Original Message-----<460>From: Nancy Argenziano<461> To: Larry Harris<462>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<463>Subject: Re: <464><465>Titles yes. <466>Total comp yes. <467>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <468>-----Original Message-----<469>From: Nancy Argenziano<470> To: Larry Harris<471>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<472>Subject: Re: <473><474>Titles yes. <475>Total comp yes. <476>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <477>-----Original Message-----<478>From: Nancy Argenziano<479> To: Larry Harris<480>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<481>Subject: Re: <482><483>Titles yes. <484>Total comp yes. <485>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <486>-----Original Message-----<487>From: Nancy Argenziano<488> To: Larry Harris<489>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<490>Subject: Re: <491><492>Titles yes. <493>Total comp yes. <494>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <495>-----Original Message-----<496>From: Nancy Argenziano<497> To: Larry Harris<498>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<499>Subject: Re: <500><501>Titles yes. <502>Total comp yes. <503>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <504>-----Original Message-----<505>From: Nancy Argenziano<506> To: Larry Harris<507>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<508>Subject: Re: <509><510>Titles yes. <511>Total comp yes. <512>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <513>-----Original Message-----<514>From: Nancy Argenziano<515> To: Larry Harris<516>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<517>Subject: Re: <518><519>Titles yes. <520>Total comp yes. <521>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <522>-----Original Message-----<523>From: Nancy Argenziano<524> To: Larry Harris<525>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<526>Subject: Re: <527><528>Titles yes. <529>Total comp yes. <530>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <531>-----Original Message-----<532>From: Nancy Argenziano<533> To: Larry Harris<534>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<535>Subject: Re: <536><537>Titles yes. <538>Total comp yes. <539>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <540>-----Original Message-----<541>From: Nancy Argenziano<542> To: Larry Harris<543>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<544>Subject: Re: <545><546>Titles yes. <547>Total comp yes. <548>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <549>-----Original Message-----<550>From: Nancy Argenziano<551> To: Larry Harris<552>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<553>Subject: Re: <554><555>Titles yes. <556>Total comp yes. <557>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <558>-----Original Message-----<559>From: Nancy Argenziano<560> To: Larry Harris<561>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<562>Subject: Re: <563><564>Titles yes. <565>Total comp yes. <566>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <567>-----Original Message-----<568>From: Nancy Argenziano<569> To: Larry Harris<570>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<571>Subject: Re: <572><573>Titles yes. <574>Total comp yes. <575>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <576>-----Original Message-----<577>From: Nancy Argenziano<578> To: Larry Harris<579>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<580>Subject: Re: <581><582>Titles yes. <583>Total comp yes. <584>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <585>-----Original Message-----<586>From: Nancy Argenziano<587> To: Larry Harris<588>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<589>Subject: Re: <590><591>Titles yes. <592>Total comp yes. <593>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <594>-----Original Message-----<595>From: Nancy Argenziano<596> To: Larry Harris<597>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<598>Subject: Re: <599><600>Titles yes. <601>Total comp yes. <602>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <603>-----Original Message-----<604>From: Nancy Argenziano<605> To: Larry Harris<606>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<607>Subject: Re: <608><609>Titles yes. <610>Total comp yes. <611>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <612>-----Original Message-----<613>From: Nancy Argenziano<614> To: Larry Harris<615>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<616>Subject: Re: <617><618>Titles yes. <619>Total comp yes. <620>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <621>-----Original Message-----<622>From: Nancy Argenziano<623> To: Larry Harris<624>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<625>Subject: Re: <626><627>Titles yes. <628>Total comp yes. <629>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <630>-----Original Message-----<631>From: Nancy Argenziano<632> To: Larry Harris<633>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<634>Subject: Re: <635><636>Titles yes. <637>Total comp yes. <638>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <639>-----Original Message-----<640>From: Nancy Argenziano<641> To: Larry Harris<642>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<643>Subject: Re: <644><645>Titles yes. <646>Total comp yes. <647>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <648>-----Original Message-----<649>From: Nancy Argenziano<650> To: Larry Harris<651>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<652>Subject: Re: <653><654>Titles yes. <655>Total comp yes. <656>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <657>-----Original Message-----<658>From: Nancy Argenziano<659> To: Larry Harris<660>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<661>Subject: Re: <662><663>Titles yes. <664>Total comp yes. <665>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <666>-----Original Message-----<667>From: Nancy Argenziano<668> To: Larry Harris<669>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<670>Subject: Re: <671><672>Titles yes. <673>Total comp yes. <674>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <675>-----Original Message-----<676>From: Nancy Argenziano<677> To: Larry Harris<678>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<679>Subject: Re: <680><681>Titles yes. <682>Total comp yes. <683>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <684>-----Original Message-----<685>From: Nancy Argenziano<686> To: Larry Harris<687>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<688>Subject: Re: <689><690>Titles yes. <691>Total comp yes. <692>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <693>-----Original Message-----<694>From: Nancy Argenziano<695> To: Larry Harris<696>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<697>Subject: Re: <698><699>Titles yes. <700>Total comp yes. <701>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <702>-----Original Message-----<703>From: Nancy Argenziano<704> To: Larry Harris<705>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<706>Subject: Re: <707><708>Titles yes. <709>Total comp yes. <710>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <711>-----Original Message-----<712>From: Nancy Argenziano<713> To: Larry Harris<714>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<715>Subject: Re: <716><717>Titles yes. <718>Total comp yes. <719>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <720>-----Original Message-----<721>From: Nancy Argenziano<722> To: Larry Harris<723>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<724>Subject: Re: <725><726>Titles yes. <727>Total comp yes. <728>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <729>-----Original Message-----<730>From: Nancy Argenziano<731> To: Larry Harris<732>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<733>Subject: Re: <734><735>Titles yes. <736>Total comp yes. <737>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <738>-----Original Message-----<739>From: Nancy Argenziano<740> To: Larry Harris<741>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<742>Subject: Re: <743><744>Titles yes. <745>Total comp yes. <746>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <747>-----Original Message-----<748>From: Nancy Argenziano<749> To: Larry Harris<750>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<751>Subject: Re: <752><753>Titles yes. <754>Total comp yes. <755>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <756>-----Original Message-----<757>From: Nancy Argenziano<758> To: Larry Harris<759>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<760>Subject: Re: <761><762>Titles yes. <763>Total comp yes. <764>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <765>-----Original Message-----<766>From: Nancy Argenziano<767> To: Larry Harris<768>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<769>Subject: Re: <770><771>Titles yes. <772>Total comp yes. <773>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <774>-----Original Message-----<775>From: Nancy Argenziano<776> To: Larry Harris<777>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<778>Subject: Re: <779><780>Titles yes. <781>Total comp yes. <782>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <783>-----Original Message-----<784>From: Nancy Argenziano<785> To: Larry Harris<786>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<787>Subject: Re: <788><789>Titles yes. <790>Total comp yes. <791>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <792>-----Original Message-----<793>From: Nancy Argenziano<794> To: Larry Harris<795>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<796>Subject: Re: <797><798>Titles yes. <799>Total comp yes. <800>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <801>-----Original Message-----<802>From: Nancy Argenziano<803> To: Larry Harris<804>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<805>Subject: Re: <806><807>Titles yes. <808>Total comp yes. <809>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <810>-----Original Message-----<811>From: Nancy Argenziano<812> To: Larry Harris<813>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<814>Subject: Re: <815><816>Titles yes. <817>Total comp yes. <818>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <819>-----Original Message-----<820>From: Nancy Argenziano<821> To: Larry Harris<822>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<823>Subject: Re: <824><825>Titles yes. <826>Total comp yes. <827>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <828>-----Original Message-----<829>From: Nancy Argenziano<830> To: Larry Harris<831>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<832>Subject: Re: <833><834>Titles yes. <835>Total comp yes. <836>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <837>-----Original Message-----<838>From: Nancy Argenziano<839> To: Larry Harris<840>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<841>Subject: Re: <842><843>Titles yes. <844>Total comp yes. <845>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <846>-----Original Message-----<847>From: Nancy Argenziano<848> To: Larry Harris<849>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<850>Subject: Re: <851><852>Titles yes. <853>Total comp yes. <854>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <855>-----Original Message-----<856>From: Nancy Argenziano<857> To: Larry Harris<858>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<859>Subject: Re: <860><861>Titles yes. <862>Total comp yes. <863>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <864>-----Original Message-----<865>From: Nancy Argenziano<866> To: Larry Harris<867>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<868>Subject: Re: <869><870>Titles yes. <871>Total comp yes. <872>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <873>-----Original Message-----<874>From: Nancy Argenziano<875> To: Larry Harris<876>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<877>Subject: Re: <878><879>Titles yes. <880>Total comp yes. <881>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <882>-----Original Message-----<883>From: Nancy Argenziano<884> To: Larry Harris<885>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43 PM<886>Subject: Re: <887><888>Titles yes. <889>Total comp yes. <890>Portion allocated to fpl utility yes. <891>-----Original Message-----<892>From: Nancy Argenziano<893> To: Larry Harris<894>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:43</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1829	8/24/09 16:09	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yep. John Marks = unstoppable. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:09 PM<Subject: Re: I guess he lost<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:08 PM<Subject: Re: SL is back. <1, Delivery tomorrow by 4:30<2, He says armstrong ran for mayor. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:07 PM<Subject: Re: Wow<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:06 PM<Subject: Re: Just to make sure you got his points, when he made points 1 2 3 he held that many fingers up. <You said "demonstrative speaking"<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:04 PM<Subject: Re: He is doing alright also<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:03 PM<Subject: Re: Yep. <He always does a good job. <I'm not sure about this guy, though. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:01 PM<Subject: Re: Way to go sheff<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:36 PM<Subject: Re: Looks like the 10th fpl witness is the salaries person. So, I'd guess wed afternoon. I hope not too much later than thursday. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:26 PM<Subject: Re: What? When do I need to hve salary in fo by? <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:24 PM<Subject: Re: What is the bet? <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:18 PM<Subject: Re: I won't. Thanks<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:59 PM<Subject: Re: He's got it. <He is on the way. <I gave him this address. Don't loose this - the numbered copy you are getting has to be returned to clerk sometime in the future. <!!!!Don't loose it!!!!<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:56 PM<Subject: Re: Ask steve if he has money to cover? I will pay him back or can he give fed ex my credit card<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<Subject: Re: We don't have time if the salaries witness comes up in the next few days, and you want to ask Qs on these numbers. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<Subject: Re: Cheapest is fine since we have time. I will send re imbursement to steve<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:50 PM<Subject: Re: We have it. SL ready to go to post office. How you want? Over night, 2nd day, cheapest?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:21 PM<Subject: Re: Check with clerk for what?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<Subject: Re: Let me check with clerk's office as to procedures. <I may miss some of opening statements. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<Subject: Re: No. Have steve put in post office bulk pkg envelope and mail to me. If its not that big the PO offers a envelop for 5 bucks<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:11 PM<Subject: Re: I can check with clerk's office/ann cole about mailing them to you? Fed ex, they'd be there weds or thurs. <We might could fax, also, if u have a fax machine. I assume they will come out; I don't know if the highlighting will interfere with printing?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:10 PM<Subject: Re: As long as you are confident of that. I will need to see those somehow<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:07 PM<Subject: Re: Yes, we now have all the info. <1 paper with officers/directors<1 spreadsheet with above \$200k<1 spreadsheet: filed today -with above \$165k, which includes the \$200k salaries also. <So we have everything. <It is still confidential. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:56 PM<Subject: Re: Ok<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM<Subject: Re: I'll go to my office and lok at the other filings right now. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24,</p>
1830	8/24/09 16:09	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>M or f ? <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:09 PM<Subject: Re: M or f?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:08 PM<Subject: Re: He's got a cute assistant handing out the documents. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:08 PM<Subject: Re: I'm glad u shared that with me. I can visualize the movements now<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:06 PM<Subject: Re: Just to make sure you got his points, when he made points 1 2 3 he held that many fingers up. <You said "demonstrative speaking"<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:04 PM<Subject: Re: He is doing alright also<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:03 PM<Subject: Re: Yep. <He always does a good job. <I'm not sure about this guy, though. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:01 PM<Subject: Re: Way to go sheff<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:36 PM<Subject: Re: Looks like the 10th fpl witness is the salaries person. So, I'd guess wed afternoon. I hope not too much later than thursday. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:26 PM<Subject: Re: What? When do I need to hve salary in fo by? <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:24 PM<Subject: Re: What is the bet? <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:18 PM<Subject: Re: I won't. Thanks<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:59 PM<Subject: Re: He's got it. <He is on the way. <I gave him this address. Don't loose this - the numbered copy you are getting has to be returned to clerk sometime in the future. <!!!!Don't loose it!!!!<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:56 PM<Subject: Re: Ask steve if he has money to cover? I will pay him back or can he give fed ex my credit card<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<Subject: Re: We don't have time if the salaries witness comes up in the next few days, and you want to ask Qs on these numbers. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<Subject: Re: Cheapest is fine since we have time. I will send re imbursement to steve<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:50 PM<Subject: Re: We have it. SL ready to go to post office. How you want? Over night, 2nd day, cheapest?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:21 PM<Subject: Re: Check with clerk for what?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<Subject: Re: Let me check with clerk's office as to procedures. <I may miss some of opening statements. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<Subject: Re: No. Have steve put in post office bulk pkg envelope and mail to me. If its not that big the PO offers a envelop for 5 bucks<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:11 PM<Subject: Re: I can check with clerk's office/ann cole about mailing them to you? Fed ex, they'd be there weds or thurs. <We might could fax, also, if u have a fax machine. I assume they will come out; I don't know if the highlighting will interfere with printing?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:10 PM<Subject: Re: As long as you are confident of that. I will need to see those somehow<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:07 PM<Subject: Re: Yes, we now have all the info. <1 paper with officers/directors<1 spreadsheet with above \$200k<1 spreadsheet: filed today -with above \$165k, which includes the \$200k salaries also. <So we have everything. <It is still confidential. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:56 PM<Subject: Re: Ok<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM<Subject: Re: I'll go to my office and lok at the other filings right now. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24,</p>
1831	8/24/09 16:10	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Female. <He got the teco vote right. He listens. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:09 PM<Subject: Re: M or f?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:08 PM<Subject: Re: He's got a cute assistant handing out the documents. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:08 PM<Subject: Re: I'm glad u shared that with me. I can visualize the movements now<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:06 PM<Subject: Re: Just to make sure you got his points, when he made points 1 2 3 he held that many fingers up. <You said "demonstrative speaking"<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:04 PM<Subject: Re: He is doing alright also<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:03 PM<Subject: Re: Yep. <He always does a good job. <I'm not sure about this guy, though. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:01 PM<Subject: Re: Way to go sheff<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:36 PM<Subject: Re: Looks like the 10th fpl witness is the salaries person. So, I'd guess wed afternoon. I hope not too much later than thursday. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:26 PM<Subject: Re: What? When do I need to hve salary in fo by? <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:24 PM<Subject: Re: What is the bet? <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:18 PM<Subject: Re: I won't. Thanks<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:59 PM<Subject: Re: He's got it. <He is on the way. <I gave him this address. Don't loose this - the numbered copy you are getting has to be returned to clerk sometime in the future. <!!!!Don't loose it!!!!<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:56 PM<Subject: Re: Ask steve if he has money to cover? I will pay him back or can he give fed ex my credit card<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<Subject: Re: We don't have time if the salaries witness comes up in the next few days, and you want to ask Qs on these numbers. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<Subject: Re: Cheapest is fine since we have time. I will send re imbursement to steve<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:50 PM<Subject: Re: We have it. SL ready to go to post office. How you want? Over night, 2nd day, cheapest?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:21 PM<Subject: Re: Check with clerk for what?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<Subject: Re: Let me check with clerk's office as to procedures. <I may miss some of opening statements. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<Subject: Re: No. Have steve put in post office bulk pkg envelope and mail to me. If its not that big the PO offers a envelop for 5 bucks<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:11 PM<Subject: Re: I can check with clerk's office/ann cole about mailing them to you? Fed ex, they'd be there weds or thurs. <We might could fax, also, if u have a fax machine. I assume they will come out; I don't know if the highlighting will interfere with printing?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:10 PM<Subject: Re: As long as you are confident of that. I will need to see those somehow<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:07 PM<Subject: Re: Yes, we now have all the info. <1 paper with officers/directors<1 spreadsheet with above \$200k<1 spreadsheet: filed today -with above \$165k, which includes the \$200k salaries also. <So we have everything. <It is still confidential. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:56 PM<Subject: Re: Ok<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 1:45 PM<Subject: Re: I'll go to my office and lok at the other filings right now. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24,</p>
1832	8/24/09 16:12	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>He didn't want to tick me off. I am getting tired of them throwing me in that lump who voted for it <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24,</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1833	8/24/09 16:13	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yep. <?>This woman looks crazy. Wild hair, bulging eyes. <?>Looks like she should be protesting a new powerplant or toxic waste or something. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:12 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>He didn't want to tick me off. I am getting tired of them throwing me in that lump who voted for it<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:10 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Female. <?>He got the teo vote right. He listens. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:09 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>M or f?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:08 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>He's got a cute assistant handing out the documents. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:08 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I'm glad u shared that with me. I can visualize the movements now<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:06 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Just to make sure you got his points, when he made points 1 2 3 he held that many fingers up. <?>You said "demonstrative speaking"<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:04 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>He is doing alright also<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:03 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Yep. <?>He always does a good job. <?>I'm not sure about this guy, though. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:01 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Way to go sheff<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:36 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Looks like the 10th fpl witness is the salaries person. So, I'd guess wed afternoon. I hope not too much later than thursday. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:26 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>What? When do I need to hve salary in fo by? <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:24 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>What is the bet?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:18 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I won't. Thanks<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:59 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>He's got it. <?>He is on the way. <?>I gave him this address. Don't loose this - the numbered copy you are getting has to be returned to clerk sometime in the future. <?>!!!!Don't loose it!!!!<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:56 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Ask steve if he has money to cover? I will pay him back or can he give fed ex my credit card<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>We don't have time if the salaries witness comes up in the next few days, and you want to ask Qs on these numbers. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Cheapest is fine since we have time. I will send re imbursement to steve<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:50 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>We have it. SL ready to go to post office. How you want? Over night, 2nd day, cheapest?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:21 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Check with clerk for what?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Let me check with clerk's office as to procedures. <?>I may miss some of opening statements. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>No. Have steve put in post office bulk pckg envelope and mail to me. If its not that big the PO offers a envelop for 5 bucks<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:11 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I can check with clerk's office/ann cole about mailing them to you? Fed ex, they'd be there weds or thurs. <?>We might could fax, also, if u have a fax machine. I assume they will come out. I don't know if the highlighting will interfere with printing?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:10 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>As long as you are confident of that. I will need to see those somehow<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:07 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Yes, we now have all the info. <?>1 paper with officers/directors<?>1 spreadsheet with above \$200k<?>1 spreadsheet- filed</p>
1834	8/24/09 16:14	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	U r bad
1835	8/24/09 16:15	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	She speaks well
1836	8/24/09 16:16	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Wow steve stewart. Good for him
1837	8/24/09 16:16	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yeah. Looks crazy, but I'll bet she is really smart. <?>Looks like an old hippie who has gone legit - and successful. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:15 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>She speaks well<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:13 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Yep. <?>This woman looks crazy. Wild hair, bulging eyes. <?>Looks like she should be protesting a new powerplant or toxic waste or something. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:12 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>He didn't want to tick me off. I am getting tired of them throwing me in that lump who voted for it<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:10 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Female. <?>He got the teo vote right. He listens. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:09 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>M or f?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:08 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>He's got a cute assistant handing out the documents. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:08 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I'm glad u shared that with me. I can visualize the movements now<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:06 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Just to make sure you got his points, when he made points 1 2 3 he held that many fingers up. <?>You said "demonstrative speaking"<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:04 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>He is doing alright also<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:03 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Yep. <?>He always does a good job. <?>I'm not sure about this guy, though. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:01 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Way to go sheff<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:36 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Looks like the 10th fpl witness is the salaries person. So, I'd guess wed afternoon. I hope not too much later than thursday. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:26 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>What? When do I need to hve salary in fo by? <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:24 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>What is the bet?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:18 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I won't. Thanks<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:59 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>He's got it. <?>He is on the way. <?>I gave him this address. Don't loose this - the numbered copy you are getting has to be returned to clerk sometime in the future. <?>!!!!Don't loose it!!!!<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:56 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Ask steve if he has money to cover? I will pay him back or can he give fed ex my credit card<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>We don't have time if the salaries witness comes up in the next few days, and you want to ask Qs on these numbers. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Cheapest is fine since we have time. I will send re imbursement to steve<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:50 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>We have it. SL ready to go to post office. How you want? Over night, 2nd day, cheapest?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:21 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Check with clerk for what?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Let me check with clerk's office as to procedures. <?>I may miss some of opening statements. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>No. Have steve put in post office bulk pckg envelope and mail to me. If its not that big the PO offers a envelop for 5 bucks<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:11 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I can check with clerk's office/ann cole about mailing them to you? Fed ex, they'd be there weds or thurs. <?>We might could fax, also, if u have a fax machine. I assume they will come out. I don't know if the highlighting will interfere with printing?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To:</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1838	8/24/09 16:17	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yep!! Glad to see him here. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:16 PM<Subject: Re:<Wow steve stewart. Good for him-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:13 PM<Subject: Re:<Yep. <This woman looks crazy. Wild hair, bulging eyes. <Looks like she should be protesting a new powerplant or toxic waste or something. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:12 PM<Subject: Re:<He didn't want to tick me off. I am getting tired of them throwing me in that lump who voted for it-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:10 PM<Subject: Re:<Female. <He got the teco vote right. He listens. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:09 PM<Subject: Re:<M or f ?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:08 PM<Subject: Re:<He's got a cute assistant handing out the documents. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:08 PM<Subject: Re:<I'm glad u shared that with me. I can visualize the movements now-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:06 PM<Subject: Re:<Just to make sure you got his points, when he made points 1 2 3 he held that many fingers up. <You said "demonstrative speaking"-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:04 PM<Subject: Re:<He is doing alright also-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:03 PM<Subject: Re:<Yep. <He always does a good job. <I'm not sure about this guy, though. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:01 PM<Subject: Re:<Way to go sheff-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:36 PM<Subject: Re:<Looks like the 10th fpl witness is the salaries person. So, I'd guess wed afternoon. I hope not too much later than thursday. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:26 PM<Subject: Re:<What? When do I need to hve salary in fo by? -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:24 PM<Subject: Re:<What is the bet?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:18 PM<Subject: Re:<I won't. Thanks-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:59 PM<Subject: Re:<He's got it. <He is on the way. <I gave him this address. Don't loose this - the numbered copy you are getting has to be returned to clerk sometime in the future. <!!!!!!Don't loose it!!!!-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:56 PM<Subject: Re:<Ask steve if he has money to cover? I will pay him back or can he give fed ex my credit card-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<Subject: Re:<We don't have time if the salaries witness comes up in the next few days, and you want to ask Qs on these numbers. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<Subject: Re:<Cheapest is fine since we have time. I will send re imbursement to steve-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:50 PM<Subject: Re:<We have it. SL ready to go to post office. How you want? Over night, 2nd day, cheapest?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:21 PM<Subject: Re:<Check with clerk for what?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<Subject: Re:<Let me check with clerk's office as to procedures. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<Subject: Re:<No. Have steve put in post office bulk pkg envelope and mail to me. If its not that big the PO offers a envelop for 5 bucks-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:11 PM<Subject: Re:<I can check with clerk's office/ann cole about mailing them to you? Fed ex, they'd be there weds or thurs. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:10 PM<Subject: Re:<As long as you are</p>
1839	8/24/09 16:18	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I guess she has to pay for those protests somehow. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:14 PM<Subject: Re:<U r bad-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:13 PM<Subject: Re:<Yep. <This woman looks crazy. Wild hair, bulging eyes. <Looks like she should be protesting a new powerplant or toxic waste or something. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:12 PM<Subject: Re:<He didn't want to tick me off. I am getting tired of them throwing me in that lump who voted for it-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:10 PM<Subject: Re:<Female. <He got the teco vote right. He listens. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:09 PM<Subject: Re:<M or f ?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:08 PM<Subject: Re:<He's got a cute assistant handing out the documents. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:08 PM<Subject: Re:<I'm glad u shared that with me. I can visualize the movements now-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:06 PM<Subject: Re:<Just to make sure you got his points, when he made points 1 2 3 he held that many fingers up. <You said "demonstrative speaking"-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:04 PM<Subject: Re:<He is doing alright also-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:03 PM<Subject: Re:<Yep. <He always does a good job. <I'm not sure about this guy, though. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 4:01 PM<Subject: Re:<Way to go sheff-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:36 PM<Subject: Re:<Looks like the 10th fpl witness is the salaries person. So, I'd guess wed afternoon. I hope not too much later than thursday. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:26 PM<Subject: Re:<What? When do I need to hve salary in fo by? -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:24 PM<Subject: Re:<What is the bet?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 3:18 PM<Subject: Re:<I won't. Thanks-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:59 PM<Subject: Re:<He's got it. <He is on the way. <I gave him this address. Don't loose this - the numbered copy you are getting has to be returned to clerk sometime in the future. <!!!!!!Don't loose it!!!!-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:56 PM<Subject: Re:<Ask steve if he has money to cover? I will pay him back or can he give fed ex my credit card-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<Subject: Re:<We don't have time if the salaries witness comes up in the next few days, and you want to ask Qs on these numbers. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:53 PM<Subject: Re:<Cheapest is fine since we have time. I will send re imbursement to steve-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:50 PM<Subject: Re:<We have it. SL ready to go to post office. How you want? Over night, 2nd day, cheapest?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:21 PM<Subject: Re:<Check with clerk for what?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<Subject: Re:<Let me check with clerk's office as to procedures. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:14 PM<Subject: Re:<No. Have steve put in post office bulk pkg envelope and mail to me. If its not that big the PO offers a envelop for 5 bucks-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:11 PM<Subject: Re:<I can check with clerk's office/ann cole about mailing them to you? Fed ex, they'd be there weds or thurs. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 24, 2009 2:10 PM<Subject: Re:<As long as you are</p>
1840	8/24/09 16:19	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>Ha ha</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1857	8/25/09 9:30	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Moyle	Jon says he'll be asking Qs of the "look at line x on page y. See position description. What does that person do? Deserve to make \$2?" could probably get that info to you easily by phone. And, Jon says he does not expect armando to be able to answer - wants to make a point, not get info. Still haven't been able to talk to fpl atty. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:09 AM Subject: Re: Moyle Who else from fpl will be addressing the salary issue? Original Message From: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:04 AM Subject: Re: Moyle I'll tell chair and get with moyle before hearing starts. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:00 AM Subject: Re: Moyle General rule is that commis have all materials in front of them. How can I ask the questions, if I don't have info in front of me? Original Message From: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:57 AM Subject: Re: Moyle I can ask him. The only problem would be deferring armando - general rule is to let everyone ask all the questions pof the witness at the same time, not spread out. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:54 AM Subject: Re: Moyle Since we got info so late is there any way to have him defer that questioning until tomorrOw PM? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:31 AM Subject: Moyle Got a heads-up that moyle plans to question armando this morning about compensation #s - he says it will be confusing w/o the confid exhibit. I guess we can talk on phone and I can read #s to you. Original Message
1858	8/25/09 9:35	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Number	Number: 888-808-6959 Code: 4136261 Chair, le and km down and ready. No NS
1859	8/25/09 9:37	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Moyle	Talked to our atty. She's working a deal with fpl. Slatterly would get first crack at all comp Qs. Any she couldn't answer, armando will be recalled to answer at that time. Fpl atty was supposed to talk to all parties, which would include moyle. I think that is best way to handle it, if you agree. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:09 AM Subject: Re: Moyle Who else from fpl will be addressing the salary issue? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:04 AM Subject: Re: Moyle I'll tell chair and get with moyle before hearing starts. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:00 AM Subject: Re: Moyle General rule is that commis have all materials in front of them. How can I ask the questions, if I don't have info in front of me? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:57 AM Subject: Re: Moyle I can ask him. The only problem would be deferring armando - general rule is to let everyone ask all the questions pof the witness at the same time, not spread out. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:54 AM Subject: Re: Moyle Since we got info so late is there any way to have him defer that questioning until tomorrOw PM? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:31 AM Subject: Moyle Got a heads-up that moyle plans to question armando this morning about compensation #s - he says it will be confusing w/o the confid exhibit. I guess we can talk on phone and I can read #s to you. Original Message
1860	8/25/09 9:37	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Start	Chair is about to start. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:09 AM Subject: Re: Moyle Who else from fpl will be addressing the salary issue? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:04 AM Subject: Re: Moyle I'll tell chair and get with moyle before hearing starts. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:00 AM Subject: Re: Moyle General rule is that commis have all materials in front of them. How can I ask the questions, if I don't have info in front of me? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:57 AM Subject: Re: Moyle I can ask him. The only problem would be deferring armando - general rule is to let everyone ask all the questions pof the witness at the same time, not spread out. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:54 AM Subject: Re: Moyle Since we got info so late is there any way to have him defer that questioning until tomorrOw PM? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:31 AM Subject: Moyle Got a heads-up that moyle plans to question armando this morning about compensation #s - he says it will be confusing w/o the confid exhibit. I guess we can talk on phone and I can read #s to you. Original Message
1861	8/25/09 9:39	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Moyle	Fine
1862	8/25/09 9:39	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Start	I'm already on
1863	8/25/09 9:41	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Start	Who is the person to testify tomrw am? What subject
1864	8/25/09 9:41	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	I don't know. I was on way back to office. Just caught tail end of lisa talking about compensation. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:41 AM Subject: Re: Start Who is the person to testify tomrw am? What subject? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:37 AM Subject: Start Chair is about to start. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:09 AM Subject: Re: Moyle Who else from fpl will be addressing the salary issue? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:04 AM Subject: Re: Moyle I'll tell chair and get with moyle before hearing starts. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:00 AM Subject: Re: Moyle General rule is that commis have all materials in front of them. How can I ask the questions, if I don't have info in front of me? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:57 AM Subject: Re: Moyle I can ask him. The only problem would be deferring armando - general rule is to let everyone ask all the questions pof the witness at the same time, not spread out. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:54 AM Subject: Re: Moyle Since we got info so late is there any way to have him defer that questioning until tomorrOw PM? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:31 AM Subject: Moyle Got a heads-up that moyle plans to question armando this morning about compensation #s - he says it will be confusing w/o the confid exhibit. I guess we can talk on phone, and I can read #s to you. Original Message
1865	8/25/09 9:42	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Start	Find out
1866	8/25/09 9:46	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	Will do. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:47 AM Subject: Re: Start Also u hve to call me on my cell when the questions start on salary. Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:41 AM Subject: Re: Start I don't know. I was on way back to office. Just caught tail end of lisa talking about compensation. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:41 AM Subject: Re: Start Who is the person to testify tomrw am? What subject? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:37 AM Subject: Start Chair is about to start. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:09 AM Subject: Re: Moyle Who else from fpl will be addressing the salary issue? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:04 AM Subject: Re: Moyle I'll tell chair and get with moyle before hearing starts. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:00 AM Subject: Re: Moyle General rule is that commis have all materials in front of them. How can I ask the questions, if I don't have info in front of me? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:57 AM Subject: Re: Moyle I can ask him. The only problem would be deferring armando - general rule is to let everyone ask all the questions pof the witness at the same time, not spread out. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:54 AM Subject: Re: Moyle Since we got info so late is there any way to have him defer that questioning until tomorrOw PM? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:31 AM Subject: Moyle Got a heads-up that moyle plans to question armando this morning about compensation #s - he says it will be confusing w/o the confid exhibit. I guess we can talk on phone, and I can read #s to you. Original Message
1867	8/25/09 9:47	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Start	Also u hve to call me on my cell when the questions start on salary
1868	8/25/09 9:52	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	Witness Stahl forr fpl. He is testifying on nuclear issues - performance of nuc fleet, compliance with NRC requirements, and present and future nuc operations. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:42 AM Subject: Re: Start Find out. Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:41 AM Subject: Re: Start I don't know. I was on way back to office. Just caught tail end of lisa talking about compensation. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:41 AM Subject: Re: Start Who is the person to testify tomrw am? What subject? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:37 AM Subject: Start Chair is about to start. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:09 AM Subject: Re: Moyle Who else from fpl will be addressing the salary issue? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:04 AM Subject: Re: Moyle I'll tell chair and get with moyle before hearing starts. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:00 AM Subject: Re: Moyle General rule is that commis have all materials in front of them. How can I ask the questions, if I don't have info in front of me? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:57 AM Subject: Re: Moyle I can ask him. The only problem would be deferring armando - general rule is to let everyone ask all the questions pof the witness at the same time, not spread out. Original Message From: Nancy Argenziano To: Larry Harris Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:54 AM Subject: Re: Moyle Since we got info so late is there any way to have him defer that questioning until tomorrOw PM? Original Message From: Larry Harris To: Nancy Argenziano Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:31 AM Subject: Moyle Got a heads-up that moyle plans to question armando this morning about compensation #s - he says it will be confusing w/o the confid exhibit. I guess we can talk on phone and I can read #s to you. Original Message

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1900	8/25/09 10:49	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	<p>Yeh. And he might have been worse, as a pro-company guy. But he might have been better, in that he couldn't bully staff from the get-go. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:48 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>It was partly my fault. Se wud have been gone if I wud have let it alone. Rojas wud definitely be there today. -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:34 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>Thank goodness. He needs to step up. Reappointing LE should have the public asking questions where he is. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:32 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>He will be clear today. -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>Hope he gets off the fence. He has not been all that engaged on this lately. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:30 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>I just want others to hear it. Also gov is coming out with statment today re rates-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:28 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>Yes. ----->I think that message came through. ----->Too bad the others don't hear it. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:28 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>Did I make the point clear enough that I don't know how much more comfortable the investors can get with the guaranteed recvrs-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:19 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>Cost overruns. NRC doesn't licence. Plant melts-down. ----->All of which are paid for by ratepayers, but fpl still says "their" risk. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:17 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>What is the huge risk?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:05 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>It is him. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:04 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>It doesn't sound like olivera. I expected him to sound older-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>Yes. At computer right now. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>R u in office near computer-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>Ok. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>Who text me on bklby if u can't rch me on my cell. I noticed bars come nd go-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:46 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>Will do. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:47 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>Also u hve to call me on my cell when the questions start on salary-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:41 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>I don't know. I was on way back to office. Just caught tail end of lisa talking about compensation. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:41 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>Who is the person to testify tomrw am? What subject-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:37 AM><Subject: Start><>Chair is about to start. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:09 AM><Subject: Re: Moyle><>Who else from fpl will be addressing the salary issue?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:04 AM><Subject: Re: Moyle><>I'll tell chair and get with moyle before hearing starts. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:00 AM><Subject: Re: Moyle><>General rule is that commis have all materials in front of them. How can I ask the questions, if I don't hve info in front of me?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:57 AM><Subject: Re: Moyle><>I can ask him. The only problem would be deferring armando - general rule is to let everyone ask all the questions pof the witness at the same time, not spread out. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:54 AM><Subject: Re: Moyle><>Since we got info so late is there any way to have him defer that</p>
1901	8/25/09 10:50	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	<p>But will anything come to light? I'll bet she's very good at burying stuff. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:49 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>I think she's done far worse. She knows things are happening-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:35 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>You think she's been doing the kind of stuff RR did?<>Think anyone will come forward on it?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:33 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>She spent most the day worrying. She may hve good reason to worry-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>I don't know. No camera on bench. I would guess she is, but since I can't see, can't say for sure. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>She's not there now?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>She was earlier. ----->I wish I knew what she spent all day in her office doing. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>Is LE at bench?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:19 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>Cost overruns. NRC doesn't licence. Plant melts-down. ----->All of which are paid for by ratepayers, but fpl still says "their" risk. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:17 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>What is the huge risk?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:05 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>It is him. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:04 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>It doesn't sound like olivera. I expected him to sound older-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>Yes. At computer right now. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>R u in office near computer-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>Ok. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>You text me on bklby if u can't rch me on my cell. I noticed bars come nd go-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:46 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>Will do. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:47 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>Also u hve to call me on my cell when the questions start on salary-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:41 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>I don't know. I was on way back to office. Just caught tail end of lisa talking about compensation. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:41 AM><Subject: Re: Start><>Who is the person to testify tomrw am? What subject-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:37 AM><Subject: Start><>Chair is about to start. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:09 AM><Subject: Re: Moyle><>Who else from fpl will be addressing the salary issue?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:04 AM><Subject: Re: Moyle><>I'll tell chair and get with moyle before hearing starts. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:00 AM><Subject: Re: Moyle><>General rule is that commis have all materials in front of them. How can I ask the questions, if I don't hve info in front of me?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:57 AM><Subject: Re: Moyle><>I can ask him. The only problem would be deferring armando - general rule is to let everyone ask all the questions pof the witness at the same time, not spread out. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:54 AM><Subject: Re: Moyle><>Since we got info so late is there any way to have him defer that questioning until tomorrOw PM?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:31 AM><Subject: Moyle><>Got a heads-up that moyle plans to question armando this morning</p>
1902	8/25/09 10:50	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Start	Heard her.
1903	8/25/09 10:51	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Start	Oh u don't know Rojas. Staff would be dead meat if he was here
1904	8/25/09 10:51	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Start	Who knows

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1905	8/25/09 11:07	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	<p>Yes he does. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 11:07 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>That was funny. Moyle has sense of humor<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:50 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>But will anything come to light? I'll bet she's very good at burying stuff. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:49 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>I think she's done far worse. She knows things are happening<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:35 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>You think she's been doing the kind of stuff RR did?<P>Think anyone will come forward on it?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:33 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>She spent most the day worrying. She may have good reason to worry<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>I don't know. No camera on bench. I would guess she is, but since I can't see, can't say for sure. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>She's not there now?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>Is LE at bench?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:19 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>Cost overruns. NRC doesn't licence. Plant melts-down. <P>All of which are paid for by ratepayers, but fpl still says "their" risk. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:17 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>What is the huge risk?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:05 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>It is him. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:04 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>It doesn't sound like olivera. I expected him to sound older<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>Yes. At computer right now. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>R u in office near computer<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>Ok. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>You text me on bklby if u can't rch me on my cell. I noticed bars come nd go<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:46 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>Will do. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:47 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>Also u hve to call me on my cell when the questions start on salary<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:41 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>I don't know. I was on way back to office. Just caught tail end of lisa talking about compensation. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:41 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>Who is the person to testify tomrw am? What subject?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:37 AM<P>Subject: Start<P><P>Chair is about to start. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:09 AM<P>Subject: Re: Moyle<P><P>Who else from fpl will be addressing the salary issue?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:04 AM<P>Subject: Re: Moyle<P><P>I'll tell chair and get with moyle before hearing starts. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:00 AM<P>Subject: Re: Moyle<P><P>General rule is that commis have all materials in front of them. How can I ask the questions, if I don't hve info in front of me?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:57 AM<P>Subject: Re: Moyle<P><P>I can ask him. The only problem would be deferring armando - general rule is to let everyone ask all the questions pof the witness at the same time, not spread out. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:54 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start</p>
1906	8/25/09 11:07	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Start	<p>That was funny. Moyle has sense of humor</p>
1907	8/25/09 12:22	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	<p>He is starting on salaries Qs right now. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 11:07 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>That was funny. Moyle has sense of humor<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:50 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>But will anything come to light? I'll bet she's very good at burying stuff. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:49 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>I think she's done far worse. She knows things are happening<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:35 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>You think she's been doing the kind of stuff RR did?<P>Think anyone will come forward on it?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:33 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>She spent most the day worrying. She may hve good reason to worry<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>I don't know. No camera on bench. I would guess she is, but since I can't see, can't say for sure. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>She's not there now?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>Is LE at bench?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:19 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>Cost overruns. NRC doesn't licence. Plant melts-down. <P>All of which are paid for by ratepayers, but fpl still says "their" risk. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:17 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>What is the huge risk?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:05 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>It is him. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:04 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>It doesn't sound like olivera. I expected him to sound older<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>Yes. At computer right now. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>R u in office near computer<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>Ok. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>You text me on bklby if u can't rch me on my cell. I noticed bars come nd go<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:46 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>Will do. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:47 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>Also u hve to call me on my cell when the questions start on salary<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:41 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>I don't know. I was on way back to office. Just caught tail end of lisa talking about compensation. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:41 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start<P><P>Who is the person to testify tomrw am? What subject?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:37 AM<P>Subject: Start<P><P>Chair is about to start. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:09 AM<P>Subject: Re: Moyle<P><P>Who else from fpl will be addressing the salary issue?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:04 AM<P>Subject: Re: Moyle<P><P>I'll tell chair and get with moyle before hearing starts. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:00 AM<P>Subject: Re: Moyle<P><P>General rule is that commis have all materials in front of them. How can I ask the questions, if I don't hve info in front of me?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:57 AM<P>Subject: Re: Moyle<P><P>I can ask him. The only problem would be deferring armando - general rule is to let everyone ask all the questions pof the witness at the same time, not spread out. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 25, 2009 8:54 AM<P>Subject: Re: Start</p>
1908	8/25/09 12:27	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Start	<p>I know</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1909	8/25/09 12:27	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	<p>I hate how he's trying to spin the comp numbers as nuclear. That is such a red herring!!<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I know <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:22 PM<Subject: Re: Start<He is starting on salaries Qs right now. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re: Start<That was funny. Moyle has sense of humor<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:50 AM<Subject: Re: Start<But will anything come to light? I'll bet she's very good at burying stuff. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:49 AM<Subject: Re: Start<I think she's done far worse. She knows things are happening<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:35 AM<Subject: Re: Start<You think she's been doing the kind of stuff RR did?<Think anyone will come forward on it?<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:33 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She spent most the day worrying. She may hve good reason to worry<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<Subject: Re: Start<I don't know. No camera on bench. I would guess she is, but since I can't see, can't say for sure. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She's not there now?<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She was earlier. <I wish I knew what she spent all day in her office doing. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Is LE at bench?<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:19 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Cost overruns. NRC doesn't licence. Plant melts-down. <All of which are paid for by ratepayers, but fpl still says "their" risk. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:17 AM<Subject: Re: Start<What is the huge risk?<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: Start<It is him. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:04 AM<Subject: Re: Start<It doesn't sound like olivera. I expected him to sound older<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Yes. At computer right now. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<Subject: Re: Start<R u in office near computer<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Ok. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: Start<You text me on bkby if u can't rch me on my cell. I noticed bars come nd go<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:46 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Will do. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:47 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Also u hve to call me on my cell when the questions start on salary<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:41 AM<Subject: Re: Start<I don't know. I was on way back to office. Just caught tail end of lisa talking about compensation. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:41 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Who is the person to testify tomw am? What subject<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:37 AM<Subject: Start<Chair is about to start. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:09 AM<Subject: Re: Moyle<Who else from fpl will be addressing the salary issue?<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:04 AM<Subject: Re: Moyle<I'll tell chair and get with moyle before hearing starts. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:00 AM<Subject: Re: Moyle<General rule is that commis have all materials in front of them. How can I ask the questions, if I don't hve info in front of me?<From: Larry</p>
1910	8/25/09 12:31	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Start	<p>Do you have a question I should ask?<From: Larry</p>
1911	8/25/09 12:32	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	<p>No. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:31 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Do you have a question I should ask?<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I hate how he's trying to spin the comp numbers as nuclear. That is such a red herring!!<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I know <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:22 PM<Subject: Re: Start<He is starting on salaries Qs right now. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re: Start<That was funny. Moyle has sense of humor<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:50 AM<Subject: Re: Start<But will anything come to light? I'll bet she's very good at burying stuff. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:49 AM<Subject: Re: Start<I think she's done far worse. She knows things are happening<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:35 AM<Subject: Re: Start<You think she's been doing the kind of stuff RR did?<Think anyone will come forward on it?<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:33 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She spent most the day worrying. She may hve good reason to worry<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<Subject: Re: Start<I don't know. No camera on bench. I would guess she is, but since I can't see, can't say for sure. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She's not there now?<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She was earlier. <I wish I knew what she spent all day in her office doing. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Is LE at bench?<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:19 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Cost overruns. NRC doesn't licence. Plant melts-down. <All of which are paid for by ratepayers, but fpl still says "their" risk. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:17 AM<Subject: Re: Start<What is the huge risk?<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: Start<It is him. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:04 AM<Subject: Re: Start<It doesn't sound like olivera. I expected him to sound older<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Yes. At computer right now. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<Subject: Re: Start<R u in office near computer<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Ok. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: Start<You text me on bkby if u can't rch me on my cell. I noticed bars come nd go<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:46 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Will do. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:47 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Also u hve to call me on my cell when the questions start on salary<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:41 AM<Subject: Re: Start<I don't know. I was on way back to office. Just caught tail end of lisa talking about compensation. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:41 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Who is the person to testify tomw am? What subject<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:37 AM<Subject: Start<Chair is about to start. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:09 AM<Subject: Re: Moyle<Who else from fpl will be addressing the salary issue?<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:04 AM<Subject: Re: Moyle<I'll tell chair and get with moyle before hearing starts. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:00 AM<Subject: Re: Moyle<General rule is that commis have all materials in front of them. How can I ask the questions, if I don't hve info in front of me?<From: Larry</p>
1912	8/25/09 12:33	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Start	<p>How do I find line numbers?</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1913	8/25/09 12:33	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	<p>I had to count. My copy doesn't have line numbers. I wonder if moyle went back and hand-numbered his copy?<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:33 PM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----How do I find line numbers?<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----I hate how he's trying to spin the comp numbers as nuclear. That is such a red herring!<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----I know<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:22 PM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----He is starting on salaries Qs right now.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 11:07 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----That was funny. Moyle has sense of humor<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:50 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----But will anything come to light? I'll bet she's very good at burying stuff.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:49 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----I think she's done far worse. She knows things are happening<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:35 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----You think she's been doing the kind of stuff RR did?<-----Think anyone will come forward on it?<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:33 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----She spent most the day worrying. She may have good reason to worry<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----I don't know. No camera on bench. I would guess she is, but since I can't see, can't say for sure.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----She's not there now?<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----She was earlier.<-----I wish I knew what she spent all day in her office doing.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----Is LE at bench?<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:19 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----Cost overruns. NRC doesn't licence. Plant melts-down.<-----All of which are paid for by ratepayers, but fpl still says "their" risk.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:17 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----What is the huge risk?<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:05 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----It is him.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:04 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----It doesn't sound like olivera. I expected him to sound older<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----Yes. At computer right now.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----R u in office near computer<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----Ok.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----You text me on bklby if u can't rch me on my cell. I noticed bars come nd go<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:46 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----Will do.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:47 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----Also u hve to call me on my cell when the questions start on salary<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:41 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----I don't know. I was on way back to office. Just caught tail end of lisa talking about compensation.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:41 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----Who is the person to testify tomrw am? What subject<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:37 AM<-----Subject: Start<-----Chair is about to start.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:09 AM<-----Subject: Re: Moyle<-----Who else from fpl will be addressing the salary issue?<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009</p>
1914	8/25/09 12:39	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Start	<p>Pay attention to see what skop may be doing</p>
1915	8/25/09 12:41	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	<p>Ok. Just sent email to clerk asking for updated exhibit. Once we get it, I'll have SL fed-ex it to you.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:39 PM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----Pay attention to see what skop may be doing<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:32 PM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----No.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:31 PM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----Do you have a question I should ask?<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----I hate how he's trying to spin the comp numbers as nuclear. That is such a red herring!<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----I know<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:22 PM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----He is starting on salaries Qs right now.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 11:07 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----That was funny. Moyle has sense of humor<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:50 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----But will anything come to light? I'll bet she's very good at burying stuff.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:49 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----I think she's done far worse. She knows things are happening<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:35 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----You think she's been doing the kind of stuff RR did?<-----Think anyone will come forward on it?<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:33 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----She spent most the day worrying. She may have good reason to worry<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----I don't know. No camera on bench. I would guess she is, but since I can't see, can't say for sure.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----She's not there now?<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----She was earlier.<-----I wish I knew what she spent all day in her office doing.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----Is LE at bench?<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:19 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----Cost overruns. NRC doesn't licence. Plant melts-down.<-----All of which are paid for by ratepayers, but fpl still says "their" risk.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:17 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----What is the huge risk?<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:05 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----It is him.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:04 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----It doesn't sound like olivera. I expected him to sound older<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----Yes. At computer right now.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----R u in office near computer<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----Ok.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:46 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----Will do.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:47 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----Also u hve to call me on my cell when the questions start on salary<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:41 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----I don't know. I was on way back to office. Just caught tail end of lisa talking about compensation.<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Nancy Argenziano<-----To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:41 AM<-----Subject: Re: Start<-----Who is the person to testify tomrw am? What subject<-----Original Message-----<-----From: Larry Harris<-----To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent:</p>
1916	8/25/09 12:42	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Start	<p>Another 40 \$</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1923	8/25/09 12:46	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	<p>Yep. <But its hard to ask for stuff when you don't even know it exists. <And, since it was YOU who pushed for this info, seems like someone would have made an effort to get the updated document to us. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:45 PM<Subject: Re: Start<<TelI bane and keep notes on all these occurrences. It makes u look bad<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:43 PM<Subject: Re: Start<<Yes. And once again, NOBODY told me about this new exhibit. So, I guess we don't count when everyone else is getting up-dated stuff. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:42 PM<Subject: Re: Start<<Another 40 \$ <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:41 PM<Subject: Re: Start<<Ok. Just sent email to clerk asking for updated exhibit. Once we get it, I'll have SL fed-ex it to you. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re: Start<<Pay attention to see what skop may be doing<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:32 PM<Subject: Re: Start<<No. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:31 PM<Subject: Re: Start<<Do you have a question I should ask?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Start<<I hate how he's trying to spin the comp numbers as nuclear. That is such a red herring!!<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Start<<I know <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:22 PM<Subject: Re: Start<<He is starting on salaries Qs right now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<That was funny. Moyle has sense of humor<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:50 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<But will anything come to light? I'll bet she's very good at burying stuff. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:49 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<I think she's done far worse. She knows things are happening<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:35 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<You think she's been doing the kind of stuff RR did?<Think anyone will come forward on it?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:33 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<She spent most the day worrying. She may have good reason to worry<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<I don't know. No camera on bench. I would guess she is, but since I can't see, can't say for sure. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<She's not there now?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<She was earlier. <I wish I knew what she spent all day in her office doing. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<Is LE at bench?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:19 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<Cost overruns. NRC doesn't licence. Plant melts-down. <All of which are paid for by ratepayers, but fpl still says "their" risk. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:17 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<What is the huge risk?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<It is him. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:04 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<It doesn't sound like olivera. I expected him to sound older<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<Yes. At computer right now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<R u in office near computer<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<Ok. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53</p>
1924	8/25/09 12:48	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	<p>He is leading, but people try not to object to coms's Qs. <I think he is angling for that "solar on school roofs at ratepayer expense" crap he raised at the dsm hearing. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:46 PM<Subject: Re: Start<<What is he saying? Is he leading or asking legitimate ques?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:44 PM<Subject: Re: Start<<You know he is. Has to build his record for voting for a higher increase then staff recommends. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:44 PM<Subject: Re: Start<<Skop sounds like he is singing their tune<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:32 PM<Subject: Re: Start<<No. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:31 PM<Subject: Re: Start<<Do you have a question I should ask?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Start<<I hate how he's trying to spin the comp numbers as nuclear. That is such a red herring!!<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Start<<I know <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:22 PM<Subject: Re: Start<<He is starting on salaries Qs right now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<That was funny. Moyle has sense of humor<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:50 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<But will anything come to light? I'll bet she's very good at burying stuff. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:49 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<I think she's done far worse. She knows things are happening<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:35 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<You think she's been doing the kind of stuff RR did?<Think anyone will come forward on it?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:33 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<She spent most the day worrying. She may have good reason to worry<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<I don't know. No camera on bench. I would guess she is, but since I can't see, can't say for sure. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<She's not there now?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<She was earlier. <I wish I knew what she spent all day in her office doing. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<Is LE at bench?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:19 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<Cost overruns. NRC doesn't licence. Plant melts-down. <All of which are paid for by ratepayers, but fpl still says "their" risk. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:17 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<What is the huge risk?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<It is him. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:04 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<It doesn't sound like olivera. I expected him to sound older<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<Yes. At computer right now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<R u in office near computer<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<Ok. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<You text me on blikby if u can't rch me on my cell. I noticed bars come nd go<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:46 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<Will do. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:47 AM<Subject: Re: Start<<Also u hve to call me on my cell when the questions start on salary<-----Original </p>
1925	8/25/09 12:48	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Start	They will not do anthin we did not ask for

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1926	8/25/09 12:48	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	<p>And its hard to ask when I don't know it exists. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:48 PM<Subject: Re: Start<They will not do anthin we did not ask for<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:46 PM<Subject: Re: Start<But its hard to ask for stuff when you don't even know it exists. <And, since it was YOU who pushed for this info, seems like someone would have made an effort to get the updated document to us. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:45 PM<Subject: Re: Start<TeLL bane and keep notes on all these occurrences. It makes u look bad<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:43 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Yes. And once again, NOBODY told me about this new exhibit. So, I guess we don't count when everyone else is getting up-dated stuff. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:42 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Another 40 \$ <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:41 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Ok. Just sent email to clerk asking for updated exhibit. Once we get it, I'll have SL fed-ex it to you. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Pay attention to see what skop may be doing<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:32 PM<Subject: Re: Start<No. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:31 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Do you have a question I should ask?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I hate how he's trying to spin the comp numbers as nuclear. That is such a red herring!!<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I know <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:22 PM<Subject: Re: Start<He is starting on salaries Qs right now. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re: Start<That was funny. Moyle has sense of humor<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:50 AM<Subject: Re: Start<But will anything come to light? I'll bet she's very good at burying stuff. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:49 AM<Subject: Re: Start<I think she's done far worse. She knows things are happening<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:35 AM<Subject: Re: Start<You think she's been doing the kind of stuff RR did?<Think anyone will come forward on it?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:33 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She spent most the day worrying. She may hve good reason to worry<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<Subject: Re: Start<I don't know. No camera on bench. I would guess she is, but since I can't see, can't say for sure. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She's not there now?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She was earlier. <I wish I knew what she spent all day in her office doing. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Is LE at bench?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:19 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Cost overruns. NRC doesn't licence. Plant melts-down. <All of which are paid for by ratepayers, but fpl still says "their" risk. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:17 AM<Subject: Re: Start<What is the huge risk?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: Start<It is him. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:04 AM<Subject: Re: Start<It doesn't sound like olivera. I expected him to sound older<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Yes. At computer right now. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:49 PM<Subject: Re: Start<U need to stay on top of staff<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:48 PM<Subject: Re: Start<He is leading, but people try not to object to coms's Qs. <I think he is angling for that "solar on school roofs at ratepayer expense" crap he raised at the dsm hearing. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:46 PM<Subject: Re: Start<What is he saying? Is he leading or asking legitimate ques?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:44 PM<Subject: Re: Start<You know he is. Has to build his record for voting for a higher increase then staff recommends. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:44 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Skop sounds like he is singing their tune<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:32 PM<Subject: Re: Start<No. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:31 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Do you have a question I should ask?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I hate how he's trying to spin the comp numbers as nuclear. That is such a red herring!!<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I know <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re: Start<That was funny. Moyle has sense of humor<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:50 AM<Subject: Re: Start<But will anything come to light? I'll bet she's very good at burying stuff. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:49 AM<Subject: Re: Start<I think she's done far worse. She knows things are happening<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:35 AM<Subject: Re: Start<You think she's been doing the kind of stuff RR did?<Think anyone will come forward on it?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:33 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She spent most the day worrying. She may hve good reason to worry<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<Subject: Re: Start<I don't know. No camera on bench. I would guess she is, but since I can't see, can't say for sure. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She's not there now?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She was earlier. <I wish I knew what she spent all day in her office doing. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Is LE at bench?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:19 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Cost overruns. NRC doesn't licence. Plant melts-down. <All of which are paid for by ratepayers, but fpl still says "their" risk. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:17 AM<Subject: Re: Start<What is the huge risk?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: Start<It is him. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:04 AM<Subject: Re: Start<It doesn't sound like olivera. I expected him to sound older<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Yes. At computer right now. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<Subject: Re: Start<R u in office near computer<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Ok. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: Start<You text me on blkby if u can't rch me on my cell. I noticed bars come nd go<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy</p>
1927	8/25/09 12:49	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	<p>Yep. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:49 PM<Subject: Re: Start<U need to stay on top of staff<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:48 PM<Subject: Re: Start<He is leading, but people try not to object to coms's Qs. <I think he is angling for that "solar on school roofs at ratepayer expense" crap he raised at the dsm hearing. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:46 PM<Subject: Re: Start<What is he saying? Is he leading or asking legitimate ques?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:44 PM<Subject: Re: Start<You know he is. Has to build his record for voting for a higher increase then staff recommends. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:44 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Skop sounds like he is singing their tune<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:32 PM<Subject: Re: Start<No. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:31 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Do you have a question I should ask?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I hate how he's trying to spin the comp numbers as nuclear. That is such a red herring!!<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I know <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re: Start<That was funny. Moyle has sense of humor<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:50 AM<Subject: Re: Start<But will anything come to light? I'll bet she's very good at burying stuff. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:49 AM<Subject: Re: Start<I think she's done far worse. She knows things are happening<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:35 AM<Subject: Re: Start<You think she's been doing the kind of stuff RR did?<Think anyone will come forward on it?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:33 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She spent most the day worrying. She may hve good reason to worry<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<Subject: Re: Start<I don't know. No camera on bench. I would guess she is, but since I can't see, can't say for sure. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She's not there now?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She was earlier. <I wish I knew what she spent all day in her office doing. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Is LE at bench?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:19 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Cost overruns. NRC doesn't licence. Plant melts-down. <All of which are paid for by ratepayers, but fpl still says "their" risk. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:17 AM<Subject: Re: Start<What is the huge risk?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: Start<It is him. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:04 AM<Subject: Re: Start<It doesn't sound like olivera. I expected him to sound older<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Yes. At computer right now. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<Subject: Re: Start<R u in office near computer<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Ok. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: Start<You text me on blkby if u can't rch me on my cell. I noticed bars come nd go<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy</p>
1928	8/25/09 12:49	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Start	<p>U need to stay on top of staff</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1929	8/25/09 12:50	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	<p>You know I will. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:50 PM<Subject: Re: Start<If u think I need to ask anything besides what I come up with let me know>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:48 PM<Subject: Re: Start<He is leading, but people try not to object to coms's Qs. <I think he is angling for that "solar on school roofs at ratepayer expense" crap he raised at the dsm hearing. <Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:46 PM<Subject: Re: Start<What is he saying? Is he leading or asking legitimate ques?>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:44 PM<Subject: Re: Start<You know he is. Has to build his record for voting for a higher increase then staff recommends. <Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:44 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Skoop sounds like he is singing their tune>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:32 PM<Subject: Re: Start<No. <Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:31 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Do you have a question I should ask?>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I hate how he's trying to spin the comp numbers as nuclear. That is such a red herring!!>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I know <Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:22 PM<Subject: Re: Start<He is starting on salaries Qs right now. <Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re: Start<That was funny. Moyle has sense of humor>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:50 AM<Subject: Re: Start<But will anything come to light? I'll bet she's very good at burrying stuff. <Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:49 AM<Subject: Re: Start<I think she's done far worse. She knows things are happening>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:35 AM<Subject: Re: Start<You think she's been doing the kind of stuff RR did?>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:33 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She spent most the day worrying. She may hve good reason to worry<>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<Subject: Re: Start<I don't know. No camera on bench. I would guess she is, but since I can't see, can't say for sure. <Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She's not there now?>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She was earlier. <I wish I knew what she spent all day in her office doing. <Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Is LE at bench?>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:19 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Cost overruns. NRC doesn't licence. Plant melts-down. <All of which are paid for by ratepayers, but fpl still says "their" risk. <Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:17 AM<Subject: Re: Start<What is the huge risk?>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: Start<It is him. <Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:04 AM<Subject: Re: Start<It doesn't sound like olivera. I expected him to sound older>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Yes. At computer right now. <Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:56 AM<Subject: Re: Start<R u in office near computer?>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Ok. <Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: Start<You text me on bbbky if u can't rch me on my cell. I noticed bars come nd go>>>Original Message>>></p>
1930	8/25/09 12:50	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Start	If u think I need to ask anything besides what I come up with let me know
1931	8/25/09 12:51	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Start	You need to ask everyday if there is anything new or anything that we need to ilknow about
1932	8/25/09 12:53	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	<p>Yep. <Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:51 PM<Subject: Re: Start<You need to ask everyday if there is anything new or anything that we need to ilknow about>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:48 PM<Subject: Re: Start<And its hard to ask when I don't know it exists. <Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:48 PM<Subject: Re: Start<They will not do anthin we did not ask for>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:46 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Yep. <But its hard to ask for stuff when you don't even know it exists. <And, since it was YOU who pushed for this info, seems like someone would have made an effort to get the updated document to us. <Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:45 PM<Subject: Re: Start<TeLi bane and keep notes on all these occurances. It makes u look bad>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:43 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Yep. And once again, NOBODY told me about this new exhibit. So, I guess we don't count when everyone else is getting up-dated stuff. <Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:42 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Another 40 \$ <Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:41 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Ok. Just sent email to clerk asking for updated exhibit. Once we get it, I'll have SL fed-ex it to you. <Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Pay attention to see what skop may be doing>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:32 PM<Subject: Re: Start<No. <Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:31 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Do you have a question I should ask?>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I hate how he's trying to spin the comp numbers as nuclear. That is such a red herring!!>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I know <Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:22 PM<Subject: Re: Start<He is starting on salaries Qs right now. <Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re: Start<That was funny. Moyle has sense of humor>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:50 AM<Subject: Re: Start<But will anything come to light? I'll bet she's very good at burrying stuff. <Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:49 AM<Subject: Re: Start<I think she's done far worse. She knows things are happening>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:35 AM<Subject: Re: Start<You think she's been doing the kind of stuff RR did?>>>Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:33 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She spent most the day worrying. She may hve good reason to worry>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She's not there now?>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She was earlier. <I wish I knew what she spent all day in her office doing. <Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Is LE at bench?>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:19 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Cost overruns. NRC doesn't licence. Plant melts-down. <All of which are paid for by ratepayers, but fpl still says "their" risk. <Original Message>>>From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:17 AM<Subject: Re: Start<What is the huge risk?>>>Original Message>>>From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: Start<It is him. <Original Message>>></p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1933	8/25/09 13:06	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	Being copied now. We'll get it fed-exed when they get it up to us. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:51 PM<Subject: Re: Start<You need to ask everyday if there is anything new or anything that we need to know about<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:48 PM<Subject: Re: Start<And its hard to ask when I don't know it exists. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:48 PM<Subject: Re: Start<They will not do anything we did not ask for<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:46 PM<Subject: Re: Start<But its hard to ask for stuff when you don't even know it exists. <And, since it was YOU who pushed for this info, seems like someone would have made an effort to get the updated document to us. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:45 PM<Subject: Re: Start<TeLL bane and keep notes on all these occurrences. It makes u look bad<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:43 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Yep. And once again, NOBODY told me about this new exhibit. So, I guess we don't count when everyone else is getting up-dated stuff. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:42 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Another 40 \$ <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:41 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Ok. Just sent email to clerk asking for updated exhibit. Once we get it, I'll have SL fed-ex it to you. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Pay attention to see what skop may be doing<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:32 PM<Subject: Re: Start<No. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:31 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Do you have a question I should ask?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I hate how he's trying to spin the comp numbers as nuclear. That is such a red herring!!<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I know <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:22 PM<Subject: Re: Start<He is starting on salaries Qs right now. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re: Start<That was funny. Moyle has sense of humor<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:50 AM<Subject: Re: Start<But will anything come to light? I'll bet she's very good at burrying stuff. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:49 AM<Subject: Re: Start<I think she's done far worse. She knows things are happening<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:35 AM<Subject: Re: Start<You think she's been doing the kind of stuff RR did?<Think anyone will come forward on it?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:33 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She spent most the day worrying. She may have good reason to worry<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<Subject: Re: Start<I don't know. No camera on bench. I would guess she is, but since I can't see, can't say for sure. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She's not there now?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Is LE at bench?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:19 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Cost overruns. NRC doesn't licence. Plant melts-down. <All of which are paid for by ratepayers, but fpl still says "their" risk. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:17 AM<Subject: Re: Start<What is the huge risk?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: Start<It
1934	8/25/09 14:16	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Start	Not fed exd. Post office to the po address for 5 bucks special they offer. It will get here in 2 days
1935	8/25/09 14:17	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	SL already sent it. Sorry. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 2:16 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Not fed exd. Post office to the po address for 5 bucks special they offer. It will get here in 2 days<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 1:06 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Being copied now. We'll get it fed-exed when they get it up to us. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:51 PM<Subject: Re: Start<You need to ask everyday if there is anything new or anything that we need to know about<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:48 PM<Subject: Re: Start<And its hard to ask when I don't know it exists. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:48 PM<Subject: Re: Start<They will not do anything we did not ask for<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:46 PM<Subject: Re: Start<But its hard to ask for stuff when you don't even know it exists. <And, since it was YOU who pushed for this info, seems like someone would have made an effort to get the updated document to us. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:45 PM<Subject: Re: Start<TeLL bane and keep notes on all these occurrences. It makes u look bad<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:43 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Yep. And once again, NOBODY told me about this new exhibit. So, I guess we don't count when everyone else is getting up-dated stuff. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:42 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Another 40 \$ <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:41 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Ok. Just sent email to clerk asking for updated exhibit. Once we get it, I'll have SL fed-ex it to you. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Pay attention to see what skop may be doing<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:32 PM<Subject: Re: Start<No. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:31 PM<Subject: Re: Start<Do you have a question I should ask?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I hate how he's trying to spin the comp numbers as nuclear. That is such a red herring!!<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Start<I know <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:22 PM<Subject: Re: Start<He is starting on salaries Qs right now. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re: Start<That was funny. Moyle has sense of humor<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:50 AM<Subject: Re: Start<But will anything come to light? I'll bet she's very good at burrying stuff. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:49 AM<Subject: Re: Start<I think she's done far worse. She knows things are happening<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:35 AM<Subject: Re: Start<You think she's been doing the kind of stuff RR did?<Think anyone will come forward on it?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:33 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She spent most the day worrying. She may have good reason to worry<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<Subject: Re: Start<I don't know. No camera on bench. I would guess she is, but since I can't see, can't say for sure. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM<Subject: Re: Start<She's not there now?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Is LE at bench?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:19 AM<Subject: Re: Start<Cost overruns. NRC

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1936	8/25/09 14:22	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	They are about to start. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 2:16 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>Not fed exd. Post office to the po address for 5 bucks special they offer. It will get here in 2 days<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 1:06 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>Being copied now. We'll get it fed-exed when they get it up to us. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:51 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>You need to ask everyday if there is anything new or anything that we need to know about-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:48 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>And its hard to ask when I don't know it exists. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:48 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>They will not do anthin we did not ask for-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:46 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>Yep. -----But its hard to ask for stuff when you don't even know it exists. -----And, since it was YOU who pushed for this info, seems like someone would have made an effort to get the updated document to us. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:45 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>TeLI bane and keep notes on all these occurrences. It makes u look bad-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:43 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>Yep. And once again, NOBODY told me about this new exhibit. So, I guess we don't count when everyone else is getting up-dated stuff. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:42 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>Another 40 \$ -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:41 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>Ok. Just sent email to clerk asking for updated exhibit. Once we get it, I'll have SL fed-ex it to you. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:39 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>Pay attention to see what skop may be doing-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:32 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>No. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:31 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>Do you have a question I should ask?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>I hate how he's trying to spin the comp numbers as nuclear. That is such a red herring!-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>I know -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:22 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>He is starting on salaries Qs right now. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 11:07 AM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>That was funny. Moyle has sense of humor-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:50 AM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>But will anything come to light? I'll bet she's very good at burrying stuff. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:49 AM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>I think she's done far worse. She knows things are happening-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:35 AM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>You think she's been doing the kind of stuff RR did?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>She spent most the day worrying. She may hve good reason to worry-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>I don't know. No camera on bench. I would guess she is, but since I can't see, can't say for sure. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>She's not there now?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>Is LE at bench?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:19 AM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>Cost overruns. NRC
1937	8/25/09 14:24	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Start	Did u see the govs statement? Told u
1938	8/25/09 14:29	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Start	I did. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 2:24 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>Did u see the govs statement? Told u-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 2:22 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>They are about to start. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 2:16 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>Not fed exd. Post office to the po address for 5 bucks special they offer. It will get here in 2 days<>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 1:06 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>Being copied now. We'll get it fed-exed when they get it up to us. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:51 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>You need to ask everyday if there is anything new or anything that we need to know about-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:48 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>And its hard to ask when I don't know it exists. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:48 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>They will not do anthin we did not ask for-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:46 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>Yep. -----But its hard to ask for stuff when you don't even know it exists. -----And, since it was YOU who pushed for this info, seems like someone would have made an effort to get the updated document to us. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:45 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>TeLI bane and keep notes on all these occurrences. It makes u look bad-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:43 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>Yep. And once again, NOBODY told me about this new exhibit. So, I guess we don't count when everyone else is getting up-dated stuff. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:42 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>Another 40 \$ -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:41 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>Ok. Just sent email to clerk asking for updated exhibit. Once we get it, I'll have SL fed-ex it to you. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:39 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>Pay attention to see what skop may be doing-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:32 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>No. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:31 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>Do you have a question I should ask?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>I hate how he's trying to spin the comp numbers as nuclear. That is such a red herring!-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:27 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>I know -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 12:22 PM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>He is starting on salaries Qs right now. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 11:07 AM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>That was funny. Moyle has sense of humor-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:50 AM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>But will anything come to light? I'll bet she's very good at burrying stuff. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:49 AM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>I think she's done far worse. She knows things are happening-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:35 AM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>You think she's been doing the kind of stuff RR did?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>She spent most the day worrying. She may hve good reason to worry-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>I don't know. No camera on bench. I would guess she is, but since I can't see, can't say for sure. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:31 AM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>She's not there now?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:29 AM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>Is LE at bench?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 10:19 AM>>>Subject: Re: Start<>>Cost overruns. NRC
1939	8/25/09 14:49	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Will do. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 2:49 PM>>>Subject: -----Let me know if carter and LE come up-----
1940	8/25/09 14:49	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Let me know if carter and LE come up
1941	8/25/09 15:20	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Of course I hve no clue who they r referring to
1942	8/25/09 15:37	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Of course. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 3:20 PM>>>Subject: Re: -----Of course I hve no clue who they r referring to-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 2:49 PM>>>Subject: Re: -----Will do. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 2:49 PM>>>Subject: -----Let me know if carter and LE come up-----
1943	8/25/09 15:38	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I donmt know. Want me to go down and look. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 3:38 PM>>>Subject: -----Are all commish at bench?-----
1944	8/25/09 15:38	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Are all commish at bench?
1945	8/25/09 15:41	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	No. I don't understand why helton makes judgement call then cites time as an issue. That's not up to her
1946	8/25/09 15:43	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	She just makes up whatever sounds good to justify saying whatever the chair wants to justify his ruling. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 3:41 PM>>>Subject: Re: -----No. I don't understand why helton makes judgement call then cites time as an issue. That's not up to her-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 3:38 PM>>>Subject: Re: -----I donmt know. Want me to go down and look. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 25, 2009 3:38 PM>>>Subject: -----Are all commish at bench?-----
1947	8/25/09 15:45	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Its not her place to determine if someone is spending too much time and its offensive to me that she thinks she has that authority

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
1993	8/26/09 14:52	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA		In office watching on tv. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><R u down there or in off?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Fine. Staff asking questions, seems like pretty routine stuff. No fireworks or bombshells. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><How is it going?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:23 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><They still haven't started. <Armando not in witness chair. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:17 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><I knew u would. Ill be on asap><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:16 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Yeah, thanks. I appreciate the empathy. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:15 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><But yoooooooo are not going to miss all that. Ha<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:13 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><But you're going to miss SOOO much....<)><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:06 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><I will be on a little late><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:36 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><I mean, yes, his client's particular costs matter, but that is not the focus, since it is a system-wide cost that sets rates. <No basis to set a different rate for his client. <And, remember, city SD is thinking about buying out and running as municipal. So, he really wanted that info for a non-rate case purpose. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:34 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Was the guy asking legitimate ques<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:33 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Matt showed some temper there. Might not have been the best thing to do. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 10:09 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Stinks<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:44 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Well, they always say that's good - you want a surgeon who does a lot of surgeries = keeps in practice. <I guess its really bad if you want an office visit. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:43 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Still trying as the guy is always in surgery<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:42 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><I'll be listening. Did you ever get in to see the dr?<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:37 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><I will let u know when I have to leave<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:35 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Not very much. <All commsrs here now. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:35 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Chris told me. Room full?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:30 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Is only one down here.
1994	8/26/09 14:52	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	R u down there or in off?
1995	8/26/09 15:57	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	At therapy be hm in 20 mins or so. How's it going
1996	8/26/09 15:57	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	On a break. <Almost done with armando. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><At therapy be hm in 20 mins or so. How's it going?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><R u down there or in off?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Fine. Staff asking questions, seems like pretty routine stuff. No fireworks or bombshells. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><How is it going?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:23 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><They still haven't started. <Armando not in witness chair. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:17 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><I knew u would. Ill be on asap><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:16 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Yeah, thanks. I appreciate the empathy. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:15 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><But yoooooooo are not going to miss all that. Ha<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:13 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><But you're going to miss SOOO much....<)><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:06 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><I will be on a little late><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:36 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><I mean, yes, his client's particular costs matter, but that is not the focus, since it is a system-wide cost that sets rates. <No basis to set a different rate for his client. <And, remember, city SD is thinking about buying out and running as municipal. So, he really wanted that info for a non-rate case purpose. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:34 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Was the guy asking legitimate ques<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 10:09 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Stinks<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:44 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Well, they always say that's good - you want a surgeon who does a lot of surgeries = keeps in practice. <I guess its really bad if you want an office visit. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:43 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Still trying as the guy is always in surgery<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:42 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><I'll be listening. Did you ever get in to see the dr?<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:37 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><I will let u know when I have to leave<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:35 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Not very much. <All commsrs here now. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:35 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Chris told me. Room full?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:30 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Is only one down here.
1997	8/26/09 15:59	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	Any thing I need to know rt now? Did u tk notes? Was he even tempered?
1998	8/26/09 15:59	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	Did mcwhirther Ask ques?
1999	8/26/09 16:00	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	Nothing. <You didn't miss anything. No fireworks. Staff asked some details questions - mainly clarifying points other parties made. Nothing noteworthy. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:59 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Any thing I need to know rt now? Did u tk notes? Was he even tempered?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><On a break. <Almost done with armando. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><At therapy be hm in 20 mins or so. How's it going?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><R u down there or in off?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Fine. Staff asking questions, seems like pretty routine stuff. No fireworks or bombshells. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><How is it going?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:23 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><They still haven't started. <Armando not in witness chair. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:17 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><I knew u would. Ill be on asap><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:16 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Yeah, thanks. I appreciate the empathy. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:15 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><But yoooooooo are not going to miss all that. Ha<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:13 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><But you're going to miss SOOO much....<)><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:06 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><I will be on a little late><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:36 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><I mean, yes, his client's particular costs matter, but that is not the focus, since it is a system-wide cost that sets rates. <No basis to set a different rate for his client. <And, remember, city SD is thinking about buying out and running as municipal. So, he really wanted that info for a non-rate case purpose. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:34 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Was the guy asking legitimate ques<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:33 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Matt showed some temper there. Might not have been the best thing to do. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 10:09 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Stinks<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:44 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Well, they always say that's good - you want a surgeon who does a lot of surgeries = keeps in practice. <I guess its really bad if you want an office visit. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:43 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Still trying as the guy is always in surgery<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:42 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><I'll be listening. Did you ever get in to see the dr?<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:37 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><I will let u know when I have to leave<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:35 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Not very much. <All commsrs here now. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:35 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Chris told me. Room full?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:30 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Is only one down here.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2000	8/26/09 16:01	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>He's not here. Jon Moyle representing figug. And jon asked yesterday. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:59 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Did mowhither Ask ques?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><On a break. <Almost done with armando. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><At therapy be hm in 20 mins or so. How's it going?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><In office watching on tv. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><R u down there or in off?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Fine. Staff asking questions, seems like pretty routine stuff. No fireworks or bombshells. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><How is it going?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:23 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><They still haven't started. <Armando not in witness chair. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:17 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><I knew u would. Ill be on asap<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:16 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Yeah, thanks. I appreciate the empathy. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:15 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><But yooooou are not going to miss all that. Ha<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:13 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><But you're going to miss SOOO much....<)><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:06 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><I will be on a little late<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:36 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><I mean, yes, his client's particular costs matter, but that is not the focus, since it is a system-wide cost that sets rates. <No basis to set a different rate for his client. <And, remember, city SD is thinking about buying out and running as municipal. So, he really wanted that info for a non-rate case purpose. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:34 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Was the guy asking legitimate ques?><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:33 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Matt showed some temper there. Might not have been the best thing to do. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 10:09 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Stinks<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:44 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Well, they always say that's good - you want a surgeon who does a lot of surgeries = keeps in practice. <I guess its really bad if you want an office visit. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:43 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Still trying as the guy is always in surgery<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:42 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><I'll be listening. Did you ever get in to see the dr?><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:37 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><I will let u know when I have to leave<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:35 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Not very much. <All comms here now. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:35 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Chris told me. Room full?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:30 AM><Subject: Chair><Is only one down here.</p>
2001	8/26/09 16:01	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>Nothing different. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:02 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Did other parties ask same ques or diff?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:00 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Nothing. <You didn't miss anything. No fireworks. Staff asked some details questions - mainly clarifying points other parties made. Nothing noteworthy. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:59 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Any thing I need to know rt now? Did u tk notes? Was he even tempered?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><On a break. <Almost done with armando. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><At therapy be hm in 20 mins or so. How's it going?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><R u down there or in off?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Fine. Staff asking questions, seems like pretty routine stuff. No fireworks or bombshells. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><How is it going?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:23 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><They still haven't started. <Armando not in witness chair. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:17 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><I knew u would. Ill be on asap<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:16 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Yeah, thanks. I appreciate the empathy. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:15 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><But yooooou are not going to miss all that. Ha<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:13 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><But you're going to miss SOOO much....<)><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:06 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><I will be on a little late<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:36 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><I mean, yes, his client's particular costs matter, but that is not the focus, since it is a system-wide cost that sets rates. <No basis to set a different rate for his client. <And, remember, city SD is thinking about buying out and running as municipal. So, he really wanted that info for a non-rate case purpose. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:34 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Was the guy asking legitimate ques?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:33 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Matt showed some temper there. Might not have been the best thing to do. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 10:09 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Stinks<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:44 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Well, they always say that's good - you want a surgeon who does a lot of surgeries = keeps in practice. <I guess its really bad if you want an office visit. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:43 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Still trying as the guy is always in surgery<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:42 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><I'll be listening. Did you ever get in to see the dr?><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:37 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><I will let u know when I have to leave<Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:35 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Not very much. <All comms here now. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:35 AM><Subject: Re: Chair><Chris told me. Room full?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:30 AM><Subject: Chair><Is only one down here.</p>
2002	8/26/09 16:02	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	Did other parties ask same ques or diff?
2003	8/26/09 16:02	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	Ok
2004	8/26/09 16:03	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	Ok
2005	8/26/09 16:08	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	Anything else u can think of?
2006	8/26/09 16:09	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	I got what I needed and that was that even without knowing salaries they are poaching. And they do it to. No "clean hands" there

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2007	8/26/09 16:09	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>Right. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:57 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I got what I needed and that was that even without knowing salaries they are poaching. And they do it to. No "clean hands" there>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<You got what you could. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Can I ask how many they poached?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Not a one. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Been any ques from comms-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I'm on who asking quest-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle (tipug) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed through, but they didn't. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:23 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Almost hm-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:21 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now asking; said they just have a few. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:03 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Ok-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:01 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Nothing different. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:02 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Did other parties ask same ques or diff?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Nothing. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Any thing I need to know it now? Did u tk notes? Was he even tempered?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<On a break. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<At therapy be hm in 20 mins or so. How's it going-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<In office watching on tv. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<R u down there or in off?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Fine. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:23 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<How is it going?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:17 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I knew u would. Ill be on asap-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:16 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Yeah, thanks. I appreciate the empathy. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:15 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<But yoooooooo are not going to miss all that. Ha-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:13 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<But you're going to miss SOOO much...<:)>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:06 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I will be on a little late-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:36 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I mean, yes.</p>
2008	8/26/09 16:11	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>Got it. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<You got what you could. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Can I ask how many they poached?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Not a one. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Been any ques from comms-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I'm on who asking quest-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle (tipug) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed through, but they didn't. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:23 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Almost hm-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:21 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now asking; said they just have a few. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:03 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Ok-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:01 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Nothing different. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:02 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Did other parties ask same ques or diff?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Nothing. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Any thing I need to know it now? Did u tk notes? Was he even tempered?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<On a break. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<At therapy be hm in 20 mins or so. How's it going-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<In office watching on tv. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<R u down there or in off?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Fine. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:23 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<How is it going?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:17 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I knew u would. Ill be on asap-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:16 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Yeah, thanks. I appreciate the empathy. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:15 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<But yoooooooo are not going to miss all that. Ha-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:13 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<But you're going to miss SOOO much...<:)>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:06 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I will be on a little late-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:36 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I mean, yes.</p>
2009	8/26/09 16:11	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	<p>Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2013	8/26/09 16:16	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>Stahl is up now - he's the nuclear guy. Will be interesting to see what kinds of questions he draws. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:03 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Ok<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:01 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Nothing different. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:02 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Did other parties ask same ques or diff?<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:00 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Nothing. <-----You didn't miss anything. No fireworks. Staff asked some details questions - mainly clarifying points other parties made. Nothing noteworthy. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:59 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Any thing I need to know it now? Did u tk notes? Was he even tempered?<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->On a break. <-----Almost done with armando. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->At therapy be hm in 20 mins or so. How's it going<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->In office watching on tv. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->R u down there or in off?<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Fine. Staff asking questions, seems like pretty routine stuff. No fireworks or bombshells. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->How is it going?<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:23 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->They still haven't started. <-----Armando not in witness chair. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:17 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->I knew u would. Ill be on asap<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:16 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Yeah, thanks. I appreciate the empathy. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:15 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->But yooooou are not going to miss all that. Ha<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:13 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->But you're going to miss SOOO much....<----->)<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:06 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->I will be on a little late<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:36 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->I mean, yes, his client's particular costs matter, but that is not the focus, since it is a system-wide cost that sets rates. <-----No basis to set a different rate for his client. <-----And, remember, city SD is thinking about buying out and running as municipal. So, he really wanted that info for a non-rate case purpose. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:34 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Was the guy asking legitimate ques<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:33 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Matt showed some temper there. Might not have been the best thing to do. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 10:09 AM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Stinks<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:44 AM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Well, they always say that's good - you want a surgeon who does a lot of surgeries = keeps in practice. <-----I guess its really bad if you want an office visit. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:43 AM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Still trying as the guy is always in surgery<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:42 AM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->I'll be listening. Did you ever get in to see the dr?<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:37 AM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->I will let u know when I have to leave<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:35 AM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Not very much. <-----All comms here now. <-----Original</p>
2014	8/26/09 16:21	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now asking; said they just have a few. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:03 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Ok<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:01 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Nothing different. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:02 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Did other parties ask same ques or diff?<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:00 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Nothing. <-----You didn't miss anything. No fireworks. Staff asked some details questions - mainly clarifying points other parties made. Nothing noteworthy. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:59 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Any thing I need to know it now? Did u tk notes? Was he even tempered?<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->On a break. <-----Almost done with armando. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->At therapy be hm in 20 mins or so. How's it going<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->In office watching on tv. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->R u down there or in off?<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Fine. Staff asking questions, seems like pretty routine stuff. No fireworks or bombshells. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->How is it going?<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:23 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->They still haven't started. <-----Armando not in witness chair. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:17 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->I knew u would. Ill be on asap<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:16 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Yeah, thanks. I appreciate the empathy. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:15 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->But yooooou are not going to miss all that. Ha<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:13 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->But you're going to miss SOOO much....<----->)<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:06 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->I will be on a little late<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:36 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->I mean, yes, his client's particular costs matter, but that is not the focus, since it is a system-wide cost that sets rates. <-----No basis to set a different rate for his client. <-----And, remember, city SD is thinking about buying out and running as municipal. So, he really wanted that info for a non-rate case purpose. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:34 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Was the guy asking legitimate ques<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 12:33 PM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Matt showed some temper there. Might not have been the best thing to do. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 10:09 AM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Stinks<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:44 AM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Well, they always say that's good - you want a surgeon who does a lot of surgeries = keeps in practice. <-----I guess its really bad if you want an office visit. <-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:43 AM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Still trying as the guy is always in surgery<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:42 AM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->I'll be listening. Did you ever get in to see the dr?<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Nancy Argenziano----->To: Larry Harris<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:37 AM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->I will let u know when I have to leave<-----Original Message-----> <-----From: Larry Harris----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Sent: Aug 26, 2009 9:35 AM-----Subject: Re: Chair<----->Not very much. <-----All comms here now. <-----Original</p>
2015	8/26/09 16:23	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	<p>Almost hm</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2026	8/26/09 18:17	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>He wants to keep staff discovery out. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<What is it exactly that he wants-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle is wrong. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Ok talk to me on this-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Got it. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<You got what you could. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Can I ask how many they poached?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Not a one. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Been any ques from comms-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<I'm on who asking quest-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle (figup) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed through, but they didn't. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:23 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Almost hm-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:21 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now asking; said they just have a few. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:03 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Ok-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:01 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Nothing different. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:02 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Did other parties ask same ques or diff?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:00 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Nothing. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:59 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Any thing I need to know it now? Did u tk notes? Was he even tempered?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<On a break. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Almost done with armando. -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<In office watching on tv. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<R u down there or in off?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Fine. Staff asking questions, seems like pretty routine stuff. No fireworks or bombshells. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<How is it going?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:23 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<They still haven't started. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:17 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<I knew u would. Ill be on asap-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:16 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Yeah, thanks. I appreciate the empathy.-----Original</p>
2027	8/26/09 18:17	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>Intervenor don't like the idea of staff putting stuff into the record that will be relied on later. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<What is it exactly that he wants-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle is wrong. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Ok talk to me on this-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Got it. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<You got what you could. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Can I ask how many they poached?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Not a one. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Been any ques from comms-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<I'm on who asking quest-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle (figup) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed through, but they didn't. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:23 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Almost hm-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:21 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now asking; said they just have a few. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:03 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Ok-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:01 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Nothing different. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:02 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Did other parties ask same ques or diff?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:00 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Nothing. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:59 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Any thing I need to know it now? Did u tk notes? Was he even tempered?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<On a break. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Almost done with armando. -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<In office watching on tv. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<R u down there or in off?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<Fine. Staff asking questions, seems like pretty routine stuff. No fireworks or bombshells. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<How is it going?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:23 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<They still haven't started. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:17 PM>Subject: Re: Chair<I knew u would. Ill be on asap-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:16</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2028	8/26/09 18:19	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>But the reason staff has to is b/c parties can't be counted on to fill out all issues. Intervenor only push their issues, and have games they play with company. Without staff, there would be big holes that wouldn't be challenged. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->What is it exactly that he wants<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Moyle is wrong. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Ok talk to me on this<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Got it. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->You got what you could. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Can I ask how many they poached?<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Not a one. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Been any ques from comms<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Moyle. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->I'm on who asking quest<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Moyle (figup) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed through, but they didn't. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:23 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Almost hm<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:21 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now asking; said they just have a few. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:03 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Ok<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:01 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Nothing different. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:02 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Did other parties ask same ques or diff?<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:00 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Nothing. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:59 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Any thing I need to know it now? Did u tk notes? Was he even tempered?<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->At therapy be hm in 20 mins or so. How's it going<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->In office watching on tv. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->R u down there or in off?<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Fine. Staff asking questions, seems like pretty routine stuff. No fireworks or bombshells. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->How is it going?<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:23 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->They still haven't started. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:17 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->I knew u</p>
2029	8/26/09 18:19	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>But, given what staff did in teco, I think the intervenors are very right to be worried about this - they got screwed by staff last time. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->What is it exactly that he wants<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Moyle is wrong. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Ok talk to me on this<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Got it. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->You got what you could. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Can I ask how many they poached?<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Not a one. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Been any ques from comms<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Moyle. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->I'm on who asking quest<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Moyle (figup) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed through, but they didn't. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:23 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Almost hm<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:21 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now asking; said they just have a few. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:03 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Ok<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:01 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Nothing different. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:02 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Did other parties ask same ques or diff?<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:00 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Nothing. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:59 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Any thing I need to know it now? Did u tk notes? Was he even tempered?<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->On a break. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->At therapy be hm in 20 mins or so. How's it going<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->In office watching on tv. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->R u down there or in off?<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->Fine. Staff asking questions, seems like pretty routine stuff. No fireworks or bombshells. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->How is it going?<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:23 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->They still haven't started. <----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:17 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<-----><----->I knew u would. Ill be on asap<----->-----Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2030	8/26/09 18:20	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>Fipug-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:20 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><And moyle is with who?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:19 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><But the reason staff has to is b/c parties can't be counted on to fill out all issues. Intervenor only push their issues, and have games they play with company. Without staff, there would be big holes that wouldn't be challenged. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><What is it exactly that he wants?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Moyle is wrong. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Ok talk to me on this?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Got it. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><You got what you could. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Can I ask how many they poached?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Not a one. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Been any ques from comms?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Moyle. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><I'm on who asking quest?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Moyle (fipug) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed through, but they didn't. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:23 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Almost hm?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:21 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now asking; said they just have a few. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:03 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Ok?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:01 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Nothing different. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:02 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Did other parties ask same ques or diff?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:00 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Nothing. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:59 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Any thing I need to know it now? Did u tk notes? Was he even tempered?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><On a break. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><At therapy be hm in 20 mins or so. How's it going?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><In office watching on tv. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><u down there or in off?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Fine. Staff asking questions, seems like pretty routine stuff. No fireworks or bombshells. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><How is it going?>-----Original Message-----</p>
2031	8/26/09 18:20	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	And moyle is with who?
2032	8/26/09 18:21	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	I thought so but I am missing somthing
2033	8/26/09 18:22	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	They got screwed on TECO from staff on which issue. Step inc
2034	8/26/09 18:24	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	They don't want poached numbers in record
2035	8/26/09 18:24	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>Right. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:24 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><They dont want poached numbers in record?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:20 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Fipug?>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:20 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><And moyle is with who?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:19 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><But the reason staff has to is b/c parties can't be counted on to fill out all issues. Intervenor only push their issues, and have games they play with company. Without staff, there would be big holes that wouldn't be challenged. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><What is it exactly that he wants?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Ok talk to me on this?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Got it. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><You got what you could. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Can I ask how many they poached?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Not a one. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Moyle. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><I'm on who asking quest?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Moyle (fipug) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed through, but they didn't. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:23 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Almost hm?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:21 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now asking; said they just have a few. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:03 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Ok?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:01 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Nothing different. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:02 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Did other parties ask same ques or diff?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:00 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Nothing. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:59 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Any thing I need to know it now? Did u tk notes? Was he even tempered?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><On a break. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><At therapy be hm in 20 mins or so. How's it going?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><In office watching on tv. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><u down there or in off?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Fine. >-----Original Message-----</p>
2036	8/26/09 18:24	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	They don't want poached numbers in record

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2037	8/26/09 18:24	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>Yes. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:22 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<They got screwed on TECCO from staff on which issue. Step inc<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:20 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Fipug<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:20 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<And moyle is with who?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:19 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<But the reason staff has to is b/c parties can't be counted on to fill out all issues. Intervenor only push their issues, and have games they play with company. Without staff, there would be big holes that wouldn't be challenged. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<What is it exactly that he wants<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle is wrong. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Ok talk to me on this<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Got it. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<You got what you could. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Can I ask how many they poached?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Not a one. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Been any ques from comms<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I'm on who asking quest<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle (fipug) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed through, but they didn't. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:23 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Almost hm<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:21 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now asking; said they just have a few. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:03 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Ok<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:01 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Nothing different. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:02 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Did other parties ask same ques or diff<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Nothing. <You didn't miss anything. No fireworks. Staff asked some details questions - mainly clarifying points other parties made. Nothing noteworthy. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Any thing I need to know rt now? Did u tk notes? Was he even tempered?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<On a break. <Almost done with armando. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<At therapy be hm in 20 mins or so. How's it going<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<In office watching on tv. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<u down there or in off<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Fine.</p>
2038	8/26/09 18:27	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA		<p>Intervenor do not, b/c the numbers will show that it is a big deal for nuclear, which will support higher compensation numbers. <But, nuclear are not all the salaries, and I think you can make separate categories - the hard to get nuc operators vs the run of the mill business people who make too much. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:24 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<They don't want poached numbers in record<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:20 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Fipug<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:20 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<And moyle is with who?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:19 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<But the reason staff has to is b/c parties can't be counted on to fill out all issues. Intervenor only push their issues, and have games they play with company. Without staff, there would be big holes that wouldn't be challenged. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<What is it exactly that he wants<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle is wrong. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Ok talk to me on this<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Got it. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<You got what you could. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Can I ask how many they poached?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Not a one. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Been any ques from comms<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I'm on who asking quest<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle (fipug) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed through, but they didn't. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:23 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Almost hm<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:21 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now asking; said they just have a few. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:03 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Ok<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:01 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Nothing different. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:02 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Did other parties ask same ques or diff<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Nothing. <You didn't miss anything. No fireworks. Staff asked some details questions - mainly clarifying points other parties made. Nothing noteworthy. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Any thing I need to know rt now? Did u tk notes? Was he even tempered?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<On a break. <Almost done with armando. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<At therapy be hm in 20 mins or so. How's it going<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<In office</p>
2039	8/26/09 18:28	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	<p>Wouldn't you object to that to. I don't think I like it either</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2040	8/26/09 18:30	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>If I were them, with what happened on teco, I'd fight too. <P>But, that is a really bad policy choice - staff help the intervenors more than they help the company. <P>If intervenors get staff disqualified from filling the record, the ratepayers will really be screwed in the future. <P>That would be really bad. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Wouldn't you object to that to. I don't think I like it either<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:17 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Intervenor's don't like the idea of staff putting stuff into the record that will be relied on later. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>What is it exactly that he wants<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Moyle is wrong. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Ok talk to me on this<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Got it. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>You got what you could. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Can I ask how many they poached?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Not a one. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Been any ques from comms<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Moyle. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>I'm on who asking quest<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Moyle (fipug) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed through, but they didn't. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:23 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Almost hm<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:21 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now asking; said they just have a few. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:03 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Ok<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:01 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Nothing different. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:02 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Did other parties ask same ques or diff?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:00 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Nothing. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Any thing I need to know rt now? Did u tk notes? Was he even tempered?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>On a break. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>At therapy be hm in 20 mins or so. How's it going<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>In office watching on tv. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>u down there or in off?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:51 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Fine. Staff asking questions, seems like pretty routine stuff. No fireworks or bombshells. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy</p>
2041	8/26/09 18:31	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	<p>There not late filed so I guedd that's ok but if staff enters then intervenors should be able to cross staff. Right?</p>
2042	8/26/09 18:32	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>They want to challenge documents staff is trying to move in through the witness. With the goal of keeping those documents out of the record. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:31 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>There not late filed so I guedd that's ok but if staff enters then intervenors should be able to cross staff. Right?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:27 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Intervenor's do not, b/c the numbers will show that it is a big deal for nuclear, which will support higher compensation numbers. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:19 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>But the reason staff has to is b/c parties can't be counted on to fill out all issues. Intervenor's only push their issues, and have games they play with company. Without staff, there would be big holes that wouldn't be challenged. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>What is it exactly that he wants<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Moyle is wrong. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Ok talk to me on this<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Got it. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>You got what you could. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Not a one. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Been any ques from comms<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Moyle. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>I'm on who asking quest<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Moyle (fipug) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed through, but they didn't. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:23 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Almost hm<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:21 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now asking; said they just have a few. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:03 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Ok<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:01 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Nothing different. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:02 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Did other parties ask same ques or diff?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:00 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Nothing. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:59 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Any thing I need to know rt now? Did u tk notes? Was he even</p>
2043	8/26/09 18:32	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	<p>Got it</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2044	8/26/09 18:34	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>So, its a short-sighted thing to do - unless you are prepared to shoulder the burden of fully litigating the case. <v>Remember, opc and AG asked no questions of this guy. So, they were not filling the record, which would leave fpl's case unchallenged, which means fpl would win. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:32 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Got it<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:30 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>If I were them, with what happened on teco, I'd fight too. <v>But, that is a really bad policy choice - staff help the intervenors more than they help the company. <v>If intervenors get staff disqualified from filling the record, the ratepayers will really be screwed in the future. <v>That would be really bad. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Wouldn't you object to that to, I don't think I like it either<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:17 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Intervenor doesn't like the idea of staff putting stuff into the record that will be relied on later. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>What is it exactly that he wants<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Moyle is wrong. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Ok talk to me on this<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Got it. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>You got what you could. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Can I ask how many they poached?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Not a one. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Been any ques from comms<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Moyle. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>I'm on who asking quest<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Moyle (fipug) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed through, but they didn't. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:23 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Almost hm<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:21 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now asking; said they just have a few. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:03 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Ok<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:01 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Nothing different. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:02 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Did other parties ask same ques or diff?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:00 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Nothing. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:59 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Any thing I need to know it now? Did u tk notes? Was he even tempered?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>On a break. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>At therapy be hm in 20 mins or so. How's it going<v>-----Original</p>
2045	8/26/09 18:36	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	I had stepped in bathroom and missed it
2046	8/26/09 18:36	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>You didn't miss anything earth shaking. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:36 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>I had stepped in bathroom and missed it<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:32 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>They want to challenge documents staff is trying to move in through the witness. With the goal of keeping those documents out of the record. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:31 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>There not late filed so I guedd that's ok but if staff enters then intervenors should be able to cross staff. Right?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:27 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Intervenor do not, b/c the numbers will show that it is a big deal for nuclear, which will support higher compensation numbers. But, nuclear are not all the salaries, and I think you can make separate categories - the hard to get nuc operators vs the run of the mill business people who make too much. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:24 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>They don't want poached numbers in record<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:20 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Fipug<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:20 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>And moyle is with who?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:19 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>But the reason staff has to is b/c parties can't be counted on to fill out all issues. Intervenor only push their issues, and have games they play with company. Without staff, there would be big holes that wouldn't be challenged. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>What is it exactly that he wants<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Moyle is wrong. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Ok talk to me on this<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Got it. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>You got what you could. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Not a one. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Been any ques from comms<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Moyle. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>I'm on who asking quest<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Moyle (fipug) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed through, but they didn't. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:23 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Almost hm<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:21 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now asking; said they just have a few. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:03 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Ok<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:01 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Nothing different. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:00 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Did other parties ask same ques or diff?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:00 PM<v>Subject: Re: Chair<v><v>Nothing.</p>
2047	8/26/09 18:37	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	How is the best way to protect intervenors from getting screwed by staff like in teco?
2048	8/26/09 18:37	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	Ok

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2049	8/26/09 18:38	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	3 commissioners vote to deny staff recommendation. <No, really, the only way is to make arguments to the others that get them to vote right. <On teco, the others should have done right, not what company wanted. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:37 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<How is the best way to protect intervenors from getting screwed by staff like in teco?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:34 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<So, its a short-sighted thing to do - unless you are prepared to shoulder the burden of fully litigating the case. <Remember, opc and AG asked no questions of this guy. So, they were not filling the record, which would leave fpl's case unchallenged, which means fpl would win. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:32 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Got it<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:30 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<If I were them, with what happened on teco, I'd fight too. <But, that is a really bad policy choice - staff help the intervenors more than they help the company. <If intervenors get staff disqualified from filling the record, the ratepayers will really be screwed in the future. <That would be really bad. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Wouldn't you object to that to. I don't think I like it either<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:17 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Intervenors don't like the idea of staff putting stuff into the record that will be relied on later. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<What is it exactly that he wants<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Moyle is wrong. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Ok talk to me on this<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Got it. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<You got what you could. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Can I ask how many they poached?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Not a one. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Been any ques from comms<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Moyle. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<I'm on who asking quest<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Moyle (figup) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed through, but they didn't. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:23 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Almost hm<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:21 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now asking; said they just have a few. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:03 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Ok<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:01 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Nothing different. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:02 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Did other parties ask same ques or diff?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Nothing. <You didn't miss anything. No fireworks. Staff asked some details questions - mainly clarifying points other parties made. Nothing noteworthy. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<What's stops then from doing what comp wants now
2050	8/26/09 18:40	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	What's stops then from doing what comp wants now
2051	8/26/09 18:41	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	Who? Cmsrs or staff?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:40 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<What's stops then from doing what comp wants now<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:38 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<3 commissioners vote to deny staff recommendation. <No, really, the only way is to make arguments to the others that get them to vote right. <On teco, the others should have done right, not what company wanted. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:37 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<How is the best way to protect intervenors from getting screwed by staff like in teco?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:34 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<So, its a short-sighted thing to do - unless you are prepared to shoulder the burden of fully litigating the case. <Remember, opc and AG asked no questions of this guy. So, they were not filling the record, which would leave fpl's case unchallenged, which means fpl would win. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:32 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Got it<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:30 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<If I were them, with what happened on teco, I'd fight too. <But, that is a really bad policy choice - staff help the intervenors more than they help the company. <If intervenors get staff disqualified from filling the record, the ratepayers will really be screwed in the future. <That would be really bad. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Wouldn't you object to that to. I don't think I like it either<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:17 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Intervenors don't like the idea of staff putting stuff into the record that will be relied on later. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<What is it exactly that he wants<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Moyle is wrong. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Ok talk to me on this<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Got it. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<You got what you could. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Can I ask how many they poached?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Not a one. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Been any ques from comms<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Moyle. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<I'm on who asking quest<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Moyle (figup) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed through, but they didn't. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:23 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Almost hm<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:21 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now asking; said they just have a few. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:03 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Ok<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:01 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Nothing different. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<Nothing. <You didn't miss anything. No fireworks. Staff asked some details questions - mainly clarifying points other parties made. Nothing noteworthy. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<<What's stops then from doing what comp wants now
2052	8/26/09 18:41	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	404 ????

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2053	8/26/09 18:41	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>The poaching late filed exhibit. <From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:41 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<404 ???>><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:38 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<3 commissioners vote to deny staff recommendation. <No, really, the only way is to make arguments to the others that get them to vote right. <On teco, the others should have done right, not what company wanted. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:37 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><How is the best way to protect intervenors from getting screwed by staff like in teco?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:34 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><So, its a short-sighted thing to do - unless you are prepared to shoulder the burden of fully litigating the case. <Remember, opc and AG asked no questions of this guy. So, they were not filling the record, which would leave fpl's case unchallenged, which means fpl would win. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:32 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Got it<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:30 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><If I were them, with what happened on teco, I'd fight too. <But, that is a really bad policy choice - staff help the intervenors more than they help the company. <If intervenors get staff disqualified from filling the record, the ratepayers will really be screwed in the future. <That would be really bad. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Wouldn't you object to that to. I don't think I like it either<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:17 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Intervenor don't like the idea of staff putting stuff into the record that will be relied on later. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><What is it exactly that he wants<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Moyle is wrong. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Ok talk to me on this<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Got it. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><You got what you could. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Can I ask how many they poached?<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Not a one. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Been any ques from comms<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Moyle. <Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><I'm on who asking quest<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Moyle (fipug) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed through, but they didn't. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:23 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Almost hm<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:21 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now asking: said they just have a few. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:03 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Ok<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:01 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Nothing different. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:02 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Did other parties ask same ques or diff?<><Original</p>
2054	8/26/09 18:42	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>Joe should be credible now. <Original Message><To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:24 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Right. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:24 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><They don't want poached numbers in record<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:20 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Fipug<><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:20 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><And moyle is with who?<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:19 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><But the reason staff has to is b/c parties can't be counted on to fill out all issues. Intervenor only push their issues, and have games they play with company. Without staff, there would be big holes that wouldn't be challenged. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><What is it exactly that he wants<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Moyle is wrong. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Ok talk to me on this<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Got it. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><You got what you could. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Can I ask how many they poached?<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Not a one. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Been any ques from comms<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Moyle. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><I'm on who asking quest<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Moyle (fipug) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed through, but they didn't. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:23 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Almost hm<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:21 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now asking: said they just have a few. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:03 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Ok<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:01 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Nothing different. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:02 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Did other parties ask same ques or diff?<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:00 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Nothing. <You didn't miss anything. No fireworks. Staff asked some details questions - mainly clarifying points other parties made. Nothing noteworthy. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:59 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><Any thing I need to know rt now? Did u tk notes? Was he even tempered?<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><On a break. <Almost done with armando. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 3:57 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><At therapy be hm in 20 mins or so. How's it going<><Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><In office watching on tv. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 2:52 PM><Subject: Re: Chair<>><R u down there or in off?<><Original</p>
2055	8/26/09 18:42	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	I gess both

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2056	8/26/09 18:43	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>Commsrs should not do what compy wants b/c of their oath. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:42 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><I guess both><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:41 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Who? Cmsrs or staff?><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:40 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><What's stops then from doing what comp wants now?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:38 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><3 commissioners vote to deny staff recommendation. <No, really, the only way is to make arguments to the others that get them to vote right. <On teco, the others should have done right, not what company wanted. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:37 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><How is the best way to protect intervenors from getting screwed by staff like in teco?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:34 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><So, its a short-sighted thing to do - unless you are prepared to shoulder the burden of fully litigating the case. <Remember, opc and AG asked no questions of this guy. So, they were not filling the record, which would leave fpl's case unchallenged, which means fpl would win. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:32 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Got it?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:30 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><If I were them, with what happened on teco, I'd fight too. <But, that is a really bad policy choice - staff help the intervenors more than they help the company. <If intervenors get staff disqualified from filling the record, the ratepayers will really be screwed in the future. <That would be really bad. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Wouldn't you object to that. I don't think I like it either><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:17 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Intervenor don't like the idea of staff putting stuff into the record that will be relied on later. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><What is it exactly that he wants?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Moyle is wrong. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Ok talk to me on this><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Got it. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><You got what you could. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Can I ask how many they poached?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Not a one. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Been any ques from comms><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Moyle. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><I'm on who asking quest><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Moyle (figup) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed through, but they didn't. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:23 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Almost hm><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:21 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now</p>
2057	8/26/09 18:44	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>Joe is right on!!<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:42 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><I guess both><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:41 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Who? Cmsrs or staff?><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:40 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><What's stops then from doing what comp wants now?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:38 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><3 commissioners vote to deny staff recommendation. <No, really, the only way is to make arguments to the others that get them to vote right. <On teco, the others should have done right, not what company wanted. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:37 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><How is the best way to protect intervenors from getting screwed by staff like in teco?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:34 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><So, its a short-sighted thing to do - unless you are prepared to shoulder the burden of fully litigating the case. <Remember, opc and AG asked no questions of this guy. So, they were not filling the record, which would leave fpl's case unchallenged, which means fpl would win. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:32 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Got it?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:30 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><If I were them, with what happened on teco, I'd fight too. <But, that is a really bad policy choice - staff help the intervenors more than they help the company. <If intervenors get staff disqualified from filling the record, the ratepayers will really be screwed in the future. <That would be really bad. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Wouldn't you object to that. I don't think I like it either><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:17 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Intervenor don't like the idea of staff putting stuff into the record that will be relied on later. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><What is it exactly that he wants?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Moyle is wrong. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Ok talk to me on this><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Got it. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><You got what you could. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Can I ask how many they poached?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Not a one. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Been any ques from comms><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Moyle. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><I'm on who asking quest><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Moyle (figup) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed through, but they didn't. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:23 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Almost hm><Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:21 PM><Subject: Re: Chair><Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now asking; said they just have a few. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:03 PM><Subject: Re: Ha ha ha. Like that has ever stopped them before. Meantime intervenors cud get screwed by letting staff enter this. Is sheff right here</p>
2058	8/26/09 18:45	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	<p>Ha ha ha. Like that has ever stopped them before. Meantime intervenors cud get screwed by letting staff enter this. Is sheff right here</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2059	8/26/09 18:45	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	I agree with joe - depos shouldn't be introduced if the witness testifies live. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:42 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->I gess both<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:41 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Who? Cmsrs or staff?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:40 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->What's stops then from doing what comp wants now<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:38 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->3 commissioners vote to deny staff recommendation. <----->No, really, the only way is to make arguments to the others that get them to vote right. <----->On teco, the others should have done right, not what company wanted. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:37 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->How is the best way to protect intervenors from getting screwed by staff like in teco?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:34 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->So, its a short-sighted thing to do - unless you are prepared to shoulder the burden of fully litigating the case. <----->Remember, ops and AG asked no questions of this guy. So, they were not filling the record, which would leave fpl's case unchallenged, which means fpl would win. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:32 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Got it<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:30 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->If I were them, with what happened on teco, I'd fight too. <----->But, that is a really bad policy choice - staff help the intervenors more than they help the company. <----->If intervenors get staff disqualified from filling the record, the ratepayers will really be screwed in the future. <----->That would be really bad. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Wouldn't you object to that to. I don't think I like it either<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:17 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Intervenor don't like the idea of staff putting stuff into the record that will be relied on later. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->What is it exactly that he wants<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Moyle is wrong. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Ok talk to me on this<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Got it. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->You got what you could. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Can I ask how many they poached?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Not a one. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Been any ques from comms<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Moyle. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->I'm on who asking quest<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Moyle (fipug) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed through, but they didn't. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:23 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Almost hm<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:21 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Neither OPC or AG had questions for stahl. Hospitals are now asking; said they just have a few. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy
2060	8/26/09 18:45	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	I meant joe not sheff
2061	8/26/09 18:47	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	Then why are we even going there. That's what they ate objecting to? And what staff would enter?
2062	8/26/09 18:47	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	That is one of the types of documents that staff want to introduce. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:47 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Then why are we even going there. That's what they ate objecting to? And what staff would enter?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:45 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->I agree with joe - depos shouldn't be introduced if the witness testifies live. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:42 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->I gess both<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:41 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Who? Cmsrs or staff?<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:40 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->What's stops then from doing what comp wants now<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:38 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->3 commissioners vote to deny staff recommendation. <----->No, really, the only way is to make arguments to the others that get them to vote right. <----->On teco, the others should have done right, not what company wanted. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:37 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->How is the best way to protect intervenors from getting screwed by staff like in teco?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:34 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->So, its a short-sighted thing to do - unless you are prepared to shoulder the burden of fully litigating the case. <----->Remember, ops and AG asked no questions of this guy. So, they were not filling the record, which would leave fpl's case unchallenged, which means fpl would win. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:32 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Got it<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:30 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->If I were them, with what happened on teco, I'd fight too. <----->But, that is a really bad policy choice - staff help the intervenors more than they help the company. <----->If intervenors get staff disqualified from filling the record, the ratepayers will really be screwed in the future. <----->That would be really bad. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Wouldn't you object to that to. I don't think I like it either<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:17 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Intervenor don't like the idea of staff putting stuff into the record that will be relied on later. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->What is it exactly that he wants<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Moyle is wrong. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Ok talk to me on this<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Got it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->You got what you could. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Can I ask how many they poached?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Not a one. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Been any ques from comms<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Moyle. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->I'm on who asking quest<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM<----->Subject: Re: Chair<----->Moyle (fipug) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed
2063	8/26/09 18:48	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	I don't agree then if people are there to testify

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2064	8/26/09 18:48	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>Joe is right on with each of these points. <I hope jr is listening - give this guy a raise. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:47 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Then why are we even going there. That's what they ate objecting to? And what staff would enter?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I agree with joe - deposes shouldn't be introduced if the witness testifies live. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I gess both<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:41 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Who? Cmsrs or staff?<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:40 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<What's stops then from doing what comp wants now<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:38 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<3 commissioners vote to deny staff recommendation. <No, really, the only way is to make arguments to the others that get them to vote right. <On teco, the others should have done right, not what company wanted. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:37 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<How is the best way to protect intervenors from getting screwed by staff like in teco?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:34 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<So, its a short-sighted thing to do - unless you are prepared to shoulder the burden of fully litigating the case. <Remember, opc and AG asked no questions of this guy. So, they were not filling the record, which would leave fpl's case unchallenged, which means fpl would win. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:32 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Got it<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:30 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<If I were them, with what happened on teco, I'd fight too. <But, that is a really bad policy choice - staff help the intervenors more than they help the company. <If intervenors get staff disqualified from filling the record, the ratepayers will really be screwed in the future. <That would be really bad. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Wouldn't you object to that to. I don't think I like it either<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:17 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Intervenor don't like the idea of staff putting stuff into the record that will be relied on later. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<What is it exactly that he wants<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle is wrong. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Ok talk to me on this<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<You got what you could. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Can I ask how many they poached?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Not a one. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Been any ques from comms<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I'm on who asking quest<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle (fipug) asking questions now. Hospitals didn't ask anything I thought noteworthy. Just about the turnover among operators. Which would have been interesting if they had followed</p>
2065	8/26/09 18:49	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>I agree with joe. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:48 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I don't agree then if people are there to testify<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:47 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<That is one of the types of documents that staff want to introduce. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:47 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Then why are we even going there. That's what they ate objecting to? And what staff would enter?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I agree with joe - deposes shouldn't be introduced if the witness testifies live. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I gess both<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:41 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Who? Cmsrs or staff?<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:40 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<What's stops then from doing what comp wants now<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:38 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<3 commissioners vote to deny staff recommendation. <No, really, the only way is to make arguments to the others that get them to vote right. <On teco, the others should have done right, not what company wanted. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:37 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<How is the best way to protect intervenors from getting screwed by staff like in teco?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:34 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<So, its a short-sighted thing to do - unless you are prepared to shoulder the burden of fully litigating the case. <Remember, opc and AG asked no questions of this guy. So, they were not filling the record, which would leave fpl's case unchallenged, which means fpl would win. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:32 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Got it<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:30 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<If I were them, with what happened on teco, I'd fight too. <But, that is a really bad policy choice - staff help the intervenors more than they help the company. <If intervenors get staff disqualified from filling the record, the ratepayers will really be screwed in the future. <That would be really bad. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Wouldn't you object to that to. I don't think I like it either<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:17 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Intervenor don't like the idea of staff putting stuff into the record that will be relied on later. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<What is it exactly that he wants<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle is wrong. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Ok talk to me on this<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Got it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<You got what you could. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Can I ask how many they poached?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Not a one. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Been any ques from comms<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I'm</p>
2066	8/26/09 18:50	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	<p>How do I help his point bc it was s right</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2067	8/26/09 18:50	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA		<p>Scheff isn't speaking. That was joe mcglaughlin for opc. Now is wiseman for hospitals. <P>I haven't heard schef speak. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:45 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Ha ha ha. Like that has ever stopped them before. Meantime intervenors cud get screwed by letting staff enter this. Is schef right here?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:43 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Commsrs should not do what compy wants b/c of their oath. <P>Staff shouldn't b/c they are supposed to be neutral and impartial and give best professional judgement. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:42 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>I gess both<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:41 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Who? Cmsrs or staff?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:40 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>What's stops then from doing what comp wants now<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:38 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>3 commissioners vote to deny staff recommendation. <P>No, really, the only way is to make arguments to the others that get them to vote right. <P>On teco, the others should have done right, not what company wanted. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:37 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>How is the best way to protect intervenors from getting screwed by staff like in teco?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:34 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>So, its a short-sighted thing to do - unless you are prepared to shoulder the burden of fully litigating the case. <P>Remember, opc and AG asked no questions of this guy. So, they were not filling the record, which would leave fpl's case unchallenged, which means fpl would win. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:32 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Got it<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:30 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>If I were them, with what happened on teco, I'd fight too. <P>But, that is a really bad policy choice - staff help the intervenors more than they help the company. <P>If intervenors get staff disqualified from filling the record, the ratepayers will really be screwed in the future. <P>That would be really bad. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Wouldn't you object to that to. I don't think I like it either<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:17 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Intervenor don't like the idea of staff putting stuff into the record that will be relied on later. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>What is it exactly that he wants<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Moyle is wrong. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Ok talk to me on this<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Got it. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>You got what you could. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Can I ask how many they poached?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Not a one. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Been any ques from comms<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Moyle. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>I'm on who asking quest<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:34 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Moyle (figup) asking questions now.</p>
2068	8/26/09 18:51	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>I don't see how you can, other than to give chair your opinion. It is his ruling. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:50 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>How do I help his point bc it was a right<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:48 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Joe is right on with each of these points. <P>I hope jr is listening - give this guy a raise. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:47 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Then why are we even going there. That's what they ate objecting to? And what staff would enter?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:45 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>I agree with joe - depots shouldn't be introduced if the witness testifies live. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:42 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>I gess both<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:41 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Who? Cmsrs or staff?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:40 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>What's stops then from doing what comp wants now<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:38 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>3 commissioners vote to deny staff recommendation. <P>No, really, the only way is to make arguments to the others that get them to vote right. <P>On teco, the others should have done right, not what company wanted. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:37 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>How is the best way to protect intervenors from getting screwed by staff like in teco?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:34 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>So, its a short-sighted thing to do - unless you are prepared to shoulder the burden of fully litigating the case. <P>Remember, opc and AG asked no questions of this guy. So, they were not filling the record, which would leave fpl's case unchallenged, which means fpl would win. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:32 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Got it<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:30 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>If I were them, with what happened on teco, I'd fight too. <P>But, that is a really bad policy choice - staff help the intervenors more than they help the company. <P>If intervenors get staff disqualified from filling the record, the ratepayers will really be screwed in the future. <P>That would be really bad. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Wouldn't you object to that to. I don't think I like it either<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:17 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Intervenor don't like the idea of staff putting stuff into the record that will be relied on later. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>What is it exactly that he wants<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Moyle is wrong. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Ok talk to me on this<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Got it. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>You got what you could. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Can I ask how many they poached?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Not a one. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Been any ques from comms<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42 PM<P>Subject: Re: Chair<P><P>Moyle. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:42</p>
2069	8/26/09 18:51	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	<p>Staff does not?</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2070	8/26/09 18:51	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>They are the ones trying to introduce depo. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:51 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Staff does not?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:49 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<I agree with joe. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:48 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<I don't agree then if people are there to testify?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:47 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<That is one of the types of documents that staff want to introduce. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:47 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Then why are we even going there. That's what they are objecting to? And what staff would enter?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:45 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<I agree with joe - depos shouldn't be introduced if the witness testifies live. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:42 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<I guess both?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:41 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Who? Cmsrs or staff?> Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:40 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<What's stops then from doing what comp wants now?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:38 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<3 commissioners vote to deny staff recommendation. No, really, the only way is to make arguments to the others that get them to vote right. On teco, the others should have done right, not what company wanted. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:37 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<How is the best way to protect intervenors from getting screwed by staff like in teco?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:34 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<So, its a short-sighted thing to do - unless you are prepared to shoulder the burden of fully litigating the case. Remember, opc and AG asked no questions of this guy. So, they were not filling the record, which would leave fpl's case unchallenged, which means fpl would win. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:32 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Got it?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:30 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<If I were them, with what happened on teco, I'd fight too. But, that is a really bad policy choice - staff help the intervenors more than they help the company. If intervenors get staff disqualified from filling the record, the ratepayers will really be screwed in the future. That would be really bad. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Wouldn't you object to that to. I don't think I like it either?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:17 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Intervenor don't like the idea of staff putting stuff into the record that will be relied on later. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<What is it exactly that he wants?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle is wrong. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Ok talk to me on this?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Got it. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<You got what you could. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Can I ask how many they poached?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Not a one. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 4:45 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Been any ques from</p>
2071	8/26/09 18:53	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	<p>I can say I agree with opc I can always argue points which wud be helpful for u to hlp me with now</p>
2072	8/26/09 18:54	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>I think the intervenors have made the points very clearly. <You could just say they persuaded you and you would sustain the intervenors objection if it were your ruling. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:53 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<I can say I agree with opc I can always argue points which wud be helpful for u to hlp me with now?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:51 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<I don't see how you can, other than to give chair your opinion. It is his ruling. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:50 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<How do I help his point bc it was a right?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:48 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Joe is right on with each of these points. I hope jr is listening - give this guy a raise. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:47 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Then why are we even going there. That's what they are objecting to? And what staff would enter?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:45 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<I agree with joe - depos shouldn't be introduced if the witness testifies live. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:42 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<I guess both?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:41 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Who? Cmsrs or staff?> Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:40 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<What's stops then from doing what comp wants now?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:38 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<3 commissioners vote to deny staff recommendation. No, really, the only way is to make arguments to the others that get them to vote right. On teco, the others should have done right, not what company wanted. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:37 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<How is the best way to protect intervenors from getting screwed by staff like in teco?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:34 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<So, its a short-sighted thing to do - unless you are prepared to shoulder the burden of fully litigating the case. Remember, opc and AG asked no questions of this guy. So, they were not filling the record, which would leave fpl's case unchallenged, which means fpl would win. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:32 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Got it?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:30 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<If I were them, with what happened on teco, I'd fight too. But, that is a really bad policy choice - staff help the intervenors more than they help the company. If intervenors get staff disqualified from filling the record, the ratepayers will really be screwed in the future. That would be really bad. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Wouldn't you object to that to. I don't think I like it either?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:17 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Intervenor don't like the idea of staff putting stuff into the record that will be relied on later. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<What is it exactly that he wants?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle is wrong. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Ok talk to me on this?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Got it. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecnos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are?> Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<You got what you could. Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM> Subject: Re: Chair<Can I ask how many they poached?></p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2073	8/26/09 18:55	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>It is not a matter of the rules allowing it - the rules do allow it. The Q is the right policy, what should be done. What should you do with something rule makes discretionary-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:53 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I can say I agree with opc I can always argue points which would be helpful for u to help me with now-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:51 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I don't see how you can, other than to give chair your opinion. It is his ruling. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:50 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<How do I help his point bc it was s right-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:48 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Joe is right on with each of these points. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:47 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Then why are we even going there. That's what they are objecting to? And what staff would enter?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I agree with joe - depositions shouldn't be introduced if the witness testifies live. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I guess both-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:41 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Who? Cmsrs or staff?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:40 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<What's stops then from doing what comp wants now-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:38 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<3 commissioners vote to deny staff recommendation. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:37 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<On teco, the others should have done right, not what company wanted. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:37 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<How is the best way to protect intervenors from getting screwed by staff like in teco?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:34 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<So, it's a short-sighted thing to do - unless you are prepared to shoulder the burden of fully litigating the case. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:32 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Remember, opc and AG asked no questions of this guy. So, they were not filling the record, which would leave fpl's case unchallenged, which means fpl would win. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:30 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Got it-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:30 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<If I were them, with what happened on teco, I'd fight too. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<But, that is a really bad policy choice - staff help the intervenors more than they help the company. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<If intervenors get staff disqualified from filling the record, the ratepayers will really be screwed in the future. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<That would be really bad. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Wouldn't you object to that? I don't think I like it either-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:17 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Intervenor don't like the idea of staff putting stuff into the record that will be relied on later. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<What is it exactly that he wants-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle is wrong. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Ok talk to me on this-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Got it-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecos engineers there are and their classloads and expected class grads there are-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:55 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<You got what you could. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Can I ask how many they poached?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry</p>
2074	8/26/09 18:55	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	Ok
2075	8/26/09 18:56	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>What butler said is right, but it doesn't change that this is a discretionary ruling, what do you all think is best thing to do in this circumstance?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:55 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Ok-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:54 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I think the intervenors have made the points very clearly. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:53 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I can say I agree with opc I can always argue points which would be helpful for u to help me with now-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:51 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I don't see how you can, other than to give chair your opinion. It is his ruling. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:50 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<How do I help his point bc it was s right-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:48 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Joe is right on with each of these points. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:47 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Then why are we even going there. That's what they are objecting to? And what staff would enter?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I agree with joe - depositions shouldn't be introduced if the witness testifies live. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I guess both-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:41 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Who? Cmsrs or staff?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:40 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<What's stops then from doing what comp wants now-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:38 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<3 commissioners vote to deny staff recommendation. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:37 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<On teco, the others should have done right, not what company wanted. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:37 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<How is the best way to protect intervenors from getting screwed by staff like in teco?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:34 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<So, it's a short-sighted thing to do - unless you are prepared to shoulder the burden of fully litigating the case. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:32 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Remember, opc and AG asked no questions of this guy. So, they were not filling the record, which would leave fpl's case unchallenged, which means fpl would win. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:30 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Got it-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:30 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<If I were them, with what happened on teco, I'd fight too. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<But, that is a really bad policy choice - staff help the intervenors more than they help the company. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<If intervenors get staff disqualified from filling the record, the ratepayers will really be screwed in the future. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<That would be really bad. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Wouldn't you object to that? I don't think I like it either-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:17 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Intervenor don't like the idea of staff putting stuff into the record that will be relied on later. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:16 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<What is it exactly that he wants-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Moyle is wrong. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:14 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Ok talk to me on this-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Got it-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 5:59 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Tomorrow task steve with calling our univ and see how many classes for these nuke tecos engineers there are and their</p>
2076	8/26/09 19:00	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	Seems that helton is a diff person then her usual self today. Seems a bit fairer?

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2080	8/26/09 19:06	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>Right. I don't see any reason to admit, other than makes hearing go faster. But intervenors are wasting so much time, they don't seem worried about that. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 7:04 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Why do u think this has come up now? Helpme to figure out why we can't just have them testify while they r here? If entered, intervenors do not get chance to cross?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 7:00 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I don't know about that. I would ask helton, whenever she's done, whether she thinks admission is discretionary??<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 7:00 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Seems that helton is a diff person then her usual self today. Seems a bit fairer?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:56 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<What butler said is right, but it doesn't change that this is a discretionary ruling, what do you all think is best thing to do in this circumstance?<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:55 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Ok<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:54 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I think the intervenors have made the points very clearly. <You could just say they persuaded you and you would sustain the intervenors objection if it were your ruling. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:53 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I can say I agree with op< I can always argue points which wud be helpful for u to hlp me with now<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:51 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I don't see how you can, other than to give chair your opinion. It is his ruling. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:50 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<How do I help his point bc it was a right<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:48 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Joe is right on with each of these points. <I hope jr is listening - give this guy a raise. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:47 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Then why are we even going there. That's what they ate objecting to? And what staff would enter?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I agree with joe - depositions shouldn't be introduced if the witness testifies live. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I gess both<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:41 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Who? Cmsrs or staff?<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:40 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<What's stops then from doing what comp wants now<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:38 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<3 commissioners vote to deny staff recommendation. <No, really, the only way is to make arguments to the others that get them to vote right. <On teco, the others should have done right, not what company wanted. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:37 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<How is the best way to protect intervenors from getting screwed by staff like in teco?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:34 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<So, its a short-sighted thing to do - unless you are prepared to shoulder the burden of fully litigating the case. <Remember, op< and AG asked no questions of this guy. So, they were not filling the record, which would leave fpl's case unchallenged, which means fpl would win. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:32 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Got it<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:30 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<If I were them, with what happened on teco, I'd fight too. <But, that is a really bad policy choice - staff help the intervenors more than they help the company. <If intervenors get staff disqualified from filling the record, the ratepayers will really be screwed in the future. <That would be really bad. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Wouldn't you object to that to. I don't think I like it either<-----</p>
2081	8/26/09 19:07	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Chair	<p>Just passed nate in the hall - he was "explaining animatedly" to bev demello about how RR had ruined the integrity of the psc and the process. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 7:04 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Why do u think this has come up now? Helpme to figure out why we can't just have them testify while they r here? If entered, intervenors do not get chance to cross?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 7:00 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I don't know about that. I would ask helton, whenever she's done, whether she thinks admission is discretionary??<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 7:00 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Seems that helton is a diff person then her usual self today. Seems a bit fairer?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:56 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<What butler said is right, but it doesn't change that this is a discretionary ruling, what do you all think is best thing to do in this circumstance?<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:55 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Ok<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:54 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I think the intervenors have made the points very clearly. <You could just say they persuaded you and you would sustain the intervenors objection if it were your ruling. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:53 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I can say I agree with op< I can always argue points which wud be helpful for u to hlp me with now<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:51 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I don't see how you can, other than to give chair your opinion. It is his ruling. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:50 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<How do I help his point bc it was a right<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:48 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Joe is right on with each of these points. <I hope jr is listening - give this guy a raise. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:47 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Then why are we even going there. That's what they ate objecting to? And what staff would enter?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:45 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I agree with joe - depositions shouldn't be introduced if the witness testifies live. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:42 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<I gess both<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:41 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Who? Cmsrs or staff?<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:40 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<What's stops then from doing what comp wants now<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:38 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<3 commissioners vote to deny staff recommendation. <No, really, the only way is to make arguments to the others that get them to vote right. <On teco, the others should have done right, not what company wanted. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:37 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<How is the best way to protect intervenors from getting screwed by staff like in teco?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:34 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<So, its a short-sighted thing to do - unless you are prepared to shoulder the burden of fully litigating the case. <Remember, op< and AG asked no questions of this guy. So, they were not filling the record, which would leave fpl's case unchallenged, which means fpl would win. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:32 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Got it<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:30 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<If I were them, with what happened on teco, I'd fight too. <But, that is a really bad policy choice - staff help the intervenors more than they help the company. <If intervenors get staff disqualified from filling the record, the ratepayers will really be screwed in the future. <That would be really bad. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 6:28 PM<Subject: Re: Chair<Wouldn't you object to that to. I don't think I like it either<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy</p>
2082	8/26/09 19:08	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	Remind me in am that out of the box I advise chair that it is discretionary and I vote to have intvnsr able to cross. We have rate cases once evry quarter century.
2083	8/26/09 19:09	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Chair	Oh pleeeeee
2084	8/26/09 19:13	20758921	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA		Spoke to Joe Follick today. He's doing a story on you.
2085	8/26/09 19:57	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	20758921	Re:	Hey paula. He just called me. I have been in psc hearings lasft ew days from 9 to at least 7pm. Are u going to be able to talk tomorrow mid day. Luv to catch up. How is doc? So mant things to talk about. Really miss ya
2086	8/26/09 20:20	20758921	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Will be available tomorrow to catch up. Looking forward to it. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Paula Dockery<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 7:57 PM<Subject: Re: <Hey paula. He just called me. I have been in psc hearings lasft ew days from 9 to at least 7pm. Are u going to be able to talk tomorrow mid day. Luv to catch up. How is doc? So mant things to talk about. Really miss ya<-----Original Message-----><From: Paula Dockery<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 26, 2009 7:13 PM<Subject: <Spoke to Joe Follick today. He's doing a story on you. <-----
2087	8/26/09 20:24	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	20758921	Re:	Great
2088	8/27/09 9:33	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		I'm on. Hear nothing
2089	8/27/09 9:36	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Matt and km at bench. No others down yet. <Room relatively empty. <I think I saw Kise sitting in room with the fpl officers. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:33 AM<Subject: <-----I'm on. Hear nothing<-----
2090	8/27/09 9:37	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Charlie back in room, in back just watching. <LE just came in. She looks pissed about something. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:33 AM<Subject: <-----I'm on. Hear nothing<-----
2091	8/27/09 9:39	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Nate is not at bench yet. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:33 AM<Subject: <-----I'm on. Hear nothing<-----
2092	8/27/09 9:40	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I just got voice mail from MB that FDLE was going to come in and interview people. That may be what is making her that way
2093	8/27/09 9:42	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I missed heltons response.
2094	8/27/09 9:48	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	She said that staff was not going to move the depositions in and left it at that. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:42 AM<Subject: Re: <I missed heltons response. <-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:39 AM<Subject: Re: <Nate is not at bench yet. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:33 AM<Subject: <-----I'm on. Hear nothing<-----

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2095	8/27/09 9:49	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I was just going to ask. She just glanced at me and her look was really nasty. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:40 AM<Subject: Re: I just got voice mail from MB that FDLE was going to come in and interview people. That may be what is making her that way>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:37 AM<Subject: Re: Charlie beck in room, in back just watching. <LE just came in. She looks pissed about something. >>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:33 AM<Subject: I'm on. Hear nothing>>>>
2096	8/27/09 9:50	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I would guess so. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: Ok then. Seems like staff and matt r behaving very differently then usual. They must know that many are paying close attention>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:48 AM<Subject: Re: She said that staff was not going to move the depos in and left it at that. >>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:42 AM<Subject: Re: I missed heltons response. >>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:39 AM<Subject: Re: Nate is not at bench yet. >>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:33 AM<Subject: I'm on. Hear nothing>>>>
2097	8/27/09 9:50	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Ok then. Seems like staff and matt r behaving very differently then usual. They must know that many are paying close attention
2098	8/27/09 9:50	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Yep. She is a mean person. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: That's because she is nasty>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re: I was just going to ask. She just glanced at me and her look was really nasty. >>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:40 AM<Subject: Re: I just got voice mail from MB that FDLE was going to come in and interview people. That may be what is making her that way>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:37 AM<Subject: Re: Charlie beck in room, in back just watching. <LE just came in. She looks pissed about something. >>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:33 AM<Subject: I'm on. Hear nothing>>>>
2099	8/27/09 9:50	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	That's because she is nasty
2100	8/27/09 9:51	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Just too much of a jekyll and hyde going on
2101	8/27/09 9:53	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Exactly!!! -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: Yes and what is sick about it that if someone else just does their job, it bothers her. Because she can't get away with it if someone else is pointing it out. That makes her crazy. She is used to being the queen bee>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: Yep. She is a mean person. >>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: That's because she is nasty>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re: I was just going to ask. She just glanced at me and her look was really nasty. >>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:40 AM<Subject: Re: I just got voice mail from MB that FDLE was going to come in and interview people. That may be what is making her that way>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:37 AM<Subject: Re: Charlie beck in room, in back just watching. <LE just came in. She looks pissed about something. >>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:33 AM<Subject: I'm on. Hear nothing>>>>
2102	8/27/09 9:53	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Yes and what is sick about it that if someone else just does their job, it bothers her. Because she can't get away with it if someone else is pointing it out. That makes her crazy. She is used to being the queen bee
2103	8/27/09 10:15	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I didn't hear opc make any killer points. I mean, they got good, solid info on growth, but no bombshell. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: Yes and what is sick about it that if someone else just does their job, it bothers her. Because she can't get away with it if someone else is pointing it out. That makes her crazy. She is used to being the queen bee>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: Yep. She is a mean person. >>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: That's because she is nasty>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re: I was just going to ask. She just glanced at me and her look was really nasty. >>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:40 AM<Subject: Re: I just got voice mail from MB that FDLE was going to come in and interview people. That may be what is making her that way>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:37 AM<Subject: Re: Charlie beck in room, in back just watching. <LE just came in. She looks pissed about something. >>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:33 AM<Subject: I'm on. Hear nothing>>>>
2104	8/27/09 10:17	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I know
2105	8/27/09 10:18	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Do you think it was really significant that staff didn't push the depo issue? I think they realized they would loose, and so backed-down. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:17 AM<Subject: Re: I know>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:15 AM<Subject: Re: I didn't hear opc make any killer points. I mean, they got good, solid info on growth, but no bombshell. >>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: Yes and what is sick about it that if someone else just does their job, it bothers her. Because she can't get away with it if someone else is pointing it out. That makes her crazy. She is used to being the queen bee>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: Yep. She is a mean person. >>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: That's because she is nasty>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re: I was just going to ask. She just glanced at me and her look was really nasty. >>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:40 AM<Subject: Re: I just got voice mail from MB that FDLE was going to come in and interview people. That may be what is making her that way>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:37 AM<Subject: Re: Charlie beck in room, in back just watching. <LE just came in. She looks pissed about something. >>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:33 AM<Subject: I'm on. Hear nothing>>>>
2106	8/27/09 10:18	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Maybe u and I should develop some questions for the rest of week rather than me just winging it.
2107	8/27/09 10:20	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Sure. Any particular areas? Compensation for fpl's Slattery??<>>It is a little hard, b/c we don't know what intervenors will ask. But I can start drafting them. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:18 AM<Subject: Re: Maybe u and I should develop some questions for the rest of week rather than me just winging it. >>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:15 AM<Subject: Re: I didn't hear opc make any killer points. I mean, they got good, solid info on growth, but no bombshell. >>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: Yes and what is sick about it that if someone else just does their job, it bothers her. Because she can't get away with it if someone else is pointing it out. That makes her crazy. She is used to being the queen bee>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: Yep. She is a mean person. >>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: That's because she is nasty>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re: I was just going to ask. She just glanced at me and her look was really nasty. >>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:40 AM<Subject: Re: I just got voice mail from MB that FDLE was going to come in and interview people. That may be what is making her that way>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:37 AM<Subject: Re: Charlie beck in room, in back just watching. <LE just came in. She looks pissed about something. >>>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:33 AM<Subject: I'm on. Hear nothing>>>>
2108	8/27/09 10:21	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I think ordinarily, they would have done opposite and bent for the utl. That's why I say a noticed change is occurring. So when these commish say no to fpl, how do they explain teco? They know they r being watched
2109	8/27/09 10:23	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Yes. If I had thought of it yesterday. The aircraft fpl has. Any tiveness and any subject that deserves some questioning let's do although I would hope intervenors would do this

~~This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.~~

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2118	8/27/09 11:05	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>On gmail. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:03 AM<Subject: Re: <Oh. Did not get yet<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:02 AM<Subject: Re: <Moyle is asking the questions I just sent you. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:43 AM<Subject: Re: <Ok<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:42 AM<Subject: Re: <The shareholders. Clearly excluded from iou rates. I'm working on a couple quick Qs for this witness, which you might not want to ask. I'll explain in the email. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:41 AM<Subject: Re: <Who pays for FPLs lobbying cost of the legislators?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:23 AM<Subject: Re: <They are not going to say no. They'll rationalize giving fpl what it wants, and trust fpl to protect their reappointments. <Being watched will just make them more careful about justifying. <In this case, I'm not sure it would have gone the other way B/c of fpl. The depo was really more for staff to keep them from doing real work. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:21 AM<Subject: Re: <I think ordinarily, they would have done opposite and bent for the utl. That's why I say a noticed change is occurring. So when these commish say no to fpl, how do they explain teco? They know they r being watched<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:18 AM<Subject: Re: <Do you think it was really significant that staff didn't push the depo issue? I think they realized they would loose, and so backed-down. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:17 AM<Subject: Re: <I know<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:15 AM<Subject: Re: <I didn't hear opk make any killer points. I mean, they got good, solid info on growth, but no bombshell. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: <Yes and what is sick about it that if someone else just does their job, it bothers her. Because she can't get away with it if someone else is pointing it out. That makes her crazy. She is used to being the queen bee<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: <Yep. She is a mean person. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: <That's because she is nasty<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re: <I was just going to ask. She just glanced at me and her look was really nasty. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:40 AM<Subject: Re: <I just got voice mail from MB that FDLE was going to come in and interview people. That may be what is making her that way<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:37 AM<Subject: Re: <Charlie beck in room, in back just watching. <LE just came in. She looks pissed about something. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:33 AM<Subject: <I'm on. Hear nothing<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:33 AM<Subject: <I'm on. Hear</p>
2119	8/27/09 11:07	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>Find out how much fpl and progress has contributed to fund. AG might want to lok at that</p>
2120	8/27/09 11:08	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Will do. <We have a staffer who should know off the top of her head. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re: <Find out how much fpl and progress has contributed to fund. AG might want to lok at that<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:05 AM<Subject: Re: <It is gigantic, and non-functioning. Feds sucking up obscene amounts of ratepayer money. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:03 AM<Subject: Re: <Did I hear yesterday that one dollar from peoples bills goes to feds for nuke waste containment? That fund must be large. I thought it was non functioning<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:42 AM<Subject: Re: <The shareholders. Clearly excluded from iou rates. I'm working on a couple quick Qs for this witness, which you might not want to ask. I'll explain in the email. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:41 AM<Subject: Re: <Who pays for FPLs lobbying cost of the legislators?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:23 AM<Subject: Re: <They are not going to say no. They'll rationalize giving fpl what it wants, and trust fpl to protect their reappointments. <Being watched will just make them more careful about justifying. <In this case, I'm not sure it would have gone the other way B/c of fpl. The depo was really more for staff to keep them from doing real work. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:21 AM<Subject: Re: <I think ordinarily, they would have done opposite and bent for the utl. That's why I say a noticed change is occurring. So when these commish say no to fpl, how do they explain teco? They know they r being watched<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:18 AM<Subject: Re: <Do you think it was really significant that staff didn't push the depo issue? I think they realized they would loose, and so backed-down. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:17 AM<Subject: Re: <I know<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:15 AM<Subject: Re: <I didn't hear opk make any killer points. I mean, they got good, solid info on growth, but no bombshell. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: <Yes and what is sick about it that if someone else just does their job, it bothers her. Because she can't get away with it if someone else is pointing it out. That makes her crazy. She is used to being the queen bee<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: <Yep. She is a mean person. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: <That's because she is nasty<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re: <I was just going to ask. She just glanced at me and her look was really nasty. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:40 AM<Subject: Re: <I just got voice mail from MB that FDLE was going to come in and interview people. That may be what is making her that way<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:37 AM<Subject: Re: <Charlie beck in room, in back just watching. <LE just came in. She looks pissed about something. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:33 AM<Subject: <I'm on. Hear</p>
2121	8/27/09 11:08	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Great
2122	8/27/09 11:41	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Tom hve 2 appts one at 945 am DR and 5pm therapy
2123	8/27/09 11:41	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Finally getting in to see Dr. I wonder what happens in non-emergency situations. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<Subject: Re: <Tom hve 2 appts one at 945 am DR and 5pm therapy<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:08 AM<Subject: Re: <Will do. <We have a staffer who should know off the top of her head. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re: <Find out how much fpl and progress has contributed to fund. AG might want to lok at that<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:05 AM<Subject: Re: <It is gigantic, and non-functioning. Feds sucking up obscene amounts of ratepayer money. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:03 AM<Subject: Re: <Did I hear yesterday that one dollar from peoples bills goes to feds for nuke waste containment? That fund must be large. I thought it was non functioning<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:42 AM<Subject: Re: <The shareholders. Clearly excluded from iou rates. I'm working on a couple quick Qs for this witness, which you might not want to ask. I'll explain in the email. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:41 AM<Subject: Re: <Who pays for FPLs lobbying cost of the legislators?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:23 AM<Subject: Re: <They are not going to say no. They'll rationalize giving fpl what it wants, and trust fpl to protect their reappointments. <Being watched will just make them more careful about justifying. <In this case, I'm not sure it would have gone the other way B/c of fpl. The depo was really more for staff to keep them from doing real work. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:21 AM<Subject: Re: <I think ordinarily, they would have done opposite and bent for the utl. That's why I say a noticed change is occurring. So when these commish say no to fpl, how do they explain teco? They know they r being watched<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:18 AM<Subject: Re: <Do you think it was really significant that staff didn't push the depo issue? I think they realized they would loose, and so backed-down. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:17 AM<Subject: Re: <I know<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:15 AM<Subject: Re: <I didn't hear opk make any killer points. I mean, they got good, solid info on growth, but no bombshell. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: <Yes and what is sick about it that if someone else just does their job, it bothers her. Because she can't get away with it if someone else is pointing it out. That makes her crazy. She is used to being the queen bee<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: <Yep. She is a mean person. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: <That's because she is nasty<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re: <I was just going to ask. She just glanced at me and her look was really nasty. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:40 AM<Subject: Re: <I just got voice mail from MB that FDLE was going to come in and interview people. That may be what is making her that way<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:37 AM<Subject: Re: <Charlie beck in room, in back just watching. <LE just came in. She looks pissed about something. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:33 AM<Subject: <I'm on. Hear</p>
2124	8/27/09 11:42	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	U die
2125	8/27/09 11:43	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Big \$ surgeries are what's important

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2126	8/27/09 11:43	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yep. He's got a boat and vacation homes to pay for. He's got to earn, especially with investments down so far. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<Subject: Re: <Big \$ surgeries are what's important<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<Subject: Re: <Finally getting in to see Dr. I wonder what happens in non-emergency situations. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<Subject: Re: <Tom hve 2 appts one at 945 am DR and 5pm therapy<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:08 AM<Subject: Re: <Will do. <We have a staffer who should know off the top of her head. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re: <Find out how much fpl and progress has contributed to fund. AG might want to lok at that<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:05 AM<Subject: Re: <It is gigantic, and non-functioning. Feds sucking up obscene amounts of ratepayer money. <-\$1 sounds too high. But if that's what he said, it must be right. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:03 AM<Subject: Re: <Did I hear yesterday that one dollar from peoples bills goes to feds for nuke waste containment? That fund must be large. I thought it was non functioning<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:42 AM<Subject: Re: <The shareholders. Clearly excluded from iou rates. I'm working on a couple quick Qs for this witness, which you might not want to ask. I'll explain in the email. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:41 AM<Subject: Re: <Who pays for FPLs lobbying cost of the legislators?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:23 AM<Subject: Re: <They are not going to say no. They'll rationalize giving fpl what it wants, and trust fpl to protect their reappointments. <Being watched will just make them more careful about justifying. <In this case, I'm not sure it would have gone the other way B/c of fpl. The depo was really more for staff to keep them from doing real work. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:21 AM<Subject: Re: <I think ordinarily, they would have done opposite and bent for the utl. That's why I say a noticed change is occurring. So when these commish say no to fpl, how do they explain teco? They know they r being watched<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:18 AM<Subject: Re: <Do you think it was really significant that staff didn't push the depo issue? I think they realized they would loose, and so backed-down. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:17 AM<Subject: Re: <I know<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:15 AM<Subject: Re: <I didn't hear opc make any killer points. I mean, they got good, solid info on growth, but no bombshell. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: <Yes and what is sick about it that if someone else just does their job, it bothers her. Because she can't get away with it if someone else is pointing it out. That makes her crazy. She is used to being the queen bee<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: <Yep. She is a mean person. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: <That's because she is nasty<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re: <I was just going to ask. She just glanced at me and her look was really nasty. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:40 AM<Subject: Re: <I just got voice mail from MB that FDLE was going to come in and interview people. That may be what is making her that way<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:37 AM<Subject: Re: <Charlie beck in room, in back just watching. <LE just came in. She looks pissed about something. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:33 AM<Subject: <I'm on. Hear</p>
2127	8/27/09 11:45	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>He's also trying to get as many surgeries he can get in before feds come up with health care plan</p>
2128	8/27/09 11:47	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yep. Or he wants to take a long vacation in the fall and needs to clear his schedule. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:45 AM<Subject: Re: <He's also trying to get as many surgeries he can get in before feds come up with health care plan<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<Subject: Re: <Yep. He's got a boat and vacation homes to pay for. He's got to earn, especially with investments down so far. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<Subject: Re: <Big \$ surgeries are what's important<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<Subject: Re: <Finally getting in to see Dr. I wonder what happens in non-emergency situations. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<Subject: Re: <Tom hve 2 appts one at 945 am DR and 5pm therapy<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:08 AM<Subject: Re: <Will do. <We have a staffer who should know off the top of her head. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re: <Find out how much fpl and progress has contributed to fund. AG might want to lok at that<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:05 AM<Subject: Re: <It is gigantic, and non-functioning. Feds sucking up obscene amounts of ratepayer money. <-\$1 sounds too high. But if that's what he said, it must be right. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:03 AM<Subject: Re: <Did I hear yesterday that one dollar from peoples bills goes to feds for nuke waste containment? That fund must be large. I thought it was non functioning<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:42 AM<Subject: Re: <The shareholders. Clearly excluded from iou rates. I'm working on a couple quick Qs for this witness, which you might not want to ask. I'll explain in the email. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:41 AM<Subject: Re: <Who pays for FPLs lobbying cost of the legislators?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:23 AM<Subject: Re: <They are not going to say no. They'll rationalize giving fpl what it wants, and trust fpl to protect their reappointments. <Being watched will just make them more careful about justifying. <In this case, I'm not sure it would have gone the other way B/c of fpl. The depo was really more for staff to keep them from doing real work. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:21 AM<Subject: Re: <I think ordinarily, they would have done opposite and bent for the utl. That's why I say a noticed change is occurring. So when these commish say no to fpl, how do they explain teco? They know they r being watched<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:18 AM<Subject: Re: <Do you think it was really significant that staff didn't push the depo issue? I think they realized they would loose, and so backed-down. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:17 AM<Subject: Re: <I know<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:15 AM<Subject: Re: <I didn't hear opc make any killer points. I mean, they got good, solid info on growth, but no bombshell. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:53 AM<Subject: Re: <Yes and what is sick about it that if someone else just does their job, it bothers her. Because she can't get away with it if someone else is pointing it out. That makes her crazy. She is used to being the queen bee<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: <Yep. She is a mean person. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: <That's because she is nasty<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:49 AM<Subject: Re: <I was just going to ask. She just glanced at me and her look was really nasty. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:40 AM<Subject: Re: <I just got voice mail from MB that FDLE was going to come in and interview people. That may be what is making her that way<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:37</p>
2129	8/27/09 12:22	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>What non equity incentive compensation? Listed on fpl sheets (confidential)</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2130	8/27/09 12:22	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>That's what armando was talking about. Its bonuses by any other name. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->What non equity incentive compensation? Listed on fpl sheets (confidential)<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:47 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yep. Or he wants to take a long vacation in the fall and needs to clear his schedule. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:45 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->He's also trying to get as many surgeries he can get in before feds come up with health care plan<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yep. He's got a boat and vacation homes to pay for. He's got to earn, especially with investments down so far. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Big \$ surgeries are what's important<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Finally getting in to see Dr. I wonder what happens in non-emergency situations. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Tom hve 2 appts one at 945 am DR and 5pm therapy<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:08 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Will do. <----->We have a staffer who should know off the top of her head. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:07 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Find out how much fpl and progress has contributed to fund. AG might want to lok at that<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:05 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->It is gigantic, and non-functioning. Feds sucking up obscene amounts of ratepayer money. <----->\$1 sounds too high. But if that's what he said, it must be right. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:03 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Did I hear yesterday that one dollar from peoples bills goes to feds for nuke waste containment? That fund must be large. I thought it was non functioning<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:42 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->The shareholders. Clearly excluded from iou rates. I'm working on a couple quick Qs for this witness, which you might not want to ask. I'll explain in the email. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:41 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Who pays for FPLs lobbying cost of the legislators?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:23 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->They are not going to say no. They'll rationalize giving fpl what it wants, and trust fpl to protect their reappointments. <----->Being watched will just make them more careful about justifying. <----->In this case, I'm not sure it would have gone the other way B/c of fpl. The depo was really more for staff to keep them from doing real work. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:21 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I think ordinarily, they would have done opposite and bent for the utl. That's why I say a noticed change is occurring. So when these commish say no to fpl, how do they explain teco? They know they r being watched<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:18 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Do you think it was really significant that staff didn't push the depo issue? I think they realized they would loose, and so backed-down. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:17 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I know<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:15 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I didn't hear opc make any killer points. I mean, they got good, solid info on growth, but no bombshell. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:53 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yes and what is sick about it that if someone else just does their job, it bothers her. Because she can't get away with it if someone else is pointing it out. That makes her crazy. She is used to being the queen bee<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yep. She is a mean person. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:50 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->That's because she is nasty<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:49 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I was just going to ask. She just glanced at</p>
2131	8/27/09 12:23	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>But there is another column that says bonuses</p>
2132	8/27/09 12:25	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yeah. <----->He was asked questions about that. <----->I recall he tried to tie it to teco's "performance incentive plan" idea, where it is at risk if employee/company doesn't perform, but didn't convince me. <----->Let me look in the testimony and see if I can find their actual statement of what it is. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:23 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->But there is another column that says bonuses<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->That's what armando was talking about. Its bonuses by any other name. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->What non equity incentive compensation? Listed on fpl sheets (confidential)<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:47 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yep. Or he wants to take a long vacation in the fall and needs to clear his schedule. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:45 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->He's also trying to get as many surgeries he can get in before feds come up with health care plan<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yep. He's got a boat and vacation homes to pay for. He's got to earn, especially with investments down so far. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Big \$ surgeries are what's important<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Finally getting in to see Dr. I wonder what happens in non-emergency situations. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Tom hve 2 appts one at 945 am DR and 5pm therapy<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:08 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Will do. <----->We have a staffer who should know off the top of her head. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:07 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Find out how much fpl and progress has contributed to fund. AG might want to lok at that<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:05 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->It is gigantic, and non-functioning. Feds sucking up obscene amounts of ratepayer money. <----->\$1 sounds too high. But if that's what he said, it must be right. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:03 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Did I hear yesterday that one dollar from peoples bills goes to feds for nuke waste containment? That fund must be large. I thought it was non functioning<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:42 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->The shareholders. Clearly excluded from iou rates. I'm working on a couple quick Qs for this witness, which you might not want to ask. I'll explain in the email. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:41 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Who pays for FPLs lobbying cost of the legislators?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:23 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->They are not going to say no. They'll rationalize giving fpl what it wants, and trust fpl to protect their reappointments. <----->Being watched will just make them more careful about justifying. <----->In this case, I'm not sure it would have gone the other way B/c of fpl. The depo was really more for staff to keep them from doing real work. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:21 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I think ordinarily, they would have done opposite and bent for the utl. That's why I say a noticed change is occurring. So when these commish say no to fpl, how do they explain teco? They know they r being watched<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:18 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Do you think it was really significant that staff didn't push the depo issue? I think they realized they would loose, and so backed-down. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:17 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I know<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:15 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I didn't hear opc make any killer points. I mean, they got good, solid info on growth, but no bombshell. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 9:53 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yes and what is sick about it that if someone else just does their job, it</p>
2133	8/27/09 12:27	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>The sheets we got for compensation does not contain executive salaries the ceo is not even on there. What is going on? Look at what you guys sent me and point to the exe positions please</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2139	8/27/09 12:31	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>B/c I was focused on the confidentiality document and getting that sent to you and forgot about the small public part that wasn't at issue over the past week. <v>I can put in a regular envelope and send now. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:29 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Why don't I have that? That would complete what I asked for wouldn't it? Why would you not send that?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>They are not on there. They are on a separate public sheet. I can fax or type numbers into email and send now. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>The sheets we got for compensation does not contain executive salaries the ceo is not even on there. What is going on? Look at what you guys sent me and point to the exe positions please<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>That's what amando was talking about. Its bonuses by any other name. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>What non equity incentive compensation? Listed on fpl sheets (confidential)<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:47 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Yep. Or he wants to take a long vacation in the fall and needs to clear his schedule. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:45 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>He's also trying to get as many surgeries he can get in before feds come up with health care plan<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Yep. He's got a boat and vacation homes to pay for. He's got to earn, especially with investments down so far. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Big \$ surgeries are what's important<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Finally getting in to see Dr. I wonder what happens in non-emergency situations. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Tom hve 2 appts one at 945 am DR and 5pm therapy<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:08 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Will do. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:07 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Find out how much fpl and progress has contributed to fund. AG might want to lok at that<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:05 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>It is gigantic, and non-functioning. Feds sucking up obscene amounts of ratepayer money. <v><v>\$1 sounds too high. But if that's what he said, it must be right. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:03 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Did I hear yesterday that one dollar from peoples bills goes to feds for nuke waste containment? That fund must be large. I thought it was non functioning<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:42 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>The shareholders. Clearly excluded from iou rates. I'm working on a couple quick Qs for this witness, which you might not want to ask. I'll explain in the email. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:41 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Who pays for FPLs lobbying cost of the legislators?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:23 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>They are not going to say no. They'll rationalize giving fpl what it wants, and trust fpl to protect their reappointments. <v><v>Being watched will just make them more careful about justifying. <v><v>In this case, I'm not sure it would have gone the other way B/c of fpl. The depo was really more for staff to keep them from doing real work. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:21 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I think ordinarily, they would have done opposite and bent for the utl. That's why I say a noticed change is occurring. So when these commish say no to fpl, how do they explain teco? They know they r being watched<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:18 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Do you think it was really significant that staff didn't push the depo issue? I think they realized they would loose, and so backed-down. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy</p>
2140	8/27/09 12:33	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>Do it. Its part of the whole compenstion scheme</p>
2141	8/27/09 12:33	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>7 officers, 12 board of directors. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:31 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>How many are there?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>They are not on there. They are on a separate public sheet. I can fax or type numbers into email and send now. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>The sheets we got for compensation does not contain executive salaries the ceo is not even on there. What is going on? Look at what you guys sent me and point to the exe positions please<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>That's what amando was talking about. Its bonuses by any other name. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>What non equity incentive compensation? Listed on fpl sheets (confidential)<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:47 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Yep. Or he wants to take a long vacation in the fall and needs to clear his schedule. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:45 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>He's also trying to get as many surgeries he can get in before feds come up with health care plan<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Yep. He's got a boat and vacation homes to pay for. He's got to earn, especially with investments down so far. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Big \$ surgeries are what's important<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Finally getting in to see Dr. I wonder what happens in non-emergency situations. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Tom hve 2 appts one at 945 am DR and 5pm therapy<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:08 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Will do. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:07 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Find out how much fpl and progress has contributed to fund. AG might want to lok at that<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:05 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>It is gigantic, and non-functioning. Feds sucking up obscene amounts of ratepayer money. <v><v>\$1 sounds too high. But if that's what he said, it must be right. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:03 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Did I hear yesterday that one dollar from peoples bills goes to feds for nuke waste containment? That fund must be large. I thought it was non functioning<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:42 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>The shareholders. Clearly excluded from iou rates. I'm working on a couple quick Qs for this witness, which you might not want to ask. I'll explain in the email. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:41 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Who pays for FPLs lobbying cost of the legislators?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:23 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>They are not going to say no. They'll rationalize giving fpl what it wants, and trust fpl to protect their reappointments. <v><v>Being watched will just make them more careful about justifying. <v><v>In this case, I'm not sure it would have gone the other way B/c of fpl. The depo was really more for staff to keep them from doing real work. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:21 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I think ordinarily, they would have done opposite and bent for the utl. That's why I say a noticed change is occurring. So when these commish say no to fpl, how do they explain teco? They know they r being watched<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:18 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Do you think it was really significant that staff didn't push the depo issue? I think they realized they would loose, and so backed-down. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:17 AM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>I didn't hear opc make any killer points. I</p>
2142	8/27/09 12:35	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>When is slattery up?</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2143	8/27/09 12:35	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>She's #10 in the order of witnesses, and we're on #3-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM><Subject: Re: <When is slattery up?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:33 PM><Subject: Re: <7 officers, 12 board of directors. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:31 PM><Subject: Re: <How many are there?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM><Subject: Re: <They are not on there. They are on a separate public sheet. I can fax or type numbers into email and send now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM><Subject: Re: <The sheets we got for compensation does not contain executive salaries the ceo is not even on there. What is going on? Look at what you guys sent me and point to the exe positions please>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM><Subject: Re: <That's what armando was talking about. Its bonuses by any other name. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM><Subject: Re: <What non equity incentive compensation? Listed on fpl sheets (confidential)>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:47 AM><Subject: Re: <Yep. Or he wants to take a long vacation in the fall and needs to clear his schedule. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:45 AM><Subject: Re: <He's also trying to get as many surgeries he can get in before feds come up with health care plan>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM><Subject: Re: <Yep. He's got a boat and vacation homes to pay for. He's got to earn, especially with investments down so far. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM><Subject: Re: <Big \$ surgeries are what's important>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM><Subject: Re: <Finally getting in to see Dr. I wonder what happens in non-emergency situations. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM><Subject: Re: <Tom hve 2 appts one at 945 am DR and 5pm therapy>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:08 AM><Subject: Re: <Will do. <We have a staffer who should know off the top of her head. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:07 AM><Subject: Re: <Find out how much fpl and progress has contributed to fund. AG might want to lok at that>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:05 AM><Subject: Re: <It is gigantic, and non-functioning. Feds sucking up obscene amounts of ratepayer money. <\$1 sounds too high. But if that's what he said, it must be right. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:03 AM><Subject: Re: <Did I hear yesterday that one dollar from peoples bills goes to feds for nuke waste containment? That fund must be large. I thought it was non functioning>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:42 AM><Subject: Re: <The shareholders. Clearly excluded from iou rates. I'm working on a couple quick Qs for this witness, which you might not want to ask. I'll explain in the email. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:41 AM><Subject: Re: <Who pays for FPLs lobbying cost of the legislators?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:23 AM><Subject: Re: <They are not going to say no. They'll rationalize giving fpl what it wants, and trust fpl to protect their reappointments. <Being watched will just make them more careful about justifying. <In this case, I'm not sure it would have gone the other way B/c of fpl. The depo was really more for staff to keep them from doing real work. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:21 AM><Subject: Re: <I think ordinarily, they would have done opposite and bent for the utl. That's why I say a noticed change is occurring. So when these commish say no to fpl, how do they explain teco? They know they r being watched>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:18 AM><Subject: Re: <Do you think it was really significant that staff didn't push the</p>
2144	8/27/09 12:36	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Will do. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:37 PM><Subject: Re: <Mail and also type in case it does not get here in time>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM><Subject: Re: <She's #10 in the order of witnesses, and we're on #3>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM><Subject: Re: <When is slattery up?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:33 PM><Subject: Re: <7 officers, 12 board of directors. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:31 PM><Subject: Re: <How many are there?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM><Subject: Re: <They are not on there. They are on a separate public sheet. I can fax or type numbers into email and send now. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM><Subject: Re: <The sheets we got for compensation does not contain executive salaries the ceo is not even on there. What is going on? Look at what you guys sent me and point to the exe positions please>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM><Subject: Re: <That's what armando was talking about. Its bonuses by any other name. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM><Subject: Re: <What non equity incentive compensation? Listed on fpl sheets (confidential)>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:47 AM><Subject: Re: <Yep. Or he wants to take a long vacation in the fall and needs to clear his schedule. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:45 AM><Subject: Re: <He's also trying to get as many surgeries he can get in before feds come up with health care plan>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM><Subject: Re: <Yep. He's got a boat and vacation homes to pay for. He's got to earn, especially with investments down so far. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM><Subject: Re: <Big \$ surgeries are what's important>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM><Subject: Re: <Finally getting in to see Dr. I wonder what happens in non-emergency situations. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM><Subject: Re: <Tom hve 2 appts one at 945 am DR and 5pm therapy>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:08 AM><Subject: Re: <Will do. <We have a staffer who should know off the top of her head. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:07 AM><Subject: Re: <Find out how much fpl and progress has contributed to fund. AG might want to lok at that>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:05 AM><Subject: Re: <It is gigantic, and non-functioning. Feds sucking up obscene amounts of ratepayer money. <\$1 sounds too high. But if that's what he said, it must be right. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:03 AM><Subject: Re: <Did I hear yesterday that one dollar from peoples bills goes to feds for nuke waste containment? That fund must be large. I thought it was non functioning>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:42 AM><Subject: Re: <The shareholders. Clearly excluded from iou rates. I'm working on a couple quick Qs for this witness, which you might not want to ask. I'll explain in the email. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:41 AM><Subject: Re: <Who pays for FPLs lobbying cost of the legislators?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:23 AM><Subject: Re: <They are not going to say no. They'll rationalize giving fpl what it wants, and trust fpl to protect their reappointments. <Being watched will just make them more careful about justifying. <In this case, I'm not sure it would have gone the other way B/c of fpl. The depo was really more for staff to keep them from doing real work. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:21 AM><Subject: Re: <I think ordinarily, they would have done opposite and bent for the utl. That's why I say a noticed change is occurring. So when these commish</p>
2145	8/27/09 12:37	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>Mail and also type in case it does not get here in time</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2146	8/27/09 12:38	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>When I can. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<Subject: Re: <Also I know there is lots going on, are u utilizing steve to get help?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:36 PM<Subject: Re: <Will do. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:37 PM<Subject: Re: <Mail and also type in case it does not get here in time<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<Subject: Re: <She's #10 in the order of witnesses, and we're on #3<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<Subject: Re: <When is slattery up?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:33 PM<Subject: Re: <7 officers, 12 board of directors. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:31 PM<Subject: Re: <How many are there?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: <They are not on there. They are on a separate public sheet. I can fax or type numbers into email and send now. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: <The sheets we got for compensation does not contain executive salaries the ceo is not even on there. What is going on? Look at what you guys sent me and point to the exe positions please<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<Subject: Re: <That's what amando was talking about. Its bonuses by any other name. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<Subject: Re: <What non equity incentive compensation? Listed on fpl sheets (confidential)<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:47 AM<Subject: Re: <Or he wants to take a long vacation in the fall and needs to clear his schedule. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:45 AM<Subject: Re: <He's also trying to get as many surgeries he can get in before feds come up with health care plan<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<Subject: Re: <Yep. He's got a boat and vacation homes to pay for. He's got to earn, especially with investments down so far. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<Subject: Re: <Big \$ surgeries are what's important<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<Subject: Re: <Finally getting in to see Dr. I wonder what happens in non-emergency situations. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<Subject: Re: <Tom hve 2 appts one at 945 am DR and 5pm therapy<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:08 AM<Subject: Re: <Will do. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re: <Find out how much fpl and progress has contributed to fund. AG might want to lok at that<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:05 AM<Subject: Re: <It is gigantic, and non-functioning. Feds sucking up obscene amounts of ratepayer money. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:03 AM<Subject: Re: <Did I hear yesterday that one dollar from peoples bills goes to feds for nuke waste containment? That fund must be large. I thought it was non functioning<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:42 AM<Subject: Re: <The shareholders. Clearly excluded from iou rates. I'm working on a couple quick Qs for this witness, which you might not want to ask. I'll explain in the email. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:41 AM<Subject: Re: <Who pays for FPLs lobbying cost of the legislators?<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:23 AM<Subject: Re: <They are not going to say no. They'll rationalize giving fpl what it wants, and trust fpl to protect their reappointments. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:23 AM<Subject: Re: <Being watched will just make them more careful about justifying. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:23 AM<Subject: Re: <In this case,</p>
2147	8/27/09 12:38	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>Also I know there is lots going on, are u utilizing steve to get help?</p>
2148	8/27/09 12:39	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Ok. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re: <He can help and you need to ask when things get harried<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<Subject: Re: <When I can. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<Subject: Re: <Also I know there is lots going on, are u utilizing steve to get help?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:36 PM<Subject: Re: <Will do. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:37 PM<Subject: Re: <Mail and also type in case it does not get here in time<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<Subject: Re: <She's #10 in the order of witnesses, and we're on #3<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<Subject: Re: <When is slattery up?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:33 PM<Subject: Re: <12 board of directors. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:31 PM<Subject: Re: <How many are there?<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: <They are not on there. They are on a separate public sheet. I can fax or type numbers into email and send now. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: <The sheets we got for compensation does not contain executive salaries the ceo is not even on there. What is going on? Look at what you guys sent me and point to the exe positions please<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<Subject: Re: <That's what amando was talking about. Its bonuses by any other name. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<Subject: Re: <What non equity incentive compensation? Listed on fpl sheets (confidential)<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:47 AM<Subject: Re: <Or he wants to take a long vacation in the fall and needs to clear his schedule. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:45 AM<Subject: Re: <He's also trying to get as many surgeries he can get in before feds come up with health care plan<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<Subject: Re: <Yep. He's got a boat and vacation homes to pay for. He's got to earn, especially with investments down so far. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<Subject: Re: <Big \$ surgeries are what's important<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<Subject: Re: <Finally getting in to see Dr. I wonder what happens in non-emergency situations. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<Subject: Re: <Tom hve 2 appts one at 945 am DR and 5pm therapy<-----Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:08 AM<Subject: Re: <Will do. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:07 AM<Subject: Re: <Find out how much fpl and progress has contributed to fund. AG might want to lok at that<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:05 AM<Subject: Re: <It is gigantic, and non-functioning. Feds sucking up obscene amounts of ratepayer money. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:03 AM<Subject: Re: <Did I hear yesterday that one dollar from peoples bills goes to feds for nuke waste containment? That fund must be large. I thought it was non functioning<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:42 AM<Subject: Re: <The shareholders. Clearly excluded from iou rates. I'm working on a couple quick Qs for this witness, which you might not want to ask. I'll explain in the email. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:41 AM<Subject: Re: <Who pays for FPLs lobbying cost of the legislators?<-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:23 AM<Subject: Re: <Being watched will just make them more careful about justifying. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 10:23 AM<Subject: Re: <In this case,</p>
2149	8/27/09 12:39	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>He can help and you need to ask when things get harried</p>
2150	8/27/09 12:49	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>On fpl sheet 2008 1st page. Not the (workpaper) fifth from bottom, "round worker early). Makes. \$49,343. Overtime \$15,394 "all other" \$101,063 ? What the heck is this? Is he a grounds keeper? What is. "All other"? And what is last column "other O and m"? At 64,149 ?</p>
2151	8/27/09 12:50	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>And I have many other concerns on these sheets that as I go through them I develop more and more</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2152	8/27/09 12:51	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yes! They paint a pretty grim picture. You see why company fights so hard for confidential. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:50 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->And I have many other concerns on these sheets that as I go through them I develop more and more<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Ok. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->He can help and you need to ask when things get harried<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->When I can. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Also I know there is lots going on, are u utilizing steve to get help?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:36 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Will do. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:37 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Mail and also type in case it does not get here in time<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->She's #10 in the order of witnesses, and we're on #3<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->When is slattery up?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:33 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->7 officers, 12 board of directors. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:31 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->How many are there?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->They are not on there. They are on a separate public sheet. I can fax or type numbers into email and send now. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->The sheets we got for compensation does not contain executive salaries the ceo is not even on there. What is going on? Look at what you guys sent me and point to the exe positions please<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->That's what armando was talking about. Its bonuses by any other name. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->What non equity incentive compensation? Listed on fpl sheets (confidential)<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:47 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yep. Or he wants to take a long vacation in the fall and needs to clear his schedule. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:45 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->He's also trying to get as many surgeries he can get in before feds come up with health care plan<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yep. He's got a boat and vacation homes to pay for. He's got to earn, especially with investments down so far. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Big \$ surgeries are what's important<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Finally getting in to see Dr. I wonder what happens in non-emergency situations. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Tom hve 2 appts one at 945 am DR and 5pm therapy<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:08 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Will do. <----->We have a staffer who should know off the top of her head. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:07 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Find out how much fpl and progress has contributed to fund. AG might want to lok at that<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:05 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->It is gigantic, and non-functioning. Feds sucking up obscene amounts of ratepayer money. <----->\$1 sounds too high. But if that's what he said, it must be right. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:03 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Did I hear yesterday that one dollar from peoples bills goes to feds for nuke waste containment? That fund must be large. I thought it was non functioning<-----Original</p>
2153	8/27/09 12:52	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>That's what moyle was asking about tuesday. Armando gave a bs answer and deferred to slattery. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:49 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->On fpl sheet 2008 1st page. Not the (workpaper) fifth from bottom. "round worker early). Makes. \$49,343. Overtime \$15.394 "all other" \$101,063 ? What the heck is this? Is he a grounds keeper? What is. "All other"? And what is last column "other O and m"? At 64,149 ?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Ok. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->He can help and you need to ask when things get harried<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->When I can. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Also I know there is lots going on, are u utilizing steve to get help?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:36 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Will do. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:37 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Mail and also type in case it does not get here in time<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->She's #10 in the order of witnesses, and we're on #3<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->When is slattery up?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:33 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->7 officers, 12 board of directors. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:31 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->How many are there?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->They are not on there. They are on a separate public sheet. I can fax or type numbers into email and send now. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->The sheets we got for compensation does not contain executive salaries the ceo is not even on there. What is going on? Look at what you guys sent me and point to the exe positions please<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->That's what armando was talking about. Its bonuses by any other name. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->What non equity incentive compensation? Listed on fpl sheets (confidential)<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:47 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yep. Or he wants to take a long vacation in the fall and needs to clear his schedule. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:45 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->He's also trying to get as many surgeries he can get in before feds come up with health care plan<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yep. He's got a boat and vacation homes to pay for. He's got to earn, especially with investments down so far. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Big \$ surgeries are what's important<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Finally getting in to see Dr. I wonder what happens in non-emergency situations. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Tom hve 2 appts one at 945 am DR and 5pm therapy<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:08 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Will do. <----->We have a staffer who should know off the top of her head. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:07 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Find out how much fpl and progress has contributed to fund. AG might want to lok at that<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:05 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->It is gigantic, and non-functioning. Feds sucking up obscene amounts of ratepayer money. <----->\$1 sounds too high. But if that's what he said, it must be right. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:03 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Did I hear yesterday that one dollar from</p>
2154	8/27/09 12:53	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Then we need to scrutinize this sheet and find the areas like this one and develop questions for slattery and staff. Such as "did this grounds worker know he got additional 101 k? And for what?"
2155	8/27/09 12:54	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Who prepared these sheets for fpl, slattery?

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2156	8/27/09 13:02	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>2:15<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:02 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>I didn't hear that one. When back from lunch<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:52 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>That's what moyle was asking about tuesday. Armando gave a bs answer and deferred to slattery. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:49 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>On fpl sheet 2008 1st page. Not the (workpaper) fifth from bottom, "round worker early". Makes \$49,343. Overtime \$15,394 "all other" \$101,063 ? What the heck is this? Is he a grounds keeper? What is. "All other"? And what is last column "other O and m"? At 64,149 ?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>Ok. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>He can help and you need to ask when things get harried<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>When I can. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>Also I know there is lots going on, are u utilizing steve to get help?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:36 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>Will do. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:37 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>Mail and also type in case it does not get here in time<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>She's #10 in the order of witnesses, and we're on #3<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>When is slattery up?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:33 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>7 officers, 12 board of directors. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:31 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>How many are there?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>They are not on there. They are on a separate public sheet. I can fax or type numbers into email and send now. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>The sheets we got for compensation does not contain executive salaries the ceo is not even on there. What is going on? Look at what you guys sent me and point to the exe positions please<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>That's what armando was talking about. Its bonuses by any other name. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>What non equity incentive compensation? Listed on fpl sheets (confidential)<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:47 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>Yep. Or he wants to take a long vacation in the fall and needs to clear his schedule. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:45 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>He's also trying to get as many surgeries he can get in before feds come up with health care plan<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>Yep. He's got a boat and vacation homes to pay for. He's got to earn, especially with investments down so far. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>Big \$ surgeries are what's important<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>Finally getting in to see Dr. I wonder what happens in non-emergency situations. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>Tom hve 2 appts one at 945 am DR and 5pm therapy<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:08 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>Will do. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:07 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>Find out how much fpl and progress has contributed to fund. AG might want to lok at that<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:07 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>Find out how much fpl and progress has</p>
2157	8/27/09 13:02	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I didn't hear that one. When back from lunch
2158	8/27/09 13:12	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I do not know. If she didn't, she is still the person for the rate case filing, and armando said she is the person with knowledge of the details. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:12 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>Who prepared these sheets for fpl, slattery?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:52 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>That's what moyle was asking about tuesday. Armando gave a bs answer and deferred to slattery. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:49 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>On fpl sheet 2008 1st page. Not the (workpaper) fifth from bottom, "round worker early". Makes \$49,343. Overtime \$15,394 "all other" \$101,063 ? What the heck is this? Is he a grounds keeper? What is. "All other"? And what is last column "other O and m"? At 64,149 ?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>Ok. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>He can help and you need to ask when things get harried<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>When I can. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>Also I know there is lots going on, are u utilizing steve to get help?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:36 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>Will do. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:37 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>Mail and also type in case it does not get here in time<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>She's #10 in the order of witnesses, and we're on #3<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>When is slattery up?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:33 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>7 officers, 12 board of directors. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:31 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>How many are there?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>They are not on there. They are on a separate public sheet. I can fax or type numbers into email and send now. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>The sheets we got for compensation does not contain executive salaries the ceo is not even on there. What is going on? Look at what you guys sent me and point to the exe positions please<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>That's what armando was talking about. Its bonuses by any other name. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<>Subject: Re:<><>What non equity incentive compensation? Listed on fpl sheets (confidential)<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:47 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>Yep. Or he wants to take a long vacation in the fall and needs to clear his schedule. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:45 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>He's also trying to get as many surgeries he can get in before feds come up with health care plan<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>Yep. He's got a boat and vacation homes to pay for. He's got to earn, especially with investments down so far. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>Big \$ surgeries are what's important<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>Finally getting in to see Dr. I wonder what happens in non-emergency situations. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>Tom hve 2 appts one at 945 am DR and 5pm therapy<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:08 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>Will do. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:07 AM<>Subject: Re:<><>Find out how much fpl and progress has</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2159	8/27/09 13:13	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Got it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:12 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Then we need to scrutinize this sheet and find the areas like this one and develop questions for slattery and staff. Such as "did this grounds worker know he got additional 101 k? And for what?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:51 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yes! They paint a pretty grim picture. You see why company fights so hard for confidential.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:50 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->And I have many other concerns on these sheets that as I go through them I develop more and more<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Ok.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->He can help and you need to ask when things get harried<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->When I can.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Also I know there is lots going on, are u utilizing steve to get help?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:36 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Mail and also type in case it does not get here in time<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->She's #10 in the order of witnesses, and we're on #3<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->When is slattery up?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:33 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->7 officers, 12 board of directors.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:31 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->How many are there?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->They are not on there. They are on a separate public sheet. I can fax or type numbers into email and send now.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->The sheets we got for compensation does not contain executive salaries the ceo is not even on there. What is going on? Look at what you guys sent me and point to the exe positions please<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->That's what armando was talking about. Its bonuses by any other name.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->What non equity incentive compensation? Listed on fpl sheets (confidential)<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:47 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yep. Or he wants to take a long vacation in the fall and needs to clear his schedule.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:45 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->He's also trying to get as many surgeries he can get in before feds come up with health care plan<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yep. He's got a boat and vacation homes to pay for. He's got to earn, especially with investments down so far.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Big \$ surgeries are what's important<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Finally getting in to see Dr. I wonder what happens in non-emergency situations.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Tom hve 2 appts one at 945 am DR and 5pm therapy<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:08 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Will do.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:07 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Find out how much fpl and progress has contributed to fund. AG might want to lok at that<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:05 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->It is gigantic, and non-functioning. Feds sucking up obscene</p>
2160	8/27/09 13:15	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I'm going to need the name of that groundworker. How can staff get that?
2161	8/27/09 13:16	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I don't think you can. The vote at agenda was to not require names. You can't go back now and say, I need some names.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:15 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->I'm going to need the name of that groundworker. How can staff get that?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:13 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Got it.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:12 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Then we need to scrutinize this sheet and find the areas like this one and develop questions for slattery and staff. Such as "did this grounds worker know he got additional 101 k? And for what?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:51 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yes! They paint a pretty grim picture. You see why company fights so hard for confidential.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:50 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->And I have many other concerns on these sheets that as I go through them I develop more and more<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Ok.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->He can help and you need to ask when things get harried<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->When I can.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Also I know there is lots going on, are u utilizing steve to get help?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:36 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Will do.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:37 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Mail and also type in case it does not get here in time<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->She's #10 in the order of witnesses, and we're on #3<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->When is slattery up?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:33 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->7 officers, 12 board of directors.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:31 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->How many are there?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->They are not on there. They are on a separate public sheet. I can fax or type numbers into email and send now.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->The sheets we got for compensation does not contain executive salaries the ceo is not even on there. What is going on? Look at what you guys sent me and point to the exe positions please<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->That's what armando was talking about. Its bonuses by any other name.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:22 PM<----->Subject: Re:<----->What non equity incentive compensation? Listed on fpl sheets (confidential)<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:47 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yep. Or he wants to take a long vacation in the fall and needs to clear his schedule.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:45 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->He's also trying to get as many surgeries he can get in before feds come up with health care plan<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Yep. He's got a boat and vacation homes to pay for. He's got to earn, especially with investments down so far.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:43 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Big \$ surgeries are what's important<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Finally getting in to see Dr. I wonder what happens in non-emergency situations.<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 11:41 AM<----->Subject: Re:<----->Tom hve 2 appts one at 945 am DR and 5pm therapy<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 27,</p>
2162	8/27/09 13:21	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	First, that's wrong. I said no need for names to go to public, not the PSC. It needs to be made an issue now because this groundworker 101 k is a great reason to know.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2169	8/27/09 15:02	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop	<p>Sounds like none are stipulated now. <From: Spoor is the distribution mgr (so outages and cust complaints about such)> <From: Sonneliter is transmission and substations. <From: Reed is a consultant who does economic and finances. His testimony is about "benchmarking" and how fpl compares to other ious. <From: Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:59 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<I want to be certain which witnesses. I may want to question them?>-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:56 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Was going to be stipulated witnesses, but that has now collapsed. <From: Basically, will combine direct and rebuttal for 8 fpl witnesses, and those 8 will go after the intervenor witnesses. <From: Intervenor witnesses will have all monday and 1/2 of Wed (meaning fpl agree to limit cross to get them done in that time). <From: Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:54 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<I didn't hear agreement as I was on other phone with a member of the legislature on a diff issue<-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:52 PM<Subject: Skop<Is not on the bench. Other 3 are. <From: Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:21 PM<Subject: Re: Skop< First, that's wrong. I said no need for names to go to public, not the PSC. It needs to be made an issue now because this groundworker 101 k is a great reason to know.<-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:16 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<I don't think you can. The vote at agenda was to not require names. You can't go back now and say, I need some names. <-----Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:15 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<I'm going to need the name of that groundworker. How can staff get that?>-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:13 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Got it. <-----Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:12 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Then we need to scrutinize this sheet and find the areas like this one and develop questions for slattery and staff. Such as "did this grounds worker know he got additional 101 k? And for what?>-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:51 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Yes! They paint a pretty grim picture. You see why company fights so hard for confidential. <-----Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:50 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<And I have many other concerns on these sheets that as I go through them I develop more and more.<-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Ok. <-----Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<He can help and you need to ask when things get harried.<-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<When I can. <-----Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Also I know there is lots going on, are u utilizing steve to get help?>-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:36 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Will do. <-----Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:37 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Mail and also type in case it does not get here in time.>-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<She's #10 in the order of witnesses, and we're on #3.>-----Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<When is slattery up?>-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:33 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<7 officers, 12 board of directors. <-----Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:31 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<How many are there?>-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<They are not on there. They are on a separate public sheet. I can fax or type numbers into email and send now. <-----Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<The sheets we got for compensation does not contain executive salaries the ceo is not even on there. What is going on? Look at what you guys sent me and point to the exe positions please.>-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy</p>
2170	8/27/09 15:02	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop	<p>If I want rebuttal witnesses can I subpoena them? What about the names to go with these positions?</p>
2171	8/27/09 15:04	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop	<p>You mean, can you bring witnesses back after they are excused?>-----Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:02 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<I want rebuttal witnesses can I subpoena them? What about the names to go with these positions?>-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:56 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Was going to be stipulated witnesses, but that has now collapsed. <From: Basically, will combine direct and rebuttal for 8 fpl witnesses, and those 8 will go after the intervenor witnesses. <From: Intervenor witnesses will have all monday and 1/2 of Wed (meaning fpl agree to limit cross to get them done in that time). <From: Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:54 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<I didn't hear agreement as I was on other phone with a member of the legislature on a diff issue.>-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:52 PM<Subject: Skop<Is not on the bench. Other 3 are. <From: Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:21 PM<Subject: Re: Skop< First, that's wrong. I said no need for names to go to public, not the PSC. It needs to be made an issue now because this groundworker 101 k is a great reason to know.<-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:16 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<I don't think you can. The vote at agenda was to not require names. You can't go back now and say, I need some names. <-----Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:15 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<I'm going to need the name of that groundworker. How can staff get that?>-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:13 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Got it. <-----Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:12 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Then we need to scrutinize this sheet and find the areas like this one and develop questions for slattery and staff. Such as "did this grounds worker know he got additional 101 k? And for what?>-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:51 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Yes! They paint a pretty grim picture. You see why company fights so hard for confidential. <-----Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:50 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<And I have many other concerns on these sheets that as I go through them I develop more and more.<-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Ok. <-----Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<He can help and you need to ask when things get harried.<-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<When I can. <-----Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:36 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Will do. <-----Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:37 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Mail and also type in case it does not get here in time.>-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<She's #10 in the order of witnesses, and we're on #3.>-----Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<When is slattery up?>-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:33 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<7 officers, 12 board of directors. <-----Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:31 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<How many are there?>-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<They are not on there. They are on a separate public sheet. I can fax or type numbers into email and send now. <-----Original Message-----> <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<The sheets we got for compensation does not contain executive salaries the ceo is not even on there. What is going on? Look at what you guys sent me and point to the exe positions please.>-----Original Message-----> <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy</p>
2172	8/27/09 15:15	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop	

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2173	8/27/09 15:16	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop	<p>Witness reed can talk about employees - one of his metrics is "labor efficiency" which is broken down into employees per 1000 customers and total benefits per employee. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:02 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<Is not on the bench. Other 3 are. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:21 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<First, that's wrong. I said no need for names to go to public, not the PSC. It needs to be made an issue now because this groundworker 101 k is a great reason to know. <From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:16 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<I don't think you can. The vote at agenda was to not require names. You can't go back now and say, I need some names. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:15 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<I'm going to need the name of that groundworker. How can staff get that? <From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:13 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<Got it. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:12 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<Then we need to scrutinize this sheet and find the areas like this one and develop questions for slattery and staff. Such as "did this grounds worker know he got additional 101 k? And for what? <From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:51 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<Yes! They paint a pretty grim picture. You see why company fights so hard for confidential. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:50 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<And I have many other concerns on these sheets that as I go through them I develop more and more <From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<Ok. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<He can help and you need to ask when things get harried <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<When I can. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<Also I know there is lots going on, are u utilizing steve to get help? <From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:36 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<Will do. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:37 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<Mail and also type in case it does not get here in time <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<She's #10 in the order of witnesses, and we're on #3 <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<When is slattery up? <From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:33 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<7 officers, 12 board of directors. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:31 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<How many are there? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<They are not on there. They are on a separate public sheet. I can fax or type numbers into email and send now. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<The sheets we got for compensation does not contain executive salaries the ceo is not even on there. What is going on? Look at what you guys sent me and point to the exe positions please <Original</p>
2174	8/27/09 15:16	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop	No. Can't we call. The guy as a rebuttal witness?
2175	8/27/09 15:17	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop	<p>You cannot at this point in the proceeding call any witnesses who are not already listed in the prehearing order. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:16 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<No. Can't we call. The guy as a rebuttal witness? <From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:04 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<You mean, can you bring witnesses back after they are excused? <Probably not. I don't want to say absolutely no, but very very hard. <As to names, the vote was to not require fpl to provide. I suppose you could ask for some, but they'll say no. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:02 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<If I want rebuttal witnesses can I subpoena them? What about the names to go with these positions? <From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:56 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<Was going to be stipulated witnesses, but that has now collapsed. <Basically, will combine direct and rebuttal for 8 fpl witnesses, and those 8 will go after the intervenor witnesses. <Intervenor witnesses will have all monday and 1/2 of Wed (meaning fpl agree to limit cross to get them done in that time). <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:54 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<I didn't hear agreement as I was on other phone with a member of the legislature on a diff issue <From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:52 PM> Subject: Skop<Is not on the bench. Other 3 are. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:21 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<First, that's wrong. I said no need for names to go to public, not the PSC. It needs to be made an issue now because this groundworker 101 k is a great reason to know. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:16 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<I don't think you can. The vote at agenda was to not require names. You can't go back now and say, I need some names. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:15 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<I'm going to need the name of that groundworker. How can staff get that? <From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:13 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<Got it. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:12 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<Then we need to scrutinize this sheet and find the areas like this one and develop questions for slattery and staff. Such as "did this grounds worker know he got additional 101 k? And for what? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:51 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<Yes! They paint a pretty grim picture. You see why company fights so hard for confidential. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:50 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<And I have many other concerns on these sheets that as I go through them I develop more and more <From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<Ok. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<He can help and you need to ask when things get harried <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<When I can. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<Also I know there is lots going on, are u utilizing steve to get help? <From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:36 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<Will do. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:37 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<Mail and also type in case it does not get here in time <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<She's #10 in the order of witnesses, and we're on #3 <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<When is slattery up? <From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:33 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<7 officers, 12 board of directors. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:31 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<How many are there? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:27 PM> Subject: Re: Skop<They are not on there. They are on a separate</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2176	8/27/09 15:19	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop	<p>Not in our hearings. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>So this is different then Florida rules of civil procedure. I thought we were subject to these rules<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:17 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>You cannot at this point in the proceeding call any witnesses who are not already listed in the prehearing order. <Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:16 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>No. Can't we call. The guy as a rebuttal witness?<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:04 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>You mean, can you bring witnesses back after they are excused?<Probably not. I don't want to say absolutely no, but very very hard. <As to names, the vote was to not require fpl to provide. I suppose you could ask for some, but they'll say no. <Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:02 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>If I want rebuttal witnesses can I subpoena them? What about the names to go with these positions?<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:56 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>Was going to be stipulated witnesses, but that has now collapsed. <Basically, will combine direct and rebuttal for 8 fpl witnesses, and those 8 will go after the intervenor witnesses. <Intervenor witnesses will have all monday and 1/2 of Wed (meaning fpl agree to limit cross to get them done in that time). <Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:54 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>I didn't hear agreement as I was on other phone with a member of the legislature on a diff issue<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:52 PM<Subject: Skop>>>Is not on the bench. Other 3 are. <Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:21 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>First, that's wrong. I said no need for names to go to public, not the PSC. It needs to be made an issue now because this groundworker 101 k is a great reason to know. <Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:16 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>I don't think you can. The vote at agenda was to not require names. You can't go back now and say, I need some names. <Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:15 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>I'm going to to need the name of that groundworker. How can staff get that?<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:13 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>Got it. <Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:12 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>Then we need to scrutinize this sheet and find the areas like this one and develop questions for slattery and staff. Such as "did this grounds worker know he got additional 101 k? And for what?<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:51 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>Yes! They paint a pretty grim picture. You see why company fights so hard for confidential. <Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:50 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>And I have many other concerns on these sheets that as I go through them I develop more and more<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>Ok. <Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>He can help and you need to ask when things get harried<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>When I can. <Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>Also I know there is lots going on, are u utilizing steve to get help?<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:37 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>Will do. <Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:37 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>Mail and also type in case it does not get here in time<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>She's #10 in the order of witnesses, and we're on #3<Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:35 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>When is slattery up?<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009</p>
2177	8/27/09 15:19	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop	So this is different then Florida rules of civil procedure. I thought we were subject to these rules
2178	8/27/09 15:20	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop	What an invitation to lie
2179	8/27/09 15:20	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop	<p>Yep. <You don't believe their oath is enough?><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>What an invitation to lie<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>Not in our hearings. <Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>So this is different then Florida rules of civil procedure. I thought we were subject to these rules<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:17 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>You cannot at this point in the proceeding call any witnesses who are not already listed in the prehearing order. <Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:16 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>No. Can't we call. The guy as a rebuttal witness?<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:04 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>You mean, can you bring witnesses back after they are excused?<Probably not. I don't want to say absolutely no, but very very hard. <As to names, the vote was to not require fpl to provide. I suppose you could ask for some, but they'll say no. <Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:02 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>If I want rebuttal witnesses can I subpoena them? What about the names to go with these positions?<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:56 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>Was going to be stipulated witnesses, but that has now collapsed. <Basically, will combine direct and rebuttal for 8 fpl witnesses, and those 8 will go after the intervenor witnesses. <Intervenor witnesses will have all monday and 1/2 of Wed (meaning fpl agree to limit cross to get them done in that time). <Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:54 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>I didn't hear agreement as I was on other phone with a member of the legislature on a diff issue<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:52 PM<Subject: Skop>>>Is not on the bench. Other 3 are. <Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:21 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>First, that's wrong. I said no need for names to go to public, not the PSC. It needs to be made an issue now because this groundworker 101 k is a great reason to know. <Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:16 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>I don't think you can. The vote at agenda was to not require names. You can't go back now and say, I need some names. <Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:15 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>I'm going to to need the name of that groundworker. How can staff get that?<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:13 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>Got it. <Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:12 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>Then we need to scrutinize this sheet and find the areas like this one and develop questions for slattery and staff. Such as "did this grounds worker know he got additional 101 k? And for what?<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:51 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>Yes! They paint a pretty grim picture. You see why company fights so hard for confidential. <Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:50 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>And I have many other concerns on these sheets that as I go through them I develop more and more<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>Ok. <Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>He can help and you need to ask when things get harried<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>When I can. <Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>Also I know there is lots going on, are u utilizing steve to get help?<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:36 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>Will do. <Original Message>>><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:37 PM<Subject: Re: Skop>>>Mail and also type in case it does not get here in time<Original Message>>><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009</p>
2180	8/27/09 15:22	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop	No. Especially is no one is checking on it
2181	8/27/09 15:23	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop	They could interpret any way they want. "Slicing the baloney" any way they want

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2182	8/27/09 15:23	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop	<p>Yep. <But these are paragons of industry - they are leaders. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>They could interpret any way they want. "Slicing the baloney" any way they want<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>Yep. <You don't believe their oath is enough?><>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>What an invitation to lie<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>Not in our hearings. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>So this is different then Florida rules of civil procedure. I thought we were subject to these rules<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:17 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>You cannot at this point in the proceeding call any witnesses who are not already listed in the prehearing order. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:16 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>No. Can't we call. The guy as a rebuttal witness?<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:04 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>You mean, can you bring witnesses back after they are excused?<>Probably not. I don't want to say absolutely no, but very very hard. <><>As to names, the vote was to not require fpl to provide. I suppose you could ask for some, but they'll say no. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:02 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>If I want rebuttal witnesses can I subpoena them? What about the names to go with these positions?<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:56 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>Was going to be stipulated witnesses, but that has now collapsed. <>Basically, will combine direct and rebuttal for 8 fpl witnesses, and those 8 will go after the intervenor witnesses. <>Intervenor witnesses will have all monday and 1/2 of Wed (meaning fpl agree to limit cross to get them done in that time). <><>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:54 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>I didn't hear agreement as I was on other phone with a member of the legislature on a diff issue<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:52 PM><Subject: Skop><>>Is not on the bench. Other 3 are. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:21 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>> First, that's wrong. I said no need for names to go to public, not the PSC. It needs to be made an issue now because this groundworker 101 k is a great reason to know.<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:16 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>I don't think you can. The vote at agenda was to not require names. You can't go back now and say, I need some names. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:15 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>I'm going to to need the name of that groundworker. How can staff get that?<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:13 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>Got it. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:12 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>Then we need to scrutinize this sheet and find the areas like this one and develop questions for slattery and staff. Such as "did this grounds worker know he got additional 101 k? And for what?<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:51 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>Yes! They paint a pretty grim picture. You see why company fights so hard for confidential. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:50 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>And I have many other concerns on these sheets that as I go through them I develop more and more<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>Ok. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>When I can. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>Also I know there is lots going</p>
2183	8/27/09 15:24	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop	Sure they are
2184	8/27/09 15:30	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop	Not back yet
2185	8/27/09 15:30	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop	<p>Yes, sorry, they started. Schef is asking questions. <They didn't do anything about the agreement. Just stared with schef resuming his Qs. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:30 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>Not back yet<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>Yep. <But these are paragons of industry - they are leaders. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>They could interpret any way they want. "Slicing the baloney" any way they want<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>Yep. <You don't believe their oath is enough?><>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>What an invitation to lie<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>Not in our hearings. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>So this is different then Florida rules of civil procedure. I thought we were subject to these rules<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:17 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>You cannot at this point in the proceeding call any witnesses who are not already listed in the prehearing order. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:16 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>No. Can't we call. The guy as a rebuttal witness?<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:04 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>You mean, can you bring witnesses back after they are excused?<>Probably not. I don't want to say absolutely no, but very very hard. <><>As to names, the vote was to not require fpl to provide. I suppose you could ask for some, but they'll say no. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:02 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>If I want rebuttal witnesses can I subpoena them? What about the names to go with these positions?<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:56 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>Was going to be stipulated witnesses, but that has now collapsed. <>Basically, will combine direct and rebuttal for 8 fpl witnesses, and those 8 will go after the intervenor witnesses. <>Intervenor witnesses will have all monday and 1/2 of Wed (meaning fpl agree to limit cross to get them done in that time). <><>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:54 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>I didn't hear agreement as I was on other phone with a member of the legislature on a diff issue<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:52 PM><Subject: Skop><>>Is not on the bench. Other 3 are. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:21 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>> First, that's wrong. I said no need for names to go to public, not the PSC. It needs to be made an issue now because this groundworker 101 k is a great reason to know.<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:16 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>I don't think you can. The vote at agenda was to not require names. You can't go back now and say, I need some names. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:15 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>I'm going to to need the name of that groundworker. How can staff get that?<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:13 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>Got it. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:12 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>Then we need to scrutinize this sheet and find the areas like this one and develop questions for slattery and staff. Such as "did this grounds worker know he got additional 101 k? And for what?<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:51 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>Yes! They paint a pretty grim picture. You see why company fights so hard for confidential. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:50 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>And I have many other concerns on these sheets that as I go through them I develop more and more<>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>Ok. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:39 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>When I can. <>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:38 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><>>Also I know there is lots going</p>
2186	8/27/09 15:32	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop	Who is asking ques

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2187	8/27/09 15:33	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop	<p>Martha brown. Staff lawyer. <--Was on the fpl gas pipeline case. <--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:32 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--Who is asking ques<--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--To: Nancy Argenziano<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:30 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--Yes, sorry, they started. Schef is asking questions. <--They didn't do anything about the agreement. Just stared with schef resuming his Qs. <--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:30 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--Not back yet<--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--Yes. <--But these are paragons of industry - they are leaders. <--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--They could interpret any way they want. "Slicing the baloney" any way they want<--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--To: Nancy Argenziano<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--Yep. <--You don't believe their oath is enough?<--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--What an invitation to lie<--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--To: Nancy Argenziano<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--Not in our hearings. <--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--So this is different then Florida rules of civil procedure. I thought we were subject to these rules<--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--To: Nancy Argenziano<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:17 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--You cannot at this point in the proceeding call any witnesses who are not already listed in the prehearing order. <--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:16 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--No. Can't we call. The guy as a rebuttal witness?<--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--To: Nancy Argenziano<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:04 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--You mean, can you bring witnesses back after they are excused?<--Probably not. I don't want to say absolutely no, but very very hard. <--As to names, the vote was to not require fpl to provide. I suppose you could ask for some, but they'll say no. <--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:02 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--If I want rebuttal witnesses can I subpoena them? What about the names to go with these positions?<--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--To: Nancy Argenziano<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:56 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--Was going to be stipulated witnesses, but that has now collapsed. <--Basically, will combine direct and rebuttal for 8 fpl witnesses, and those 8 will go after the intervenor witnesses. <--Intervenor witnesses will have all monday and 1/2 of Wed (meaning fpl agree to limit cross to get them done in that time). <--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:54 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--I didn't hear agreement as I was on other phone with a member of the legislature on a diff issue<--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--To: Nancy Argenziano<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:52 PM<--Subject: Skop<--Is not on the bench. Other 3 are. <--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:21 PM<--Subject: Re: <--First, that's wrong. I said no need for names to go to public, not the PSC. It needs to be made an issue now because this groundworker 101 k is a great reason to know. <--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--To: Nancy Argenziano<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:16 PM<--Subject: Re: <--I don't think you can. The vote at agenda was to not require names. You can't go back now and say, I need some names. <--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:15 PM<--Subject: Re: <--I'm going to to need the name of that groundworker. How can staff get that?<--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--To: Nancy Argenziano<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:13 PM<--Subject: Re: <--Got it. <--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:12 PM<--Subject: Re: <--Then we need to scrutinize this sheet and find the areas like this one and develop questions for slattery and staff. Such as "did this grounds worker know he got additional 101 k? And for what?<--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--To: Nancy Argenziano<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:51 PM<--Subject: Re: <--Yes! They paint a pretty grim picture. You see why company fights so hard for confidential. <--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:50 PM<--Subject: Re: <--And I have</p>
2188	8/27/09 16:00	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop	<p>Camera just showed that nathan is still not on the bench. I don't know where he is. <--I'll bet it has to do with RR though. <--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:32 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--Who is asking ques<--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:30 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--Yes, sorry, they started. Schef is asking questions. <--They didn't do anything about the agreement. Just stared with schef resuming his Qs. <--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:30 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--Not back yet<--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--To: Nancy Argenziano<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--Yes. <--But these are paragons of industry they are leaders. <--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--They could interpret any way they want. "Slicing the baloney" any way they want<--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--To: Nancy Argenziano<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--Yep. <--You don't believe their oath is enough?<--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--What an invitation to lie<--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--To: Nancy Argenziano<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--Not in our hearings. <--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--So this is different then Florida rules of civil procedure. I thought we were subject to these rules<--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--To: Nancy Argenziano<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:17 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--You cannot at this point in the proceeding call any witnesses who are not already listed in the prehearing order. <--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:16 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--No. Can't we call. The guy as a rebuttal witness?<--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--To: Nancy Argenziano<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:04 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--You mean, can you bring witnesses back after they are excused?<--Probably not. I don't want to say absolutely no, but very very hard. <--As to names, the vote was to not require fpl to provide. I suppose you could ask for some, but they'll say no. <--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:02 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--If I want rebuttal witnesses can I subpoena them? What about the names to go with these positions?<--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--To: Nancy Argenziano<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:56 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--Was going to be stipulated witnesses, but that has now collapsed. <--Basically, will combine direct and rebuttal for 8 fpl witnesses, and those 8 will go after the intervenor witnesses. <--Intervenor witnesses will have all monday and 1/2 of Wed (meaning fpl agree to limit cross to get them done in that time). <--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:54 PM<--Subject: Re: Skop<--I didn't hear agreement as I was on other phone with a member of the legislature on a diff issue<--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--To: Nancy Argenziano<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:52 PM<--Subject: Skop<--Is not on the bench. Other 3 are. <--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:21 PM<--Subject: Re: <--First, that's wrong. I said no need for names to go to public, not the PSC. It needs to be made an issue now because this groundworker 101 k is a great reason to know. <--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--To: Nancy Argenziano<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:16 PM<--Subject: Re: <--I don't think you can. The vote at agenda was to not require names. You can't go back now and say, I need some names. <--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:15 PM<--Subject: Re: <--I'm going to to need the name of that groundworker. How can staff get that?<--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--To: Nancy Argenziano<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:13 PM<--Subject: Re: <--Got it. <--Original Message-----<--From: Nancy Argenziano<--To: Larry Harris<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:12 PM<--Subject: Re: <--Then we need to scrutinize this sheet and find the areas like this one and develop questions for slattery and staff. Such as "did this grounds worker know he got additional 101 k? And for what?<--Original Message-----<--From: Larry Harris<--To: Nancy Argenziano<--Sent: Aug 27, 2009 12:51 PM<--Subject: Re: <--Yes! They paint a pretty grim picture. You see why company fights so hard for confidential. <--Original</p>
2190	8/27/09 16:01	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop	<p>He is prob looking for FDLE</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2189	8/27/09 16:01	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop	<p>I wish they were looking for him. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:01 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<He is prob looking for FDLE<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Camera just showed that nathan is still not on the bench. I don't know where he is. <I'll bet it has to do with RR though. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:32 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Who is asking ques<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:30 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Yes, sorry, they started. Schef is asking questions. <They didn't do anything about the agreement. Just stared with schef resuming his Qs. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:30 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Not back yet<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Yep. <But these are paragons of industry - they are leaders. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<They could interpret any way they want. "Slicing the baloney" any way they want<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Yep. <You don't believe their oath is enough?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<What an invitation to lie<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Not in our hearings. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<So this is different then Florida rules of civil procedure. I thought we were subject to these rules<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:17 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<You cannot at this point in the proceeding call any witnesses who are not already listed in the prehearing order. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:16 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<No. Can't we call. The guy as a rebuttal witness?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:04 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<You mean, can you bring witnesses back after they are excused?<Probably not. I don't want to say absolutely no, but very very hard. <As to names, the vote was to not require fpl to provide. I suppose you could ask for some, but they'll say no. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:02 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<If I want rebuttal witnesses can I subpoena them? What about the names to go with these positions?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:56 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Was going to be stipulated witnesses, but that has now collapsed. <Basically, will combine direct and rebuttal for 8 fpl witnesses, and those 8 will go after the intervenor witnesses. <Intervenor witnesses will have all monday and 1/2 of Wed (meaning fpl agress to limit cross to get them done in that time). <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:54 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<I didn't hear agreement as I was on other phone with a member of the legislature on a diff issue<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:52 PM<Subject: Skop<Is not on the bench. Other 3 are. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:21 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<First, that's wrong. I said no need for names to go to public, not the PSC. It needs to be made an issue now because this groundworker 101 k is a great reason to know. <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:16 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<I don't think you can. The vote at agenda was to not require names. You can't go back now and say, I need some names. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:13 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Got it. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:12 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Then we need to scrutinize this sheet and find the areas like this one and develop questions for slattery and staff. Such as "did this grounds worker know he got</p>
2191	8/27/09 16:04	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop	Did you send me where to specifically see order from tues
2192	8/27/09 16:04	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop	<p>I just heard chair say no saturday, and done at 7:00 tonight. Everybody says willing to stay late tomorow. <Wonder if the chair will stay really late, or if he'll finish 7 tomorrow also. <I hope for 7. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:01 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<He is prob looking for FDLE<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Camera just showed that nathan is still not on the bench. I don't know where he is. <I'll bet it has to do with RR though. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:32 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Who is asking ques<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:30 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Yes, sorry, they started. Schef is asking questions. <They didn't do anything about the agreement. Just stared with schef resuming his Qs. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:30 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Not back yet<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Yep. <But these are paragons of industry - they are leaders. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<They could interpret any way they want. "Slicing the baloney" any way they want<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Yep. <You don't believe their oath is enough?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<What an invitation to lie<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Not in our hearings. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<So this is different then Florida rules of civil procedure. I thought we were subject to these rules<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:17 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<You cannot at this point in the proceeding call any witnesses who are not already listed in the prehearing order. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:16 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<No. Can't we call. The guy as a rebuttal witness?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:04 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<You mean, can you bring witnesses back after they are excused?<Probably not. I don't want to say absolutely no, but very very hard. <As to names, the vote was to not require fpl to provide. I suppose you could ask for some, but they'll say no. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:02 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<If I want rebuttal witnesses can I subpoena them? What about the names to go with these positions?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:56 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Was going to be stipulated witnesses, but that has now collapsed. <Basically, will combine direct and rebuttal for 8 fpl witnesses, and those 8 will go after the intervenor witnesses. <Intervenor witnesses will have all monday and 1/2 of Wed (meaning fpl agress to limit cross to get them done in that time). <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:54 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<I didn't hear agreement as I was on other phone with a member of the legislature on a diff issue<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:52 PM<Subject: Skop<Is not on the bench. Other 3 are. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:21 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<First, that's wrong. I said no need for names to go to public, not the PSC. It needs to be made an issue now because this groundworker 101 k is a great reason to know. <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:16 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<I don't think you can. The vote at agenda was to not require names. You can't go back now and say, I need some names. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:15 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<I'm going to need the name of that groundworker. How can staff get that?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:13 PM<Subject: Re: Skop<Got it. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:12</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2193	8/27/09 16:04	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop	<p>Not yet. <?>I'm paying attention to what happening now. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:04 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>Did you send me where to specifically see order from tues<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:01 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>I wish they were looking for him. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:01 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>He is prob looking for FDLE<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:00 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>Camera just showed that nathan is still not on the bench. I don't know where he is. <?>I'll bet it has to do with RR though. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:32 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>Who is asking ques<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:30 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>Yes, sorry, they started. Schef is asking questions. <?>They didn't do anything about the agreement. Just stared with schef resuming his Qs. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:30 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>Not back yet<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>Yep. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>They could interpret any way they want. "Slicing the baloney" any way they want<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>Yep. <?>You don't believe their oath is enough<?><?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>What an invitation to lie<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>Not in our hearings. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>So this is different then Florida rules of civil procedure. I thought we were subject to these rules<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:17 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>You cannot at this point in the proceeding call any witnesses who are not already listed in the prehearing order. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:16 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>No. Can't we call. The guy as a rebuttal witness<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:04 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>You mean, can you bring witnesses back after they are excused<?>Probably not. I don't want to say absolutely no, but very very hard. <?><?>As to names, the vote was to not require fpl to provide. I suppose you could ask for some, but they'll say no. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:02 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>I want rebuttal witnesses can I subpoena them? What about the names to go with these positions<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:56 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>Was going to be stipulated witnesses, but that has now collapsed. <?>Basically, will combine direct and rebuttal for 8 fpl witnesses, and those 8 will go after the intervenor witnesses. <?>Intervenor witnesses will have all monday and 1/2 of Wed (meaning fpl agree to limit cross to get them done in that time). <?><?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:54 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>I didn't hear agreement as I was on other phone with a member of the legislature on a diff issue<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:52 PM<?>Subject: Skop<?><?>Is not on the bench. Other 3 are. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:21 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>First, that's wrong. I said no need for names to go to public, not the PSC. It needs to be made an issue now because this groundworker 101 k is a great reason to know. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:16 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>I don't think you can. The vote at agenda was to not require names. You can't go back now and say, I need some names. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:15 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>I'm going to need the name of that groundworker. How can staff get that<?>-----Original Message-----</p>
2194	8/27/09 16:06	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop	Are we going to run out of time? 1st rate case in 1/4 century should hve enough time to hear all
2195	8/27/09 16:08	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Barnett	You need to call skop and ask if he got what we didn't. This is to see if staff had it to begin with
2196	8/27/09 16:08	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>No, he asked atty butler a Q, and butler referred him back to barrett. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:39 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>He just called him butler. I thought he is barrett. Which is it<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:34 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>That appears to be the case. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:34 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>Then we don't have accurate info do we ?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:33 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>I agree. I can't find either. I'm going to look for his previous title. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:22 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>Oi can't find him. I am going to ask him after u look. He can't make less then 165 if pimental makes over a million<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:19 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>You are right. pimental is executive vp of finance and cfo. <?>This guy is just a regular vp. <?>I'll look at confidential list and see if I can find. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:17 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>The sheet u sent (officers) says its pimental. Where is he listed on sheet? Find out his correct title now please from staff<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:14 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>Barrett, right<?><?>VP of finance. <?>He's on the email list. Non-confidential. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:05 PM<?>Subject: Skop<?><?>What is name of fpl witness. He is VP of finance I think? Where is he on confidential list<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:40 PM<?>Subject: Re: Barnett<?><?>You need to call skop and ask if he got what we didn't. This is to see if staff had it to begin with<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:33 PM<?>Subject: Re: Barnett<?><?>It looks pretty bad right now. Staff are saying they hope they gave them to me, and I didn't send on to you. <?>But, from what they sound like they're looking for, they didn't give it to me. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:32 PM<?>Subject: Re: Barnett<?><?>Oh no. That's not good. I have found another discrepancy<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:30 PM<?>Subject: Re: Barnett<?><?>Cecilia says she thinks there is a mismatch in confidential docs. They're going to reconcile in AM. They have same concern as we do about being sure to have all the salaries, \$165k and up. <?>I'm with staff right now. They are trying to find the files. <?>Not where they are supposed to be stored. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:17 PM<?>Subject: Re: Barnett<?><?>They better not have<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:15 PM<?>Subject: Re: Barnett<?><?>Will do. <?>I'm looking forward to finding out we don't have some document. I hope they say that there is something I don't have, that they didn't get to me to give to you. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:14 PM<?>Subject: Re: Barnett<?><?>Do we have all the sheets and I thought they were all the same except that these are numbered? Ask intervenors wheteir they have looked at all these to see if they found it if we can ask that<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:03 PM<?>Subject: Re: Barnett<?><?>John Butler. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:03 PM<?>Subject: Re: Barnett<?><?>What the fpls atny name who objected? I forgotit<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:01 PM<?>Subject: Re: Barnett<?><?>Yep. The fact it was this hard to locate proves your point. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:01 PM<?>Subject: Re: Barnett<?><?>Isn't it going to be something when they come back and he is not on there? If he is well then I guess that's ok<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 6:58 PM<?>Subject: Re: Barnett<?><?>Its not going to be there. <?>But if it is, doesn't matter, just proves your point that the names were important b/c what they did won't allow you to identify people. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 6:57 PM<?>Subject: Re: Barnett<?><?>I just can't find it on there anyway<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 6:56 PM<?>Subject: Barnett<?><?>I don't think 'rogs 16+17 has it. I think those were the "proxy officers" - the 5 that are required to be publically disclosed. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 6:29 PM<?>Subject: Skop<?><?>Where are</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2198	8/27/09 16:08	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop	<p>We are going to run out of time. I can't see but chair intends that. <?>I think I heard him say something just a little while ago that he expects to run out of time. <?>That is smart of him. If he runs out of time, then he needs more hearing days in oct/nov/dec. That means no staff rec or vote until after gov appoints. <?>Fpl just said want done. I don't think chair cares. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:06 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>Are we going to run out of time? 1st rate case in 1/4 century should hve enough time to hear all<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:04 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>I just heard chair say no saturday, and done at 7:00 tonight. Everybody says willing to stay late tomorrow. <?>Wonder if the chair will stay really late, or if he'll finish 7 tomorrow also. <?>I hope for 7. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:01 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>He is prob looking for FDLE<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:00 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>Camera just showed that nathan is still not on the bench. I don't know where he is. <?>I'll bet it has to do with RR though. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:32 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>Who is asking ques<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:30 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>Yes, sorry, they started. Schef is asking questions. <?>They didn't do anything about the agreement. Just stared with schef resuming his Qs. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:30 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>Not back yet<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>Yep. <?>But these are paragons of industry - they are leaders. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>They could interpret any way they want. "Slicing the baloney" any way they want<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>Yep. <?>You don't believe their oath is enough<?><?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>What an invitation to lie<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>Not in our hearings. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>So this is different then Florida rules of civil procedure. I thought we were subject to these rules<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:17 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>You cannot at this point in the proceeding call any witnesses who are not already listed in the prehearing order. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:16 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>No. Can't we call. The guy as a rebuttal witness<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:04 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>You mean, can you bring witnesses back after they are excused<?>Probably not. I don't want to say absolutely no, but very very hard. <?><?>As to names, the vote was to not require fpl to provide. I suppose you could ask for some, but they'll say no. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:02 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>If I want rebuttal witnesses can I subpoena them? What about the names to go with these positions<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:56 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>Was going to be stipulated witnesses, but that has now collapsed. <?>Basically, will combine direct and rebuttal for 8 fpl witnesses, and those 8 will go after the intervenor witnesses. <?>Intervenor witnesses will have all monday and 1/2 of Wed (meaning fpl agree to limit cross to get them done in that time). <?><?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:54 PM<?>Subject: Re: Skop<?><?>I didn't hear agreement as I was on other phone with a member of the legislature on a diff issue<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:52 PM<?>Subject: Skop<?><?>Is not on the bench. Other 3 are. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 1:21 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>First, that's wrong. I said no need for names to go to public, not the PSC. It needs to be made an issue now because this groundworker 101</p>
2199	8/27/09 16:09	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>So, I guess the first Q is does he make more than \$165k in total compensation. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:39 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>He just called him butler. I thought he is barrett. Which is it<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:34 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>That appears to be the case. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:34 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Then we don't have accurate info do we <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:33 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>I agree. I can't find either. I'm going to look for his previous title. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:22 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Oi can't find him. I am going to ask him after u look. He can't make less then 165 if pimental makes over a million<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:19 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>You are right. pimental is executive vp of finance and cfo. <?>This guy is just a regular vp. <?>I'll look at confidential list and see if I can find. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:17 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>The sheet u sent (officers) says its pimental. Where is he listed on sheet? Find out his correct title now please from staff<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:14 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Barrett, right<?><?>VP of finance. <?>He's on the email list. Non-confidential. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:05 PM<?>Subject: <?><?>What is name of fpl witness. He is VP of finance I think? Where is he on confidential list<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:05 PM<?>Subject: <?><?>What is name of fpl witness. He is VP of finance I think? Where is he on confidential list</p>
2200	8/27/09 16:09	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop	Ok
2201	8/27/09 16:10	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Yes I plan to but when if he says vp finance or if he not on sheet under diff title, then I have to ask if he makes less then 165K to be off the sheet
2202	8/27/09 16:11	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Ok
2203	8/27/09 16:11	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Right
2204	8/27/09 16:14	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		The SEC. Disclosures you sent show 6 officers. You need to look again to be certain that is correct Is that all you are aware of from the SEC.?
2205	8/27/09 16:15	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Yes that is correct. There are only 6 second reporting officers. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:46 PM<?>Subject: <?><?>The SEC. Disclosures you sent show 6 officers. You need to look again to be certain that is correct. Is that all you are aware of from the SEC.?
2206	8/27/09 16:15	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I even looked at 2010 sheets to see if he was promoted ahead of rates going up. Not listed as vp next year either. <?><?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:43 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Right<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:41 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>So, I guess the first Q is does he make more than \$165k in total compensation. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:39 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>He just called him butler. I thought he is barrett. Which is it<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:34 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>That appears to be the case. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:34 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Then we don't have accurate info do we <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:33 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>I agree. I can't find either. I'm going to look for his previous title. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:22 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Oi can't find him. I am going to ask him after u look. He can't make less then 165 if pimental makes over a million<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:19 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>You are right. pimental is executive vp of finance and cfo. <?>This guy is just a regular vp. <?>I'll look at confidential list and see if I can find. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:17 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>The sheet u sent (officers) says its pimental. Where is he listed on sheet? Find out his correct title now please from staff<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:14 PM<?>Subject: Re: <?><?>Barrett, right<?><?>VP of finance. <?>He's on the email list. Non-confidential. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:05 PM<?>Subject: <?><?>What is name of fpl witness. He is VP of finance I think? Where is he on confidential list</p>
2207	8/27/09 16:26	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop	Find out when nominating concil meets (steve to do) for considering 3 names that go forward

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2208	8/27/09 16:27	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop	<p>They meet tuesday. That's why no hearing. And, its in tampa, so matt is going to want an early night monday night. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:26 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->Find out when nominating concil meets (steve to do) for considering 3 names that go forward<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:08 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->We are going to run out of time. I can't see but chair intends that. <-----Original Message----->I think I heard him say something just a little while ago that he expects to run out of time. <-----Original Message----->That is smart of him. If he runs out of time, then he needs more hearing days in oct/nov/dec. That means no staff rec or vote until after gov appoints. <-----Original Message----->Fpl just said want done. I don't think chair cares. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:06 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->Are we going to run out of time? 1st rate case in 1/4 century should hve enough time to hear all<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:04 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->I just heard chair say no saturday, and done at 7:00 tonight. Everybody says willing to stay late tomorrow. <-----Original Message----->Wonder if the chair will stay really late, or if he'll finish 7 tomorrow also. <-----Original Message----->I hope for 7. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:01 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->He is prob looking for FDLE<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:00 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->Camera just showed that nathan is still not on the bench. I don't know where he is. <-----Original Message----->I'll bet it has to do with RR though. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:32 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->Who is asking ques<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:30 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->Yes, sorry, they started. Schef is asking questions. <-----Original Message----->They didn't do anything about the agreement. Just stared with schef resuming his Qs. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:30 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->Not back yet<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->Yes, <-----Original Message----->But these are paragons of industry - they are leaders. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->They could interpet any way they want. "Slicing the baloney" any way they want<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->You don't believe their oath is enough?<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->What an invitation to lie<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->Not in our hearings. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->So this is different then Florida rules of civil procedure. I thought we were subject to these rules<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:17 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->You cannot at this point in the proceeding call any witnesses who are not already listed in the prehearing order. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:16 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->No. Can't we call. The guy as a rebuttal witness?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:16 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->You mean, can you bring witnesses back after they are excused?<-----Original Message----->Probably not. I don't want to say absolutely no, but very very hard. <-----Original Message----->As to names, the vote was to not require fpl to provide. I suppose you could ask for some, but they'll say no. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:02 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->If I want rebuttal witnesses can I subpoena them? What about the names to go with these positions?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:56 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->Was going to be stipulated witnesses, but that has now collapsed. <-----Original Message----->Basically, will combine direct and rebuttal for 8 fpl witnesses, and those 8 will go after the intervenor witnesses. <-----Original Message----->Intervenor witnesses will have all monday and 1/2 of Wed (meaning fpl agree to limit cross to get them done in that time). <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 2:54 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop</p>
2209	8/27/09 16:29	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop	<p>To pick finalists? When do names get sent to gov and then gov has to decide by jan?</p>
2210	8/27/09 16:30	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop	<p>Pick finalists tuesday. Names go to gov very quickly. He'll have to decide by mid-october. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:29 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->Find out when nominating concil meets (steve to do) for considering 3 names that go forward<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:27 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->They meet tuesday. That's why no hearing. And, its in tampa, so matt is going to want an early night monday night. <-----Original Message----->Unless he and Km are planning to leave early and leave you 3 to do late monday. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:26 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->Find out when nominating concil meets (steve to do) for considering 3 names that go forward<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:08 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->We are going to run out of time. I can't see but chair intends that. <-----Original Message----->I think I heard him say something just a little while ago that he expects to run out of time. <-----Original Message----->That is smart of him. If he runs out of time, then he needs more hearing days in oct/nov/dec. That means no staff rec or vote until after gov appoints. <-----Original Message----->Fpl just said want done. I don't think chair cares. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:06 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->Are we going to run out of time? 1st rate case in 1/4 century should hve enough time to hear all<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->To: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:04 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->I just heard chair say no saturday, and done at 7:00 tonight. Everybody says willing to stay late tomorrow. <-----Original Message----->Wonder if the chair will stay really late, or if he'll finish 7 tomorrow also. <-----Original Message----->I hope for 7. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:01 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->He is prob looking for FDLE<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:00 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->Camera just showed that nathan is still not on the bench. I don't know where he is. <-----Original Message----->I'll bet it has to do with RR though. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:32 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->Who is asking ques<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:30 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->Yes, sorry, they started. Schef is asking questions. <-----Original Message----->They didn't do anything about the agreement. Just stared with schef resuming his Qs. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:30 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->Not back yet<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->Yes, <-----Original Message----->But these are paragons of industry - they are leaders. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->They could interpet any way they want. "Slicing the baloney" any way they want<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->You don't believe their oath is enough?<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->What an invitation to lie<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->Not in our hearings. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->So this is different then Florida rules of civil procedure. I thought we were subject to these rules<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:17 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->You cannot at this point in the proceeding call any witnesses who are not already listed in the prehearing order. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:16 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->No. Can't we call. The guy as a rebuttal witness?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:04 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->You mean, can you bring witnesses back after they are excused?<-----Original Message----->Probably not. I don't want to say absolutely no, but very very hard. <-----Original Message----->As to names, the vote was to not require fpl to provide. I suppose you could ask for some, but they'll say no. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<-----Original Message----->To: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:02 PM<-----Original Message----->Subject: Re: Skop<-----Original Message----->If I want rebuttal witnesses can I subpoena them? What about the names to go with these positions?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<-----Original Message----->To: Nancy Argenziano</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2220	8/27/09 17:15	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop	It is Barrett, who is VP of finance. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:10 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><What the guys name on witness stand? <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:08 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><I have been speculating that we would need Sat - weeks ago, I didn't seem like we had enough time. <Staff may have also mentioned we'd need it, in addition to going really late at night. <But nobody has ever said Sat for sure. <The yellow calendar does not show coms holds, or party availability. But, it shows as nothing scheduled>>17+18 sept<>7-14 october<>19+23+26 october<>5+6+9 nov<>23-25 nov<>2-4 dec<>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:58 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><When is the next time this case can come up on schedule? I want an answer before next wed. You look at calendar and give me ur best shot at it. Also where did the sat work day come from? <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:44 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><SL just got back from post office. Says nate's truck is gone from parking lot. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:29 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><To pick finalists? When do names get sent to gov and then gov has to decide by jan?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:27 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><They meet tuesday. That's why no hearing. And, its in tampa, so matt is going to want an early night monday night. <Unless he and Km are planning to leave early and leave you 3 to do late monday. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:26 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Find out when nominating concil meets (steve to do) for considering 3 names that go forward<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:08 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><We are going to run out of time. I can't see but chair intends that. <I think I heard him say something just a little while ago that he expects to run out of time. <That is smart of him. If he runs out of time, then he needs more hearing days in oct/nov/dec. That means no staff rec or vote until after gov appointments. <Fpl just said want done. I don't think chair cares. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:06 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Are we going to run out of time? 1st rate case in 1/4 century should have enough time to hear all<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:04 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><I just heard chair say no saturday, and done at 7:00 tonight. Everybody says willing to stay late tomorrow. <Wonder if the chair will stay really late, or if he'll finish 7 tomorrow also. <I hope for 7. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:01 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><He is prob looking for FDLE<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:00 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Camera just showed that nathan is still not on the bench. I don't know where he is. <I'll bet it has to do with RR though. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:32 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Who is asking ques<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:30 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Yes, sorry, they started. Schef is asking questions. <They didn't do anything about the agreement. Just stared with schef resuming his Qs. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:30 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Not back yet<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Yep. <But these are paragons of industry - they are leaders. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><They could interpret any way they want. "Slicing the baloney" any way they want<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><You don't believe their oath is enough?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><What an invitation to lie<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Not in our hearings. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><So this is different then
2221	8/27/09 17:17	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	The sheet u sent (officers) says its pimental. Where is he listed on sheet? Find out his correct title now please from staff
2222	8/27/09 17:19	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	You are right, pimental is executive vp of finance and cfo. <This guy is just a regular vp. <I'll look at confidential list and see if I can find. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:17 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><The sheet u sent (officers) says its pimental. Where is he listed on sheet? Find out his correct title now please from staff<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:14 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Barrett, right?<VP of finance. <He's on the email list. Non-confidential. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:05 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><What is name of fpl witness. He is VP of finance I think? Where is he on confidential list?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:05 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><What is name of fpl witness. He is VP of finance I think? Where is he on confidential list?
2223	8/27/09 17:21	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop	You sent me the list and it does not say he is vp of finance. It says pimental is
2224	8/27/09 17:22	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Oi can't find him. I am going to ask him after u look. He can't make less then 165 if pimental makes over a million
2225	8/27/09 17:32	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop	Right. Pimental shows up as executive vp/cfo. What I sent doesn't have the exec part on it. <I haven't been able to find this guy's compensation on the list yet. Still looking. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:21 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><You sent me the list and it does not say he is vp of finance. It says pimental is<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:15 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><It is Barrett, who is VP of finance. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:10 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><What the guys name on witness stand? <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:08 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><I have been speculating that we would need Sat - weeks ago, I didn't seem like we had enough time. <Staff may have also mentioned we'd need it, in addition to going really late at night. <But nobody has ever said Sat for sure. <The yellow calendar does not show coms holds, or party availability. But, it shows as nothing scheduled>>17+18 sept<>7-14 october<>19+23+26 october<>5+6+9 nov<>23-25 nov<>2-4 dec<>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:58 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><When is the next time this case can come up on schedule? I want an answer before next wed. You look at calendar and give me ur best shot at it. Also where did the sat work day come from? <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:44 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><SL just got back from post office. Says nate's truck is gone from parking lot. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:29 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><To pick finalists? When do names get sent to gov and then gov has to decide by jan?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:27 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><They meet tuesday. That's why no hearing. And, its in tampa, so matt is going to want an early night monday night. <Unless he and Km are planning to leave early and leave you 3 to do late monday. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:26 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Find out when nominating concil meets (steve to do) for considering 3 names that go forward<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:08 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><We are going to run out of time. I can't see but chair intends that. <I think I heard him say something just a little while ago that he expects to run out of time. <That is smart of him. If he runs out of time, then he needs more hearing days in oct/nov/dec. That means no staff rec or vote until after gov appointments. <Fpl just said want done. I don't think chair cares. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:06 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Are we going to run out of time? 1st rate case in 1/4 century should have enough time to hear all<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:04 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><I just heard chair say no saturday, and done at 7:00 tonight. Everybody says willing to stay late tomorrow. <Wonder if the chair will stay really late, or if he'll finish 7 tomorrow also. <I hope for 7. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:01 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><He is prob looking for FDLE<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 4:00 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Camera just showed that nathan is still not on the bench. I don't know where he is. <I'll bet it has to do with RR though. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:32 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Who is asking ques<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:30 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Yes, sorry, they started. Schef is asking questions. <They didn't do anything about the agreement. Just stared with schef resuming his Qs. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:30 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Not back yet<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Yep. <But these are paragons of industry - they are leaders. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:23 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><They could interpret any way they want. "Slicing the baloney" any way they want<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><You don't believe their oath is enough?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:20 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><What an invitation to lie<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Not in our hearings. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 3:19 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><So this is different then
2226	8/27/09 17:33	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I agree. I can't find either. I'm going to look for his previous title. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:22 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Oi can't find him. I am going to ask him after u look. He can't make less then 165 if pimental makes over a million<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:19 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><You are right, pimental is executive vp of finance and cfo. <This guy is just a regular vp. <I'll look at confidential list and see if I can find. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:17 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><The sheet u sent (officers) says its pimental. Where is he listed on sheet? Find out his correct title now please from staff<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:14 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><Barrett, right?<VP of finance. <He's on the email list. Non-confidential. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:05 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><What is name of fpl witness. He is VP of finance I think? Where is he on confidential list?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 5:05 PM><Subject: Re: Skop><What is name of fpl witness. He is VP of finance I think? Where is he on confidential list?

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2257	8/27/09 19:18	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Barnett	What?? Less cryptic
2258	8/27/09 19:30	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Barnett	Cecilia says she thinks there is a mismatch in confidential docs. They're going to reconcile in AM. They have same concern as we do about being sure to have all the salaries, \$165k and up. <I'm with staff right now. They are trying to find the files. >Not where they are supposed to be stored. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:17 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<They better not have<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:15 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<Will do. <I'm looking forward to finding out we don't have some document. I hope they say that there is something I don't have, that they didn't get to me to give to you. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:14 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<Do we have all the sheets and I thought they were all the same except that these are numbered? Ask intervenors whether they have looked at all these to see if they found it if we can ask that<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:03 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<John Butler. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:03 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<What the fpl's army name who objected? I forgotit<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:01 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<Yep. The fact it was this hard to locate proves your point. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:01 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<Isn't it going to be something when they come back and he is not on there? If he is well then I guess that's ok<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 6:58 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<Its not going to be there. <But if it is, doesn't matter, just proves your point that the names were important b/c what they did won't allow you to identify people. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 6:57 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<I just can't find it on there anyway<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 6:56 PM<Subject: Barnett<<I don't think 'rogs 16+17 has it. I think those were the "proxy officers" - the 5 that are required to be publically disclosed. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 6:29 PM<Subject: <<Where
2259	8/27/09 19:32	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Barnett	Oh no. That's not good. I have found another discrepancy
2260	8/27/09 19:33	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Barnett	It looks pretty bad right now. Staff are saying they hope they gave them to me, and I didn't send on to you. <But, from what they sound like they're looking for, they didn't give it to me. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:32 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<Oh no. That's not good. I have found another discrepancy<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:30 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<Cecilia says she thinks there is a mismatch in confidential docs. They're going to reconcile in AM. They have same concern as we do about being sure to have all the salaries, \$165k and up. <I'm with staff right now. They are trying to find the files. <Not where they are supposed to be stored. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:17 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<They better not have<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:15 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<Will do. <I'm looking forward to finding out we don't have some document. I hope they say that there is something I don't have, that they didn't get to me to give to you. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:14 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<Do we have all the sheets and I thought they were all the same except that these are numbered? Ask intervenors whether they have looked at all these to see if they found it if we can ask that<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:03 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<John Butler. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:03 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<What the fpl's army name who objected? I forgotit<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:01 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<Yep. The fact it was this hard to locate proves your point. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:01 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<Isn't it going to be something when they come back and he is not on there? If he is well then I guess that's ok<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 6:58 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<Its not going to be there. <But if it is, doesn't matter, just proves your point that the names were important b/c what they did won't allow you to identify people. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 6:57 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<I just can't find it on there anyway<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 6:56 PM<Subject: Barnett<<I don't think 'rogs 16+17 has it. I think those were the "proxy officers" - the 5 that are required to be publically disclosed. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 6:29 PM<Subject: <<Where
2261	8/27/09 19:37	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Barnett	I looked at the old sheets ifirst got. The second set r identical except for numbering why would barrett be on other sheet? The SEC. Sheet is separate with officer but not barrett. Why didn't fpl just identify the number and get it over with
2262	8/27/09 19:48	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Barnett	I'll call you in 3 min. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:37 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<I looked at the old sheets ifirst got. The second set r identical except for numbering why would barrett be on other sheet? The SEC. Sheet is separate with officer but not barrett. Why didn't fpl just identify the number and get it over with<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:33 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<It looks pretty bad right now. Staff are saying they hope they gave them to me, and I didn't send on to you. <But, from what they sound like they're looking for, they didn't give it to me. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:32 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<Oh no. That's not good. I have found another discrepancy<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:30 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<Cecilia says she thinks there is a mismatch in confidential docs. They're going to reconcile in AM. They have same concern as we do about being sure to have all the salaries, \$165k and up. <I'm with staff right now. They are trying to find the files. <Not where they are supposed to be stored. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:17 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<They better not have<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:15 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<Will do. <I'm looking forward to finding out we don't have some document. I hope they say that there is something I don't have, that they didn't get to me to give to you. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:14 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<Do we have all the sheets and I thought they were all the same except that these are numbered? Ask intervenors whether they have looked at all these to see if they found it if we can ask that<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:03 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<John Butler. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:03 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<What the fpl's army name who objected? I forgotit<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:01 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<Yep. The fact it was this hard to locate proves your point. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:01 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<Isn't it going to be something when they come back and he is not on there? If he is well then I guess that's ok<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 6:58 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<Its not going to be there. <But if it is, doesn't matter, just proves your point that the names were important b/c what they did won't allow you to identify people. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 6:57 PM<Subject: Re: Barnett<<I just can't find it on there anyway<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 6:56 PM<Subject: Barnett<<I don't think 'rogs 16+17 has it. I think those were the "proxy officers" - the 5 that are required to be publically disclosed. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 6:29 PM<Subject: <<Where
2263	8/27/09 19:50	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Well then where else could he be? Also there is over a million dollar gap between the highest confidential compensation and lowest SEC. Disclosure that does not make any sense unless there is other undisclosed salaries.
2264	8/28/09 9:07	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	OK, finally got the confidential stuff. I'm on my way to talk to mcnulty to see if he has. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:50 PM<Subject: Re: <<Well then where else could he be? Also there is over a million dollar gap between the highest confidential compensation and lowest SEC. Disclosure that does not make any sense unless there is other undisclosed salaries. <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:47 PM<Subject: Re: <<Yes that is correct. There are only 6 second reporting officers. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:46 PM<Subject: <<The SEC. Disclosures you sent show 6 officers. You need to look again to be certain that is correct. Is that all you are aware of from the SEC. ?<<<<<<
2265	8/28/09 9:28	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Are you calling in, or on way to Dr?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:50 PM<Subject: Re: <<Well then where else could he be? Also there is over a million dollar gap between the highest confidential compensation and lowest SEC. Disclosure that does not make any sense unless there is other undisclosed salaries. <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:47 PM<Subject: Re: <<Yes that is correct. There are only 6 second reporting officers. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 27, 2009 7:46 PM<Subject: <<The SEC. Disclosures you sent show 6 officers. You need to look again to be certain that is correct. Is that all you are aware of from the SEC. ?<<<<<<
2266	8/28/09 9:36	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Find him before meet I want to know
2267	8/28/09 11:08	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		How does this "recall" work?
2268	8/28/09 11:10	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I understand it is an email feature, that takes back a distributed email, that is removes it from the recipient's inbox. I don't know how the technology works. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:08 AM<Subject: <<How does this "recall" work?<<<<<<
2269	8/28/09 11:11	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Ok
2270	8/28/09 11:12	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Btw, cindy was crying when she started talking to you. Wasn't at the end. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:11 AM<Subject: Re: <<Ok<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:10 AM<Subject: Re: <<I understand it is an email feature, that takes back a distributed email, that is removes it from the recipient's inbox. I don't know how the technology works. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:08 AM<Subject: <<How does this "recall" work?<<<<<<

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

[illegible]

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2307	8/28/09 12:06	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Cooke
2308	8/28/09 12:07	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA		<p>I believe so, yes. She was hired at the end of 07, which was end of lisa's term. But matt would probably have had to agree. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:04 PM> Subject: Re: Wasn't cindy brought over by lisa as mike coole was?<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:33 AM> Subject: Re: I understand.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:33 AM> Subject: Re: Just the titles from the one sheet.<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:32 AM> Subject: Re: The stuff is online, so I'll see if I can't manipulate the sheet to do so.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:30 AM> Subject: Re: Can u send me just the position titles via e mail for rt now. Don't put \$<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:29 AM> Subject: Re: Yes they are!<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:28 AM> Subject: Re: Yes, they are the reason that has to happen.<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:26 AM> Subject: Re: If we send, will be there monday AM. I can tell staff that slattery has to go wed at earliest. So you have 2 days to look at.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:25 AM> Subject: Re: Then get it out priority mail usps.<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:24 AM> Subject: Re: Unless it has to be emailed, I hate to do that. I'm worried about all the possible ways email can be intercepted/diverted, which would violate statute/rule.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:22 AM> Subject: Re: Larry. Send me the scanned sheet that they failed to get me.<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:18 AM> Subject: Re: Yes. She is hired for judgement.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:16 AM> Subject: Re: She's missed a few. The job does come with some responsibility.<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:15 AM> Subject: Re: Very bad decision on her part. As I said she should know btr and MB should be instructing that unknown blogs are totally useless in any forum. Especially when it slanders someone. I knew it wasn't malicious, I thought someone asked her to do it. If not then just really bad judgement.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:12 AM> Subject: Re: Btw, cindy was crying when she started talking to you. Wasn't at the end.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:11 AM> Subject: Re: Ok.<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:10 AM> Subject: Re: I understand it is an email feature, that takes back a distributed email, that is removes it from the recipient's inbox. I don't know how the technology works.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:08 AM> Subject: Re: How does this "recall" work?</p>
2309	8/28/09 12:09	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Point is, she's lisa friend
2310	8/28/09 12:19	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Didn't she also bring ryder over also?
2311	8/28/09 12:21	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yes. And mike and he were from DEP when hired. I know CM has very strong contacts with dep - not sure if she worked there, but she might have. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:19 PM> Subject: Re: Didn't she also bring ryder over also?<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:07 PM> Subject: Re: I believe so, yes. She was hired at the end of 07, which was end of lisa's term. But matt would probably have had to agree. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:04 PM> Subject: Re: Wasn't cindy brought over by lisa as mike coole was?<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:33 AM> Subject: Re: I understand.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:33 AM> Subject: Re: Just the titles from the one sheet.<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:32 AM> Subject: Re: The stuff is online, so I'll see if I can't manipulate the sheet to do so.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:30 AM> Subject: Re: Can u send me just the position titles via e mail for rt now. Don't put \$<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:29 AM> Subject: Re: Yes they are!<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:28 AM> Subject: Re: Yes, they are the reason that has to happen.<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:26 AM> Subject: Re: If we send, will be there monday AM. I can tell staff that slattery has to go wed at earliest. So you have 2 days to look at.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:25 AM> Subject: Re: Then get it out priority mail usps.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:24 AM> Subject: Re: Unless it has to be emailed, I hate to do that. I'm worried about all the possible ways email can be intercepted/diverted, which would violate statute/rule.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:22 AM> Subject: Re: Larry. Send me the scanned sheet that they failed to get me.<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:18 AM> Subject: Re: Yes. She is hired for judgement.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:16 AM> Subject: Re: She's missed a few. The job does come with some responsibility.<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:15 AM> Subject: Re: Very bad decision on her part. As I said she should know btr and MB should be instructing that unknown blogs are totally useless in any forum. Especially when it slanders someone. I knew it wasn't malicious, I thought someone asked her to do it. If not then just really bad judgement.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:12 AM> Subject: Re: Btw, cindy was crying when she started talking to you. Wasn't at the end.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:11 AM> Subject: Re: Ok.<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:10 AM> Subject: Re: I understand it is an email feature, that takes back a distributed email, that is removes it from the recipient's inbox. I don't know how the technology works.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:08 AM> Subject: Re: How does this "recall" work?</p>
2312	8/28/09 12:23	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yes, probably. Not guaranteed, unlike mike and ryder. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:09 PM> Subject: Re: Point is, she's lisa friend.<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:07 PM> Subject: Re: I believe so, yes. She was hired at the end of 07, which was end of lisa's term. But matt would probably have had to agree. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:04 PM> Subject: Re: Wasn't cindy brought over by lisa as mike coole was?<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:33 AM> Subject: Re: I understand.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:33 AM> Subject: Re: Just the titles from the one sheet.<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:32 AM> Subject: Re: The stuff is online, so I'll see if I can't manipulate the sheet to do so.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:30 AM> Subject: Re: Can u send me just the position titles via e mail for rt now. Don't put \$<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:29 AM> Subject: Re: Yes they are!<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:28 AM> Subject: Re: Yes, they are the reason that has to happen.<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:26 AM> Subject: Re: If we send, will be there monday AM. I can tell staff that slattery has to go wed at earliest. So you have 2 days to look at.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:25 AM> Subject: Re: Then get it out priority mail usps.<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:24 AM> Subject: Re: Unless it has to be emailed, I hate to do that. I'm worried about all the possible ways email can be intercepted/diverted, which would violate statute/rule.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:22 AM> Subject: Re: Larry. Send me the scanned sheet that they failed to get me.<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:18 AM> Subject: Re: Yes. She is hired for judgement.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:16 AM> Subject: Re: She's missed a few. The job does come with some responsibility.<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:15 AM> Subject: Re: Very bad decision on her part. As I said she should know btr and MB should be instructing that unknown blogs are totally useless in any forum. Especially when it slanders someone. I knew it wasn't malicious, I thought someone asked her to do it. If not then just really bad judgement.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:12 AM> Subject: Re: Btw, cindy was crying when she started talking to you. Wasn't at the end.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:11 AM> Subject: Re: Ok.<From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:10 AM> Subject: Re: I understand it is an email feature, that takes back a distributed email, that is removes it from the recipient's inbox. I don't know how the technology works.<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:08 AM> Subject: Re: How does this "recall" work?</p>
2313	8/28/09 12:26	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Oh what a tangled web!

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2314	8/28/09 12:30	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yep. <?And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual responsibilities. She reports directly to RR. <?Now, she may be very valuable, and RR may tell you she is the most valuable person he has. But I've never seen a report, memo, recond, email or anything with her name on it. <?Not trying to start anything, but there was gossip about it back when she was hired. I am not saying she isn't valuable - just I have questions. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:26 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Oh what a tangled web!<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:21 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Yes. And mike and he were from DEP when hired. I know CM has very strong contacts with dep - not sure if she worked there, but she might have. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:19 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Didn't she also bring ryder over also?<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:07 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I believe so, yes. She was hired at the end of 07, which was end of lisa's term. But matt would probably have had to agree. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:04 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Wasn't cindy brought over by lisa as mike coole was?<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:33 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I understand. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:33 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Just the titles from the one sheet<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:32 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I can try. <?The stuff is online, so I'll see if I can't manipulate the sheet to do so. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:30 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Can u send me just the position titles via e mail for it now. Don't put \$<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:29 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Yes they are!<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:28 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Yes, they are the reason that has to happen<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:26 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?If we send, will be there monday AM. I can tell staff that slattery has to go wed at earliest. So you have 2 days to look at. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:25 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Then get it out priority mail usps<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:24 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Unless it has to be emailed, I hate to do that. I'm worried about all the possible ways email can be intercepted/diverted, which would violate statute/rule. <?There also a lot of pages in addition to the one sheet that you'll want and that have to be mailed. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:22 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Larry. Send me the scanned sheet that they failed to get me<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:18 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Yes. She is hired for judgement. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:18 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?She's missed a few. The job does come with some responsibility<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:16 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I agree. She missed this one. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:15 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Very bad decision on her part. As I said she should know btr and MB should be instructing that unknown blogs are totally useless in any forum. Especially when it slanders someone. I knew it wasn't malicious, I thought someone asked her to do it. If not then just really bad judgement<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:12 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Btw, cindy was crying when she started talking to you. Wasn't at the end. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:11 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Ok<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:10 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I understand it is an email feature, that takes back a distributed email, that is removes it from the recipient's inbox. I don't know how the technology works. <?-----Original Message-----<?From:</p>
2315	8/28/09 12:33	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>How does a commish get somebody hired? That's wrong</p>
2316	8/28/09 12:33	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip. <?But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?How does a commish get somebody hired? That's wrong <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Yep. <?And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual responsibilities. She reports directly to RR. <?Now, she may be very valuable, and RR may tell you she is the most valuable person he has. But I've never seen a report, memo, recond, email or anything with her name on it. <?Not trying to start anything, but there was gossip about it back when she was hired. I am not saying she isn't valuable - just I have questions. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:26 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Oh what a tangled web!<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:21 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Yes. And mike and he were from DEP when hired. I know CM has very strong contacts with dep - not sure if she worked there, but she might have. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:19 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Didn't she also bring ryder over also?<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:07 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I believe so, yes. She was hired at the end of 07, which was end of lisa's term. But matt would probably have had to agree. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:04 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Wasn't cindy brought over by lisa as mike coole was?<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:33 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I understand. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:33 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Just the titles from the one sheet<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:32 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I can try. <?The stuff is online, so I'll see if I can't manipulate the sheet to do so. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:30 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Can u send me just the position titles via e mail for it now. Don't put \$<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:29 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Yes they are!<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:28 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Yes, they are the reason that has to happen<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:26 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?If we send, will be there monday AM. I can tell staff that slattery has to go wed at earliest. So you have 2 days to look at. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:25 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Then get it out priority mail usps<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:24 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Unless it has to be emailed, I hate to do that. I'm worried about all the possible ways email can be intercepted/diverted, which would violate statute/rule. <?There also a lot of pages in addition to the one sheet that you'll want and that have to be mailed. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:22 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Larry. Send me the scanned sheet that they failed to get me<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:18 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Yes. She is hired for judgement. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:18 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?She's missed a few. The job does come with some responsibility<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:16 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I agree. She missed this one. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:15 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Very bad decision on her part. As I said she should know btr and MB should be instructing that unknown blogs are totally useless in any forum. Especially when it slanders someone. I knew it wasn't malicious, I thought someone asked her to do it. If not then just really bad judgement<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:12 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Btw, cindy was crying when she started talking to you. Wasn't at the end. <?-----Original</p>
2317	8/28/09 12:39	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2318	8/28/09 12:39	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Reports directly to him. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM>>>Subject: Re:<<<That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip. <<<But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM>>>Subject: Re:<<<How does a commish get somebody hired? That's wrong <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Yep. <<<And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual responsibilities. She reports directly to RR. <<<Now, she may be very valuable, and RR may tell you she is the most valuable person he has. But I've never seen a report, memo, recomment, email or anything with her name on it. <<<Not trying to start anything, but there was gossip about it back when she was hired. I am not saying she isn't valuable - just I have questions. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:26 PM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Oh what a tangled web!<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:21 PM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Yes. And mike and he were from DEP when hired. I know CM has very strong contacts with dep - not sure if she worked there, but she might have. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:19 PM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Didn't she also bring ryder over also?<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:07 PM>>>Subject: Re:<<<I believe so, yes. She was hired at the end of 07, which was end of lisa's term. But matt would probably have had to agree. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:04 PM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Wasn't cindy brought over by lisa as mike coole was?<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:33 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Just the titles from the one sheet<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:32 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<I can try. <<<The stuff is online, so I'll see if I can't manipulate the sheet to do so. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:30 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Can u send me just the position titles via e mail for rt now. Don't put \$<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:29 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Yes they are!<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:28 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Yes, they are the reason that has to happen<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:26 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<If we send, will be there monday AM. I can tell staff that slattery has to go wed at earliest. So you have 2 days to look at. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:25 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Then get it out priority mail usps<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:24 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Unless it has to be emailed, I hate to do that. I'm worried about all the possible ways email can be intercepted/diverted, which would violate statute/rule. <<<There also a lot of pages in addition to the one sheet that you'll want and that have to be mailed. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:22 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Larry. Send me the scanned sheet that they failed to get me<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:18 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Yes. She is hired for judgement. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:18 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<She's missed a few. The job does come with some responsibility<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:16 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<I agree. She missed this one. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:15 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Very bad decision on her part. As I said she should know btr and MB should be instructing that unknown blogs are totally useless in any forum. Especially when it slanders</p>
2319	8/28/09 12:53	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	What's her job title?
2320	8/28/09 12:54	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Sr Analyst. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:53 PM>>>Subject: Re:<<<What's her job title?<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Reports directly to him. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder>>>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM>>>Subject: Re:<<<That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip. <<<But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM>>>Subject: Re:<<<How does a commish get somebody hired? That's wrong <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Yep. <<<And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual responsibilities. She reports directly to RR. <<<Now, she may be very valuable, and RR may tell you she is the most valuable person he has. But I've never seen a report, memo, recomment, email or anything with her name on it. <<<Not trying to start anything, but there was gossip about it back when she was hired. I am not saying she isn't valuable - just I have questions. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:26 PM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Oh what a tangled web!<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:21 PM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Yes. And mike and he were from DEP when hired. I know CM has very strong contacts with dep - not sure if she worked there, but she might have. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:19 PM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Didn't she also bring ryder over also?<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:07 PM>>>Subject: Re:<<<I believe so, yes. She was hired at the end of 07, which was end of lisa's term. But matt would probably have had to agree. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:04 PM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Wasn't cindy brought over by lisa as mike coole was?<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:33 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<I understand. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:33 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Just the titles from the one sheet<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:32 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<I can try. <<<The stuff is online, so I'll see if I can't manipulate the sheet to do so. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:30 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Can u send me just the position titles via e mail for rt now. Don't put \$<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:29 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Yes they are!<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:28 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Yes, they are the reason that has to happen<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:26 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<If we send, will be there monday AM. I can tell staff that slattery has to go wed at earliest. So you have 2 days to look at. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:25 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Then get it out priority mail usps<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:24 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Unless it has to be emailed, I hate to do that. I'm worried about all the possible ways email can be intercepted/diverted, which would violate statute/rule. <<<There also a lot of pages in addition to the one sheet that you'll want and that have to be mailed. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:22 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Larry. Send me the scanned sheet that they failed to get me<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:18 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<Yes. She is hired for judgement. <<<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:18 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<She's missed a few. The job does come with some responsibility<<<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:16 AM>>>Subject: Re:<<<I agree.</p>
2321	8/28/09 13:27	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	How much is each basis point worth for roe on fpi? Just think while wait for dr

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2322	8/28/09 13:28	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>The number 1%=\$100million jumps out at me. I can't say that is absolutely true, but that's what I think. <?If we're talking about roe translated into rev reqmts. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:27 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?How much is each basis point worth for roe on fpi? Just think while wait for dr<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:54 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Sr Analyst. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:53 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?What's her job title?<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Reports directly to him. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip. <?But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?How does a commish get somebody hired? That's wrong <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Yep. <?And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual responsibilities. She reports directly to RR. <?Now, she may be very valuable, and RR may tell you she is the most valuable person he has. But I've never seen a report, memo, recomment, email or anything with her name on it. <?Not trying to start anything, but there was gossip about it back when she was hired. I am not saying she isn't valuable - just I have questions. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:26 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Oh what a tangled web!<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:21 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Yes. And mike and he were from DEP when hired. I know CM has very strong contacts with dep - not sure if she worked there, but she might have. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:19 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Didn't she also bring ryder over also?<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:07 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I believe so, yes. She was hired at the end of 07, which was end of lisa's term. But matt would probably have had to agree. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:04 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Wasn't cindy brought over by lisa as mike coole was?<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:33 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I understand. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:33 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Just the titles from the one sheet<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:32 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I can try. <?The stuff is online, so I'll see if I can't manipulate the sheet to do so. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:30 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Can u send me just the position titles via e mail for it now. Don't put \$<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:29 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Yes they are!<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:28 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Yes, they are the reason that has to happen<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:26 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?If we send, will be there monday AM. I can tell staff that slattery has to go wed at earliest. So you have 2 days to look at. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:25 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Then get it out priority mail usps<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:24 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Unless it has to be emailed, I hate to do that. I'm worried about all the possible ways email can be intercepted/diverted, which would violate statute/rule. <?There also a lot of pages in addition to the one sheet that you'll want and that have to be mailed. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:22 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Larry. Send me the scanned sheet that they failed to get me<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry</p>
2323	8/28/09 14:43	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>How is it going? Comm skop there?</p>
2324	8/28/09 14:47	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I have not seen or heard him. No camera views of entire bench. <?Attorney for the fast-food people is pounding witness and I haven't heard her make an interesting point yet. Seems like she is asking stuff that could have been moved into record as stipulated exhibits. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:43 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?How is it going? Comm skop there?<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:28 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?The number 1%=\$100million jumps out at me. I can't say that is absolutely true, but that's what I think. <?If we're talking about roe translated into rev reqmts. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:27 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?How much is each basis point worth for roe on fpi? Just think while wait for dr<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:54 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Sr Analyst. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:53 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?What's her job title?<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Reports directly to him. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip. <?But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?How does a commish get somebody hired? That's wrong <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Yep. <?And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual responsibilities. She reports directly to RR. <?Now, she may be very valuable, and RR may tell you she is the most valuable person he has. But I've never seen a report, memo, recomment, email or anything with her name on it. <?Not trying to start anything, but there was gossip about it back when she was hired. I am not saying she isn't valuable - just I have questions. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:26 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Oh what a tangled web!<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:21 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Yes. And mike and he were from DEP when hired. I know CM has very strong contacts with dep - not sure if she worked there, but she might have. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:19 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Didn't she also bring ryder over also?<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:07 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I believe so, yes. She was hired at the end of 07, which was end of lisa's term. But matt would probably have had to agree. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:04 PM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Wasn't cindy brought over by lisa as mike coole was?<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:33 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I understand. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:33 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Just the titles from the one sheet<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:32 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?I can try. <?The stuff is online, so I'll see if I can't manipulate the sheet to do so. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:30 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Can u send me just the position titles via e mail for it now. Don't put \$<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:29 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Yes they are!<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:28 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Yes, they are the reason that has to happen<?-----Original Message-----<?From: Larry Harris<?To: Nancy Argenziano<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:26 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?If we send, will be there monday AM. I can tell staff that slattery has to go wed at earliest. So you have 2 days to look at. <?-----Original Message-----<?From: Nancy Argenziano<?To: Larry Harris<?Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:25 AM<?Subject: Re:<?<?Then get it out priority mail usps<?-----Original</p>
2325	8/28/09 14:52	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>She has. The right to I guess.</p>
2326	8/28/09 14:55	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2333	8/28/09 15:06	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop Q	<p>He is going through the various elements 1 by 1 - depreciation, increased o+m expenses so far. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Advise me of their answer><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:58 PM><Subject: Skop Q><Skop is asking a Q about rate increase or decrease. Wants fpl to "break down" basis for proposed increase in simple, brief terms.</p> <p>"Snapshot"><Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:57 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><So a recall is a joke><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><B/c the blackberry is independent of the email server. Once it goes out to BB, email server forgets it. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><How come I still have clips on my blkbr?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:47 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><I have not seen or heard him. No camera views of entire bench. <Attorney for the fast-food people is pounding witness and I haven't heard her make an interesting point yet. Seems like she is asking stuff that could have been moved into record as stipulated exhibits. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:43 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><How is it going? Comm skop there?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:28 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><The number 1%=\$100million jumps out at me. I can't say that is absolutely true, but that's what I think. <If we're talking about roe translated into rev reqmts. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:27 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><How much is each basis point worth for roe on fpl? Just think while wait for dr><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:54 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Sr Analyst. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:53 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><What's her job title?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Reports directly to him. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip. <But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><How does a commish get somebody hired? That's wrong <Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Yep. <And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual responsibilities. She reports directly to RR. <Now, she may be very valuable, and RR may tell you she is the most valuable person he has. But I've never seen a report, memo, recond, email or anything with her name on it. <Not trying to start anything, but there was gossip about it back when she was hired. I am not saying she isn't valuable - just I have questions. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:26 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Oh what a tangled web!><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:21 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Yes. And mike and he were from DEP when hired. I know CM has very strong contacts with dep - not sure if she worked there, but she might have. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:19 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Didn't she also bring ryder over also?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:07 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><I believe so, yes. She was hired at the end of 07, which was end of lisa's term. But matt would probably have had to agree. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:04 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Wasn't cindy brought over by lisa as mike coole was?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:33 AM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><I understand. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:33 AM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Just the titles from the one</p>
2334	8/28/09 15:08	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Rate payers r paying for it not simply an acting entry
2335	8/28/09 15:08	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yes they are. The accounting entry reflects \$\$ collected by ratepayers and used by company as profit. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:08 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Rate payers r paying for it not simply an acting entry><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:02 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Skop just made point depreciation is an accounting entry - it is not a pot of cash sitting in bank account. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:57 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><So a recall is a joke?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><B/c the blackberry is independent of the email server. Once it goes out to BB, email server forgets it. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><How come I still have clips on my blkbr?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:47 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><I have not seen or heard him. No camera views of entire bench. <Attorney for the fast-food people is pounding witness and I haven't heard her make an interesting point yet. Seems like she is asking stuff that could have been moved into record as stipulated exhibits. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:43 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><How is it going? Comm skop there?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:28 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><The number 1%=\$100million jumps out at me. I can't say that is absolutely true, but that's what I think. <If we're talking about roe translated into rev reqmts. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:27 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><How much is each basis point worth for roe on fpl? Just think while wait for dr><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:54 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Sr Analyst. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:53 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><What's her job title?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Reports directly to him. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip. <But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><How does a commish get somebody hired? That's wrong <Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Yep. <And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual responsibilities. She reports directly to RR. <Now, she may be very valuable, and RR may tell you she is the most valuable person he has. But I've never seen a report, memo, recond, email or anything with her name on it. <Not trying to start anything, but there was gossip about it back when she was hired. I am not saying she isn't valuable - just I have questions. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:26 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Oh what a tangled web!><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:21 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Yes. And mike and he were from DEP when hired. I know CM has very strong contacts with dep - not sure if she worked there, but she might have. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:19 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Didn't she also bring ryder over also?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:07 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><I believe so, yes. She was hired at the end of 07, which was end of lisa's term. But matt would probably have had to agree. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:04 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Wasn't cindy brought over by lisa as mike coole was?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:33 AM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><I understand. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 28, 2009 11:33 AM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Just the titles from the one</p>
2336	8/28/09 15:08	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop Q	Did anybody reply to his comment

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2337	8/28/09 15:09	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop Q	<p>Nope, its not comments. He is questioning the witness with leading questions to make these points. <P>Just did storm reserve and now asking about "nuclear investments" <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:08 PM<P>Subject: Re: Skop Q<P><P>Did anybody reply to his comment<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<P>Subject: Re: Skop Q<P><P>He is going through the various elements 1 by 1 - depreciation, increased o+m expenses so far. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<P>Subject: Re: Skop Q<P><P>Advise me of their answer<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:58 PM<P>Subject: Skop Q<P><P>Skop is asking a Q about rate increase or decrease. Wants fpl to "break down" basis for proposed increase in simple, brief terms. "Snapshot" <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:57 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>So a recall is a joke?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>B/c the blackberry is independent of the email server. Once it goes out to BB, email server forgets it. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>How come I still have clips on my bkbry?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:47 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I have not seen or heard him. No camera views of entire bench. <P>Attorney for the fast-food people is pounding witness and I haven't heard her make an interesting point yet. Seems like she is asking stuff that could have been moved into record as stipulated exhibits. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:43 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>How is it going? Comm skop there?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:28 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>The number 1%=\$100million jumps out at me. I can't say that is absolutely true, but that's what I think. <P>If we're talking about roe translated into rev reqmts. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:27 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>How much is each basis point worth for roe on fpl? Just think while wait for dr<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:54 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Sr Analyst. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:53 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>What's her job title?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Reports directly to him. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip. <P>But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>How does a commish get somebody hired? That's wrong <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Yep. <P>And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual responsibilities. She reports directly to RR. <P>Now, she may be very valuable, and RR may tell you she is the most valuable person he has. But I've never seen a report, memo, recomment, email or anything with her name on it. <P>Not trying to start anything, but there was gossip about it back when she was hired. I am not saying she isn't valuable - just I have questions. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:26 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Oh what a tangled web!<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:21 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Yes. And mike and he were from DEP when hired. I know CM has very strong contacts with dep - not sure if she worked there, but she might have. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:19 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Didn't she also bring ryder over also?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:07 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I believe so, yes. She was hired at the end of 07, which was end of lisa's term. But matt would probably have</p>
2338	8/28/09 15:15	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop Q	<p>Skop just got witness to say that storm reserve is unfunded = free cash flow until needed, they company has to pay it back. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:08 PM<P>Subject: Re: Skop Q<P><P>Did anybody reply to his comment<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<P>Subject: Re: Skop Q<P><P>He is going through the various elements 1 by 1 - depreciation, increased o+m expenses so far. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<P>Subject: Re: Skop Q<P><P>Advise me of their answer<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:58 PM<P>Subject: Skop Q<P><P>Skop is asking a Q about rate increase or decrease. Wants fpl to "break down" basis for proposed increase in simple, brief terms. "Snapshot" <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:57 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>So a recall is a joke?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>B/c the blackberry is independent of the email server. Once it goes out to BB, email server forgets it. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>How come I still have clips on my bkbry?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:47 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I have not seen or heard him. No camera views of entire bench. <P>Attorney for the fast-food people is pounding witness and I haven't heard her make an interesting point yet. Seems like she is asking stuff that could have been moved into record as stipulated exhibits. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:43 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>How is it going? Comm skop there?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:28 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>The number 1%=\$100million jumps out at me. I can't say that is absolutely true, but that's what I think. <P>If we're talking about roe translated into rev reqmts. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:27 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>How much is each basis point worth for roe on fpl? Just think while wait for dr<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:54 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Sr Analyst. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:53 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>What's her job title?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Reports directly to him. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip. <P>But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>How does a commish get somebody hired? That's wrong <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Yep. <P>And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual responsibilities. She reports directly to RR. <P>Now, she may be very valuable, and RR may tell you she is the most valuable person he has. But I've never seen a report, memo, recomment, email or anything with her name on it. <P>Not trying to start anything, but there was gossip about it back when she was hired. I am not saying she isn't valuable - just I have questions. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:26 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Oh what a tangled web!<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:21 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Yes. And mike and he were from DEP when hired. I know CM has very strong contacts with dep - not sure if she worked there, but she might have. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:19 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Didn't she also bring ryder over also?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:07 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I believe so, yes. She was hired at the end of 07, which was end of lisa's term. But matt would probably have had to agree. <P>-----Original</p>
2339	8/28/09 15:16	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop Q	How was it replied and won't intervenors want to respond or ask quest re that?
2340	8/28/09 15:16	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop Q	What do u mean unfunded?

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2341	8/28/09 15:23	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop Q	<p>Said company is spending big \$\$ on the uprates. <I think the intervenors are not so happy, but usually will not object to a comm's Qs unless really bad. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:16 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<>>How was it replied and won't intervenors want to respond or ask quest re that?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:09 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<>>Nope, its not comments. He is questioning the witness with leading questions to make these points. <Just did storm reserve and now asking about "nuclear investments"<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:08 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<>>Did anybody reply to his comment<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<>>He is going through the various elements 1 by 1 - depreciation, increased o+m expenses so far. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<>>Advise me of their answer<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:58 PM<Subject: Skop Q<>>Skop is asking a Q about rate increase or decrease. Wants fpl to "break down" basis for proposed increase in simple, brief terms. "Snapshot"<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:57 PM<Subject: Re:<>>So a recall is a joke?<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<Subject: Re:<>>B/c the blackberry is independent of the email server. Once it goes out to BB, email server forgets it. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<Subject: Re:<>>How come I still have clips on my blkby?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:47 PM<Subject: Re:<>>I have not seen or heard him. No camera views of entire bench. <Attorney for the fast-food people is pounding witness and I hevent heard her make an interesting point yet. Seems like she is asking stuff that could have been moved into record as stipulated exhibits. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:43 PM<Subject: Re:<>>How is it going? Comm skop there?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:28 PM<Subject: Re:<>>The number 1%=\$100million jumps out at me. I can't say that is absolutely true, but that's what I think. <If we're talking about roe translated into rev reqmts. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:27 PM<Subject: Re:<>>How much is each basis point worth for roe on fpl? Just think while wait for dr<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:54 PM<Subject: Re:<>>Sr Analyst. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:53 PM<Subject: Re:<>>What's her job title?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re:<>>Reports directly to him. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re:<>>Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<Subject: Re:<>>That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip. <But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<Subject: Re:<>>How does a commish get somebody hired? That's wrong <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM<Subject: Re:<>>Yep. <And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual responsibilities. She reports directly to RR. <Now, she may be very valuable, and RR may tell you she is the most valuable person he has. But I've never seen a report, memo, recond, email or anything with her name on it. <Not trying to start anything, but there was gossip about it back when she was hired. I am not saying she isn't valuable - just I have questions. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:26 PM<Subject: Re:<>>Oh what a tangled web<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:21 PM<Subject: Re:<>>Yes. And mike and he were</p>
2342	8/28/09 15:25	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop Q	<p>Means its not a bank account with \$\$ in it. Its an accounting entry, where fpl has to pay for the repairs and can't pass through to ratepayers until accounting entry goes to 0. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:16 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<>>What do u mean un funded?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:15 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<>>Skop just got witness to say that storm reserve is unfunded = free cash flow until needed, they company has to pay it back. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:08 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<>>Did anybody reply to his comment<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<>>He is going through the various elements 1 by 1 - depreciation, increased o+m expenses so far. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<>>Advise me of their answer<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:58 PM<Subject: Skop Q<>>Skop is asking a Q about rate increase or decrease. Wants fpl to "break down" basis for proposed increase in simple, brief terms. "Snapshot"<-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:57 PM<Subject: Re:<>>So a recall is a joke?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<Subject: Re:<>>B/c the blackberry is independent of the email server. Once it goes out to BB, email server forgets it. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<Subject: Re:<>>How come I still have clips on my blkby?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:47 PM<Subject: Re:<>>I have not seen or heard him. No camera views of entire bench. <Attorney for the fast-food people is pounding witness and I hevent heard her make an interesting point yet. Seems like she is asking stuff that could have been moved into record as stipulated exhibits. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:43 PM<Subject: Re:<>>How is it going? Comm skop there?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:28 PM<Subject: Re:<>>The number 1%=\$100million jumps out at me. I can't say that is absolutely true, but that's what I think. <If we're talking about roe translated into rev reqmts. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:27 PM<Subject: Re:<>>How much is each basis point worth for roe on fpl? Just think while wait for dr<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:54 PM<Subject: Re:<>>Sr Analyst. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:53 PM<Subject: Re:<>>What's her job title?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re:<>>Reports directly to him. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re:<>>Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<Subject: Re:<>>That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip. <But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<Subject: Re:<>>How does a commish get somebody hired? That's wrong <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM<Subject: Re:<>>Yep. <And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual responsibilities. She reports directly to RR. <Now, she may be very valuable, and RR may tell you she is the most valuable person he has. But I've never seen a report, memo, recond, email or anything with her name on it. <Not trying to start anything, but there was gossip about it back when she was hired. I am not saying she isn't valuable - just I have questions. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:26 PM<Subject: Re:<>>Oh what a tangled web<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:21 PM<Subject: Re:<>>Yes. And mike and he were from DEP when hired. I know CM has very strong contacts with dep - not sure if she worked there, but she might</p>
2343	8/28/09 15:25	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop Q	<p>They could ask questions to counter</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2344	8/28/09 15:26	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop Q	<p>Now he's asking about the costs of west county powerplants. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:16 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->What do u mean un funded?<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:15 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->Skop just got witness to say that storm reserve is unfunded = free cash flow until needed, they company has to pay it back. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:08 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->Did anybody reply to his comment?<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->He is going through the various elements 1 by 1 - depreciation, increased o+m expenses so far. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->Advise me of their answer<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:58 PM<----->Subject: Skop Q<----->Skop is asking a Q about rate increase or decrease. Wants fpl to "break down" basis for proposed increase in simple, brief terms. "Snapshot"<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:57 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->So a recall is a joke?<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->B/c the blackberry is independent of the email server. Once it goes out to BB, email server forgets it. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How come I still have clips on my blkbr?<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:47 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I have not seen or heard him. No camera views of entire bench. <----->Attorney for the fast-food people is pounding witness and I haven't heard her make an interesting point yet. Seems like she is asking stuff that could have been moved into record as stipulated exhibits. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:43 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How is it going? Comm skop there?<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:28 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->The number 1%=\$100million jumps out at me. I can't say that is absolutely true, but that's what I think. <----->If we're talking about roe translated into rev reqmts. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:27 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How much is each basis point worth for roe on fpl? Just think while wait for dr<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:54 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Sr Analyst. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:53 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->What's her job title?<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Reports directly to him. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip. <----->But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How does a commiss get somebody hired? That's wrong <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yep. <----->And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual responsibilities. She reports directly to RR. <----->Now, she may be very valuable, and RR may tell you she is the most valuable person he has. But I've never seen a report, memo, recomment, email or anything with her name on it. <----->Not trying to start anything, but there was gossip about it back when she was hired. I am not saying she isn't valuable - just I have questions. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:26 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Oh what a tangled web!<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:21 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yes. And mike and he were from DEP when hired. I know CM has very strong contacts with dep - not sure if she worked there, but she might have. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:19 PM<----->Subject: Yes they can. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:25 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->They could ask questions to counter<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:23 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->Said company is spending big \$\$ on the uprates. <----->I think the intervenors are not so happy, but usually will not object to a commiss's Qs unless really bad. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:16 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->How was it replied and wont intervenors want to respond or ask quest re that?<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:09 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->Nope, its not comments. He is questioning the witness with leading questions to make these points. <----->Just did storm reserve and now asking about "nuclear investments"<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:08 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->Did anybody reply to his comment?<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->He is going through the various elements 1 by 1 - depreciation, increased o+m expenses so far. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->Advise me of their answer<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:58 PM<----->Subject: Skop Q<----->Skop is asking a Q about rate increase or decrease. Wants fpl to "break down" basis for proposed increase in simple, brief terms. "Snapshot"<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:57 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->So a recall is a joke?<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->B/c the blackberry is independent of the email server. Once it goes out to BB, email server forgets it. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How come I still have clips on my blkbr?<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:47 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I have not seen or heard him. No camera views of entire bench. <----->Attorney for the fast-food people is pounding witness and I haven't heard her make an interesting point yet. Seems like she is asking stuff that could have been moved into record as stipulated exhibits. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:43 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How is it going? Comm skop there?<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:28 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->The number 1%=\$100million jumps out at me. I can't say that is absolutely true, but that's what I think. <----->If we're talking about roe translated into rev reqmts. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:27 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How much is each basis point worth for roe on fpl? Just think while wait for dr<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:54 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Sr Analyst. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:53 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->What's her job title?<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Reports directly to him. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip. <----->But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How does a commiss get somebody hired? That's wrong <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yep. <----->And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual responsibilities. She reports directly to RR. <----->Now, she may be very valuable, and RR may tell you she is the most valuable person he has. But I've never seen a report, memo, recomment, email or anything with her name on it. <----->Not trying to start anything, but there was gossip about it back when she was hired. I am not saying she isn't valuable - just I have questions. <-----Original Message-----></p>
2345	8/28/09 15:27	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop Q	<p>Yes they can. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:25 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->They could ask questions to counter<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:23 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->Said company is spending big \$\$ on the uprates. <----->I think the intervenors are not so happy, but usually will not object to a commiss's Qs unless really bad. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:16 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->How was it replied and wont intervenors want to respond or ask quest re that?<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:09 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->Nope, its not comments. He is questioning the witness with leading questions to make these points. <----->Just did storm reserve and now asking about "nuclear investments"<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:08 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->Did anybody reply to his comment?<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->He is going through the various elements 1 by 1 - depreciation, increased o+m expenses so far. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->Advise me of their answer<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:58 PM<----->Subject: Skop Q<----->Skop is asking a Q about rate increase or decrease. Wants fpl to "break down" basis for proposed increase in simple, brief terms. "Snapshot"<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:57 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->So a recall is a joke?<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->B/c the blackberry is independent of the email server. Once it goes out to BB, email server forgets it. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How come I still have clips on my blkbr?<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:47 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I have not seen or heard him. No camera views of entire bench. <----->Attorney for the fast-food people is pounding witness and I haven't heard her make an interesting point yet. Seems like she is asking stuff that could have been moved into record as stipulated exhibits. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:43 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How is it going? Comm skop there?<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:28 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->The number 1%=\$100million jumps out at me. I can't say that is absolutely true, but that's what I think. <----->If we're talking about roe translated into rev reqmts. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:27 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How much is each basis point worth for roe on fpl? Just think while wait for dr<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:54 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Sr Analyst. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:53 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->What's her job title?<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Reports directly to him. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder<-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip. <----->But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc. <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How does a commiss get somebody hired? That's wrong <-----Original Message-----><----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yep. <----->And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual responsibilities. She reports directly to RR. <----->Now, she may be very valuable, and RR may tell you she is the most valuable person he has. But I've never seen a report, memo, recomment, email or anything with her name on it. <----->Not trying to start anything, but there was gossip about it back when she was hired. I am not saying she isn't valuable - just I have questions. <-----Original Message-----></p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2346	8/28/09 15:27	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA		<p>His question about the powerplant is how to protect customers from cost over-runs if step increase granted. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:25 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<They could ask questions to counter<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:23 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Said company is spending big \$\$ on the uprates. <I think the intervenors are not so happy, but usually will not object to a commr's Qs unless really bad. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:16 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<How was it replied and won't intervenors want to respond or ask quest re that?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:09 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Nope, its not comments. He is questioning the witness with leading questions to make these points. <Just did storm reserve and now asking about "nuclear investments"<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:08 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Did anybody reply to his comment<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<He is going through the various elements 1 by 1 - depreciation, increased o+m expenses so far. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Advise me of their answer<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:58 PM> Subject: Skop Q<Skop is asking a Q about rate increase or decrease. Wants fpl to "break down" basis for proposed increase in simple, brief terms. "Snapshot"<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:57 PM> Subject: Re: <So a recall is a joke?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM> Subject: Re: <B/c the blackberry is independent of the email server. Once it goes out to BB, email server forgets it. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM> Subject: Re: <How come I still have clips on my blkby?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:47 PM> Subject: Re: <I have not seen or heard him. No camera views of entire bench. <Attorney for the fast-food people is pounding witness and I hevent heard her make an interesting point yet. Seems like she is asking stuff that could have been moved into record as stipulated exhibits. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:43 PM> Subject: Re: <How is it going? Comm skop there?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:28 PM> Subject: Re: <The number 1%=\$100million jumps out at me. I can't say that is absolutely true, but that's what I think. <If we're talking about roe translated into rev reqmts. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:27 PM> Subject: Re: <How much is each basis point worth for roe on fpl? Just think while wait for dr<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:54 PM> Subject: Re: <Sr Analyst. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:53 PM> Subject: Re: <What's her job title?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM> Subject: Re: <Reports directly to him. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM> Subject: Re: <Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM> Subject: Re: <That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip. <But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM> Subject: Re: <How does a commish get somebody hired? That's wrong <Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM> Subject: Re: <Yep. <And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual responsibilities. She reports directly to RR. <Now, she may be very valuable, and RR may tell you she is the most valuable person he has. But I've never seen a report, memo, reccomm, email or anything with her name on it. <Not trying to start anything, but there was</p>
2347	8/28/09 15:28	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop Q	Its an accrued credit
2348	8/28/09 15:28	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop Q	<p>Right?<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:28 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Its an accrued credit<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:15 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Skop just got witness to say that storm reserve is unfunded = free cash flow until needed, they company has to pay it back. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:08 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Did anybody reply to his comment<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<He is going through the various elements 1 by 1 - depreciation, increased o+m expenses so far. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Advise me of their answer<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:58 PM> Subject: Skop Q<Skop is asking a Q about rate increase or decrease. Wants fpl to "break down" basis for proposed increase in simple, brief terms. "Snapshot"<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:57 PM> Subject: Re: <So a recall is a joke?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM> Subject: Re: <B/c the blackberry is independent of the email server. Once it goes out to BB, email server forgets it. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM> Subject: Re: <How come I still have clips on my blkby?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:47 PM> Subject: Re: <I have not seen or heard him. No camera views of entire bench. <Attorney for the fast-food people is pounding witness and I hevent heard her make an interesting point yet. Seems like she is asking stuff that could have been moved into record as stipulated exhibits. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:43 PM> Subject: Re: <How is it going? Comm skop there?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:28 PM> Subject: Re: <The number 1%=\$100million jumps out at me. I can't say that is absolutely true, but that's what I think. <If we're talking about roe translated into rev reqmts. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:27 PM> Subject: Re: <How much is each basis point worth for roe on fpl? Just think while wait for dr<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:54 PM> Subject: Re: <Sr Analyst. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:53 PM> Subject: Re: <What's her job title?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM> Subject: Re: <Reports directly to him. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM> Subject: Re: <Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM> Subject: Re: <That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip. <But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM> Subject: Re: <How does a commish get somebody hired? That's wrong <Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM> Subject: Re: <Yep. <And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual responsibilities. She reports directly to RR. <Now, she may be very valuable, and RR may tell you she is the most valuable person he has. But I've never seen a report, memo, reccomm, email or anything with her name on it. <Not trying to start anything, but there was gossip about it back when she was hired. I am not saying she isn't valuable - just I have questions. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:26 PM> Subject: Re: <Oh what a tangled web<Original Message><From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:21 PM> Subject: Re: <Yes. And mike and he were from DEP when hired. I know CM has very strong contacts with dep - not sure if she worked there, but she might have. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:19 PM> Subject: Re: <Didn't she also</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2349	8/28/09 15:29	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop Q	<p>His questions on the powerplant actually sound like they might be pro-consumer. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:28 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->Its an accrued credit<----->Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:15 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->Skop just got witness to say that storm reserve is unfunded = free cash flow until needed, they company has to pay it back. <----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:08 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->Did anybody reply to his comment<----->Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->He is going through the various elements 1 by 1 - depreciation, increased o+m expenses so far. <----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->Advise me of their answer<----->Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:58 PM<----->Subject: Skop Q<----->Skop is asking a Q about rate increase or decrease. Wants fpl to "break down" basis for proposed increase in simple, brief terms. "Snapshot"<----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:57 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->So a recall is a joke?<----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->B/c the blackberry is independent of the email server. Once it goes out to BB, email server forgets it. <----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How come I still have clips on my bkbry?<----->Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:47 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I have not seen or heard him. No camera views of entire bench. <----->Attorney for the fast-food people is pounding witness and I haven't heard her make an interesting point yet. Seems like she is asking stuff that could have been moved into record as stipulated exhibits. <----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:43 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How is it going? Comm skop there?<----->Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:28 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Sr Analyst. <----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:27 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How much is each basis point worth for roe on fpl? Just think while wait for dr<----->Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:54 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Sr Analyst. <----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:53 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->What's her job title?<----->Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Reports directly to him. <----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder<----->Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip. <----->But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc. <----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How does a commish get somebody hired? That's wrong <----->Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yep. <----->And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual responsibilities. She reports directly to RR. <----->Now, she may be very valuable, and RR may tell you she is the most valuable person he has. But I've never seen a report, memo, recomment, email or anything with her name on it. <----->Not trying to start anything, but there was gossip about it back when she was hired. I am not saying she isn't valuable - just I have questions. <----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:26 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Oh what a tangled web!<----->Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:21 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yes. And mike and he were from DEP when hired. I know CM has very strong contacts with dep - not sure if she worked there, but she might have. <----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy</p>
2350	8/28/09 15:30	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop Q	<p>And he's now moved on to solar products. <-----Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:28 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->Its an accrued credit<----->Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:15 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->Skop just got witness to say that storm reserve is unfunded = free cash flow until needed, they company has to pay it back. <----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:08 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->Did anybody reply to his comment<----->Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->He is going through the various elements 1 by 1 - depreciation, increased o+m expenses so far. <----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<----->Subject: Re: Skop Q<----->Advise me of their answer<----->Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:58 PM<----->Subject: Skop Q<----->Skop is asking a Q about rate increase or decrease. Wants fpl to "break down" basis for proposed increase in simple, brief terms. "Snapshot"<----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:57 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->So a recall is a joke?<----->Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->B/c the blackberry is independent of the email server. Once it goes out to BB, email server forgets it. <----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How come I still have clips on my bkbry?<----->Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:47 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I have not seen or heard him. No camera views of entire bench. <----->Attorney for the fast-food people is pounding witness and I haven't heard her make an interesting point yet. Seems like she is asking stuff that could have been moved into record as stipulated exhibits. <----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:43 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How is it going? Comm skop there?<----->Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:28 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->The number 1%=\$100million jumps out at me. I can't say that is absolutely true, but that's what I think. <----->If we're talking about roe translated into rev reqmts. <----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:27 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How much is each basis point worth for roe on fpl? Just think while wait for dr<----->Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:54 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Sr Analyst. <----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:53 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->What's her job title?<----->Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Reports directly to him. <----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder<----->Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip. <----->But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc. <----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How does a commish get somebody hired? That's wrong <----->Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yep. <----->And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual responsibilities. She reports directly to RR. <----->Now, she may be very valuable, and RR may tell you she is the most valuable person he has. But I've never seen a report, memo, recomment, email or anything with her name on it. <----->Not trying to start anything, but there was gossip about it back when she was hired. I am not saying she isn't valuable - just I have questions. <----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:26 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Oh what a tangled web!<----->Original Message-----><From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:21 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yes. And mike and he were from DEP when hired. I know CM has very strong contacts with dep - not sure if she worked there, but she might have. <----->Original Message-----><From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:19 PM<----->Subject:</p>
2351	8/28/09 15:30	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop Q	On way to therapy now changed from 5 to 4
2352	8/28/09 15:31	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop Q	Fair would be good
2353	8/28/09 15:31	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop Q	Wow.
2354	8/28/09 16:14	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop Q	Whi is up next
2355	8/28/09 17:00	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop Q	How is it going now
2356	8/28/09 17:03	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop Q	Icing down leg now almost done with therapy. Still going to ?

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2357	8/28/09 17:03	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop Q	<p>Just fine. <From: Marlene santos (VP customer service) is up. Hospitals asked her about revenue growth. <Opc asked about a number of complaints from the service hearings and how resolved. <Figug questions about the amount fpl wants for smart meters, and uncollectable debt. <Cecilia is now just starting. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:00 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<How is it going now<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:30 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<And he's now moved on to solar products. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:28 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<Its an accrued credit<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:15 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<Skop just got witness to say that storm reserve is unfunded = free cash flow until needed, they company has to pay it back. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:08 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<Did anybody reply to his comment<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<He is going through the various elements 1 by 1 - depreciation, increased o+m expenses so far. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<Advise me of their answer<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:58 PM<Subject: Skop Q<Skop is asking a Q about rate increase or decrease. Wants fpl to "break down" basis for proposed increase in simple, brief terms. "Snapshot" <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:57 PM<Subject: Re: <So a recall is a joke? <Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<Subject: Re: <B/c the blackberry is independent of the email server. Once it goes out to BB, email server forgets it. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<Subject: Re: <How come I still have clips on my blkby? <Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:47 PM<Subject: Re: <I have not seen or heard him. No camera views of entire bench. <Attorney for the fast-food people is pounding witness and I hevent heard her make an interesting point yet. Seems like she is asking stuff that could have been moved into record as stipulated exhibits. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:43 PM<Subject: Re: <How is it going? Comm skop there? <Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:28 PM<Subject: Re: <The number 1%=\$100million jumps out at me. I can't say that is absolutely true, but that's what I think. <If we're talking about roe translated into rev reqmts. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:27 PM<Subject: Re: <How much is each basis point worth for roe on fpl? Just think while wait for dr<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:54 PM<Subject: Re: <Sr Analyst. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:53 PM<Subject: Re: <What's her job title? <Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re: <Reports directly to him. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re: <Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<Subject: Re: <That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip. <But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<Subject: Re: <How does a commish get somebody hired? That's wrong <Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM<Subject: Re: <Yep. <And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual responsibilities. She reports directly to RR. <Now, she may be very valuable, and RR may tell you she is the most valuable person he has. But I've never seen a report, memo, reccomm, email or anything with her name on it. <Not trying to start anything, but there was gossip about it back when she was hired. I am not saying she isn't valuable - just I have questions. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:26 PM<Subject: Re: <Oh what a tangled web!<-----</p>
2358	8/28/09 17:03	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop Q	<p>We don't know. Lisa hasn't announced. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:03 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<Iceing down leg now almost done with therapy. Still going to 7 ? <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:30 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<And he's now moved on to solar products. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:28 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<Its an accrued credit<Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:15 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<Skop just got witness to say that storm reserve is unfunded = free cash flow until needed, they company has to pay it back. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:08 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<Did anybody reply to his comment<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<He is going through the various elements 1 by 1 - depreciation, increased o+m expenses so far. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM<Subject: Re: Skop Q<Advise me of their answer<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:58 PM<Subject: Skop Q<Skop is asking a Q about rate increase or decrease. Wants fpl to "break down" basis for proposed increase in simple, brief terms. "Snapshot" <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:57 PM<Subject: Re: <So a recall is a joke? <Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<Subject: Re: <B/c the blackberry is independent of the email server. Once it goes out to BB, email server forgets it. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM<Subject: Re: <How come I still have clips on my blkby? <Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:47 PM<Subject: Re: <I have not seen or heard him. No camera views of entire bench. <Attorney for the fast-food people is pounding witness and I hevent heard her make an interesting point yet. Seems like she is asking stuff that could have been moved into record as stipulated exhibits. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:43 PM<Subject: Re: <How is it going? Comm skop there? <Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:28 PM<Subject: Re: <The number 1%=\$100million jumps out at me. I can't say that is absolutely true, but that's what I think. <If we're talking about roe translated into rev reqmts. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:27 PM<Subject: Re: <How much is each basis point worth for roe on fpl? Just think while wait for dr<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:54 PM<Subject: Re: <Sr Analyst. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:53 PM<Subject: Re: <What's her job title? <Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re: <Reports directly to him. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM<Subject: Re: <Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder<Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<Subject: Re: <That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip. <But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM<Subject: Re: <How does a commish get somebody hired? That's wrong <Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM<Subject: Re: <Yep. <And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual responsibilities. She reports directly to RR. <Now, she may be very valuable, and RR may tell you she is the most valuable person he has. But I've never seen a report, memo, reccomm, email or anything with her name on it. <Not trying to start anything, but there was gossip about it back when she was hired. I am not saying she isn't valuable - just I have questions. <Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:26 PM<Subject: Re: <Oh what a tangled web!<-----</p>
2359	8/28/09 17:22	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop Q	I'm back on but hear nothing

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2360	8/28/09 17:24	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop Q	<p>They are on a break. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:22 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<I'm back on but hear nothing>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:03 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<We don't know. Lisa hasn't announced.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:03 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Icing down leg now almost done with therapy. Still going to 7 ?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:30 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<And he's now moved on to solar products.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:28 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Its an accrued credit>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:15 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Skop just got witness to say that storm reserve is unfunded = free cash flow until needed, they company has to pay it back.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:08 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Did anybody reply to his comment>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<He is going through the various elements 1 by 1 - depreciation, increased o+m expenses so far.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Advise me of their answer>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:58 PM> Subject: Skop Q<Skop is asking a Q about rate increase or decrease. Wants fpl to "break down" basis for proposed increase in simple, brief terms. "Snapshot">-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:57 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<So a recall is a joke?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<B/c the blackberry is independent of the email server. Once it goes out to BB, email server forgets it.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<How come I still have clips on my bkbr?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:47 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<I have not seen or heard him. No camera views of entire bench.-----Attorney for the fast-food people is pounding witness and I haven't heard her make an interesting point yet. Seems like she is asking stuff that could have been moved into record as stipulated exhibits.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:43 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<How is it going? Comm skop there?>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:28 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<The number 1%=\$100million jumps out at me. I can't say that is absolutely true, but that's what I think.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:27 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<How much is each basis point worth for roe on fpl? Just think while wait for dr-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:54 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Sr Analyst.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:53 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<What's her job title?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Reports directly to him.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<That's wrong-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Yep.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:30 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<And she hired a personal friend, sherry severance (worked with at dep), who is making in the high 70s and as far as I can tell, has no actual</p>
2361	8/28/09 17:24	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop Q	I'm back on but hear nothing
2362	8/28/09 17:25	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop Q	<p>About an hour I think.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:25 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<How long ago was last brk-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:24 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<They are on a break.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:22 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<I'm back on but hear nothing>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:03 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<We don't know. Lisa hasn't announced.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:03 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Icing down leg now almost done with therapy. Still going to 7 ?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:30 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<And he's now moved on to solar products.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:28 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Its an accrued credit>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:15 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Skop just got witness to say that storm reserve is unfunded = free cash flow until needed, they company has to pay it back.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:08 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Did anybody reply to his comment>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<He is going through the various elements 1 by 1 - depreciation, increased o+m expenses so far.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Advise me of their answer>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:58 PM> Subject: Skop Q<Skop is asking a Q about rate increase or decrease. Wants fpl to "break down" basis for proposed increase in simple, brief terms. "Snapshot">-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:57 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<So a recall is a joke?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<B/c the blackberry is independent of the email server. Once it goes out to BB, email server forgets it.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<How come I still have clips on my bkbr?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:47 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<I have not seen or heard him. No camera views of entire bench.-----Attorney for the fast-food people is pounding witness and I haven't heard her make an interesting point yet. Seems like she is asking stuff that could have been moved into record as stipulated exhibits.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:43 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<How is it going? Comm skop there?>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:28 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<The number 1%=\$100million jumps out at me. I can't say that is absolutely true, but that's what I think.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:27 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<How much is each basis point worth for roe on fpl? Just think while wait for dr-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:54 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Sr Analyst.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:53 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<What's her job title?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Reports directly to him.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:39 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<Severance is the wife of the sarge at arms of senate. I didn't know she worked with ryder-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<That's what all of us staff thought at the time, and hence the gossip.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<But, I don't actually know any details/qualifications/etc.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 12:33 PM> Subject: Re: Skop Q<How does</p>
2363	8/28/09 17:25	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop Q	How long ago was last brk

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2368	8/28/09 19:08	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop Q	Why did skop ask that question, now?-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 6:15 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Ok>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 6:14 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><This is the confidential grant stuff. <I have it. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 6:12 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Ok>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 6:11 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><I am not sure. <Hardy is next in the binder, but the notes I took yesterday show Ousdal. But those notes ignore hardy (he's not listed) <Hardy=VP power generation(non nuclear)<Ousdal=controller. She is sponsoring the testimony of the calculation of the rate increase - the technical "number" side, not policy. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 6:06 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Whi is up next>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:25 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><About an hour I think. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:25 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><How long ago was last brk>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:24 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><They are on a break. <Cecilia was trying to ask about whether fpl organized people to come to the SHs, and fpl objected, but then I think they wdrew the objection, but LE took a break. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:22 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><I'm back on but hear nothing>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:03 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><We don't know. Lisa hasn't announced. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:03 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Iceing down leg now almost done with therapy. Still going to 7 ?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:30 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><And he's now moved on to solar products. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:28 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Its an accrued credit>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:15 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Skop just got witness to say that storm reserve is unfunded = free cash flow until needed, they company has to pay it back. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:08 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Did anybody reply to his comment>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><He is going through the various elements 1 by 1 - depreciation, increased o+m expenses so far. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Advise me of their answer>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:58 PM><Subject: Skop Q><Skop is asking a Q about rate increase or decrease. Wants fpl to "break down" basis for proposed increase in simple, brief terms. "Snapshot">-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:57 PM><Subject: Re: <So a recall is a joke?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM><Subject: Re: <B/c the blackberry is independent of the email server. Once it goes out to BB, email server forgets it. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM><Subject: Re: <How come I still have clips on my bkbry?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:47 PM><Subject: Re: <I have not seen or heard him. No camera views of entire bench. <Attorney for the fast-food people is pounding witness and I hevent heard her make an interesting point yet. Seems like she is asking stuff that could have been moved into record as stipulated exhibits. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:43 PM><Subject: Re: <How is it going? Comm skop there?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:28 PM><Subject: Re: <The number 1%=\$100million jumps out at me. I can't say that is absolutely true, but that's what I think. <If we're talking about roe translated into rev reqmts. <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 1:28 PM><Subject: Re: <Sorry had to go to lve the rm
2369	8/28/09 19:13	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop Q	Sorry had to go to lve the rm
2370	8/28/09 19:14	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Skop Q	Over for the night.-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 7:13 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Sorry had to go to lve the rm>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 7:08 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Why did skop ask that question, now?>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 6:15 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Ok>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 6:14 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><This is the confidential grant stuff. <I have it. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 6:12 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Ok>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 6:11 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><I am not sure. <Hardy is next in the binder, but the notes I took yesterday show Ousdal. But those notes ignore hardy (he's not listed) <Hardy=VP power generation(non nuclear)<Ousdal=controller. She is sponsoring the testimony of the calculation of the rate increase - the technical "number" side, not policy. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 6:06 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Whi is up next>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:25 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><About an hour I think. <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:24 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><How long ago was last brk>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:24 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><They are on a break. <Cecilia was trying to ask about whether fpl organized people to come to the SHs, and fpl objected, but then I think they wdrew the objection, but LE took a break. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:22 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><I'm back on but hear nothing>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:03 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><We don't know. Lisa hasn't announced. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 5:03 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Iceing down leg now almost done with therapy. Still going to 7 ?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:30 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><And he's now moved on to solar products. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:28 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Its an accrued credit>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:15 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Skop just got witness to say that storm reserve is unfunded = free cash flow until needed, they company has to pay it back. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:08 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Did anybody reply to his comment>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><He is going through the various elements 1 by 1 - depreciation, increased o+m expenses so far. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 3:06 PM><Subject: Re: Skop Q><Advise me of their answer>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:58 PM><Subject: Skop Q><Skop is asking a Q about rate increase or decrease. Wants fpl to "break down" basis for proposed increase in simple, brief terms. "Snapshot">-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:57 PM><Subject: Re: <So a recall is a joke?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM><Subject: Re: <B/c the blackberry is independent of the email server. Once it goes out to BB, email server forgets it. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:55 PM><Subject: Re: <How come I still have clips on my bkbry?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:47 PM><Subject: Re: <I have not seen or heard him. No camera views of entire bench. <Attorney for the fast-food people is pounding witness and I hevent heard her make an interesting point yet. Seems like she is asking stuff that could have been moved into record as stipulated exhibits. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 28, 2009 2:43 PM><Subject: Re: <How is it going? Comm skop
2371	8/28/09 19:15	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Skop Q	I know
2372	8/29/09 11:38	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		I am going to want to know on monday how cindy got that article
2373	8/29/09 11:46	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		What I mean is I want to know how cindy gets clips. What mechanism is used in clips. Because that one is a very unusual one and may have been put there
2374	8/29/09 12:07	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		I want to know how many clips there were that day and if Cindy put every one on or selected the ones to be sent out.
2375	8/29/09 12:08	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		One other thing, who reads clips before they get sent out? Does anyone?
2376	8/29/09 15:58	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I'll check on both monday am-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 29, 2009 12:08 PM><Subject: <One other thing, who reads clips before they get sent out? Does anyone?>
2377	8/31/09 9:25	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA		<Number: 888-808-6959<Code: 4136261<
2378	8/31/09 9:27	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA		KM just came in bldg and is on way up elevator to office. Haven't seen anyone else but matt.
2379	8/31/09 9:34	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Nobody	is down here but Matt.
2380	8/31/09 9:36	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Nobody	I'm on but hear nothing
2381	8/31/09 9:40	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Nobody	I see matt and katrina. Lisa was here but I don't see hwr now. <No nata. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:36 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><I'm on but hear nothing>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris>To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:34 AM><Subject: Nobody><Is down here but Matt. <
2382	8/31/09 9:50	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Nobody	Have u found out how cindy got that blog?

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2427	8/31/09 13:22	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Voicemail	I will contact Karen Dockham and instruct her to get on it. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:22 PM<----->Subject: Re: Voicemail<-----><----->All other verison vm services I know of save vm until deleted or a certain amout of days go by. Did psc specifically ask for this and someone from tech staff needs to contact verizon and ask how it can be retrieved<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:18 PM<----->Subject: Re: Voicemail<-----><----->According to karen, if the BB doesn't give you a prompt to listen to/retrieve messages, then there are no VMs in the system that can be retrieved. <----->It is verizon's VM system, not psc's. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:17 PM<----->Subject: Re: Voicemail<-----><----->It has not been near 21 days. Where is the voicemail I received last week? And why is the psc vm diff from all others? Is there a way for me to get last weeks voicemail that was not deleted by me????<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 12:57 PM<----->Subject: Voicemail<-----><----->If the VM prompt does not give you an option to listen to messages, they are gone. <----->VMs are only saved for 21 days max. <----->VMs are only saved that long if not otherwise deleted. <----->Once gone, they are gone. No way exists to retrieve them. <----->This is from our BB person, who called verizon to confirm. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 12:16 PM<----->Subject: Re: 4<-----><----->Did MB give us notice last week of FDLE conducting interviews today at PSC? And who are they interviewing?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 11:07 AM<----->Subject: Re: 4<-----><----->She said she did not receive any other communications regarding that blog. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:56 AM<----->Subject: Re: 4<-----><----->You may want to ask CM if she received any other communication regarding that blog. Any, meaning verbal, e mail, phone call etc. From anyone<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:37 AM<----->Subject: Re: 4<-----><----->Cindy makes that decision. <----->Ne policy is will not be in clips, ever. <----->She said anonymous like this one (big bang) will be printed and distributed to offices by hand. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:36 AM<----->Subject: Re: 4<-----><----->What direction to they get if any regarding unsubstantiated, unauthored, blogs? Not articles but blogs?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:33 AM<----->Subject: 4<-----><----->There is no or very little supervisor review. 3 separate staff who rotate the duty on getting clips out. They only get supervisor review if something unusual comes up. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 29, 2009 12:08 PM<----->Subject: <-----><----->One other thing, who reads clips before they get sent out? Does
2428	8/31/09 13:28	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Voicemail	the comp comparison u say slattery has, is there no documentation as to what was relied on?
2429	8/31/09 13:28	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Voicemail	None in or attached in her testimony. I'm trying to get an answer from attorney about whether we have it as a response to discovery. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:28 PM<----->Subject: Re: Voicemail<-----><----->the comp comparison u say slattery has, is there no documentation as to what was relied on? <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:22 PM<----->Subject: Re: Voicemail<-----><----->I will contact Karen Dockham and instruct her to get on it. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:22 PM<----->Subject: Re: Voicemail<-----><----->All other verison vm services I know of save vm until deleted or a certain amout of days go by. Did psc specifically ask for this and someone from tech staff needs to contact verizon and ask how it can be retrieved<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:18 PM<----->Subject: Re: Voicemail<-----><----->According to karen, if the BB doesn't give you a prompt to listen to/retrieve messages, then there are no VMs in the system that can be retrieved. <----->It is verizon's VM system, not psc's. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:17 PM<----->Subject: Re: Voicemail<-----><----->It has not been near 21 days. Where is the voicemail I received last week? And why is the psc vm diff from all others? Is there a way for me to get last weeks voicemail that was not deleted by me????<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 12:57 PM<----->Subject: Voicemail<-----><----->If the VM prompt does not give you an option to listen to messages, they are gone. <----->VMs are only saved for 21 days max. <----->VMs are only saved that long if not otherwise deleted. <----->Once gone, they are gone. No way exists to retrieve them. <----->This is from our BB person, who called verizon to confirm. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 12:16 PM<----->Subject: Re: 4<-----><----->Did MB give us notice last week of FDLE conducting interviews today at PSC? And who are they interviewing?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 11:07 AM<----->Subject: Re: 4<-----><----->She said she did not receive any other communications regarding that blog. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:56 AM<----->Subject: Re: 4<-----><----->You may want to ask CM if she received any other communication regarding that blog. Any, meaning verbal, e mail, phone call etc. From anyone<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:37 AM<----->Subject: Re: 4<-----><----->Cindy makes that decision. <----->Ne policy is will not be in clips, ever. <----->She said anonymous like this one (big bang) will be printed and distributed to offices by hand. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:36 AM<----->Subject: Re: 4<-----><----->What direction to they get if any regarding unsubstantiated, unauthored, blogs? Not articles but blogs?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:33 AM<----->Subject: 4<-----><----->There is no or very little supervisor review. 3 separate staff who rotate the duty on getting clips out. They only get supervisor review if something unusual comes up. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 29, 2009 12:08 PM<----->Subject: <-----><----->One other thing, who reads clips before they get sent out? Does
2430	8/31/09 13:31	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Voicemail	Ok
2431	8/31/09 13:44	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Voicemail	Karen would like to know approximately how long the message was on vm, and if there were more then one?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:31 PM<----->Subject: Re: Voicemail<-----><----->Ok<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:28 PM<----->Subject: Re: Voicemail<-----><----->None in or attached in her testimony. I'm trying to get an answer from attorney about whether we have it as a response to discovery. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:28 PM<----->Subject: Re: Voicemail<-----><----->the comp comparison u say slattery has, is there no documentation as to what was relied on? <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:22 PM<----->Subject: Re: Voicemail<-----><----->I will contact Karen Dockham and instruct her to get on it. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:22 PM<----->Subject: Re: Voicemail<-----><----->All other verison vm services I know of save vm until deleted or a certain amout of days go by. Did psc specifically ask for this and someone from tech staff needs to contact verizon and ask how it can be retrieved<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:18 PM<----->Subject: Re: Voicemail<-----><----->According to karen, if the BB doesn't give you a prompt to listen to/retrieve messages, then there are no VMs in the system that can be retrieved. <----->It is verizon's VM system, not psc's. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:17 PM<----->Subject: Re: Voicemail<-----><----->It has not been near 21 days. Where is the voicemail I received last week? And why is the psc vm diff from all others? Is there a way for me to get last weeks voicemail that was not deleted by me????<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 12:57 PM<----->Subject: Voicemail<-----><----->If the VM prompt does not give you an option to listen to messages, they are gone. <----->VMs are only saved for 21 days max. <----->VMs are only saved that long if not otherwise deleted. <----->Once gone, they are gone. No way exists to retrieve them. <----->This is from our BB person, who called verizon to confirm. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 12:16 PM<----->Subject: Re: 4<-----><----->Did MB give us notice last week of FDLE conducting interviews today at PSC? And who are they interviewing?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 11:07 AM<----->Subject: Re: 4<-----><----->She said she did not receive any other communications regarding that blog. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:56 AM<----->Subject: Re: 4<-----><----->You may want to ask CM if she received any other communication regarding that blog. Any, meaning verbal, e mail, phone call etc. From anyone<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:37 AM<----->Subject: Re: 4<-----><----->Cindy makes that decision. <----->Ne policy is will not be in clips, ever. <----->She said anonymous like this one (big bang) will be printed and distributed to offices by hand. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:36 AM<----->Subject: Re: 4<-----><----->What direction to they get if any regarding unsubstantiated, unauthored, blogs? Not articles but blogs?<----->Original Message-----<----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:33 AM<----->Subject: 4<-----><----->There is no or very little supervisor review. 3 separate staff who rotate the duty on getting clips out. They only get supervisor review if something unusual comes up. <----->Original Message-----<----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 29, 2009 12:08 PM<----->Subject: <-----><----->One other thing, who reads clips before they get sent out? Does
2432	8/31/09 13:48	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Voicemail	It came toward the middle of last week. I think there may hv been another vm also

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2433	8/31/09 13:56	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Voicemail	Karen called VM. 21 days is a default - psc did not ask for that. <v><v>VMs not automatically deleted at end of time period - supposed to give a prompt. <v><v>Nothing verizon can do w/o the unit. They said to take to verizon store so technician can look at mess with. <v><v>They recommend someone call you and leave a new test VM. There might be something funny with the system, and a new message might "reset" it back into giving you older, stored messages. If this doesn't work, nothing karen can do from here; next step is verizon store. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:48 PM<v>Subject: Re: Voicemail<v><v>It came toward the middle of last week. I think there may hv been another vm also<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:44 PM<v>Subject: Re: Voicemail<v><v>Karen would like to know approximately how long the message was on vm, and if there were more then one?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:31 PM<v>Subject: Re: Voicemail<v><v>Ok<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:28 PM<v>Subject: Re: Voicemail<v><v>None in or attached in her testimony. I'm trying to get an answer from attorney about whether we have it as a response to discovery. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:28 PM<v>Subject: Re: Voicemail<v><v>the comp comparison u say slattery has, is there no documentation as to what was relied on? <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:22 PM<v>Subject: Re: Voicemail<v><v>I will contact Karen Dockham and instruct her to get on it. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:22 PM<v>Subject: Re: Voicemail<v><v>All other verison vm services I know of save vm until deleted or a certain amount of days go by. Did psc specifically ask for this and someone from tech staff needs to contact verizon and ask how it can be retrieved<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:18 PM<v>Subject: Re: Voicemail<v><v>According to karen, if the BB doesn't give you a prompt to listen to/retrieve messages, then there are no VMs in the system that can be retrieved. <v><v>It is verizon's VM system, not psc's. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:17 PM<v>Subject: Re: Voicemail<v><v>It has not been near 21 days. Where is the voicemail I received last week? And why is the psc vm diff from all others? Is there a way for me to get last weeks voicemail that was not deleted by me????<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 12:57 PM<v>Subject: Voicemail<v><v>If the VM prompt does not give you an option to listen to messages, they are gone. <v>VMs are only saved for 21 days max. <v>VMs are only saved that long if not otherwise deleted. <v>Once gone, they are gone. No way exists to retrieve them. <v>This is from our BB person, who called verizon to confirm. <v><v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 12:16 PM<v>Subject: Re: 4<v><v>Did MB give us notice last week of FDLE conducting interviews today at PSC? And who are they interviewing?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 11:07 AM<v>Subject: Re: 4<v><v>She said she did not receive any other communications regarding that blog. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:56 AM<v>Subject: Re: 4<v><v>You may want to ask CM if she received any other communication regarding that blog. Any, meaning verbal, e mail, phone call etc. From anyone<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:37 AM<v>Subject: Re: 4<v><v>Cindy makes that decision. <v>Ne policy is will not be in clips, ever. <v>She said anonymous like this one (big bang) will be printed and distributed to offices by hand. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:36 AM<v>Subject: Re: 4<v><v>What direction to they get if any regarding unsubstantiated, unauthored, blogs? Not articles but blogs?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:33 AM<v>Subject: 4<v><v>There is no or very little supervisor review. 3 separate staff who rotate the duty on getting clips out. They only get supervisor review if something unusual comes up. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 29, 2009 12:08 PM<v>Subject: <v><v>One other thing, who reads clips before they get sent out? Does
2434	8/31/09 13:57	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Who os asking questions?
2435	8/31/09 13:57	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Jean hartman - staff atty. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:57 PM<v>Subject: <v><v>Who os asking questions?<v>
2436	8/31/09 13:59	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Voicemail	Ask MB why this is specifically set up without VM storage. And sent me VM now pl
2437	8/31/09 13:59	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	She sounds so young
2438	8/31/09 14:00	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Voicemail	Calling now. Don't answer. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:59 PM<v>Subject: Re: Voicemail<v><v>Ask MB why this is specifically set up without VM storage. And sent me VM now pl<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:56 PM<v>Subject: Re: Voicemail<v><v>Karen called VM. 21 days is a default - psc did not ask for that. <v><v>VMs not automatically deleted at end of time period - supposed to give a prompt. <v><v>Nothing verizon can do w/o the unit. They said to take to verizon store so technician can look at mess with. <v><v>They recommend someone call you and leave a new test VM. There might be something funny with the system, and a new message might "reset" it back into giving you older, stored messages. If this doesn't work, nothing karen can do from here; next step is verizon store. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:48 PM<v>Subject: Re: Voicemail<v><v>It came toward the middle of last week. I think there may hv been another vm also<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:44 PM<v>Subject: Re: Voicemail<v><v>Karen would like to know approximately how long the message was on vm, and if there were more then one?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:31 PM<v>Subject: Re: Voicemail<v><v>Ok<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:28 PM<v>Subject: Re: Voicemail<v><v>None in or attached in her testimony. I'm trying to get an answer from attorney about whether we have it as a response to discovery. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:28 PM<v>Subject: Re: Voicemail<v><v>the comp comparison u say slattery has, is there no documentation as to what was relied on? <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:22 PM<v>Subject: Re: Voicemail<v><v>I will contact Karen Dockham and instruct her to get on it. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:22 PM<v>Subject: Re: Voicemail<v><v>All other verison vm services I know of save vm until deleted or a certain amount of days go by. Did psc specifically ask for this and someone from tech staff needs to contact verizon and ask how it can be retrieved<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:18 PM<v>Subject: Re: Voicemail<v><v>According to karen, if the BB doesn't give you a prompt to listen to/retrieve messages, then there are no VMs in the system that can be retrieved. <v><v>It is verizon's VM system, not psc's. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:17 PM<v>Subject: Re: Voicemail<v><v>It has not been near 21 days. Where is the voicemail I received last week? And why is the psc vm diff from all others? Is there a way for me to get last weeks voicemail that was not deleted by me????<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 12:57 PM<v>Subject: Voicemail<v><v>If the VM prompt does not give you an option to listen to messages, they are gone. <v>VMs are only saved for 21 days max. <v>VMs are only saved that long if not otherwise deleted. <v>Once gone, they are gone. No way exists to retrieve them. <v>This is from our BB person, who called verizon to confirm. <v><v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 12:16 PM<v>Subject: Re: 4<v><v>Did MB give us notice last week of FDLE conducting interviews today at PSC? And who are they interviewing?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 11:07 AM<v>Subject: Re: 4<v><v>She said she did not receive any other communications regarding that blog. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:56 AM<v>Subject: Re: 4<v><v>You may want to ask CM if she received any other communication regarding that blog. Any, meaning verbal, e mail, phone call etc. From anyone<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:37 AM<v>Subject: Re: 4<v><v>Cindy makes that decision. <v>Ne policy is will not be in clips, ever. <v>She said anonymous like this one (big bang) will be printed and distributed to offices by hand. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:36 AM<v>Subject: Re: 4<v><v>What direction to they get if any regarding unsubstantiated, unauthored, blogs? Not articles but blogs?<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:33 AM<v>Subject: 4<v><v>There is no or very little supervisor review. 3 separate staff who rotate the duty on getting clips out. They only get supervisor review if something unusual comes up. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 29, 2009 12:08 PM<v>Subject: <v><v>One other thing, who reads clips before they get sent out? Does
2439	8/31/09 14:02	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	She is my age. 7 months pregress - with twins!<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:59 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>She sounds so young<v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Larry Harris<v>To: Nancy Argenziano<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:57 PM<v>Subject: Re: <v><v>Jean hartman - staff atty. <v>-----Original Message-----<v>From: Nancy Argenziano<v>To: Larry Harris<v>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 1:57 PM<v>Subject: <v><v>Who os asking questions?<v><v><v>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2462	8/31/09 17:23	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	B/c way back when, agenda+ia were scheduled. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Why was it not noticed for tomorrow<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:22 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I don't think anything. <P>Was originally set for agenda+IA, but then the psc interviews were scheduled. <P>I don't think they can have fpl tomorrow, since never noticed for that date. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:21 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>What is on agenda tomorrow<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 4:03 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I'll make a request of them for you right now. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:58 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I'd like to look at the contract info re bkbrrys. I want details of the plan<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:55 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>If you take to store there IS A CHANCE it could be retrieved. You are almost certainly right that store will say "duh"?<P>BI says its a very good question and he'll have to research. I told him to make it priority. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:50 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>So if I take handheld unit to some verizon store they can retrieve msg? I bet I go to store and they don't have clue as to what I'm asking. Also bigger issue is the public records issue I mentioned<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:46 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I will get this to BI now. Karen told me there is nothing she can do, nor is there anything verizon can do without the handheld unit. <P>It is not clear they can do anything, but verizon told karen there may be something that could be done, maybe, with the unit. <P>If you were here, you could give unit to karen, and she could call verizon. Since that can't happen, you would have to take to a verizon store, where the technician could look at and call verizon and give info. <P>I know phones hae code numbers in the simcard and such; might be they need that kind of data to have even a chance of finding in VM system. This is what I understand. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:34 PM<P>Subject: <P><P>A question for Booter. How does not keeping voicemails comply with the public records law and req to have all info not deemed confidential subject to that law? What are the penalties of not having such available to the public? Also advise me again on if there is possibility of capturing my voicemails from last week and what good does it for me to go to verizon store?
2463	8/31/09 17:23	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	What interviews?
2464	8/31/09 17:23	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	The psc nominating council commissioner interviews<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>What interviews?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:22 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I don't think anything. <P>Was originally set for agenda+IA, but then the psc interviews were scheduled. <P>I don't think they can have fpl tomorrow, since never noticed for that date. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:21 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>What is on agenda tomorrow<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 4:03 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I'll make a request of them for you right now. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:58 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I'd like to look at the contract info re bkbrrys. I want details of the plan<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:55 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>If you take to store there IS A CHANCE it could be retrieved. You are almost certainly right that store will say "duh"?<P>BI says its a very good question and he'll have to research. I told him to make it priority. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:50 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>So if I take handheld unit to some verizon store they can retrieve msg? I bet I go to store and they don't have clue as to what I'm asking. Also bigger issue is the public records issue I mentioned<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:46 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I will get this to BI now. Karen told me there is nothing she can do, nor is there anything verizon can do without the handheld unit. <P>It is not clear they can do anything, but verizon told karen there may be something that could be done, maybe, with the unit. <P>If you were here, you could give unit to karen, and she could call verizon. Since that can't happen, you would have to take to a verizon store, where the technician could look at and call verizon and give info. <P>I know phones hae code numbers in the simcard and such; might be they need that kind of data to have even a chance of finding in VM system. This is what I understand. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:34 PM<P>Subject: <P><P>A question for Booter. How does not keeping voicemails comply with the public records law and req to have all info not deemed confidential subject to that law? What are the penalties of not having such available to the public? Also advise me again on if there is possibility of capturing my voicemails from last week and what good does it for me to go to verizon store?
2465	8/31/09 17:24	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	So there is no agenda or ia or fpl?
2466	8/31/09 17:25	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Rt
2467	8/31/09 17:26	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	No agenda no IA nothing else on schedule. I don't know if chair or the 3 of you have right to have fpl continue tomorrow. I don't think so but I'm not sure. <P>I'm guessing that if you do have the legal right, one of the parties will ask that you do so. (Just like they have been asking to go late in evening)<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:24 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>So there is no agenda or ia or fpl?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>B/c way back when, agenda+ia were scheduled. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Why was it not noticed for tomorrow<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:22 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I don't think anything. <P>Was originally set for agenda+IA, but then the psc interviews were scheduled. <P>I don't think they can have fpl tomorrow, since never noticed for that date. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:21 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>What is on agenda tomorrow<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 4:03 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I'll make a request of them for you right now. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:58 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I'd like to look at the contract info re bkbrrys. I want details of the plan<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:55 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>If you take to store there IS A CHANCE it could be retrieved. You are almost certainly right that store will say "duh"?<P>BI says its a very good question and he'll have to research. I told him to make it priority. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:50 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>So if I take handheld unit to some verizon store they can retrieve msg? I bet I go to store and they don't have clue as to what I'm asking. Also bigger issue is the public records issue I mentioned<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:46 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I will get this to BI now. Karen told me there is nothing she can do, nor is there anything verizon can do without the handheld unit. <P>It is not clear they can do anything, but verizon told karen there may be something that could be done, maybe, with the unit. <P>If you were here, you could give unit to karen, and she could call verizon. Since that can't happen, you would have to take to a verizon store, where the technician could look at and call verizon and give info. <P>I know phones hae code numbers in the simcard and such; might be they need that kind of data to have even a chance of finding in VM system. This is what I understand. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:34 PM<P>Subject: <P><P>A question for Booter. How does not keeping voicemails comply with the public records law and req to have all info not deemed confidential subject to that law? What are the penalties of not having such available to the public? Also advise me again on if there is possibility of capturing my voicemails from last week and what good does it
2468	8/31/09 17:26	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Strng tues of nxt wk. Is the nuke cost rec for pef?
2469	8/31/09 17:27	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Nuc cost for BOTH pef AND fpl. Fpl's is much less, b/c they have spent less \$\$\$ and many more customers. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:26 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Strng tues of nxt wk. Is the nuke cost rec for pef?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>The psc nominating council commissioner interviews<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>What interviews?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:22 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I don't think anything. <P>Was originally set for agenda+IA, but then the psc interviews were scheduled. <P>I don't think they can have fpl tomorrow, since never noticed for that date. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:21 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>What is on agenda tomorrow<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 4:03 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I'll make a request of them for you right now. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:58 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I'd like to look at the contract info re bkbrrys. I want details of the plan<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:55 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>If you take to store there IS A CHANCE it could be retrieved. You are almost certainly right that store will say "duh"?<P>BI says its a very good question and he'll have to research. I told him to make it priority. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:50 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>So if I take handheld unit to some verizon store they can retrieve msg? I bet I go to store and they don't have clue as to what I'm asking. Also bigger issue is the public records issue I mentioned<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:46 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I will get this to BI now. Karen told me there is nothing she can do, nor is there anything verizon can do without the handheld unit. <P>It is not clear they can do anything, but verizon told karen there may be something that could be done, maybe, with the unit. <P>If you were here, you could give unit to karen, and she could call verizon. Since that can't happen, you would have to take to a verizon store, where the technician could look at and call verizon and give info. <P>I know phones hae code numbers in the simcard and such; might be they need that kind of data to have even a chance of finding in VM system. This is what I understand. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:34 PM<P>Subject: <P><P>A question for Booter. How does not keeping voicemails comply with the public records law and req to have all info not deemed confidential subject to that law? What are the penalties of not having such available to the public? Also advise me again on if there is possibility of capturing my voicemails from last week and what good does it for me to go to verizon store?
2470	8/31/09 17:29	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	So if we don't do fpl tomrw tell me when we actually can get to vote on fpl ?

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2471	8/31/09 17:34	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Well, the schedule as of now has us voting the end of Oct. We might can still finish - I suppose you can work sat+sun+monday. <P>If we don't finish the hearing by next week, in order to meet the oct date, new hearing days would have to be found, and the time frame for filing briefs, staff writing Rec, and you having time to read Rec will shorten. <P>I don't see more than 2 free dates for the rest of sept. I also don't see anybody being willing to give up their time line allotments. <P>So, if we don't finish by next tuesday, I have no idea if the vote will be pushed back, but is very likely. To when, I don't know. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:29 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>So if we don't do fpl tomrw tell me when we actually can get to vote on fpl ?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:27 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Nuc cost for BOTH pef AND fpl. Fpl's is much less, b/c they have spent less \$\$\$ and many more customers. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:26 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Strng tues of nxt wk. Is the nuke cost rec for pef?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>The psc nominating council commissioner interviews<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>What interviews?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:22 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I don't think anything. <P>Was originally set for agenda+IA, but then the psc interviews were scheduled. <P>I don't think they can have fpl tomorrow, since never noticed for that date. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:21 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>What is on agenda tomorrow<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 4:03 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I'll make a request of them for you right now. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:58 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I'd like to look at the contract info re bikbrys. I want details of the plan<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:55 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>If you take to store there IS A CHANCE it could be retrieved. You are almost certainly right that store will say "duh?"<P>BI says its a very good question and he'll have to research. I told him to make it priority. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:50 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>So if I take handheld unit to some verizon store they can retrieve msg? I bet I go to store and they don't have clue as to what I'm asking. Also bigger issue is the public records issue I mentioned<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:46 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I will get this to BI now. Karen told me there is nothing she can do, nor is there anything verizon can do without the handheld unit. <P>It is not clear they can do anything, but verizon told karen there may be something that could be done, maybe, with the unit. <P>If you were here, you could give unit to karen, and she could call verizon. Since that can't happen, you would have to take to a verizon store, where the technician could look at and call verizon and give info. <P>I know phones hae code numbers in the simcard and such; might be they need that kind of data to have even a chance of finding in VM system. This is what I understand. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:34 PM<P>Subject: <P><P>A question for Booter. How does not keeping voicemails comply with the public records law and req to have all info not deemed confidential subject to that law? What are the penalties of not having such available to the public? Also advise me again on if there is possibility of capturing my voicemails from last week and what good does it for me to go to verizon store?
2472	8/31/09 17:34	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	So if we don't do fpl tomrw tell me when we actually can get to vote on fpl ?
2473	8/31/09 17:36	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		Tell me now how this case proceeds. Fri lastday of hring? Staff makes recs when and do they have deadline timeframe? Comes back for vote when?
2474	8/31/09 17:37	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Can it happen before end of oct?
2475	8/31/09 17:38	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Could this rate case been heard/scheduled sooner?
2476	8/31/09 17:38	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	R u telling me now that this case will not be voted on until after appointment process is completed?
2477	8/31/09 17:39	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		What is this spkrs name. Who's witness
2478	8/31/09 17:49	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Yes, she really does. I'll bet she is pissed that she has to go late tonight to get this witness done. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:47 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>A quorum is just 3 of us correct? Seems comm edgar really wants to end<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:41 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>It would have been very, very difficult. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:38 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Could this rate case been heard/scheduled sooner?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:34 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Well, the schedule as of now has us voting the end of Oct. We might can still finish - I suppose you can work sat+sun+monday. <P>If we don't finish the hearing by next week, in order to meet the oct date, new hearing days would have to be found, and the time frame for filing briefs, staff writing Rec, and you having time to read Rec will shorten. <P>I don't see more than 2 free dates for the rest of sept. I also don't see anybody being willing to give up their time line allotments. <P>So, if we don't finish by next tuesday, I have no idea if the vote will be pushed back, but is very likely. To when, I don't know. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:29 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>So if we don't do fpl tomrw tell me when we actually can get to vote on fpl ?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:27 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Nuc cost for BOTH pef AND fpl. Fpl's is much less, b/c they have spent less \$\$\$ and many more customers. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:26 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>Strng tues of nxt wk. Is the nuke cost rec for pef?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>The psc nominating council commissioner interviews<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>What interviews?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:22 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I don't think anything. <P>Was originally set for agenda+IA, but then the psc interviews were scheduled. <P>I don't think they can have fpl tomorrow, since never noticed for that date. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:21 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>What is on agenda tomorrow<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 4:03 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I'll make a request of them for you right now. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:58 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I'd like to look at the contract info re bikbrys. I want details of the plan<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:55 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>If you take to store there IS A CHANCE it could be retrieved. You are almost certainly right that store will say "duh?"<P>BI says its a very good question and he'll have to research. I told him to make it priority. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:50 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>So if I take handheld unit to some verizon store they can retrieve msg? I bet I go to store and they don't have clue as to what I'm asking. Also bigger issue is the public records issue I mentioned<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:46 PM<P>Subject: Re:<P><P>I will get this to BI now. Karen told me there is nothing she can do, nor is there anything verizon can do without the handheld unit. <P>It is not clear they can do anything, but verizon told karen there may be something that could be done, maybe, with the unit. <P>If you were here, you could give unit to karen, and she could call verizon. Since that can't happen, you would have to take to a verizon store, where the technician could look at and call verizon and give info. <P>I know phones hae code numbers in the simcard and such; might be they need that kind of data to have even a chance of finding in VM system. This is what I understand. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:34 PM<P>Subject: <P><P>A question for Booter. How does not keeping voicemails comply with the public records law and req to have all info not deemed confidential subject to that law? What are the penalties of not having such available to the public? Also advise me again on if there is possibility of capturing my voicemails from last week and what good does it for me to go to verizon store?
2479	8/31/09 17:52	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Yes. But it won't go that <P>Late. I believe she trie her hardest to accomodate slowing hring down. We get paid the big bucks to stay a little late now and then. While I'm on phone, I still have been with it all day just as she has

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2484	8/31/09 18:12	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Then we'll need more hearing days. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:12 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Wat if we don't get to them by end of wk?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:11 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->There are 50 total (including rebuttal). <----->We've finished 10, and this is #11. There are 3 stipulated, so that is 14. 36 left to go. I might be off by 1 or 2, since some of the completed could have been direct+rebuttal. But it wouldn't be less than 33 to go. <----->Including slattery and all the roe witnesses for both sides. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:08 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How many more witnesses<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:07 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->It does. <----->Monday is labor day - state holiday. But, if the chair could have us work sat, he could do sun and/or monday, too, probably. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:06 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Is fpl scheduled for next mon too? I thought it ended fri<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:54 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yep. But you're not a mean spirited anorexic b!t#h. And being under suspicion wears you down too. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:52 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yes. But it won't go that <----->Late. I believe she tries her hardest to accomodate slowing hring down. We get paid the big bucks to stay a little late now and then. While I'm on phone, I still have been with it all day just as she has<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:49 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yes, she really does. I'll bet she is pissed that she has to go late tonight to get this witness done. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:47 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->A quorum is just 3 of us correct? Seems comm edgar really wants to end<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:41 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->It would have been very, very difficult. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:38 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Could this rate case been heard/scheduled sooner?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:34 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Well, the schedule as of now has us voting the end of Oct. We might can still finish - I suppose you can work sat+sun+monday. <----->If we don't finish the hearing by next week, in order to meet the oct date, new hearing days would have to be found, and the time frame for filing briefs, staff writing Rec, and you having time to read Rec will shorten. <----->I don't see more than 2 free dates for the rest of sept. I also don't see anybody being willing to give up their time line allotments. <----->So, if we don't finish by next tuesday, I have no idea if the vote will be pushed back, but is very likely. To when, I don't know. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:29 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->So if we don't do fpl tomrw tell me when we actually can get to vote on fpl ?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:27 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Nuc cost for BOTH pef AND fpl. Fpl's is much less, b/c they have spent less \$\$\$ and many more customers. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:26 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Strng tues of nxt wk. Is the nuke cost rec for pef?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->The psc nominating council commissioner interviews?<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->What interviews?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:22 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I don't think anything. <----->Was originally set for agenda+IA, but then the psc interviews were scheduled. <----->I don't think they can have fpl tomorrow, since never noticed for that date. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:21 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->What is on agenda tomorrow<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 4:03 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I'll make a request of them for you right now. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:58 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I'd like to look at the contract info re blkbrrys. I want details of the plan<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy</p>
2485	8/31/09 18:12	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>Wat if we don't get to them by end of wk?</p>
2486	8/31/09 18:13	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>There is no other option - you can't force parties to stipulate, and publicity/politically, you can't limit the amount of time per witness. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:12 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Wat if we don't get to them by end of wk?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:11 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->There are 50 total (including rebuttal). <----->We've finished 10, and this is #11. There are 3 stipulated, so that is 14. 36 left to go. I might be off by 1 or 2, since some of the completed could have been direct+rebuttal. But it wouldn't be less than 33 to go. <----->Including slattery and all the roe witnesses for both sides. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:08 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->How many more witnesses<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:07 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->It does. <----->Monday is labor day - state holiday. But, if the chair could have us work sat, he could do sun and/or monday, too, probably. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:06 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Is fpl scheduled for next mon too? I thought it ended fri<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:54 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yep. But you're not a mean spirited anorexic b!t#h. And being under suspicion wears you down too. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:52 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yes. But it won't go that <----->Late. I believe she tries her hardest to accomodate slowing hring down. We get paid the big bucks to stay a little late now and then. While I'm on phone, I still have been with it all day just as she has<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:49 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Yes, she really does. I'll bet she is pissed that she has to go late tonight to get this witness done. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:47 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->A quorum is just 3 of us correct? Seems comm edgar really wants to end<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:41 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->It would have been very, very difficult. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:38 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Could this rate case been heard/scheduled sooner?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:34 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Well, the schedule as of now has us voting the end of Oct. We might can still finish - I suppose you can work sat+sun+monday. <----->If we don't finish the hearing by next week, in order to meet the oct date, new hearing days would have to be found, and the time frame for filing briefs, staff writing Rec, and you having time to read Rec will shorten. <----->I don't see more than 2 free dates for the rest of sept. I also don't see anybody being willing to give up their time line allotments. <----->So, if we don't finish by next tuesday, I have no idea if the vote will be pushed back, but is very likely. To when, I don't know. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:29 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->So if we don't do fpl tomrw tell me when we actually can get to vote on fpl ?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:27 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Nuc cost for BOTH pef AND fpl. Fpl's is much less, b/c they have spent less \$\$\$ and many more customers. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:26 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->Strng tues of nxt wk. Is the nuke cost rec for pef?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->The psc nominating council commissioner interviews?<-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->What interviews?<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:22 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I don't think anything. <----->Was originally set for agenda+IA, but then the psc interviews were scheduled. <----->I don't think they can have fpl tomorrow, since never noticed for that date. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:21 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->What is on agenda tomorrow<-----Original Message----->From: Larry Harris<----->To: Nancy Argenziano<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 4:03 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I'll make a request of them for you right now. <-----Original Message----->From: Nancy Argenziano<----->To: Larry Harris<----->Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:58 PM<----->Subject: Re: <----->I'd like to look at the contract info re blkbrrys. I</p>
2487	8/31/09 18:14	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>How many total witnesses have there been known from beginning. And how long did the last rate cases of 24 yrs take?</p>
2488	8/31/09 18:14	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>Uh huh. So matt made sure he will not have to vote before apptmnt process</p>
2489	8/31/09 18:15	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	<p>I would never even attempt to. They all have a right to be heard. I need to hear them all. I just think that should have been anticipated and I think it was anticipated</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2490	8/31/09 18:16	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>We've known this many witnesses for many many weeks. <P>I think I remember being told rate cases used to take at least 2 weeks, usually 3. <P>I also think I remember hearing people saying that 2 weeks was not enough months ago. <P>Pef is scheduled for 10 days, btw. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:14 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>How many total witnesses have there been known from beginning. And how long did the last rate cases of 24 yrs take?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:11 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>There are 50 total (including rebuttal). <P>We've finished 10, and this is #11. There are 3 stipulated, so that is 14. 36 left to go. I might be off by 1 or 2, since some of the completed could have been direct+rebuttal. But it wouldn't be less than 33 to go. <P>Including slattery and all the roe witnesses for both sides. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:08 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>How many more witnesses<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:07 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>It does. <P>Monday is labor day - state holiday. But, if the chair could have us work sat, he could do sun and/or monday, too, probably. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:06 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Is fpl scheduled for next mon too? I thought it ended fri<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:54 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Yep. But you're not a mean spirited anorexic b!t*h. And being under suspicion wears you down too. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:52 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Yes. But it won't go that <P>Late. I believe she tries her hardest to accomodate slowing hring down. We get paid the big bucks to stay a little late now and then. While I'm on phone, I still have been with it all day just as she has<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:49 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Yes, she really does. I'll bet she is pissed that she has to go late tonight to get this witness done. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:47 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>A quorum is just 3 of us correct? Seems comm edgar really wants to end<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:41 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>It would have been very, very difficult. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:38 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Could this rate case been heard/scheduled sooner?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:34 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Well, the schedule as of now has us voting the end of Oct. We might can still finish - I suppose you can work sat+sun+monday. <P>If we don't finish the hearing by next week, in order to meet the oct date, new hearing days would have to be found, and the time frame for filing briefs, staff writing Rec, and you having time to read Rec will shorten. <P>I don't see more than 2 free dates for the rest of sept. I also don't see anybody being willing to give up their time line allotments. <P>So, if we don't finish by next tuesday, I have no idea if the vote will be pushed back, but is very likely. To when, I don't know. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:29 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>So if we don't do fpl tomrw tell me when we actually can get to vote on fpl ?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:27 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Nuc cost for BOTH pef AND fpl. Fpl's is much less, b/c they have spent less \$\$\$ and many more customers. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:26 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Strng tues of nxt wk. Is the nuke cost rec for pef?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>The psc nominating council commissioner interviews<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>What interviews?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:22 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I don't think anything. <P>Was originally set for agenda+IA, but then the psc interviews were scheduled. <P>I don't think they can have fpl tomorrow, since never noticed for that date. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:21 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>What is on agenda tomorrow<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 4:03 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I'll make a request</p>
2491	8/31/09 18:16	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yes. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:14 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Uh huh. So matt made sure he will not have to vote before apptmnt process<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:12 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Then we'll need more hearing days. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:12 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Wat if we don't get to them by end of wk?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:11 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>There are 50 total (including rebuttal). <P>We've finished 10, and this is #11. There are 3 stipulated, so that is 14. 36 left to go. I might be off by 1 or 2, since some of the completed could have been direct+rebuttal. But it wouldn't be less than 33 to go. <P>Including slattery and all the roe witnesses for both sides. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:08 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>How many more witnesses<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:07 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>It does. <P>Monday is labor day - state holiday. But, if the chair could have us work sat, he could do sun and/or monday, too, probably. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:06 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Is fpl scheduled for next mon too? I thought it ended fri<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:54 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Yep. But you're not a mean spirited anorexic b!t*h. And being under suspicion wears you down too. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:52 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Yes. But it won't go that <P>Late. I believe she tries her hardest to accomodate slowing hring down. We get paid the big bucks to stay a little late now and then. While I'm on phone, I still have been with it all day just as she has<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:49 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Yes, she really does. I'll bet she is pissed that she has to go late tonight to get this witness done. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:47 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>A quorum is just 3 of us correct? Seems comm edgar really wants to end<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:41 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>It would have been very, very difficult. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:38 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Could this rate case been heard/scheduled sooner?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:34 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Well, the schedule as of now has us voting the end of Oct. We might can still finish - I suppose you can work sat+sun+monday. <P>If we don't finish the hearing by next week, in order to meet the oct date, new hearing days would have to be found, and the time frame for filing briefs, staff writing Rec, and you having time to read Rec will shorten. <P>I don't see more than 2 free dates for the rest of sept. I also don't see anybody being willing to give up their time line allotments. <P>So, if we don't finish by next tuesday, I have no idea if the vote will be pushed back, but is very likely. To when, I don't know. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:29 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>So if we don't do fpl tomrw tell me when we actually can get to vote on fpl ?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:27 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Nuc cost for BOTH pef AND fpl. Fpl's is much less, b/c they have spent less \$\$\$ and many more customers. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:26 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Strng tues of nxt wk. Is the nuke cost rec for pef?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>The psc nominating council commissioner interviews<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>What interviews?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:22 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I don't think anything. <P>Was originally set for agenda+IA, but then the psc interviews were scheduled. <P>I don't think they can have fpl tomorrow, since never noticed for that date. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:21 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>What is on agenda tomorrow<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Aug 31,</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2492	8/31/09 18:17	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I absolutely believe you are right. <It was obvious to me many months ago that 2 weeks was not enough, and most of the other experienced staff felt the same. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:15 PM<Subject: Re:<I would never even attempt to. They all have a right to be heard. I need to hear them all. I just think that should have been anticipated and I think it was anticipated>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:13 PM<Subject: Re:<There is no other option - you can't force parties to stipulate, and publicity/politically, you can't limit the amount of time per witness. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:12 PM<Subject: Re:<Wat if we don't get to them by end of wk?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:11 PM<Subject: Re:<There are 50 total (including rebuttal). <We've finished 10, and this is #11. There are 3 stipulated, so that is 14. 36 left to go. I might be off by 1 or 2, since some of the completed could have been direct+rebuttal. But it wouldn't be less than 33 to go. <Including slattery and all the roe witnesses for both sides. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:08 PM<Subject: Re:<How many more witnesses>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:07 PM<Subject: Re:<It does. <Monday is labor day - state holiday. But, if the chair could have us work sat, he could do sun and/or monday, too, probably. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:06 PM<Subject: Re:<Is fpl scheduled for next mon too? I thought it ended fri>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:54 PM<Subject: Re:<Yep. But you're not a mean spirited anorexic bi#h. And being under suspicion wears you down too. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:52 PM<Subject: Re:<Yes. But it won't go that <Late. I believe she trie her hardest to accomodate slowing hring down. We get paid the big bucks to stay a little late now and then. While I'm on phone, I still have been with it all day just as she has>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:49 PM<Subject: Re:<Yes, she really does. I'll bet she is pissed that she has to go late tonight to get this witness done. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:47 PM<Subject: Re:<A quorum is just 3 of us correct? Seems comm edgar really wants to end>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:41 PM<Subject: Re:<It would have been very, very difficult. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:38 PM<Subject: Re:<Could this rate case been heard/scheduled sooner?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:34 PM<Subject: Re:<Well, the schedule as of now has us voting the end of Oct. We might can still finish - I suppose you can work sat+sun+monday. <If we don't finish the hearing by next week, in order to meet the oct date, new hearing days would have to be found, and the time frame for filing briefs, staff writing Rec, and you having time to read Rec will shorten. <I don't see more than 2 free dates for the rest of sept. I also don't see anybody being willing to give up their time line allotments. <So, if we don't finish by next tuesday, I have no idea if the vote will be pushed back, but is very likely. To when, I don't know. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:29 PM<Subject: Re:<So if we don't do fpl tmrw tell me when we actually can get to vote on fpl ?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:27 PM<Subject: Re:<Nuc cost for BOTH pef AND fpl. Fpl's is much less, b/c they have spent less \$\$\$ and many more customers. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:26 PM<Subject: Re:<Strng tues of nxl wk. Is the nuke cost rec for pef?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<Subject: Re:<The psc nominating council commissioner interviews>-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<Subject: Re:<What interviews?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:22 PM<Subject: Re:<I don't think anything. <Was originally set for agenda+IA, but then the psc interviews were scheduled. <I don't think they</p>
2493	8/31/09 18:24	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Booter	<p>Just came by. Phone calls are classed as "transitory messages" and as such are not within the definition of public records. <The Dept of State is responsible for the implementation of PR schedules, and approves of this classification for phone calls. <So bottom line no PR requirement to keep vms and state doesn't do so. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 3:34 PM<Subject: <A question for Booter. How does not keeping voicemails comply with the public records law and req to have all info not deemed confidential subject to that law? What are the penalties of not having such available to the public? Also advise me again on if there is possibility of capturing my voicemails from last week and what good does it for me to go to verizon store? >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:52 PM<Subject: Re:<Who objected to introduction?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:17 PM<Subject: Re:<I absolutely believe you are right. <It was obvious to me many months ago that 2 weeks was not enough, and most of the other experienced staff felt the same. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:15 PM<Subject: Re:<I would never even attempt to. They all have a right to be heard. I need to hear them all. I just think that should have been anticipated and I think it was anticipated>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:13 PM<Subject: Re:<There is no other option - you can't force parties to stipulate, and publicity/politically, you can't limit the amount of time per witness. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:12 PM<Subject: Re:<Wat if we don't get to them by end of wk?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:11 PM<Subject: Re:<There are 50 total (including rebuttal). <We've finished 10, and this is #11. There are 3 stipulated, so that is 14. 36 left to go. I might be off by 1 or 2, since some of the completed could have been direct+rebuttal. But it wouldn't be less than 33 to go. <Including slattery and all the roe witnesses for both sides. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:08 PM<Subject: Re:<How many more witnesses>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:07 PM<Subject: Re:<It does. <Monday is labor day - state holiday. But, if the chair could have us work sat, he could do sun and/or monday, too, probably. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:06 PM<Subject: Re:<Is fpl scheduled for next mon too? I thought it ended fri>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:54 PM<Subject: Re:<Yep. But you're not a mean spirited anorexic bi#h. And being under suspicion wears you down too. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:52 PM<Subject: Re:<Yes. But it won't go that <Late. I believe she trie her hardest to accomodate slowing hring down. We get paid the big bucks to stay a little late now and then. While I'm on phone, I still have been with it all day just as she has>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:49 PM<Subject: Re:<Yes, she really does. I'll bet she is pissed that she has to go late tonight to get this witness done. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:47 PM<Subject: Re:<A quorum is just 3 of us correct? Seems comm edgar really wants to end>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:41 PM<Subject: Re:<It would have been very, very difficult. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:38 PM<Subject: Re:<Could this rate case been heard/scheduled sooner?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:34 PM<Subject: Re:<Well, the schedule as of now has us voting the end of Oct. We might can still finish - I suppose you can work sat+sun+monday. <If we don't finish the hearing by next week, in order to meet the oct date, new hearing days would have to be found, and the time frame for filing briefs, staff writing Rec, and you having time to read Rec will shorten. <I don't see more than 2 free dates for the rest of sept. I also don't see anybody being willing to give up their time line allotments. <So, if we don't finish by next tuesday, I have no idea if the vote will be pushed back, but is very likely. To when, I don't know. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:29 PM<Subject: Re:<So if we don't do fpl tmrw tell me when we actually can get to vote on fpl ?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:27 PM<Subject: Re:<Nuc cost for BOTH pef AND fpl. Fpl's is much less, b/c they have spent less \$\$\$ and many more customers. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:26 PM<Subject: Re:<Strng tues of nxl wk. Is the nuke cost rec for pef?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<Subject: Re:<The psc nominating council commissioner interviews>-----Original</p>
2494	8/31/09 18:52	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>What? You mean just now? I was talking to SL so I didn't catch the voices, only what objection was and ruling. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:52 PM<Subject: Re:<Who objected to introduction?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:17 PM<Subject: Re:<I absolutely believe you are right. <It was obvious to me many months ago that 2 weeks was not enough, and most of the other experienced staff felt the same. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:15 PM<Subject: Re:<I would never even attempt to. They all have a right to be heard. I need to hear them all. I just think that should have been anticipated and I think it was anticipated>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:13 PM<Subject: Re:<There is no other option - you can't force parties to stipulate, and publicity/politically, you can't limit the amount of time per witness. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:12 PM<Subject: Re:<Wat if we don't get to them by end of wk?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:11 PM<Subject: Re:<There are 50 total (including rebuttal). <We've finished 10, and this is #11. There are 3 stipulated, so that is 14. 36 left to go. I might be off by 1 or 2, since some of the completed could have been direct+rebuttal. But it wouldn't be less than 33 to go. <Including slattery and all the roe witnesses for both sides. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:08 PM<Subject: Re:<How many more witnesses>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:07 PM<Subject: Re:<It does. <Monday is labor day - state holiday. But, if the chair could have us work sat, he could do sun and/or monday, too, probably. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:06 PM<Subject: Re:<Is fpl scheduled for next mon too? I thought it ended fri>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:54 PM<Subject: Re:<Yep. But you're not a mean spirited anorexic bi#h. And being under suspicion wears you down too. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:52 PM<Subject: Re:<Yes. But it won't go that <Late. I believe she trie her hardest to accomodate slowing hring down. We get paid the big bucks to stay a little late now and then. While I'm on phone, I still have been with it all day just as she has>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:49 PM<Subject: Re:<Yes, she really does. I'll bet she is pissed that she has to go late tonight to get this witness done. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:47 PM<Subject: Re:<A quorum is just 3 of us correct? Seems comm edgar really wants to end>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:41 PM<Subject: Re:<It would have been very, very difficult. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:38 PM<Subject: Re:<Could this rate case been heard/scheduled sooner?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:34 PM<Subject: Re:<Well, the schedule as of now has us voting the end of Oct. We might can still finish - I suppose you can work sat+sun+monday. <If we don't finish the hearing by next week, in order to meet the oct date, new hearing days would have to be found, and the time frame for filing briefs, staff writing Rec, and you having time to read Rec will shorten. <I don't see more than 2 free dates for the rest of sept. I also don't see anybody being willing to give up their time line allotments. <So, if we don't finish by next tuesday, I have no idea if the vote will be pushed back, but is very likely. To when, I don't know. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:29 PM<Subject: Re:<So if we don't do fpl tmrw tell me when we actually can get to vote on fpl ?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:27 PM<Subject: Re:<Nuc cost for BOTH pef AND fpl. Fpl's is much less, b/c they have spent less \$\$\$ and many more customers. >-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:26 PM<Subject: Re:<Strng tues of nxl wk. Is the nuke cost rec for pef?>-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:23 PM<Subject: Re:<The psc nominating council commissioner interviews>-----Original</p>
2495	8/31/09 18:52	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Who objected to introduction?
2496	8/31/09 18:54	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Yes

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2497	8/31/09 18:54	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Objection was overruled and let in - given weight it deserves. <?>I assume it was op's objection to fpl's exhibit. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:54 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Yes<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:52 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>What? You mean just now? I was talking to SL so I didn't catch the voices, only what objection was and ruling. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:52 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Who objected to introduction?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:17 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I absolutely believe you are right. <?>It was obvious to me many months ago that 2 weeks was not enough, and most of the other experienced staff felt the same. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:15 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I would never even attempt to. They all have a right to be heard. I need to hear them all. I just think that should have been anticipated and I think it was anticipated<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:13 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>There is no other option - you can't force parties to stipulate, and publicity/politically, you can't limit the amount of time per witness. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:12 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Wat if we don't get to them by end of wk?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:11 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>There are 50 total (including rebuttal). <?>We've finished 10, and this is #11. There are 3 stipulated, so that is 14. 36 left to go. I might be off by 1 or 2, since some of the completed could have been direct+rebuttal. But it wouldn't be less than 33 to go. <?>Including slattery and all the roe witnesses for both sides. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:08 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>How many more witnesses<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:07 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>It does. <?>Monday is labor day - state holiday. But, if the chair could have us work sat, he could do sun and/or monday, too, probably. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:06 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Is fpl scheduled for next mon too? I thought it ended fri<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:54 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Yep. But you're not a mean spirited anorexic bi#h. And being under suspicion wears you down too. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:52 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Yes. But it won't go that <?>Late. I believe she trie her hardest to accomodate slowing hring down. We get paid the big bucks to stay a little late now and then. While I'm on phone, I still have been with it all day just as she has<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:49 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Yes, she really does. I'll bet she is pissed that she has to go late tonight to get this witness done. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:47 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>A quorum is just 3 of us correct? Seems comm edgar really wants to end<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:41 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>It would have been very, very difficult. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:38 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Could this rate case been heard/scheduled sooner?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:34 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Well, the schedule as of now has us voting the end of Oct. We might can still finish - I suppose you can work sat+sun+monday. <?>If we don't finish the hearing by next week, in order to meet the oct date, new hearing days would have to be found, and the time frame for filing briefs, staff writing Rec, and you having time to read Rec will shorten. <?>I don't see more than 2 free dates for the rest of sept. I also don't see anybody being willing to give up their time line allotments. <?>So, if we don't finish by next tuesday, I have no idea if the vote will be pushed back, but is very likely. To when, I don't know. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:29 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>So if we don't do fpl tmrw tell me when we actually can get to vote on fpl ?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:27 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Nuc cost for BOTH pef AND fpl. Fpl's is much less, b/c they have spent less \$\$\$ and many more</p>
2498	8/31/09 18:54	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	What did u hear
2499	8/31/09 18:55	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0;	Re:	
2500	8/31/09 18:55	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Objection to fpl's document. Overruled and admitted to be given weight it deserves. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:54 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>What did u hear<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:52 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>What? You mean just now? I was talking to SL so I didn't catch the voices, only what objection was and ruling. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:52 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Who objected to introduction?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:17 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I absolutely believe you are right. <?>It was obvious to me many months ago that 2 weeks was not enough, and most of the other experienced staff felt the same. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:15 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>I would never even attempt to. They all have a right to be heard. I need to hear them all. I just think that should have been anticipated and I think it was anticipated<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:13 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>There is no other option - you can't force parties to stipulate, and publicity/politically, you can't limit the amount of time per witness. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:12 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Wat if we don't get to them by end of wk?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:11 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>There are 50 total (including rebuttal). <?>We've finished 10, and this is #11. There are 3 stipulated, so that is 14. 36 left to go. I might be off by 1 or 2, since some of the completed could have been direct+rebuttal. But it wouldn't be less than 33 to go. <?>Including slattery and all the roe witnesses for both sides. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:08 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>How many more witnesses<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:07 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>It does. <?>Monday is labor day - state holiday. But, if the chair could have us work sat, he could do sun and/or monday, too, probably. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:06 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Is fpl scheduled for next mon too? I thought it ended fri<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:54 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Yep. But you're not a mean spirited anorexic bi#h. And being under suspicion wears you down too. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:52 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Yes. But it won't go that <?>Late. I believe she trie her hardest to accomodate slowing hring down. We get paid the big bucks to stay a little late now and then. While I'm on phone, I still have been with it all day just as she has<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:49 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Yes, she really does. I'll bet she is pissed that she has to go late tonight to get this witness done. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:47 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>A quorum is just 3 of us correct? Seems comm edgar really wants to end<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:41 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>It would have been very, very difficult. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:38 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Could this rate case been heard/scheduled sooner?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:34 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Well, the schedule as of now has us voting the end of Oct. We might can still finish - I suppose you can work sat+sun+monday. <?>If we don't finish the hearing by next week, in order to meet the oct date, new hearing days would have to be found, and the time frame for filing briefs, staff writing Rec, and you having time to read Rec will shorten. <?>I don't see more than 2 free dates for the rest of sept. I also don't see anybody being willing to give up their time line allotments. <?>So, if we don't finish by next tuesday, I have no idea if the vote will be pushed back, but is very likely. To when, I don't know. <?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Nancy Argenziano<?>To: Larry Harris<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:29 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>So if we don't do fpl tmrw tell me when we actually can get to vote on fpl ?<?>-----Original Message-----<?>From: Larry Harris<?>To: Nancy Argenziano<?>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:27 PM<?>Subject: Re:<?><?>Nuc cost for BOTH pef AND fpl. Fpl's is much less, b/c they have spent less \$\$\$ and many more</p>
2501	8/31/09 18:56	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I know it was overruled just couldn't hear who objected

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2502	8/31/09 18:56	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I'm guessing opc since it was their witness. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:56 PM> Subject: Re: <I know it was overruled just couldn't hear who objected> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:54 PM> Subject: Re: <Objection was overruled and let in - given weight it deserves. <I assume it was opc's objection to fpl's exhibit. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:54 PM<Subject: Re: <Yes> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:52 PM<Subject: Re: <What? You mean just now? I was talking to SL so I didn't catch the voices, only what objection was and ruling. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:52 PM<Subject: Re: <Who objected to introduction?> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:17 PM<Subject: Re: <I absolutely believe you are right. <It was obvious to me many months ago that 2 weeks was not enough, and most of the other experienced staff felt the same. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:15 PM<Subject: Re: <I would never even attempt to. They all have a right to be heard. I need to hear them all. I just think that should have been anticipated and I think it was anticipated> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:13 PM<Subject: Re: <There is no other option - you can't force parties to stipulate, and publicity/politically, you can't limit the amount of time per witness. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:12 PM<Subject: Re: <Wat if we don't get to them by end of wk?> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:11 PM<Subject: Re: <There are 50 total (including rebuttal). <We've finished 10, and this is #11. There are 3 stipulated, so that is 14. 36 left to go. I might be off by 1 or 2, since some of the completed could have been direct+rebuttal. But it wouldn't be less than 33 to go. <Including slattery and all the roe witnesses for both sides. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:08 PM<Subject: Re: <How many more witnesses?> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:07 PM<Subject: Re: <It does. <Monday is labor day - state holiday. But, if the chair could have us work sat, he could do sun and/or monday, too, probably. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:06 PM<Subject: Re: <Is fpl scheduled for next mon too? I thought it ended fri< Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:54 PM<Subject: Re: <Yep. But you're not a mean spirited anorexic bi*h. And being under suspicion wears you down too. < Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:52 PM<Subject: Re: <It would have been very, very difficult. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:38 PM<Subject: Re: <Could this rate case been heard/scheduled sooner?> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:34 PM<Subject: Re: <Well, the schedule as of now has us voting the end of Oct. We might can still finish - I suppose you can work sat+sun+monday. <If we don't finish the hearing by next week, in order to meet the oct date, new hearing days would have to be found, and the time frame for filing briefs, staff writing Rec, and you having time to read Rec will shorten. <I don't see more than 2 free dates for the rest of sept. I also don't see anybody being willing to give up their time line allotments. <So, if we don't finish by next tuesday, I have no idea if the vote will be pushed back, but is very likely. To when, I don't know. < Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:29</p>
2503	8/31/09 18:57	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Tomrw find out which documents
2504	8/31/09 18:57	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Go home
2505	8/31/09 18:58	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Will do. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:57 PM<Subject: Re: <Tomrw find out which documents> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:56 PM<Subject: Re: <Objection to fpl's document: Overruled and admitted to be given weight it deserves. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:54 PM<Subject: Re: <What did u hear?> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:52 PM<Subject: Re: <What? You mean just now? I was talking to SL so I didn't catch the voices, only what objection was and ruling. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:52 PM<Subject: Re: <Who objected to introduction?> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:17 PM<Subject: Re: <I absolutely believe you are right. <It was obvious to me many months ago that 2 weeks was not enough, and most of the other experienced staff felt the same. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:15 PM<Subject: Re: <I would never even attempt to. They all have a right to be heard. I need to hear them all. I just think that should have been anticipated and I think it was anticipated> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:13 PM<Subject: Re: <There is no other option - you can't force parties to stipulate, and publicity/politically, you can't limit the amount of time per witness. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:12 PM<Subject: Re: <Wat if we don't get to them by end of wk?> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:11 PM<Subject: Re: <There are 50 total (including rebuttal). <We've finished 10, and this is #11. There are 3 stipulated, so that is 14. 36 left to go. I might be off by 1 or 2, since some of the completed could have been direct+rebuttal. But it wouldn't be less than 33 to go. <Including slattery and all the roe witnesses for both sides. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:08 PM<Subject: Re: <How many more witnesses?> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:07 PM<Subject: Re: <It does. <Monday is labor day - state holiday. But, if the chair could have us work sat, he could do sun and/or monday, too, probably. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:06 PM<Subject: Re: <Is fpl scheduled for next mon too? I thought it ended fri< Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:54 PM<Subject: Re: <Yep. But you're not a mean spirited anorexic bi*h. And being under suspicion wears you down too. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:52 PM<Subject: Re: <Yes. But it won't go that <Late. I believe she trie her hardest to accomodate slowing hring down. We get paid the big bucks to stay a little late now and then. While I'm on phone, I still have been with it all day just as she has< Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:49 PM<Subject: Re: <Yes, she really does. I'll bet she is pissed that she has to go late tonight to get this witness done. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:47 PM<Subject: Re: <A quorum is just 3 of us correct? Seems comm edgar really wants to end< Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:41 PM<Subject: Re: <It would have been very, very difficult. <Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:38 PM<Subject: Re: <Could this rate case been heard/scheduled sooner?> Original Message: <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:34 PM<Subject: Re: <Well, the schedule as of now has us voting the end of Oct. We might can still finish - I suppose you can work sat+sun+monday. <If we don't finish the hearing by next week, in order to meet the oct date, new hearing days would have to be found, and the time frame for filing briefs, staff writing Rec, and you having time to read Rec will shorten. <I don't see more than 2 free dates for the rest of sept. I also don't see anybody being willing to give up their time line allotments. <So, if we don't finish by next tuesday, I have no idea if the vote will be pushed back, but is very likely. To when, I don't know. < Original Message: <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris> Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:29 PM<Subject:</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2506	8/31/09 18:58	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Will do. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:57 PM<Subject: Re: <Go home <From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:56 PM<Subject: Re: <I'm guessing opc since it was their witness. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:56 PM<Subject: Re: <I know it was overruled just couldn't hear who objected<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:54 PM<Subject: Re: <Objection was overruled and let in - given weight it deserves. <I assume it was op's objection to fp's exhibit. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:54 PM<Subject: Re: <Yes<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:52 PM<Subject: Re: <What? You mean just now? I was talking to SL so I didn't catch the voices, only what objection was and ruling. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:52 PM<Subject: Re: <Who objected to introduction?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:17 PM<Subject: Re: <I absolutely believe you are right. <It was obvious to me many months ago that 2 weeks was not enough, and most of the other experienced staff felt the same. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:15 PM<Subject: Re: <I would never even attempt to. They all have a right to be heard. I need to hear them all. I just think that should have been anticipated and I think it was anticipated<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:13 PM<Subject: Re: <There is no other option - you can't force parties to stipulate, and publicity/politically, you can't limit the amount of time per witness. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:12 PM<Subject: Re: <Wat if we don't get to them by end of wk?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:11 PM<Subject: Re: <There are 50 total (including rebuttal). <We've finished 10, and this is #11. There are 3 stipulated, so that is 14, 36 left to go. I might be off by 1 or 2, since some of the completed could have been direct+rebuttal. But it wouldn't be less than 33 to go. <Including slattery and all the ore witnesses for both sides. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:08 PM<Subject: Re: <How many more witnesses<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:07 PM<Subject: Re: <It does. <Monday is labor day - state holiday. But, if the chair could have us work sat, he could do sun and/or monday, too, probably. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 6:06 PM<Subject: Re: <Is fpl scheduled for next mon too? I thought it ended fri<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:54 PM<Subject: Re: <Yes. But you're not a mean spirited anorexic b!tch. And being under suspicion wears you down too. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:52 PM<Subject: Re: <Yes. But it won't go that <Late. I believe she trie her hardest to accomodate slowing hring down. We get paid the big bucks to stay a little late now and then. While I'm on phone, I still have been with it all day just as she has<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:49 PM<Subject: Re: <Yes, she really does. I'll bet she is pissed that she has to go late tonight to get this witness done. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:47 PM<Subject: Re: <A quorum is just 3 of us correct? Seems comm edgar really wants to end<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:41 PM<Subject: Re: <It would have been very, very difficult. <From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:38 PM<Subject: Re: <Could this rate case been heard/scheduled sooner?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 5:34 PM<Subject: Re: <Well, the schedule as of now has us voting the end of Oct. We might can still finish - I suppose you can work sat+sun+monday. <If we don't finish the hearing by next week, in order to meet the oct date, new hearing days would have to be found, and the time frame for filing briefs, staff writing Rec, and you having time to read Rec will shorten. <I don't see more than 2
2507	9/1/09 11:55	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I will take you up on that!!!<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:55 AM<Subject: <If u want to get out of office today, just go. Chk on ph later buy get out and go do something else<If u want to get out of office today, just go. Chk on ph later buy get out and go do something else
2508	9/1/09 11:55	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		
2509	9/1/09 11:56	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Will do. <Everything ok with you today? Knee better?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:56 AM<Subject: Re: <Get out fast or u will get stuck there. Lock door and go<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:55 AM<Subject: Re: <I will take you up on that!!!<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:55 AM<Subject: <If u want to get out of office today, just go. Chk on ph later buy get out and go do something else<If u want to get out of office today, just go. Chk on ph later buy get out and go do something else
2510	9/1/09 11:56	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Get out fast or u will get stuck there. Lock door and go
2511	9/1/09 11:58	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Its getting better as far as the bone. And leg is getting stronger. Its the knee that has probs. Bck seems to be btr too
2512	9/1/09 11:59	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Good. It really sucks for you now, and I hope you can get the surgery and get the healing really on track so you can be 100% again in the foreseeable future. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:58 AM<Subject: Re: <Its getting better as far as the bone. And leg is getting stronger. Its the knee that has probs. Bck seems to be btr too<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:56 AM<Subject: Re: <Will do<Everything ok with you today? Knee better?<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:56 AM<Subject: Re: <Get out fast or u will get stuck there. Lock door and go<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:55 AM<Subject: Re: <I will take you up on that!!!<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:55 AM<Subject: <If u want to get out of office today, just go. Chk on ph later buy get out and go do something else<If u want to get out of office today, just go. Chk on ph later buy get out and go do something else
2513	9/1/09 12:49	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Thank you. Have a fun day. Trust me. Life really is too short.
2514	9/1/09 23:40	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	How was this forwarded t cindy? Find out quickly. I want copies if it was written
2515	9/2/09 8:26	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	It was an email that LE forwarded to CM. Apparently, CM turned the email over to a computer person, who is working on the PRR. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:40 PM<Subject: Re: <How was this forwarded t cindy? Find out quickly. I want copies if it was written<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:27 AM<Subject: Re: <Lisa edgar forwarded the blog to CM as a "fyi.". Cm stressed that LE did not instruct it be put in. cm made that decision all by herself. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:18 AM<Subject: Re: <Well what's the answer to my questions?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:16 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<MB was meeting with Bootler. When he left, I asked if mb had spoken with cindy; she said just a very heads-up from cm, but no discussion at all. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:06 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<Stay there and wait to see who comes out. If u r not at her office, then go there <Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<MB in a meeting. <Secretary not there to tell me who with. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<The only need for review is to possibly hide the truth<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<No. To get mb's review, yes. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<Do u really think the 4 questions are that difficult to answer?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:00 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<I asked for the answers to all 4 questions in detail. I think I can get the simple answer to that one now. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:59 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<U might tell them that the fact it takes so long to get a simple answer as to where she got the blog from is suspicious<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:55 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<Got it. I'll tell both it is a priority. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:54 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<Tell MB I want the truth and it will be investigated. Let her know asap as my attny is waiting word from me<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:51 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<I have asked her for a complete response. <Her initial answer this morning did not make clear if she got it by the usual channels or some other way. I suppose she needs to get with MB before she can respond. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<Have u found out how cindy got that blog?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:40 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<I see matt and katrina. Lisa was here but I don't see hwr now. <No nate. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:36 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<I'm on but hear nothing<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:34 AM<Subject: Nobody<Is down here but Matt.
2516	9/2/09 8:41	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Thanks. Who's up first today

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2517	9/2/09 8:43	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I believe sheri brown for op. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:41 AM> Subject: Re: Thanks. Who's up first today<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:26 AM> Subject: Re: It was an email that LE forwarded to CM. Apparently, CM turned the email over to a computer person, who is working on the PRR. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:40 PM> Subject: Re: How was this forwarded t cindy? Find out quickly. I want copies if it was written<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:27 AM> Subject: Re: Lisa edgar forwarded the blog to CM as a "Yl". CM stressed that LE did not instruct it be put in, cm made that decision all by herself. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:18 AM> Subject: Re: Well what's the answer to my questions?<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:16 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<MB was meeting with Booter. When he left, I asked if mb had spoken with cindy; she said just a very heads-up from cm, but no discussion at all. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:06 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<Stay there and wait to see who comes out. If u r not at her office, then go there <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<MB in a meeting. <Secretary not there to tell me who with. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<The only need for review is to possibly hide the truth?<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<No. To get mb's review, yes. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<Do u really think the 4 questions are that difficult to answer?<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:00 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<I asked for the answers to all 4 questions in detail. I think I can get the simple answer to that one now. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:59 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<U might tell them that the fact it takes so long to get a simple answer as to where she got the blog from is suspicious<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:55 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<Got it. I'll tell both it is a priority. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:54 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<Tell MB I want the truth and it will be investigated. Let her know asap as my attny is waiting word from me<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:51 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<I have asked her for a complete response. <Her initial answer this morning did not make clear if she got it by the usual channels or some other way. I suppose she needs to get with MB before she can respond. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:50 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<Have u found out how cindy got that blog?<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:40 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<I see matt and katrina. Lisa was here but I don't see hwr now. <No nate. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:36 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<I'm on but hear nothing<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:34 AM> Subject: Nobody<Is down here but Matt.</p>
2518	9/2/09 8:46	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>She does talk about executive compensation. Describes the comp strucure, how it works, then her issues (goals aimed at shareholders, no decreases in bad economy, its too high)<I'm going to cut and paste that portion and send to you now. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:41 AM> Subject: Re: Thanks. Who's up first today<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:26 AM> Subject: Re: It was an email that LE forwarded to CM. Apparently, CM turned the email over to a computer person, who is working on the PRR. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:40 PM> Subject: Re: How was this forwarded t cindy? Find out quickly. I want copies if it was written<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:27 AM> Subject: Re: Lisa edgar forwarded the blog to CM as a "Yl". CM stressed that LE did not instruct it be put in, cm made that decision all by herself. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:18 AM> Subject: Re: Well what's the answer to my questions?<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:16 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<MB was meeting with Booter. When he left, I asked if mb had spoken with cindy; she said just a very heads-up from cm, but no discussion at all. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:06 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<Stay there and wait to see who comes out. If u r not at her office, then go there <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<MB in a meeting. <Secretary not there to tell me who with. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<The only need for review is to possibly hide the truth?<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<No. To get mb's review, yes. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<Do u really think the 4 questions are that difficult to answer?<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:00 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<I asked for the answers to all 4 questions in detail. I think I can get the simple answer to that one now. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:59 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<U might tell them that the fact it takes so long to get a simple answer as to where she got the blog from is suspicious<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:55 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<Got it. I'll tell both it is a priority. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:54 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<Tell MB I want the truth and it will be investigated. Let her know asap as my attny is waiting word from me<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:51 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<I have asked her for a complete response. <Her initial answer this morning did not make clear if she got it by the usual channels or some other way. I suppose she needs to get with MB before she can respond. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:50 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<Have u found out how cindy got that blog?<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:40 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<I see matt and katrina. Lisa was here but I don't see hwr now. <No nate. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:36 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<I'm on but hear nothing<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:34 AM> Subject: Nobody<Is down here but Matt.</p>
2519	9/2/09 8:50	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I will be leaving at about 4 for therapy. And fri is the dr appt at 1:45
2520	9/2/09 9:10	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Yes. I wonder how fast it will move this AM?<Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:50 AM> Subject: Re: I will be leaving at about 4 for therapy. And fri is the dr appt at 1:45<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:43 AM> Subject: Re: I believe sheri brown for op. <She's testifying on various fpl items - uncollectable bills, load forecas, nuclear, enviro costs, etc. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:41 AM> Subject: Re: Thanks. Who's up first today<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:26 AM> Subject: Re: It was an email that LE forwarded to CM. Apparently, CM turned the email over to a computer person, who is working on the PRR. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:40 PM> Subject: Re: How was this forwarded t cindy? Find out quickly. I want copies if it was written<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:27 AM> Subject: Re: Lisa edgar forwarded the blog to CM as a "Yl". CM stressed that LE did not instruct it be put in, cm made that decision all by herself. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:18 AM> Subject: Re: Well what's the answer to my questions?<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:16 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<MB was meeting with Booter. When he left, I asked if mb had spoken with cindy; she said just a very heads-up from cm, but no discussion at all. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:06 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<Stay there and wait to see who comes out. If u r not at her office, then go there <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<MB in a meeting. <Secretary not there to tell me who with. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<The only need for review is to possibly hide the truth?<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<No. To get mb's review, yes. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<Do u really think the 4 questions are that difficult to answer?<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:00 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<I asked for the answers to all 4 questions in detail. I think I can get the simple answer to that one now. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:59 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<U might tell them that the fact it takes so long to get a simple answer as to where she got the blog from is suspicious<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:55 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<Got it. I'll tell both it is a priority. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:54 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<Tell MB I want the truth and it will be investigated. Let her know asap as my attny is waiting word from me<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:51 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<I have asked her for a complete response. <Her initial answer this morning did not make clear if she got it by the usual channels or some other way. I suppose she needs to get with MB before she can respond. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:50 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<Have u found out how cindy got that blog?<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:40 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<I see matt and katrina. Lisa was here but I don't see hwr now. <No nate. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:36 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<I'm on but hear nothing<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:34 AM> Subject: Nobody<Is down here but Matt.</p>

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2525	9/2/09 9:34	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>Chris just told me "there is no doubt" we'll go Saturday. Don't know if that's his speculation or if chairman made a firm decision. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:31 AM> Subject: Re: On now<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:28 AM> Subject: Re: Do you have the phone number. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:50 AM> Subject: Re: I will be leaving at about 4 for therapy. And fri is the dr appt at 1:45<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:43 AM> Subject: Re: I believe sherr brown for op. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:41 AM> Subject: Re: Thanks. Who's up first today<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:26 AM> Subject: Re: It was an email that LE forwarded to CM. Apparently, CM turned the email over to a computer person, who is working on the PRR. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:40 PM> Subject: Re: How was this forwarded t cindy? Find out quickly. I want copies if it was written<Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:27 AM> Subject: Re: Lisa edgar forwarded the blog to CM as a "fyi". Cm stressed that LE did not instruct it be put in, cm made that decision all by herself. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:18 AM> Subject: Re: Well what's the answer to my questions?<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:16 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: MB was meeting with Booter. When he left, I asked if mb had spoken with cindy; she said just a very heads-up from cm, but no discussion at all. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:06 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: Stay there and wait to see who comes out. If u r not at her office, then go there <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: MB in a meeting. <From: Secretary not there to tell me who with. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: The only need for review is to possibly hide the truth<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: No. To get mb's review, yes. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: Do u really think the 4 questions are that difficult to answer?<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:00 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: I asked for the answers to all 4 questions in detail. I think I can get the simple answer to that one now. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:59 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: U might tell them that the fact it takes so long to get a simple answer as to where she got the blog from is suspicious<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:55 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: Got it. I'll tell both it is a priority. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:54 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: Tell MB I want the truth and it will be investigated. Let her know asap as my attny is waiting word from me<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:51 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: I have asked her for a complete response. <From: Her initial answer this morning did not make clear if she got it by the usual channels or some other way. I suppose she needs to get with MB before she can respond. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:50 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: Have u found out how cindy got that blog?<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:40 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: I see matt and katrina. Lisa was here but I don't see hwr now. <From: No nate. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:36 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: I'm on but hear nothing<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:34 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: Is down here but Matt.</p>
2526	9/2/09 9:38	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>There does not appear to be any press in the room. <Relatively empty - seems to be witnesses and the parties; not seeing any outside observers from other ious. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:31 AM> Subject: Re: On now<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:28 AM> Subject: Re: Do you have the phone number. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:50 AM> Subject: Re: I will be leaving at about 4 for therapy. And fri is the dr appt at 1:45<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:43 AM> Subject: Re: I believe sherr brown for op. <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:41 AM> Subject: Re: Thanks. Who's up first today<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:26 AM> Subject: Re: It was an email that LE forwarded to CM. Apparently, CM turned the email over to a computer person, who is working on the PRR. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:40 PM> Subject: Re: How was this forwarded t cindy? Find out quickly. I want copies if it was written<Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:27 AM> Subject: Re: Lisa edgar forwarded the blog to CM as a "fyi". Cm stressed that LE did not instruct it be put in, cm made that decision all by herself. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:18 AM> Subject: Re: Well what's the answer to my questions?<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:16 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: MB was meeting with Booter. When he left, I asked if mb had spoken with cindy; she said just a very heads-up from cm, but no discussion at all. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:06 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: Stay there and wait to see who comes out. If u r not at her office, then go there <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: MB in a meeting. <From: Secretary not there to tell me who with. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: The only need for review is to possibly hide the truth<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: No. To get mb's review, yes. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: Do u really think the 4 questions are that difficult to answer?<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:00 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: I asked for the answers to all 4 questions in detail. I think I can get the simple answer to that one now. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:59 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: U might tell them that the fact it takes so long to get a simple answer as to where she got the blog from is suspicious<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:55 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: Got it. I'll tell both it is a priority. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:54 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: Tell MB I want the truth and it will be investigated. Let her know asap as my attny is waiting word from me<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:51 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: I have asked her for a complete response. <From: Her initial answer this morning did not make clear if she got it by the usual channels or some other way. I suppose she needs to get with MB before she can respond. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:50 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: Have u found out how cindy got that blog?<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:40 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: I see matt and katrina. Lisa was here but I don't see hwr now. <From: No nate. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:36 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: I'm on but hear nothing<Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:34 AM> Subject: Re: Nobody<From: Is down here but Matt.</p>
2527	9/2/09 9:40	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I'm not on. Chris said 5 mins to start

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2530	9/2/09 9:42	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>All are on bench now. <From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:40 AM><Subject: Re: <I'm not on. Chris said 5 mins to start><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:38 AM><Subject: Re: <There does not appear to be any press in the room. <Relatively empty - seems to be witnesses and the parties; not seeing any outside observers from other ious. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:31 AM><Subject: Re: <On now><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:28 AM><Subject: Re: <Do you have the phone number. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:50 AM><Subject: Re: <I will be leaving at about 4 for therapy. And fri is the dr appt at 1:45><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:43 AM><Subject: Re: <I believe sherr brown for opo. <She's testifying on various fpl items - uncollectable bills, load forecas, nuclear, enviro costs, etc. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:41 AM><Subject: Re: <Thanks. Who's up first today><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:26 AM><Subject: Re: <It was an email that LE forwarded to CM. Apparently, CM turned the email over to a computer person, who is working on the PRR. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:40 PM><Subject: Re: <How was this forwarded t cindy? Find out quickly. I want copies if it was written><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:27 AM><Subject: Re: <Lisa edgar forwarded the blog to CM as a "fyi". Cm stressed that LE did not instruct it be put in, cm made that decision all by herself. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:18 AM><Subject: Re: <Well what's the answer to my questions?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:16 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><MB was meeting with Booter. When he left, I asked if mb had spoken with cindy, she said just a very heads-up from cm, but no discussion at all. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:06 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><Stay there and wait to see who comes out. If u r not at her office, then go there <Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><MB in a meeting. <Secretary not there to tell me who with. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><The only need for review is to possibly hide the truth><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><No. To get mb's review, yes. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><Do u really think the 4 questions are that difficult to answer?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:00 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><I asked for the answers to all 4 questions in detail. I think I can get the simple answer to that one now. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:59 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><U might tell them that the fact it takes so long to get a simple answer as to where she got the blog from is suspicious><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:55 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><Got it. I'll tell both it is a priority. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:54 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><Tell MB I want the truth and it will be investigated. Let her know asap as my attmy is waiting word from me><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:51 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><I have asked her for a complete response. <Her initial answer this morning did not make clear if she got it by the usual channels or some other way. I suppose she needs to get with MB before she can respond. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:50 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><Have u found out how cindy got that blog?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:40 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><I see matt and katrina. Lisa was here but I don't see hwr now. <No nate. <Original Message><From: Nancy</p>
2531	9/2/09 9:50	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>I have the "re-ordered list"<Shows fpl witness Clarke this afternoon for sure,<OPC's woolridge tomorrow morning for sure, and meinchaut tomorrow for sure. <Original Message><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:40 AM><Subject: Re: <Skop on bench. Chair still with staff. No LE or KM yet. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:40 AM><Subject: Re: <I'm not on. Chris said 5 mins to start><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:38 AM><Subject: Re: <There does not appear to be any press in the room. <Relatively empty - seems to be witnesses and the parties; not seeing any outside observers from other ious. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:31 AM><Subject: Re: <On now><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:28 AM><Subject: Re: <Do you have the phone number. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:50 AM><Subject: Re: <I will be leaving at about 4 for therapy. And fri is the dr appt at 1:45><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:43 AM><Subject: Re: <I believe sherr brown for opo. <She's testifying on various fpl items - uncollectable bills, load forecas, nuclear, enviro costs, etc. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:41 AM><Subject: Re: <Thanks. Who's up first today><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:26 AM><Subject: Re: <It was an email that LE forwarded to CM. Apparently, CM turned the email over to a computer person, who is working on the PRR. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:40 PM><Subject: Re: <How was this forwarded t cindy? Find out quickly. I want copies if it was written><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:27 AM><Subject: Re: <Lisa edgar forwarded the blog to CM as a "fyi". Cm stressed that LE did not instruct it be put in, cm made that decision all by herself. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:18 AM><Subject: Re: <Well what's the answer to my questions?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:16 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><MB was meeting with Booter. When he left, I asked if mb had spoken with cindy, she said just a very heads-up from cm, but no discussion at all. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:06 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><Stay there and wait to see who comes out. If u r not at her office, then go there <Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><MB in a meeting. <Secretary not there to tell me who with. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><The only need for review is to possibly hide the truth><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><No. To get mb's review, yes. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><Do u really think the 4 questions are that difficult to answer?><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:00 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><I asked for the answers to all 4 questions in detail. I think I can get the simple answer to that one now. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:59 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><U might tell them that the fact it takes so long to get a simple answer as to where she got the blog from is suspicious><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:55 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><Got it. I'll tell both it is a priority. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:54 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><Tell MB I want the truth and it will be investigated. Let her know asap as my attmy is waiting word from me><Original Message><From: Larry Harris><To: Nancy Argenziano><Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:51 AM><Subject: Re: Nobody><I have asked her for a complete response. <Her initial answer this morning did not make clear if she got it by the usual channels or some other way. I suppose she needs to get with MB before she can respond. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano><To: Larry Harris><Sent:</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2532	9/2/09 9:52	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Saturday	<p>That sucks!!! I didn't want to work sat. :(</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:40 AM<Subject: Re: I'm not on. Chris said 5 mins to start</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:38 AM<Subject: Re: There does not appear to be any press in the room. Relatively empty - seems to be witnesses and the parties; not seeing any outside observers from other ious.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:31 AM<Subject: Re: On now</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:28 AM<Subject: Re: Do you have the phone number.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:50 AM<Subject: Re: I will be leaving at about 4 for therapy. And fri is the dr appt at 1:45</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:43 AM<Subject: Re: believe sherrt brown for op.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:41 AM<Subject: Re: Thanks. Who's up first today</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:26 AM<Subject: Re: It was an email that LE forwarded to CM. Apparently, CM turned the email over to a computer person, who is working on the PRR.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:40 PM<Subject: Re: How was this forwarded t cindy? Find out quickly. I want copies if it was written</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:27 AM<Subject: Re: Lisa edgar forwarded the blog to CM as a "fyi". CM stressed that LE did not instruct it be put in, cm made that decision all by herself.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:18 AM<Subject: Re: Well what's the answer to my questions?</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:16 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<MB was meeting with Booter. When he left, I asked if mb had spoken with cindy; she said just a very heads-up from cm, but no discussion at all.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:06 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<Stay there and wait to see who comes out. If u r not at her office, then go there</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<MB in a meeting.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<The only need for review is to possibly hide the truth</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<No. To get mb's review, yes.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<Do u really think the 4 questions are that difficult to answer?</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:00 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<I asked for the answers to all 4 questions in detail. I think I can get the simple answer to that one now.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:59 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<U might tell them that the fact it takes so long to get a simple answer as to where she got the blog from is suspicious</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:55 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<Got it. I'll tell both it is a priority.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:54 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<Tell MB I want the truth and it will be investigated. Let her know asap as my attny is waiting word from me</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:51 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<I have asked her for a complete response. Her initial answer this morning did not make clear if she got it by the usual channels or some other way. I suppose she needs to get with MB before she can respond.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:50 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<Have u found out how cindy got that blog?</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:40 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<I see matt and katrina. Lisa was</p>
2533	9/2/09 9:54	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Saturday	<p>Whatever you're on, I want some!!</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:55 AM<Subject: Re: Saturday<Maybe we will be done fri</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:52 AM<Subject: Saturday<That sucks!!! I didn't want to work sat. :(</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:40 AM<Subject: Re: I'm not on. Chris said 5 mins to start</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:38 AM<Subject: Re: There does not appear to be any press in the room. Relatively empty - seems to be witnesses and the parties; not seeing any outside observers from other ious.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:31 AM<Subject: Re: On now</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:28 AM<Subject: Re: Do you have the phone number.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:50 AM<Subject: Re: I will be leaving at about 4 for therapy. And fri is the dr appt at 1:45</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:43 AM<Subject: Re: believe sherrt brown for op.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:41 AM<Subject: Re: Thanks. Who's up first today</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:26 AM<Subject: Re: It was an email that LE forwarded to CM. Apparently, CM turned the email over to a computer person, who is working on the PRR.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:40 PM<Subject: Re: How was this forwarded t cindy? Find out quickly. I want copies if it was written</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:27 AM<Subject: Re: Lisa edgar forwarded the blog to CM as a "fyi". CM stressed that LE did not instruct it be put in, cm made that decision all by herself.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:18 AM<Subject: Re: Well what's the answer to my questions?</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:16 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<MB was meeting with Booter. When he left, I asked if mb had spoken with cindy; she said just a very heads-up from cm, but no discussion at all.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:06 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<Stay there and wait to see who comes out. If u r not at her office, then go there</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<MB in a meeting.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<The only need for review is to possibly hide the truth</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<No. To get mb's review, yes.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<Do u really think the 4 questions are that difficult to answer?</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:00 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<I asked for the answers to all 4 questions in detail. I think I can get the simple answer to that one now.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:59 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<U might tell them that the fact it takes so long to get a simple answer as to where she got the blog from is suspicious</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:55 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<Got it. I'll tell both it is a priority.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:54 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<Tell MB I want the truth and it will be investigated. Let her know asap as my attny is waiting word from me</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:51 AM<Subject: Re: Nobody<I have asked her for a complete response. Her initial answer this morning did not make clear if she got it by the usual channels or some other way. I suppose she needs to get with MB before she can respond.</p> <p>Original Message-----<From: Nancy</p>
2534	9/2/09 9:55	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Saturday	Maybe we will be done fri
2536	9/2/09 9:56	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Saturday	Ha ha. Just repeating what Matt said

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2535	9/2/09 9:56	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA		<p>I want some of whatever HE's on. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:56 AM<>Subject: Re: Saturday<><>Ha ha. Just repeating what Matt said<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:54 AM<>Subject: Re: Saturday<><>Whatever you're on, I want some!!<>:-<>I can't see any way. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:55 AM<>Subject: Re: Saturday<><>Maybe we will be done fr<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:52 AM<>Subject: Saturday<><>That sucks!!!<>I didn't want to work sat. :-(<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:40 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>I'm not on. Chris said 5 mins to start<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:38 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>There does not appear to be any press in the room. <>Relatively empty - seems to be witnesses and the parties; not seeing any outside observers from other ious. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:31 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>On now<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:28 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>Do you have the phone number. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:50 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>I will be leaving at about 4 for therapy. And fri is the dr appt at 1:45<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:43 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>I believe shern brown for opc. <>She's testifying on various fpl items - uncollectable bills, load forecas, nuclear, enviro costs, etc. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:41 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>Thanks. Who's up first today<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:26 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>It was an email that LE forwarded to CM. Apparently, CM turned the email over to a computer person, who is working on the PRR. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:40 PM<>Subject: Re: <><>How was this forwarded t cindy? Find out quickly. I want copies if it was written<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:27 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>Lisa edgar forwarded the blog to CM as a "Yi.". Cm stressed that LE did not instruct it be put in, cm made that decision all by herself. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:18 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>Well what's the answer to my questions?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:16 AM<>Subject: Re: Nobody<><>MB was meeting with Booter. When he left, I asked if mb had spoken with cindy; she said just a very heads-up from cm, but no discussion at all. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:06 AM<>Subject: Re: Nobody<><>Stay there and wait to see who comes out. If u r not at her office, then go there <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM<>Subject: Re: Nobody<><>MB in a meeting. <>Secretary not there to tell me who with. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM<>Subject: Re: Nobody<><>The only need for review is to possibly hide the truth<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM<>Subject: Re: Nobody<><>No. To get mb's review, yes. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM<>Subject: Re: Nobody<><>Do u really think the 4 questions are that difficult to answer?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:00 AM<>Subject: Re: Nobody<><>I asked for the answers to all 4 questions in detail. I think I can get the simple answer to that one now. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:59 AM<>Subject: Re: Nobody<><>U might tell them that the fact it takes so long to get a simple answer as to where she got the blog from is suspicious<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:55 AM<>Subject: Re: Nobody<><>Got it. I'll tell both it is a priority. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:54 AM<>Subject: Re: Nobody<><>Tell MB I want the truth and it will be investigated. Let her know asap as my attny is waiting word from me<>-----Original Message-----</p>
2537	9/2/09 10:05	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Saturday	<p>Brown is pretty good</p>
2538	9/2/09 10:06	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Saturday	<p>Yes. I have always been impressed with her. I think she is the best of the opc witnesses. I don't know why they don't use her more, instead of Larken/schultz. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 10:05 AM<>Subject: Re: Saturday<><>Brown is pretty good<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:56 AM<>Subject: Re: Saturday<><>I want some of whatever HE's on. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:56 AM<>Subject: Re: Saturday<><>Ha ha. Just repeating what Matt said<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:54 AM<>Subject: Re: Saturday<><>Whatever you're on, I want some!!<>:-<>I can't see any way. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:55 AM<>Subject: Re: Saturday<><>Maybe we will be done fr<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:52 AM<>Subject: Saturday<><>That sucks!!!<>I didn't want to work sat. :-(<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:40 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>I'm not on. Chris said 5 mins to start<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:38 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>There does not appear to be any press in the room. <>Relatively empty - seems to be witnesses and the parties; not seeing any outside observers from other ious. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:31 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>On now<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:28 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>Do you have the phone number. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:50 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>I will be leaving at about 4 for therapy. And fri is the dr appt at 1:45<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:43 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>I believe shern brown for opc. <>She's testifying on various fpl items - uncollectable bills, load forecas, nuclear, enviro costs, etc. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:41 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>Thanks. Who's up first today<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:26 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>It was an email that LE forwarded to CM. Apparently, CM turned the email over to a computer person, who is working on the PRR. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:40 PM<>Subject: Re: <><>How was this forwarded t cindy? Find out quickly. I want copies if it was written<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:27 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>Lisa edgar forwarded the blog to CM as a "Yi.". Cm stressed that LE did not instruct it be put in, cm made that decision all by herself. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:18 AM<>Subject: Re: <><>Well what's the answer to my questions?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:16 AM<>Subject: Re: Nobody<><>MB was meeting with Booter. When he left, I asked if mb had spoken with cindy; she said just a very heads-up from cm, but no discussion at all. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:06 AM<>Subject: Re: Nobody<><>Stay there and wait to see who comes out. If u r not at her office, then go there <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM<>Subject: Re: Nobody<><>MB in a meeting. <>Secretary not there to tell me who with. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM<>Subject: Re: Nobody<><>The only need for review is to possibly hide the truth<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM<>Subject: Re: Nobody<><>No. To get mb's review, yes. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM<>Subject: Re: Nobody<><>Do u really think the 4 questions are that difficult to answer?<>-----Original Message-----<>From: Larry Harris<>To: Nancy Argenziano<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:00 AM<>Subject: Re: Nobody<><>I asked for the answers to all 4 questions in detail. I think I can get the simple answer to that one now. <>-----Original Message-----<>From: Nancy Argenziano<>To: Larry Harris<>Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:59 AM<>Subject: Re: Nobody<><>U might tell them that the fact it takes so long to get a simple</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2539	9/2/09 10:43	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Brown.	<p>That was a stupid answer. She should have said "I am confident you would point any other mistakes out in great detail." <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 10:05 AM> Subject: Re: Saturday<Brown is pretty good> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:56 AM> Subject: Re: Saturday<I want some of whatever HE's on. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:56 AM> Subject: Re: Saturday<Ha ha. Just repeating what Matt said> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:54 AM> Subject: Re: Saturday<Whatever you're on, I want some!> <I can't see any way. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:55 AM> Subject: Re: Saturday<Maybe we will be done fri> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:52 AM> Subject: Saturday<That sucks!!> <I didn't want to work sat. :-(> <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:40 AM> Subject: Re: <I'm not on. Chris said 5 mins to start> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:38 AM> Subject: Re: <There does not appear to be any press in the room. <Relatively empty - seems to be witnesses and the parties; not seeing any outside observers from other ious. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:31 AM> Subject: Re: <On now> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:28 AM> Subject: Re: <Do you have the phone number. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:50 AM> Subject: Re: <I will be leaving at about 4 for therapy. And fri is the dr appt at 1:45> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:43 AM> Subject: Re: <I believe sheri brown for op. <She's testifying on various fpl items - uncollectable bills, load forecas, nuclear, enviro costs, etc. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:41 AM> Subject: Re: <Thanks. Who's up first today> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:26 AM> Subject: Re: <It was an email that LE forwarded to CM. Apparently, CM turned the email over to a computer person, who is working on the PRR. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:40 PM> Subject: Re: <How was this forwarded t cindy? Find out quickly. I want copies if it was written> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:27 AM> Subject: Re: <Lisa edgar forwarded the blog to CM as a "fyi." Cm stressed that LE did not instruct it be put in, cm made that decision all by herself. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:18 AM> Subject: Re: <Well what's the answer to my questions?> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:16 AM> Subject: Re: <Nobody> <MB was meeting with Booter. When he left, I asked if mb had spoken with cindy, she said just a very heads-up from cm, but no discussion at all. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:06 AM> Subject: Re: <Nobody> <Stay there and wait to see who comes out. If u r not at her office, then go there > <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM> Subject: Re: <Nobody> <MB in a meeting. <Secretary not there to tell me who with. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM> Subject: Re: <Nobody> <The only need for review is to possibly hide the truth> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM> Subject: Re: <No. To get mb's review, yes. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM> Subject: Re: <Do u really think the 4 questions are that difficult to answer?> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:00 AM> Subject: Re: <Nobody> <I asked for the answers to all 4 questions in detail. I think I can get the simple answer to that one now. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 9:59 AM> Subject: Re: <Nobody> <U might tell them that the fact it takes so</p>
2540	9/2/09 10:47	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Brown.	<p>What's the purpose of butlers ques</p>
2541	9/2/09 10:51	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Brown.	<p>To point out she made errors that she didn't correct. <He's generally trying to point out that she doesn't know what she is talking about, and is not rock-solid credible. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 10:47 AM> Subject: Re: Brown<What's the purpose of butlers ques> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 10:43 AM> Subject: Brown<That was a stupid answer. She should have said "I am confident you would point any other mistakes out in great detail." <The ans she gave hurts her credibility. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 10:05 AM> Subject: Re: Saturday<Brown is pretty good> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:56 AM> Subject: Re: Saturday<I want some of whatever HE's on. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:56 AM> Subject: Re: Saturday<Ha ha. Just repeating what Matt said> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:54 AM> Subject: Re: Saturday<Whatever you're on, I want some!> <I can't see any way. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:55 AM> Subject: Re: Saturday<Maybe we will be done fri> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:52 AM> Subject: Saturday<That sucks!!> <I didn't want to work sat. :-(> <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:40 AM> Subject: Re: <I'm not on. Chris said 5 mins to start> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:38 AM> Subject: Re: <There does not appear to be any press in the room. <Relatively empty - seems to be witnesses and the parties; not seeing any outside observers from other ious. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:31 AM> Subject: Re: <On now> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:28 AM> Subject: Re: <Do you have the phone number. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:50 AM> Subject: Re: <I will be leaving at about 4 for therapy. And fri is the dr appt at 1:45> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:43 AM> Subject: Re: <I believe sheri brown for op. <She's testifying on various fpl items - uncollectable bills, load forecas, nuclear, enviro costs, etc. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:41 AM> Subject: Re: <Thanks. Who's up first today> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:26 AM> Subject: Re: <It was an email that LE forwarded to CM. Apparently, CM turned the email over to a computer person, who is working on the PRR. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:40 PM> Subject: Re: <How was this forwarded t cindy? Find out quickly. I want copies if it was written> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:27 AM> Subject: Re: <Lisa edgar forwarded the blog to CM as a "fyi." Cm stressed that LE did not instruct it be put in, cm made that decision all by herself. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:18 AM> Subject: Re: <Well what's the answer to my questions?> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:16 AM> Subject: Re: <Nobody> <MB was meeting with Booter. When he left, I asked if mb had spoken with cindy, she said just a very heads-up from cm, but no discussion at all. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:06 AM> Subject: Re: <Nobody> <Stay there and wait to see who comes out. If u r not at her office, then go there > <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM> Subject: Re: <Nobody> <MB in a meeting. <Secretary not there to tell me who with. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM> Subject: Re: <Nobody> <The only need for review is to possibly hide the truth> <Original Message> <From: Larry Harris> To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM> Subject: Re: <No. To get mb's review, yes. <Original Message> <From: Nancy Argenziano> To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:03 AM> Subject: Re: <Do u really think the 4 questions are that difficult to</p>
2542	9/2/09 10:57	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Brown.	<p>Well he did not succeed. But it was the question before the correction I was referring to</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2543	9/2/09 10:57	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Brown.	<p>I don't recall it. <From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 10:57 AM>Subject: Re: Brown.<Well he did not succeed. But it was the question before the correction I was referring to<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 10:51 AM>Subject: Re: Brown.<To point out she made errors that she didn't correct. <He's generally trying to point out that she doesn't know what she is talking about, and is not rock-solid credible. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 10:47 AM>Subject: Re: Brown.<What's the purpose of butlers ques<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 10:43 AM>Subject: Brown.<That was a stupid answer. She should have said "I am confident you would point any other mistakes out in great detail."<The ans she gave hurts her credibility. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 10:05 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<Brown is pretty good<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:56 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<I want some of whatever HE's on. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:56 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<Ha ha. Just repeating what Matt said<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:54 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<Whatever you're on, I want some!!<I can't see any way. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:55 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<Maybe we will be done fri<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:52 AM>Subject: Saturday<That sucks!!<I didn't want to work sat. :(<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:40 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<I'm not on. Chris said 5 mins to start<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:38 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<There does not appear to be any press in the room. <Relatively empty - seems to be witnesses and the parties; not seeing any outside observers from other ious. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:31 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<On now<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:28 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<Do you have the phone number. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:50 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<I will be leaving at about 4 for therapy. And fri is the dr appt at 1:45<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:43 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<I believe sheri brown for opc. <She's testifying on various fpl items - uncollectable bills, load forecas, nuclear, enviro costs, etc. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:41 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<Thanks. Who's up first today<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:26 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<It was an email that LE forwarded to CM. Apparently, CM turned the email over to a computer person, who is working on the PRR. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:40 PM>Subject: Re: Saturday<How was this forwarded t cindy? Find out quickly. I want copies if it was written<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:27 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<Lisa edgar forwarded the blog to CM as a "fjl". CM stressed that LE did not instruct it be put in, cm made that decision all by herself. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:18 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<Well what's the answer to my questions?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:16 AM>Subject: Re: Nobody<MB was meeting with Booter. When he left, I asked if mb had spoken with cindy; she said just a very heads-up from cm, but no discussion at all. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:06 AM>Subject: Re: Nobody<Stay there and wait to see who comes out. If u r not at her office, then go there <Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM>Subject: Re: Nobody<MB in a meeting. <Secretary not there to tell me who with. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:05 AM>Subject: Re: Nobody<The only need for</p>
2544	9/2/09 10:59	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Brown.	The hypothetical calculations
2545	9/2/09 11:00	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Brown.	<p>To show would be cheaper to pay employees well to stay, as opposed to having them leave and then paying remaining employees overtime to get job done. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 10:59 AM>Subject: Re: Brown.<The hypothetical calculations<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 10:57 AM>Subject: Re: Brown.<I don't recall it. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 10:57 AM>Subject: Re: Brown.<Well he did not succeed. But it was the question before the correction I was referring to<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 10:51 AM>Subject: Re: Brown.<To point out she made errors that she didn't correct. <He's generally trying to point out that she doesn't know what she is talking about, and is not rock-solid credible. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 10:47 AM>Subject: Re: Brown.<What's the purpose of butlers ques<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 10:43 AM>Subject: Brown.<That was a stupid answer. She should have said "I am confident you would point any other mistakes out in great detail."<The ans she gave hurts her credibility. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 10:05 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<Brown is pretty good<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:56 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<I want some of whatever HE's on. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:56 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<Ha ha. Just repeating what Matt said<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:54 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<Whatever you're on, I want some!!<I can't see any way. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:55 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<Maybe we will be done fri<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:52 AM>Subject: Saturday<That sucks!!<I didn't want to work sat. :(<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:40 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<I'm not on. Chris said 5 mins to start<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:38 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<There does not appear to be any press in the room. <Relatively empty - seems to be witnesses and the parties; not seeing any outside observers from other ious. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:31 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<On now<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 9:28 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<Do you have the phone number. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:50 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<I will be leaving at about 4 for therapy. And fri is the dr appt at 1:45<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:43 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<I believe sheri brown for opc. <She's testifying on various fpl items - uncollectable bills, load forecas, nuclear, enviro costs, etc. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:41 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<Thanks. Who's up first today<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 8:26 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<It was an email that LE forwarded to CM. Apparently, CM turned the email over to a computer person, who is working on the PRR. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 1, 2009 11:40 PM>Subject: Re: Saturday<How was this forwarded t cindy? Find out quickly. I want copies if it was written<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:27 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<Lisa edgar forwarded the blog to CM as a "fjl". CM stressed that LE did not instruct it be put in, cm made that decision all by herself. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:18 AM>Subject: Re: Saturday<Well what's the answer to my questions?<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:16 AM>Subject: Re: Nobody<MB was meeting with Booter. When he left, I asked if mb had spoken with cindy; she said just a very heads-up from cm, but no discussion at all. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Aug 31, 2009 10:06 AM>Subject: Re: Nobody<The only need for</p>
2547	9/2/09 11:01	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Brown.	She nailed him on that one.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2582	9/2/09 12:31	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: \$\$\$	<p>Yep. You said "health care debate."-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:30 PM<Subject: Re: \$\$\$<Health care costs mucho<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:29 PM<Subject: \$\$\$<This witness is very good. <Hospitals must have \$\$ to spend. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:28 PM<Subject: Re: Proxy group<Got it. Thanks<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Proxy group<Brian anderson for fpl. The baby-faced white haired guy. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:26 PM<Subject: Re: Proxy group<Who is that cross examining?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:22 PM<Subject: Re: Proxy group<Big difference with how they treated brown vs this guy. Fpl seems much more "confrontational" to this guy. <That leads me to believe they are more afraid of his testimony. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:21 PM<Subject: Re: Proxy group<Absloutly<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:16 PM<Subject: Proxy group<I think that is the key point - averra leaves out the regulated ious. That is a giant difference, and really the big flaw in averra/fpl's 12.5 roe <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:14 PM<Subject: Re: <Yes he is<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:00 PM<Subject: Re: <Joe doing really well. <Getting some really good points, in bite-sized = understandable pieces. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:55 AM<Subject: Re: <You job is more at risk if your not participating in a once in a quarter century rate case that is being closely observed<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:53 AM<Subject: Re: <I think he's worried about his job. Tends to distract. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:53 AM<Subject: Re: <I would think that a huge rate case would be on a commissioners mind<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:52 AM<Subject: Re: <I think Matt's not worried about how calling in looks right now - he's got lots of other things on his mind that he is more concerned with. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:51 AM<Subject: Re: <Its a common sense answer. Just made a comment to you about a commish not calling in and I thought that just doesn't look good and your thought was that he trusted LE. I guess u just missed my point. No matter<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:41 AM<Subject: Re: <I don't know the answer to that. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:41 AM<Subject: Re: <Its not about trusting. Its about him participating in a rate case. Isn't he interested in what is being said? What would be my excuse not to call in ? That I trust them to run it w/o me? If u can't sit and have to leave, why then, don't you call in?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:38 AM<Subject: Re: <I guess he trusts LE to run things in his absence. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:38 AM<Subject: Re: <I just think he prob should at least call in. Would be btr<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:36 AM<Subject: Re: <Yes. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:37 AM<Subject: Re: <But he has been gone for hours on other days<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:35 AM<Subject: Re: <He probably doesn't think he needs to if he is only going to be gone a short time. <He might be behind the bench listening over speakers. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:34 AM<Subject: Re: <Its good he stretches but he doesn't call in when he leaves. I wonder why he wouldn't. <-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:32 AM<Subject: Re: <I think he gets up and walks around to stretch his back out. I didn't see/hear him come up here.</p>
2583	9/2/09 12:34	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: \$\$\$	<p>???? I said health care debate?</p>
2584	9/2/09 12:35	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: \$\$\$	<p>Just making a joke. The Drs make lots of \$\$\$, and obama wants reform, which will presumably lead to less profits for them. So lots of financial interest in fighting it. Just like here, spending \$ to prevent rate increase. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:34 PM<Subject: Re: \$\$\$<???? I said health care debate?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:31 PM<Subject: Re: \$\$\$<Yep. You said "health care debate." <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:30 PM<Subject: Re: \$\$\$<Health care costs mucho<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:29 PM<Subject: \$\$\$<This witness is very good. <Hospitals must have \$\$ to spend. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:28 PM<Subject: Re: Proxy group<Got it. Thanks<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Proxy group<Brian anderson for fpl. The baby-faced white haired guy. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:26 PM<Subject: Re: Proxy group<Who is that cross examining?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:22 PM<Subject: Re: Proxy group<Big difference with how they treated brown vs this guy. Fpl seems much more "confrontational" to this guy. <That leads me to believe they are more afraid of his testimony. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:21 PM<Subject: Re: Proxy group<Absloutly<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:16 PM<Subject: Proxy group<I think that is the key point - averra leaves out the regulated ious. That is a giant difference, and really the big flaw in averra/fpl's 12.5 roe <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:14 PM<Subject: Re: <Yes he is<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:00 PM<Subject: Re: <Joe doing really well. <Getting some really good points, in bite-sized = understandable pieces. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:55 AM<Subject: Re: <You job is more at risk if your not participating in a once in a quarter century rate case that is being closely observed<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:53 AM<Subject: Re: <I think he's worried about his job. Tends to distract. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:53 AM<Subject: Re: <I would think that a huge rate case would be on a commissioners mind<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:52 AM<Subject: Re: <I think Matt's not worried about how calling in looks right now - he's got lots of other things on his mind that he is more concerned with. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:51 AM<Subject: Re: <Its a common sense answer. Just made a comment to you about a commish not calling in and I thought that just doesn't look good and your thought was that he trusted LE. I guess u just missed my point. No matter<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:41 AM<Subject: Re: <I don't know the answer to that. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:41 AM<Subject: Re: <Its not about trusting. Its about him participating in a rate case. Isn't he interested in what is being said? What would be my excuse not to call in ? That I trust them to run it w/o me? If u can't sit and have to leave, why then, don't you call in?<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:38 AM<Subject: Re: <I guess he trusts LE to run things in his absence. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:38 AM<Subject: Re: <I just think he prob should at least call in. Would be btr<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:36 AM<Subject: Re: <Yes. <-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:37 AM<Subject: Re: <But he has been gone for hours on other days<-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:35 AM<Subject: Re: <He probably doesn't think he needs to if he is only going to be</p>
2585	9/2/09 12:36	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: \$\$\$	<p>Gotcha</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2586	9/2/09 12:48	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: \$\$\$	<p>No, there is not. <From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:48 PM>Subject: Re: \$\$\$<There is not a consensus<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:35 PM>Subject: Re: \$\$\$<Just making a joke. The Drs make lots of \$\$\$, and obama wants reform, which will presumably lead to less profits for them. So lots of financial interest in fighting it. Just like here, spending \$ to prevent rate increase. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:34 PM>Subject: Re: \$\$\$<I said health care debate?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:31 PM>Subject: Re: \$\$\$<Yep. You said "health care debate."<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:30 PM>Subject: Re: \$\$\$<Health care costs much<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:29 PM>Subject: \$\$\$<This witness is very good. <Hospitals must have \$\$ to spend. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:28 PM>Subject: Re: Proxy group<Got it. Thanks<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:27 PM>Subject: Re: Proxy group<Brian anderson for fpl. The baby-faced white haired guy. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:26 PM>Subject: Re: Proxy group<Who is that cross examining?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:22 PM>Subject: Re: Proxy group<Big difference with how they treated brown vs this guy. Fpl seems much more "confrontational" to this guy. <That leads me to believe they are more afraid of his testimony. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:21 PM>Subject: Re: Proxy group<Abslutly<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:16 PM>Subject: Proxy group<I think that is the key point - averra leaves out the regulated ious. That is a giant difference, and really the big flaw in averra/fpl's 12.5 roe <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:14 PM>Subject: Re: <I think he's worried about his job. Tends to distract. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:53 AM>Subject: Re: <I would think that a huge rate case would be on a commissioners mind<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:52 AM>Subject: Re: <I think Matt's not worried about how calling in looks right now - he's got lots of other things on his mind that he is more concerned with. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:51 AM>Subject: Re: <Its a common sense answer. Just made a comment to you about a commish not calling in and I thought that just doesn't look good and your thought was that he trusted LE. I guess u just missed my point. No matter<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:41 AM>Subject: Re: <I don't know the answer to that. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:41 AM>Subject: Re: <Its not about trusting. Its about him participating in a rate case. Isn't he interested in what is being said? What would be my excuse not to call in ? That I trust them to run it w/o me? If u can't sit and have to leave, why then, don't you call in?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:38 AM>Subject: Re: <I guess he trusts LE to run things in his absence. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:38 AM>Subject: Re: <I just think he prob should at least call in. Would be btr<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:36 AM>Subject: Re: <Yes. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:37</p>
2587	9/2/09 12:48	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: \$\$\$	<p>There is not a consensus</p>
2588	9/2/09 14:51	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Lost	<p>I don't understand this line of questioning right now. Before lunch, making clear points. Now, I don't know where he is going. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:48 PM>Subject: Re: \$\$\$<There is not a consensus<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:35 PM>Subject: Re: \$\$\$<Just making a joke. The Drs make lots of \$\$\$, and obama wants reform, which will presumably lead to less profits for them. So lots of financial interest in fighting it. Just like here, spending \$ to prevent rate increase. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:34 PM>Subject: Re: \$\$\$<I said health care debate?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:31 PM>Subject: Re: \$\$\$<Yep. You said "health care debate."<Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:30 PM>Subject: Re: \$\$\$<Health care costs much<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:29 PM>Subject: \$\$\$<This witness is very good. <Hospitals must have \$\$ to spend. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:28 PM>Subject: Re: Proxy group<Got it. Thanks<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:27 PM>Subject: Re: Proxy group<Brian anderson for fpl. The baby-faced white haired guy. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:26 PM>Subject: Re: Proxy group<Who is that cross examining?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:22 PM>Subject: Re: Proxy group<Big difference with how they treated brown vs this guy. Fpl seems much more "confrontational" to this guy. <That leads me to believe they are more afraid of his testimony. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:21 PM>Subject: Re: Proxy group<Abslutly<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:16 PM>Subject: Proxy group<I think that is the key point - averra leaves out the regulated ious. That is a giant difference, and really the big flaw in averra/fpl's 12.5 roe <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:14 PM>Subject: Re: <I think he's worried about his job. Tends to distract. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:53 AM>Subject: Re: <I would think that a huge rate case would be on a commissioners mind<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:52 AM>Subject: Re: <I think Matt's not worried about how calling in looks right now - he's got lots of other things on his mind that he is more concerned with. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:51 AM>Subject: Re: <Its a common sense answer. Just made a comment to you about a commish not calling in and I thought that just doesn't look good and your thought was that he trusted LE. I guess u just missed my point. No matter<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:41 AM>Subject: Re: <I don't know the answer to that. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:41 AM>Subject: Re: <Its not about trusting. Its about him participating in a rate case. Isn't he interested in what is being said? What would be my excuse not to call in ? That I trust them to run it w/o me? If u can't sit and have to leave, why then, don't you call in?<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:38 AM>Subject: Re: <I guess he trusts LE to run things in his absence. <Original Message><From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:38 AM>Subject: Re: <I just think he prob should at least call in. Would be btr<Original Message><From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:36 AM>Subject:</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2589	9/2/09 14:52	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Bench	Video just showed a view of the bench. Matt, lisa, KM there. No skip. <P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>To: Larry Harris</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:48 PM</P><P>Subject: Re: \$\$\$</P><P><P>There is not a consensus</P><P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Larry Harris</P><P>To: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:35 PM</P><P>Subject: Re: \$\$\$</P><P><P>Just making a joke. The Drs make lots of \$\$\$, and obama wants reform, which will presumably lead to less profits for them. So lots of financial interest in fighting it. Just like here, spending \$ to prevent rate increase. <P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>To: Larry Harris</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:34 PM</P><P>Subject: Re: \$\$\$</P><P><P>???? I said health care debate?</P><P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Larry Harris</P><P>To: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:31 PM</P><P>Subject: Re: \$\$\$</P><P><P>Yep. You said "health care debate."</P><P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>To: Larry Harris</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:30 PM</P><P>Subject: Re: \$\$\$</P><P><P>Health care costs mucho</P><P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Larry Harris</P><P>To: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:29 PM</P><P>Subject: \$\$\$</P><P><P>This witness is very good. <P><P>Hospitals must have \$\$ to spend. <P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>To: Larry Harris</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:28 PM</P><P>Subject: Re: Proxy group</P><P><P>Got it. Thanks</P><P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Larry Harris</P><P>To: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:27 PM</P><P>Subject: Re: Proxy group</P><P><P>Brian anderson for fpl. The baby-faced white haired guy. <P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>To: Larry Harris</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:26 PM</P><P>Subject: Re: Proxy group</P><P><P>Who is that cross examining?</P><P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Larry Harris</P><P>To: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:22 PM</P><P>Subject: Re: Proxy group</P><P><P>Big difference with how they treated brown vs this guy. Fpl seems much more "confrontational" to this guy. <P><P>That leads me to believe they are more afraid of his testimony. <P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>To: Larry Harris</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:21 PM</P><P>Subject: Re: Proxy group</P><P><P>Absolutely</P><P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Larry Harris</P><P>To: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:16 PM</P><P>Subject: Proxy group</P><P><P>I think that is the key point - averra leaves out the regulated ious. That is a giant difference, and really the big flaw in averra/fpl's 12.5 roe <P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>To: Larry Harris</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:14 PM</P><P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Yes he is</P><P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Larry Harris</P><P>To: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:00 PM</P><P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Joe doing really well. <P><P>Getting some really good points, in bite-sized = understandable pieces. <P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>To: Larry Harris</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:55 AM</P><P>Subject: Re: <P><P>You job is more at risk if your not participating in a once in a quarter century rate case that is being closely observed</P><P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Larry Harris</P><P>To: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:53 AM</P><P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I think he's worried about his job. Tends to distract. <P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>To: Larry Harris</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:53 AM</P><P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I think Matt's not worried about how calling in looks right now - he's got lots of other things on his mind that he is more concerned with. <P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>To: Larry Harris</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:51 AM</P><P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Its a common sense answer. Just made a comment to you about a commish not calling in and I thought that just doesn't look good and your thought was that he trusted LE. I guess u just missed my point. No matter</P><P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Larry Harris</P><P>To: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:41 AM</P><P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I don't know the answer to that. <P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>To: Larry Harris</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:41 AM</P><P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Its not about trusting. Its about him participating in a rate case. Isn't he interested in what is being said? What would be my excuse not to call in ? That I trust them to run it w/o me? If u can't sit and have to leave, why then, don't you call in?</P><P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Larry Harris</P><P>To: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:38 AM</P><P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I guess he trusts LE to run things in his absence. <P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>To: Larry Harris</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:38 AM</P><P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I just think he prob should at least call in. Would be btr</P><P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Larry Harris</P><P>To: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:36 AM</P><P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Yes. <P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From:
2590	9/2/09 15:09	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Interesting	Fpl went to great pains to stress this guy's lack of "fpl experience" for lack of a better term. "You haven't met with shareholders...you haven't issued debt...you haven't rating bonds....etc"<P><P>Trying to point out he hasn't walked in fpls shoes. <P><P>It will be interesting to see if opc asks these questions of fpl's consultant. <P><P>I don't think he will be able to answer any differently. <P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>To: Larry Harris</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:48 PM</P><P>Subject: Re: \$\$\$</P><P><P>There is not a consensus</P><P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Larry Harris</P><P>To: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:35 PM</P><P>Subject: Re: \$\$\$</P><P><P>Just making a joke. The Drs make lots of \$\$\$, and obama wants reform, which will presumably lead to less profits for them. So lots of financial interest in fighting it. Just like here, spending \$ to prevent rate increase. <P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>To: Larry Harris</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:34 PM</P><P>Subject: Re: \$\$\$</P><P><P>???? I said health care debate?</P><P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Larry Harris</P><P>To: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:31 PM</P><P>Subject: Re: \$\$\$</P><P><P>Yep. You said "health care debate."</P><P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>To: Larry Harris</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:30 PM</P><P>Subject: Re: \$\$\$</P><P><P>Health care costs mucho</P><P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Larry Harris</P><P>To: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:29 PM</P><P>Subject: \$\$\$</P><P><P>This witness is very good. <P><P>Hospitals must have \$\$ to spend. <P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>To: Larry Harris</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:28 PM</P><P>Subject: Re: Proxy group</P><P><P>Got it. Thanks</P><P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Larry Harris</P><P>To: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:27 PM</P><P>Subject: Re: Proxy group</P><P><P>Brian anderson for fpl. The baby-faced white haired guy. <P>-----Original Message-----</P><P>From: Nancy Argenziano</P><P>To: Larry Harris</P

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2593	9/2/09 15:40	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Clarke	<p>Is a consultant. He is testifying about depreciation. He is apparently a specialist in utility plant depreciation rates. <From: Nancy Argenziano>To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:11 PM<Subject: Re: Interesting<Yes. Very interesting<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:09 PM<Subject: Interesting<Fpl went to great pains to stress this guy's lack of "fpl experience" for lack of a better term. "You haven't met with shareholders...you haven't issued debt...you haven't rating bonds....etc">Trying to point out he hasn't walked in fpl's shoes. <It will be interesting to see if opc asks these questions of fpl's consultant. <I don't think he will be able to answer any differently. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:48 PM<Subject: Re: \$\$\$<There is not a consensus<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:35 PM<Subject: Re: \$\$\$<Just making a joke. The Drs make lots of \$\$\$, and obama wants reform, which will presumably lead to less profits for them. So lots of financial interest in fighting it. Just like here, spending \$ to prevent rate increase. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:34 PM<Subject: Re: \$\$\$<I said health care debate?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:31 PM<Subject: Re: \$\$\$<Yep. You said "health care debate."<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:30 PM<Subject: Re: \$\$\$<Health care costs mucho<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:29 PM<Subject: \$\$\$<This witness is very good. <Hospitals must have \$\$ to spend. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:28 PM<Subject: Re: Proxy group<Got it. Thanks<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Proxy group<Brian anderson for fpl. The baby-faced white haired guy. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:26 PM<Subject: Re: Proxy group<Who is that cross examining?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:22 PM<Subject: Re: Proxy group<Big difference with how they treated brown vs this guy. Fpl seems much more "confrontational" to this guy. <That leads me to believe they are more afraid of his testimony. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:21 PM<Subject: Re: Proxy group<Abslutly<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:16 PM<Subject: Proxy group<I think that is the key point - averra leaves out the regulated ious. That is a giant difference, and really the big flaw in averra/fpl's 12.5 roe <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:14 PM<Subject: Re: <Yes he is<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:00 PM<Subject: Re: <Joe doing really well. <Getting some really good points, in bite-sized = understandable pieces. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:55 AM<Subject: Re: <You job is more at risk if your not participating in a once in a quarter century rate case that is being closely observed<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:53 AM<Subject: Re: <I think he's worried about his job. Tends to distract. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:53 AM<Subject: Re: <I would think that a huge rate case would be on a commissioners mind<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:52 AM<Subject: Re: <I think Matt's not worried about how calling in looks right now - he's got lots of other things on his mind that he is more concerned with. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:51 AM<Subject: Re: <Its a common sense answer. Just made a comment to you about a commish not calling in and I thought that just doesn't look good and your thought was that he trusted LE. I guess u just missed my point. No matter<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:41 AM<Subject: Re: <I don't know the answer to that. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:41 AM<Subject: Re: <Its</p>
2594	9/2/09 15:41	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Interesting	<p>Clarke will NOT be. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:11 PM<Subject: Re: Interesting<Yes. Very interesting<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:09 PM<Subject: Interesting<Fpl went to great pains to stress this guy's lack of "fpl experience" for lack of a better term. "You haven't met with shareholders...you haven't issued debt...you haven't rating bonds....etc">Trying to point out he hasn't walked in fpl's shoes. <It will be interesting to see if opc asks these questions of fpl's consultant. <I don't think he will be able to answer any differently. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:48 PM<Subject: Re: \$\$\$<There is not a consensus<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:35 PM<Subject: Re: \$\$\$<Just making a joke. The Drs make lots of \$\$\$, and obama wants reform, which will presumably lead to less profits for them. So lots of financial interest in fighting it. Just like here, spending \$ to prevent rate increase. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:34 PM<Subject: Re: \$\$\$<I said health care debate?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:31 PM<Subject: Re: \$\$\$<Yep. You said "health care debate."<Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:30 PM<Subject: Re: \$\$\$<Health care costs mucho<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:29 PM<Subject: \$\$\$<This witness is very good. <Hospitals must have \$\$ to spend. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:28 PM<Subject: Re: Proxy group<Got it. Thanks<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:27 PM<Subject: Re: Proxy group<Brian anderson for fpl. The baby-faced white haired guy. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:26 PM<Subject: Re: Proxy group<Who is that cross examining?<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:22 PM<Subject: Re: Proxy group<Big difference with how they treated brown vs this guy. Fpl seems much more "confrontational" to this guy. <That leads me to believe they are more afraid of his testimony. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:21 PM<Subject: Re: Proxy group<Abslutly<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:16 PM<Subject: Proxy group<I think that is the key point - averra leaves out the regulated ious. That is a giant difference, and really the big flaw in averra/fpl's 12.5 roe <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:14 PM<Subject: Re: <Yes he is<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:00 PM<Subject: Re: <Joe doing really well. <Getting some really good points, in bite-sized = understandable pieces. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:55 AM<Subject: Re: <You job is more at risk if your not participating in a once in a quarter century rate case that is being closely observed<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:53 AM<Subject: Re: <I think he's worried about his job. Tends to distract. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:53 AM<Subject: Re: <I would think that a huge rate case would be on a commissioners mind<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:52 AM<Subject: Re: <I think Matt's not worried about how calling in looks right now - he's got lots of other things on his mind that he is more concerned with. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:51 AM<Subject: Re: <Its a common sense answer. Just made a comment to you about a commish not calling in and I thought that just doesn't look good and your thought was that he trusted LE. I guess u just missed my point. No matter<Original Message<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:41 AM<Subject: Re: <I don't know the answer to that. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:41 AM<Subject: Re: <Its</p>
2595	9/2/09 15:49	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re: Clarke	I wonder what questions opc will ask him
2596	9/2/09 15:49	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	<p>We'll get on it. <Original Message<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:37 PM<Subject: <Please compile or have steve do this, a list of all attendance of service hearings for rate cases as well as the water cases we had for all commissioners, including me, as well as agendas and this rate case from May 09 to present. I want to see absences<</p>

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2597	9/2/09 15:50	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re: Clarke	Very long and very boring. Depr is one of op's top 3 issues. <P>Will be technical. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:49 PM<P>Subject: Re: Clarke<P>wonder what questions op will ask him<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:40 PM<P>Subject: Clarke<P>Is a consultant. He is testifying about depreciation. He is apparently a specialist in utility plant depreciation rates. <P>He is sponsoring the depreciation study. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:11 PM<P>Subject: Re: Interesting<P><P>Yes. Very interesting<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:09 PM<P>Subject: Interesting<P><P>Fpl went to great pains to stress this guy's lack of "fpl experience" for lack of a better term. "You haven't met with shareholders...you haven't issued debt...you haven't rating bonds.....etc"<P>Trying to point out he hasn't walked in fpl's shoes. <P>It will be interesting to see if op asks these questions of fpl's consultant. <P>I don't think he will be able to answer any differently. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:48 PM<P>Subject: Re: \$\$\$<P><P>There is not a consensus<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:35 PM<P>Subject: Re: \$\$\$<P><P>Just making a joke. The Drs make lots of \$\$\$, and obama wants reform, which will presumably lead to less profits for them. So lots of financial interest in fighting it. Just like here, spending \$ to prevent rate increase. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:34 PM<P>Subject: Re: \$\$\$<P><P>???? I said health care debate?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:31 PM<P>Subject: Re: \$\$\$<P><P>You said "health care debate."<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:30 PM<P>Subject: Re: \$\$\$<P><P>Health care costs mucho<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:29 PM<P>Subject: \$\$\$<P><P>This witness is very good. <P>Hospitals must have \$\$ to spend. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:28 PM<P>Subject: Re: Proxy group<P><P>Got it. Thanks<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:27 PM<P>Subject: Re: Proxy group<P><P>Brian anderson for fpl. The baby-faced white haired guy. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:26 PM<P>Subject: Re: Proxy group<P><P>Who is that cross examining?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:22 PM<P>Subject: Re: Proxy group<P><P>Big difference with how they treated brown vs this guy. Fpl seems much more "confrontational" to this guy. <P>That leads me to believe they are more afraid of his testimony. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:21 PM<P>Subject: Re: Proxy group<P><P>Abslutly<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:16 PM<P>Subject: Proxy group<P><P>I think that is the key point - averra leaves out the regulated ious. That is a giant difference, and really the big flaw in averra/fpl's 12.5 roe <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:14 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Yes he is<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 12:00 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Joe doing really well. <P>Getting some really good points, in bite-sized = understandable pieces. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:55 AM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>You job is more at risk if your not participating in a once in a quarter century rate case that is being closely observed<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:53 AM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I think he's worried about his job. Tends to distract. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:53 AM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I would think that a huge rate case would be on a commissioners mind<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:52 AM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I think Matt's not worried about how calling in looks right now - he's got lots of other things on his mind that he is more concerned with. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 11:51 AM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Its a common sense answer. Just made a comment to you about a commish not calling in and I thought that.
2598	9/2/09 15:53	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	You don't want telephone hearings?<P>Just electric and water rate case service and technical hearings, and agendas. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:37 PM<P>Subject: <P><P>Please compile or have steve do this, a list of all attendance of service hearings for rate cases as well as the water cases we had for for all commissioners, including me, as well as agendas and this rate case from May 09 to present. I want to see absences<P>
2599	9/2/09 15:55	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Have we had telephone hings since the service hearings we tarveled to the keys? I figurd that goes back far enough and covers when we had lots of meets
2600	9/2/09 15:59	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	I am sure there have been some. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:55 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Have we had telephone hings since the service hearings we tarveled to the keys? I figurd that goes back far enough and covers when we had lots of meets <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:53 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>You don't want telephone hearings?<P>Just electric and water rate case service and technical hearings, and agendas. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:37 PM<P>Subject: <P><P>Please compile or have steve do this, a list of all attendance of service hearings for rate cases as well as the water cases we had for for all commissioners, including me, as well as agendas and this rate case from May 09 to present. I want to see absences<P><P><P>
2601	9/2/09 16:00	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Well then include them too
2602	9/2/09 16:09	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Ok
2603	9/2/09 16:11	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Got it. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:00 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Well then include them too<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:59 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I am sure there have been some. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:55 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Have we had telephone hings since the service hearings we tarveled to the keys? I figurd that goes back far enough and covers when we had lots of meets <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:53 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>You don't want telephone hearings?<P>Just electric and water rate case service and technical hearings, and agendas. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:37 PM<P>Subject: <P><P>Please compile or have steve do this, a list of all attendance of service hearings for rate cases as well as the water cases we had for for all commissioners, including me, as well as agendas and this rate case from May 09 to present. I want to see absences<P><P><P><P><P>
2604	9/2/09 16:14	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I will be going to GA late tonight as I am being referred to spinal Dr there I will call in tomorrow from there for next few days. Changed appt with ortho on fri
2605	9/2/09 16:15	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Just let me know if there is anything I can do to help or facilitate. <P>Will you have Gmail access?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:14 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I will be going to GA late tonight as I am being referred to spinal Dr there I will call in tomorrow from there for next few days. Changed appt with ortho on fri<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:11 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Got it. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:00 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Well then include them too<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:59 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I am sure there have been some. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:55 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Have we had telephone hings since the service hearings we tarveled to the keys? I figurd that goes back far enough and covers when we had lots of meets <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:53 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>You don't want telephone hearings?<P>Just electric and water rate case service and technical hearings, and agendas. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:37 PM<P>Subject: <P><P>Please compile or have steve do this, a list of all attendance of service hearings for rate cases as well as the water cases we had for for all commissioners, including me, as well as agendas and this rate case from May 09 to present. I want to see absences<P><P><P><P><P>
2606	9/2/09 16:21	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Don't know yet
2607	9/2/09 16:22	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Well, just let me know what I can do, and I'm on it. <P>Including to listening to this Depreciation crap<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:21 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Don't know yet <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:15 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Just let me know if there is anything I can do to help or facilitate. <P>Will you have Gmail access?<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:14 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I will be going to GA late tonight as I am being referred to spinal Dr there I will call in tomorrow from there for next few days. Changed appt with ortho on fri<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:11 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Got it. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:00 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Well then include them too<P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:59 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>I am sure there have been some. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:55 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>Have we had telephone hings since the service hearings we tarveled to the keys? I figurd that goes back far enough and covers when we had lots of meets <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Larry Harris<P>To: Nancy Argenziano<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:53 PM<P>Subject: Re: <P><P>You don't want telephone hearings?<P>Just electric and water rate case service and technical hearings, and agendas. <P>-----Original Message-----<P>From: Nancy Argenziano<P>To: Larry Harris<P>Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:37 PM<P>Subject: <P><P>Please compile or have steve do this, a list of all attendance of service hearings for rate cases as well as the water cases we had for for all commissioners, including me, as well as agendas and this rate case from May 09 to present. I want to see absences<P><P><P><P><P>
2608	9/2/09 16:36	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Thanks. Have steve do the attendance stuff as u have lots to do with rate case

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2609	9/2/09 16:37	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	It might be done I'm sending to gmail. SL got it from mary macko. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:36 PM<Subject: Re:<Thanks. Have steve do the attendance stuff as u have lots to do with rate case-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:22 PM<Subject: Re:<Well, just let me know what I can do, and I'm on it. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:21 PM<Subject: Re:<Don't know yet -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:15 PM<Subject: Re:<Just let me know if there is anything I can do to help or facilitate. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:14 PM<Subject: Re:<I will be going to GA late tonight as I am being referred to spinal Dr there I will call in tomorrow from there for next few days. Changed appt with ortho on fri-----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:11 PM<Subject: Re:<Got it. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re:<Well then include them too-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:59 PM<Subject: Re:<I am sure there have been some. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:55 PM<Subject: Re:<Have we had telephone hings since the service hearings we tarveled to the keys? I figurd that goes back far enough and covers when we had lots of meets -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:53 PM<Subject: Re:<You don't want telephone hearings?-----Just electric and water rate case service and technical hearings, and agendas. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:37 PM<Subject: -----Please compile or have steve do this, a list of all attendance of service hearings for rate cases as well as the water cases we had for for all commissioners, including me, as well as agendas and this rate case from May 09 to present. I want to see -----
2610	9/2/09 16:48	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	On way to therapy. Have steve check it over ten I will. I want accurate data
2611	9/2/09 16:49	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Got it. Will do. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:48 PM<Subject: Re:<On way to therapy. Have steve check it over ten I will. I want accurate data-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:37 PM<Subject: Re:<It might be done I'm sending to gmail. SL got it from mary macko. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:36 PM<Subject: Re:<Thanks. Have steve do the attendance stuff as u have lots to do with rate case-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:22 PM<Subject: Re:<Well, just let me know what I can do, and I'm on it. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:21 PM<Subject: Re:<Don't know yet -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:15 PM<Subject: Re:<Just let me know if there is anything I can do to help or facilitate. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:14 PM<Subject: Re:<I will be going to GA late tonight as I am being referred to spinal Dr there I will call in tomorrow from there for next few days. Changed appt with ortho on fri-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:11 PM<Subject: Re:<Got it. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 4:00 PM<Subject: Re:<Well then include them too-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:59 PM<Subject: Re:<I am sure there have been some. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:55 PM<Subject: Re:<Have we had telephone hings since the service hearings we tarveled to the keys? I figurd that goes back far enough and covers when we had lots of meets -----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:53 PM<Subject: Re:<You don't want telephone hearings?-----Just electric and water rate case service and technical hearings, and agendas. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 3:37 PM<Subject: -----Please compile or have steve do this, a list of all attendance of service hearings for rate cases as well as the water cases we had for for all commissioners, including me, as well as agendas and this rate case from May 09 to present. I want to see -----
2612	9/2/09 17:35	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0		How is it going?
2613	9/2/09 17:36	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Fine. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 5:35 PM<Subject: -----How is it going?-----
2614	9/2/09 17:38	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	Any interesting questions?
2615	9/2/09 17:38	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Nope. Intervenor's proving the point that fpl both looses and gains nuclear employees. We know this. I don't see the point yet. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 5:38 PM<Subject: Re:<Any interesting questions?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 5:36 PM<Subject: Re:<Fine. -----Stahl, the nuclear guy, is back. He's answering questions about poaching of nuclear employees. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 5:35 PM<Subject: -----How is it going?-----
2616	9/2/09 18:28	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Re:	I'm back. I cut therapy short bevacuse of back prob. Anything I need to know?
2617	9/2/09 18:29	Larry Harris: 32CD37E0	Nancy Argenziano: 317E60BA	Re:	Nope. -----This is the FIPUG witness Pollock. -----They have been asking him about holding costs of new construction to what stated in need determination. -----Pollock wants more purchased power contracts (b/c many fipug members are cogenerators). -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 6:28 PM<Subject: Re:<I'm back. I cut therapy short bevacuse of back prob. Anything I need to know?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 5:38 PM<Subject: Re:<Nope. Intervenor's proving the point that fpl both looses and gains nuclear employees. We know this. I don't see the point yet. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 5:38 PM<Subject: Re:<Any interesting questions?-----Original Message-----<From: Larry Harris<To: Nancy Argenziano<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 5:36 PM<Subject: Re:<Fine. -----Stahl, the nuclear guy, is back. He's answering questions about poaching of nuclear employees. -----Original Message-----<From: Nancy Argenziano<To: Larry Harris<Sent: Sep 2, 2009 5:35 PM<Subject: -----How is it going?-----
2618	9/8/09 13:38	30758FBB	Bill Garner 3183D200		Saw your name in the paper re: fde investigation and heard Larry Harris was fired.
2619	9/5/09 15:51	32CB8CB5	Matthew Carter 31837251	What was happening	Today that caused you to reprimand Cmmr Skop?
2620	9/5/09 15:54	32CB8CB5	Lorena Holley 317EE38F	What was that about	In the back of the room today?
2621	9/5/09 15:55	32CB8CB5	Bill Garner 3183D200		About during hearing today?
2622	2/20/08 5:33	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Matthew Carter 23D76362	Re: I am checked out and in the lobby	On way down now.
2623	2/21/08 7:38	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Lorena Holley 23D62A35	Travelling today?	
2624	2/21/08 8:03	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Lorena Holley 23D62A35	Re: Travelling today?	I'll be there if you need anything. Get some rest and get well. -----Original Message-----<From: Lorena Holley To: Bill Garner Sent: Feb 21, 2008 8:01 AM Subject: Re: Travelling today? Nope - I left yesterday afternoon and got in late last night. I have been feeling a cold coming on all week, and this morning my throat is really sore and spotty - I have drs appt at 11 and I don't want to come to the office in case it's strep. I might come in this afternoon. Are you going to work today? -----Original Message-----<From: Bill Garner To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 21, 2008 7:37 AM Subject: Travelling today?
2625	2/22/08 13:43	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Lorena Holley 23D62A35	Re: Are you around today?	Yes. Will be in my office in a few minutes. -----Original Message-----<From: Lorena Holley To: Bill Garner Sent: Feb 22, 2008 1:33 PM Subject: Are you around today?
2626	2/22/08 17:18	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Lorena Holley 23D62A35	Re: Photo	Yes. Wednesday is cancelled. Lois will try to find another day on Monday. -----Original Message-----<From: Lorena Holley To: Bill Garner Sent: Feb 22, 2008 5:17 PM Subject: Photo Did you end up moving the picture or do you not know yet?
2627	2/25/08 11:43	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Lorena Holley 23D62A35	How's DC?	What's your new PIN?
2628	2/25/08 11:53	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Lorena Holley 244E12DA	Test	
2629	2/25/08 12:00	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Lorena Holley 244E12DA	Re: Test	Just making sure it works.
2630	2/25/08 12:47	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Lorena Holley 244E12DA	Re: Test	Yes. Haven't gotten the other yey.
2631	2/25/08 13:30	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Lorena Holley 244E12DA	Re: Test	Not really. I'm in Orlando with Matt so he can make a speech. We'll be on our way back within an hour. The letter Mary is circulating to send to Aloha customers who complain still has not been sent. Commissioner Argenziano had a couple of changes. How are things in the Mid-Atlantic Region?
2632	2/25/08 15:08	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Lorena Holley 244E12DA	Re: Test	Yes
2633	2/25/08 15:15	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Lorena Holley 244E12DA	Re: Test	Yes
2634	2/25/08 16:27	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Lorena Holley 244E12DA	Re: Test	Thanks, L-Ho!
2635	2/26/08 17:38	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Lorena Holley 244E12DA	Think you'll be back tomorrow?	
2636	2/27/08 13:50	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A, Christopher G. McDonald 243944BF	I need 15 minutes.	Can we get together at 2:15?
2637	2/27/08 13:54	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Christopher G. McDonald 243944BF	Re: I need 15 minutes.	What about you sidekick?

This information has been formatted from raw data provided by the Public Service Commission. It has not been edited for content and contains graphic language.

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2638	2/27/08 13:56	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Christopher G. McDonald 243944BF	Re: I need 15 minutes.	Outstanding!
2639	2/27/08 14:10	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Christopher G. McDonald 243944BF	Re: I need 15 minutes.	Hold tight. Be down in a few minutes.
2640	2/28/08 10:46	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Fax number?	
2641	3/1/08 7:09	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Matthew Carter 23D76362	Re: Monday	You're not doing the thing in the morning. Don't worry about it. I'll get some remarks to you for the thing with the old folks.
2642	3/2/08 16:41	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Lorena Holley 23D62A35	Re: Are you around?	Who is this? -----Original Message----- From: 23D62A35 To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 2, 2008 4:40 PM Subject: Are you around?
2643	3/2/08 16:43	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Lorena Holley 23D62A35	Re: Are you around?	Cool. What's up? -----Original Message----- From: 23D62A35 To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 2, 2008 4:42 PM Subject: Re: Are you around? It's Lorena - from my work Blackberry. -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: 23D62A35 Sent: Mar 2, 2008 4:40 PM Subject: Re: Are you around? Who is this? -----Original Message----- From: 23D62A35 To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 2, 2008 4:40 PM Subject: Are you around?
2644	3/2/08 16:46	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Lorena Holley 23D62A35	Re: Are you around?	I don't think so. Just let Mike Staden know in the morning, if I don't get in first. -----Original Message----- From: 23D62A35 To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 2, 2008 4:45 PM Subject: Re: Are you around? I just heard from Katrina - she is still sick and may need to call in for IA tomorrow. Do we need to do anything other than get a call-in number from Mike S or Carol Purvis? -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: 23D62A35 Sent: Mar 2, 2008 4:42 PM Subject: Re: Are you around? Cool. What's up? -----Original Message----- From: 23D62A35 To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 2, 2008 4:42 PM Subject: Re: Are you around? It's Lorena - from my work Blackberry. -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: 23D62A35 Sent: Mar 2, 2008 4:40 PM Subject: Re: Are you around? Who is this? -----Original Message----- From: 23D62A35 To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 2, 2008 4:40 PM Subject: Are you around?
2645	3/3/08 8:07	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Lorena Holley 23D62A35	Know about Katrina yet?	
2646	3/3/08 8:11	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Paul Lewis 32B9DCAE	Re: Good Morning	I expect that he will be doing that today. He should just be giving the Commissioners an update on where in the process the event analysis team is, and he may say something about FPL's statement regarding human error. I don't expect anything more than just a factual statement of where we are in the process. Maybe something about what happens next for the EAT. It shouldn't be anything that will cause concern.
2647	3/3/08 11:20	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Lorena Holley 244E12DA	K-Hoff	What is Blue's e-mail address?
2648	3/3/08 11:27	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Lorena Holley 244E12DA	Re: K-Hoff	Thanks! Just sent him a note of congratulations.
2649	3/3/08 11:59	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Re:	Yep
2650	3/3/08 13:00	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Lorena Holley 244E12DA	Let us know...	How much time Dave spends on his Blackberry during the meeting.
2651	3/3/08 16:08	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Christopher G. McDonald 243944BF	Re: Item 3	It is not.
2652	3/4/08 7:43	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Re: What up Blue?	Be there in about ten min.
2653	3/4/08 7:45	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Re: What up Blue?	When I have one.
2654	3/4/08 7:48	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Re: What up Blue?	I'm a busy guy. I'll have one soon enough.
2655	3/4/08 10:22	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Re:	Not yet.
2656	3/4/08 10:23	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Re:	Coming up in a couple of minutes.
2657	3/4/08 10:47	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Re:	I was wrong about the net metering rule coming up in a few minutes. We're still haggling over a second alternative motion to finalize the FIRST agenda item.
2658	3/4/08 11:52	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Re:	Let's see how far the punt is returned.
2659	3/5/08 12:48	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Matthew Carter 23D76362	Don't forget...	1:30 conference call for the Action Team Technical Workgroup.
2660	3/17/08 10:48	Bill Garner 3183D200	Matthew Carter 31807FC2	Re: Trc test	Total Resource Cost -----Original Message----- From: Matthew Carter To: William C. Garner Sent: Mar 17, 2008 10:39 AM Subject: Trc test What does trc mean the test
2661	3/17/08 17:24	Bill Garner 3183D200	Matthew Carter 31807FC2	Re: Move staff	15 -----Original Message----- From: Matthew Carter To: William C. Garner Sent: Mar 17, 2008 5:24 PM Subject: Move staff What is the item nancy want off
2662	3/17/08 19:47	Bill Garner 3183D200	Matthew Carter 31807FC2	You at the moon yet?	
2663	8/24/09 17:05	Bill Garner 3183D200		Re:	
2664	8/25/09 9:47	Bill Garner 3183D200	Larry Harris 32CD37E0	Re: Witness	Can't remember the name. Starts with an "S" I think.
2665	8/28/09 8:08	Bill Garner 3183D200	Matthew Carter 31837251	Re: Greetings	Me too
2666	8/28/09 16:46	Bill Garner 3183D200	Matthew Carter 31837251	Re: Update	Call u soon
2667	7/15/08 15:11	Bill McNulty 31854E2B	Nathan A. Skop 32C6B832	Devlin	Should be by in a few...
2668	2/27/08 13:53	Christopher G. McDonald 243944BF	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F, Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Re: I need 15 minutes.	Sure. I serve at the pleasure of the Chair's Chief Policy Advisor. -----Original Message----- From: William C. Garner To: Jorge Chamizo To: Christopher G. McDonald Sent: Feb 27, 2008 1:50 PM Subject: I need 15 minutes. Can we get together at 2:15?
2669	2/27/08 13:55	Christopher G. McDonald 243944BF	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: I need 15 minutes.	He serves at the pleasure of his client. -----Original Message----- From: William C. Garner To: Christopher G. McDonald Sent: Feb 27, 2008 1:54 PM Subject: Re: I need 15 minutes. What about you sidekick? -----Original Message----- From: Christopher G. McDonald To: William C. Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Feb 27, 2008 1:52 PM Subject: Re: I need 15 minutes. Sure. I serve at the pleasure of the Chair's Chief Policy Advisor. -----Original Message----- From: William C. Garner To: Jorge Chamizo To: Christopher G. McDonald Sent: Feb 27, 2008 1:50 PM Subject: I need 15 minutes. Can we get together at 2:15?
2670	3/3/08 15:15	Christopher G. McDonald 243944BF	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Item 3	Is item 3 on move staff list?
2671	3/3/08 16:11	Christopher G. McDonald 243944BF	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: Item 3	Too bad. Nothing like an oral argument from D Oroark. -----Original Message----- From: William C. Garner To: Christopher G. McDonald Sent: Mar 3, 2008 4:08 PM Subject: Re: Item 3 It is not. -----Original Message----- From: Christopher G. McDonald To: William C. Garner Sent: Mar 3, 2008 3:14 PM Subject: Item 3 Is item 3 on move staff list?
2672	11/11/07 19:11	Jorge Chamizo 2038839F	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	pin:2038839f	

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2673	2/19/08 11:06	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Lisa Edgar 240B6128	Fw: Governor Crist Highlights Top 3 Legislative Priorities	Fyi -----Original Message----- From: Governor's Press Office Sent: Feb 19, 2008 10:25 AM Subject: Governor Crist Highlights Top 3 Legislative Priorities FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE FEBRUARY 19, 2008 CONTACT: GOVERNOR'S PRESS OFFICE (850) 488-5394 Governor Crist Highlights Top 3 Legislative Priorities HEALTH CARE EDUCATION ENERGY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT TALLAHASSEE - Governor Charlie Crist today released his top three priorities of the 2008 Legislative Session, including health care, education and energy & economic development. "The quality of life for every Floridian rests on the health of our people, a strong education for our children and a vibrant economy," Governor Crist said. "This past year, Lt. Governor Kottkamp and I have traveled the state and heard from many Floridians about their concerns about these issues." Governor Crist's Health Care Package: COMPREHENSIVE MARKET-BASED STRATEGIES TO ADDRESS THE UNINSURED IN FLORIDA Creates the Cover Florida Health Access Act - Consumer Choice Benefit Insurance Plans. * The proposed legislation focuses on market-based initiatives and reforms and contains NO individual mandates and NO employer mandates. * Announces competitive negotiation with private insurers to develop consumer choice benefit plans, per specified criteria. * Plans must guarantee coverage to uninsured Floridians age 19 to 64 and must offer multiple benefit options. * Plans must include a robust package of preventive, primary and urgent care benefits, including hospitalization. * Permits competitive negotiation with carriers for supplemental coverage for vision, dental and cancer. * Provides \$500,000 for a public awareness campaign. * Permits employers to offer and share the cost of consumer choice benefit plans with their employees. * According to the Kaiser Family Foundation, in 2006, the average individual cost for small group health insurance was \$354 per month and \$957 per month for family coverage. This proposal establishes consumer choice benefit insurance plans at \$150 per month or less. Creates the Florida Health Care Access Program for a three-year pilot program (\$21 million per year or \$63.9 million total) * The pilot program is a partnership among hospitals, county health departments and health care providers for primary health care services and outreach in neighborhoods with high rates of uncompensated care and uninsured. * The 14 counties in the pilot program consist of Alachua, Broward, Duval, Escambia, Gadsden, Hillsborough, Miami-Dade, Monroe, Okaloosa, Orange, Osceola, Palm Beach, Santa Rosa and Sarasota. * A county health department visit costs on average \$153 for primary care services versus an average of \$1,015 in an emergency room, for a cost savings of \$862 per visit. Removes the 10 percent "full pay cap" in KidCare. * Of Florida's 548,000 uninsured children, 23 percent or 126,040 children are not eligible for KidCare due to the income level of their family. * By lifting the cap on full-pay enrollment, all children in Florida will become eligible to purchase health insurance through the KidCare program. Ensures that policyholders can keep dependents covered until age 30. * Non-student dependents typically lose coverage on their parents' policy at age 19. Many parents wish to continue providing coverage for children after age 18. Renews Florida Health Flex Plans (removes sunset) and removes income eligibility restrictions. * Currently, Floridians must earn below 200 percent of the Federal Poverty Level to qualify. This will increase plan participation and provides individuals with additional health insurance options. CERTIFICATE OF NEED (CON) REFORM This proposal reflects the goal of the Governor to increase competition and efficiency in the health care marketplace and provide Floridians with greater access to quality services. Removing the burdensome certificate of need process will foster a competitive business climate, spur economic development, and improve access and quality of care for Floridians. CON laws across the states have had over 30 years to demonstrate their ability to contain costs, lower prices, and provide greater quality and access to services. Fourteen other states have removed CON requirements since CON laws have failed to achieve reduced costs and greater quality of care. The CON process involves delays due to lawsuits by local competitors. Since the August 2005 CON batch cycle, 20 of the 27 CON applications are still in litigation. Costs related to the CON process can run as high as \$1 million and each additional opponent can increase costs by 50 percent. These costs are passed on and paid for by all consumers. CON laws stifle competition and discourage current providers from offering new services. The proposed legislation removes current CON process for establishment of new acute care hospitals, and makes them subject to licensure. Ties licensure of new acute care hospitals to provision of charity care to Medicaid and underserved populations. Requires on-site emergency departments for these new
2674	2/21/08 11:04	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Lisa Edgar 240B6128	Re: Good morning	They passed out Bennetts psc bill, in the end i see that bill as final product, dont see House approach getting any steam. -----Original Message----- From: Lisa Edgar To: Jorge Chamizo Sent: Feb 21, 2008 11:01 AM Subject: Re: Good morning Plse let me know if there is anything of interest from the discussion or otherwise in Murzin comm on interim report or with Bennet on appt process bill. -----Original Message----- From: Jorge Chamizo To: Lisa Edgar Sent: Feb 21, 2008 10:53 AM Subject: Re: Good morning Not a whole lot -----Original Message----- From: Lisa Edgar To: Jorge Chamizo Sent: Feb 21, 2008 10:49 AM Subject: Re: Good morning Hey. Had to take the last flight back last night. What have I missed? -----Original Message----- From: Jorge Chamizo To: Lisa Edgar Sent: Feb 21, 2008 9:52 AM Subject: Good morning
2675	2/21/08 11:05	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Lisa Edgar 240B6128	Re: Good morning	The wind project is running into some problems with St Lucie commission. Gov has deferred to them on the project. Amazing -----Original Message----- From: Lisa Edgar To: Jorge Chamizo Sent: Feb 21, 2008 11:01 AM Subject: Re: Good morning Plse let me know if there is anything of interest from the discussion or otherwise in Murzin comm on interim report or with Bennet on appt process bill. -----Original Message----- From: Jorge Chamizo To: Lisa Edgar Sent: Feb 21, 2008 10:53 AM Subject: Re: Good morning Not a whole lot -----Original Message----- From: Lisa Edgar To: Jorge Chamizo Sent: Feb 21, 2008 10:49 AM Subject: Re: Good morning Hey. Had to take the last flight back last night. What have I missed? -----Original Message----- From: Jorge Chamizo To: Lisa Edgar Sent: Feb 21, 2008 9:52 AM Subject: Good morning
2676	2/26/08 15:44	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	U got a few minutes tomorrow after 2 to meet and discuss Verizon motion to dismiss?	
2677	2/26/08 16:29	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Tomorrow lets rock out!!!	
2678	2/27/08 13:57	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: I need 15 minutes.	Yup -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo To: Christopher McDonald Sent: Feb 27, 2008 1:50 PM Subject: I need 15 minutes. Can we get together at 2:15?
2679	2/28/08 10:49	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: Fax number?	Sorry 681-0354 -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Feb 28, 2008 10:46 AM Subject: Fax number?
2680	3/3/08 11:59	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F		Did you hear about Hoffman going to FPL?
2681	3/3/08 12:00	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re:	Good move I think -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 3, 2008 11:59 AM Subject: Re: Yep -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 3, 2008 11:59 AM Subject: Did you hear about Hoffman going to FPL?
2682	3/4/08 7:42	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: What up Blue?	U at the office yet? -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:42 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? Well, that goes without saying. This is me, after all! -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:41 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? Maybe she likes u Blue? -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:35 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? She does call an awful lot. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:34 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? Hahaha, how about Nat? Not alot of folks our age in the biz -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:32 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? I got you, babe. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:31 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? U got me dude although not any insider -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:27 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? Just trying to figure out how I can get an insider like LHo has with her boy KHoff. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:26 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? Whats news with u dawg? -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:25 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? I hope he doesn't go that far. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:24 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? Geez -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:23 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? One of the blogs on Sayfie had her throwing her hat into the ring. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:23 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? R u kidding? Didnt even know she was in the running. Another Democrat?? -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:21 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? You think the Gov. Will appoint P. Taylor as secretary of DBPR? -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 3, 2008 10:02 PM Subject: What up Blue?
2683	3/4/08 7:45	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: What up Blue?	Cool. Could u share a draft copy of your FEC presentation on energy efficiency and decoupling? -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:43 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? Be there in about ten min. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:43 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? U at the office yet? -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:42 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? Well, that goes without saying. This is me, after all! -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:41 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? Maybe she likes u Blue? -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:35 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? She does call an awful lot. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:34 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? Hahaha, how about Nat? Not alot of folks our age in the biz -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:32 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? I got you, babe. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:31 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? U got me dude although not any insider -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:27 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? Just trying to figure out how I can get an insider like LHo has with her boy KHoff. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:26 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? Whats news with u dawg? -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:25 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? I hope he doesn't go that far. -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:24 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? Geez -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:23 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? One of the blogs on Sayfie had her throwing her hat into the ring. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:23 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? R u kidding? Didnt even know she was in the running. Another Democrat?? -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:21 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? You think the Gov. Will appoint P. Taylor as secretary of DBPR? -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 3, 2008 10:02 PM Subject: What up Blue?

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2684	3/4/08 7:47	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: What up Blue?	U aints got one yet dawg? -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:45 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? When I have one. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:45 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? Cool. Could u share a draft copy of your FEC presentation on energy efficiency and decoupling? -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:43 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? Be there in about ten min. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:43 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? U at the office yet? -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:42 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? Well, that goes without saying. This is me, after all! -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:41 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? Maybe she likes u Blue? -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:35 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? She does call an awful lot. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:34 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? Hahaha, how about Nat? Not alot of folks our age in the biz -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:32 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? I got you, babe. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:31 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? U got me dude although not any insider -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:27 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? Just trying to figure out how I can get an insider like LHo has with her boy K!Hoff. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:26 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? Whats news with u dawg? -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:25 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? I hope he doesn't go that far. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:24 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? Geez -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:23 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? One of the blogs on Sayfile had her throwing her hat into the ring. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:23 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? R u kidding? Didnt even know she was in the running. Another Democrat?? -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 7:21 AM Subject: Re: What up Blue? You think the Gov. Will appoint P. Taylor as secretary of DBPR? -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 10:00 PM Subject: What up Blue?
2685	3/4/08 10:22	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F		Was at the Capitol, did you guys already take up the Verizon motion to dismiss in the winback case?
2686	3/4/08 10:22	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re:	Ok thx. How about net metering? -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 10:22 AM Subject: Re: Not yet. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 10:22 AM Subject: Was at the Capitol, did you guys already take up the Verizon motion to dismiss in the winback case?
2687	3/4/08 10:49	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re:	Im watching it now, thanks BGarn -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 10:47 AM Subject: Re: I was wrong about the net metering rule coming up in a few minutes. We're still haggling over a second alternative motion to finalize the FIRST agenda item. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 10:23 AM Subject: Re: Ok thx. How about net metering? -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 10:22 AM Subject: Re: Not yet. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 10:22 AM Subject: Was at the Capitol, did you guys already take up the Verizon motion to dismiss in the winback case?
2688	3/4/08 10:55	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re:	Stan is getting a refund!! -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 10:47 AM Subject: Re: I was wrong about the net metering rule coming up in a few minutes. We're still haggling over a second alternative motion to finalize the FIRST agenda item. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 10:23 AM Subject: Re: Ok thx. How about net metering? -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: George Chamizo Sent: Mar 4, 2008 10:22 AM Subject: Re: Not yet. -----Original Message----- From: George Chamizo To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 4, 2008 10:22 AM Subject: Was at the Capitol, did you guys already take up the Verizon motion to dismiss in the winback case?
2689	3/4/08 11:52	Jorge Chamizo 2413A39A	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F		The big punt...
2690	8/30/07 15:03	Lawrence Harris 23F0278F	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F		That was very slick. I guess I am truly grasshopper.
2691	2/18/08 14:17	Lawrence Harris 23F0278F	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Give me a call	When you get a chance. Aloha.
2692	2/11/08 13:49	Lisa Commissioner Edgar 240B6128	Ryder Rudd 2427C7FE	Re: How's it going?	That's crummy. Although it will give you time to write up detailed notes from the mtg! :) Anything comment of interest from Ch. Carter or Constantine?
2693	2/21/08 8:01	Lorena Holley 23D62A35	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: Travelling today?	Nope - I left yesterday afternoon and got in late last night. I have been feeling a cold coming on all week, and this morning my throat is really sore and spotty - I have drs appt at 11 and I don't want to come to the office in case it's strep. I might come in this afternoon. Are you going to work today?
2694	2/21/08 8:13	Lorena Holley 23D62A35	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: Travelling today?	Thanks! Maybe I'll see you today or tomorrow.
2695	2/22/08 13:33	Lorena Holley 23D62A35	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Are you around today?	
2696	2/22/08 17:17	Lorena Holley 23D62A35	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Photo	Did you end up moving the picture or do you not know yet?
2697	2/25/08 11:50	Lorena Holley 23D62A35	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: How's DC?	Pretty good - new pin is 244e12da Email is lorena.holley@gmail.com -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 11:43 AM Subject: How's DC? What's your new PIN?
2698	3/2/08 16:40	Lorena Holley 23D62A35	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Are you around?	
2699	3/2/08 16:41	Lorena Holley 23D62A35	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: Are you around?	It's Lorena - from my work Blackberry. -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: 23D62A35 Sent: Mar 2, 2008 4:40 PM Subject: Re: Are you around? Who is this? -----Original Message----- From: 23D62A35 To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 2, 2008 4:40 PM Subject: Are you around?
2700	3/2/08 16:44	Lorena Holley 23D62A35	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: Are you around?	I just heard from Katrina - she is still sick and may need to call in for IA tomorrow. Do we need to do anything other than get a call-in number from Mike S or Carol Purvis? -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: 23D62A35 Sent: Mar 2, 2008 4:42 PM Subject: Re: Are you around? Cool. What's up? -----Original Message----- From: 23D62A35 To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 2, 2008 4:42 PM Subject: Re: Are you around? It's Lorena - from my work Blackberry. -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: 23D62A35 Sent: Mar 2, 2008 4:40 PM Subject: Re: Are you around? Who is this? -----Original Message----- From: 23D62A35 To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 2, 2008 4:40 PM Subject: Are you around?
2701	3/2/08 16:49	Lorena Holley 23D62A35	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: Are you around?	Okay - I will let you know as soon as I can whether she is going to call in. Thanks and enjoy the rest of your Sunday. -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: 23D62A35 Sent: Mar 2, 2008 4:45 PM Subject: Re: Are you around? I don't think so. Just let Mike Staden know in the morning, if I don't get in first. -----Original Message----- From: 23D62A35 To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 2, 2008 4:45 PM Subject: Re: Are you around? I just heard from Katrina - she is still sick and may need to call in for IA tomorrow. Do we need to do anything other than get a call-in number from Mike S or Carol Purvis? -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: 23D62A35 Sent: Mar 2, 2008 4:42 PM Subject: Re: Are you around? Cool. What's up? -----Original Message----- From: 23D62A35 To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 2, 2008 4:42 PM Subject: Re: Are you around? It's Lorena - from my work Blackberry. -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: 23D62A35 Sent: Mar 2, 2008 4:40 PM Subject: Re: Are you around? Who is this? -----Original Message----- From: 23D62A35 To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 2, 2008 4:40 PM Subject: Are you around?
2702	2/25/08 12:00	Lorena Holley 244E12DA	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: Test	Yes? -----Original Message----- From: 23E2FA7F To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 11:53 AM Subject: Test
2703	2/25/08 12:37	Lorena Holley 244E12DA	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: Test	Gotcha - is this your work pin? -----Original Message----- From: 23E2FA7F To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 12:00 PM Subject: Re: Test Just making sure it works. -----Original Message----- From: Lorena Holley To: 23E2FA7F Sent: Feb 25, 2008 12:00 PM Subject: Re: Test Yes? -----Original Message----- From: 23E2FA7F To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 11:53 AM Subject: Test
2704	2/25/08 13:23	Lorena Holley 244E12DA	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: Test	Okay. Anything going on? -----Original Message----- From: 23E2FA7F To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 12:47 PM Subject: Re: Test Yes. Haven't gotten the other yet. -----Original Message----- From: Lorena Holley To: 23E2FA7F Sent: Feb 25, 2008 12:37 PM Subject: Re: Test Gotcha - is this your work pin? -----Original Message----- From: 23E2FA7F To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 12:00 PM Subject: Re: Test Just making sure it works. -----Original Message----- From: Lorena Holley To: 23E2FA7F Sent: Feb 25, 2008 12:00 PM Subject: Re: Test Yes? -----Original Message----- From: 23E2FA7F To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 11:53 AM Subject: Test
2705	2/25/08 15:07	Lorena Holley 244E12DA	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: Test	Things are good - they need to get that letter out. Are you back in the office tomorrow? -----Original Message----- From: 23E2FA7F To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 1:30 PM Subject: Re: Test Not really. I'm in Orlando with Matt so he can make a speech. We'll be on our way back within an hour. The letter Mary is circulating to send to Aloha customers who complain still has not been sent. Commissioner Argenziano had a couple of changes. How are things in the Mid-Atlantic Region? -----Original Message----- From: Lorena Holley To: 23E2FA7F Sent: Feb 25, 2008 1:18 PM Subject: Re: Test Okay. Anything going on? -----Original Message----- From: 23E2FA7F To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 12:47 PM Subject: Re: Test Yes. Haven't gotten the other yet. -----Original Message----- From: Lorena Holley To: 23E2FA7F Sent: Feb 25, 2008 12:37 PM Subject: Re: Test Gotcha - is this your work pin? -----Original Message----- From: 23E2FA7F To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 12:00 PM Subject: Re: Test Just making sure it works. -----Original Message----- From: Lorena Holley To: 23E2FA7F Sent: Feb 25, 2008 12:00 PM Subject: Re: Test Yes? -----Original Message----- From: 23E2FA7F To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 11:53 AM Subject: Test
2706	2/25/08 15:15	Lorena Holley 244E12DA	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: Test	We're not back til Wednesday morn. That picture did get canceled, right? -----Original Message----- From: 23E2FA7F To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 3:08 PM Subject: Re: Test Yes -----Original Message----- From: Lorena Holley To: 23E2FA7F Sent: Feb 25, 2008 3:07 PM Subject: Re: Test Things are good - they need to get that letter out. Are you back in the office tomorrow? -----Original Message----- From: 23E2FA7F To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 1:30 PM Subject: Re: Test Not really. I'm in Orlando with Matt so he can make a speech. We'll be on our way back within an hour. The letter Mary is circulating to send to Aloha customers who complain still has not been sent. Commissioner Argenziano had a couple of changes. How are things in the Mid-Atlantic Region? -----Original Message----- From: Lorena Holley To: 23E2FA7F Sent: Feb 25, 2008 1:18 PM Subject: Re: Test Okay. Anything going on? -----Original Message----- From: 23E2FA7F To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 12:47 PM Subject: Re: Test Yes. Haven't gotten the other yet. -----Original Message----- From: Lorena Holley To: 23E2FA7F Sent: Feb 25, 2008 12:37 PM Subject: Re: Test Gotcha - is this your work pin? -----Original Message----- From: 23E2FA7F To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 12:00 PM Subject: Re: Test Just making sure it works. -----Original Message----- From: Lorena Holley To: 23E2FA7F Sent: Feb 25, 2008 12:00 PM Subject: Re: Test Yes? -----Original Message----- From: 23E2FA7F To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 11:53 AM Subject: Test

No.	Date	From	To	Subject	Body
2707	2/25/08 16:26	Lorena Holley 244E12DA	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: Test	Good - I didn't think that I had imagined that. You shall now be known as BGAR in my contacts. Have a good drive back. -----Original Message----- From: BGAR To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 3:15 PM Subject: Re: Test Yes -----Original Message----- From: Lorena Holley To: 23E2FA7F Sent: Feb 25, 2008 3:15 PM Subject: Re: Test We're not back til Wednesday morn. That picture did get canceled, right? -----Original Message----- From: 23E2FA7F To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 3:08 PM Subject: Re: Test Yes -----Original Message----- From: Lorena Holley To: 23E2FA7F Sent: Feb 25, 2008 3:07 PM Subject: Re: Test Things are good - they need to get that letter out. Are you back in the office tomorrow? -----Original Message----- From: 23E2FA7F To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 1:30 PM Subject: Re: Test Not really. I'm in Orlando with Matt so he can make a speech. We'll be on our way back within an hour. The letter Mary is circulating to send to Aloha customers who complain still has not been sent. Commissioner Argenziano had a couple of changes. How are things in the Mid-Atlantic Region? -----Original Message----- From: Lorena Holley To: 23E2FA7F Sent: Feb 25, 2008 1:18 PM Subject: Re: Test Okay. Anything going on? -----Original Message----- From: 23E2FA7F To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 12:47 PM Subject: Re: Test Yes. Haven't gotten the other yey. -----Original Message----- From: Lorena Holley To: 23E2FA7F Sent: Feb 25, 2008 12:37 PM Subject: Re: Test Gotcha - is this your work pin? -----Original Message----- From: 23E2FA7F To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 12:00 PM Subject: Re: Test Just making sure it works. -----Original Message----- From: Lorena Holley To: 23E2FA7F Sent: Feb 25, 2008 12:00 PM Subject: Re: Test Yes? -----Original Message----- From: 23E2FA7F To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 25, 2008 11:53 AM Subject: Test
2708	2/26/08 19:42	Lorena Holley 244E12DA	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: Think you'll be back tomorrow?	For sure - we just landed in Memphis and the weather looks fine. -----Original Message----- From: BGAR To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 26, 2008 5:38 PM Subject: Think you'll be back tomorrow?
2709	2/27/08 9:44	Lorena Holley 244E12DA	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: Think you'll be back tomorrow?	Hey - just wanted to let you know that we made it back and will be in the office tomorrow. -----Original Message----- From: BGAR To: Lorena Holley Sent: Feb 26, 2008 5:38 PM Subject: Think you'll be back tomorrow?
2710	3/3/08 11:24	Lorena Holley 244E12DA	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: K-Hoff	Ken@reuphlaw.com -----Original Message----- From: BGAR To: L-Ho Sent: Mar 3, 2008 11:20 AM Subject: K-Hoff What is Blue's e-mail address?
2711	2/10/08 16:44	Matthew Carter 23D76362	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Reporter	Am I supposed to call the reporter or is he calling me
2712	2/11/08 11:33	Matthew Carter 23D76362	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Interview	Bill Check with Jukia about the possibility of an advance view of my interview
2713	2/20/08 5:32	Matthew Carter 23D76362	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	I am checked out and in the lobby	
2714	2/23/08 11:27	Matthew Carter 23D76362	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Speech	William If you have the gas speech with you e mail it to me at home If not I will just mark the one I have
2715	2/27/08 16:47	Matthew Carter 23D76362	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Home	I feel like crap and I am headed home
2716	2/29/08 21:54	Matthew Carter 23D76362	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Monday	Bill What's the deal on Monday Is that the day I need to be at the tv station at 6 Am I going to use the speech for coirent Can you help a brother out
2717	3/1/08 9:07	Matthew Carter 23D76362	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: Monday	Thanks -----Original Message----- From: William C. Garner To: Matthew Carter Sent: Mar 1, 2008 7:09 AM Subject: Re: Monday You're not doing the thing in the morning. Don't worry about it. I'll get some remarks to you for the thing with the old folks. -----Original Message----- From: Matthew Carter To: William C. Garner Sent: Feb 29, 2008 9:54 PM Subject: Monday Bill What's the deal on Monday Is that the day I need to be at the tv station at 6 Am I going to use the speech for coirent Can you help a brother out
2718	8/28/09 7:34	Matthew Carter 31837251	Bill Garner 3183D200	Greetings	It's not a good morning I am struggling<->I will be there in time
2719	8/28/09 16:33	Matthew Carter 31837251	Bill Garner 3183D200		My Brother<->I am home recuperating<->How are you holding up?
2720	8/30/09 16:50	Matthew Carter 31837251	Bill Garner 3183D200	Call me when you get a chance	
2721	9/5/09 16:09	Matthew Carter 31837251	Cindy Muir 3183D307	Fw: What was happening	
2722	3/12/08 10:20	Matthew M Carter II 31807FC2	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	What the name of that green prog	The one the nature boy is messing with
2723	3/17/08 10:39	Matthew M Carter II 31807FC2	Bill Garner 3183D200	Trc test	What does trc mean the test
2724	3/17/08 17:24	Matthew M Carter II 31807FC2	Bill Garner 3183D200	Move staff	What is the item nancy want off
2725	3/10/08 8:15	Nathan Skop 23EA4E4F	Bridget Groom 23E37350	See attached	The danger of floating an inflated cost estimate: Letter: Better alternative to wind turbines (Jupiter Courier) By TCPalm Staff Sunday, March 9, 2008 The controversial planned use of wind turbines by Florida Power & Light on Hutchinson Island versus the residents' concerns has caused a great debate. FPL has stated that the wind turbines would cost \$60.8 million to serve 2,500 to 3,000 residences. That works out to between \$20,000 and \$24,000 per household expenditure by FPL. The alternative of using solar photovoltaic panels has been suggested by others on this page. Many homeowners may have considered these panels, but are reluctant to use them because of the expense, not attractive on their roof, living in a condo, or their home orientation and location is not good. The costs for a typical installation for a 2,500 square-foot South Florida residence would be in the range of \$35,000 to \$45,000, for a 4 to 6 kilowatt system. Current solar incentive rebates for Florida residents are up to \$20,000 from state and \$2,000 federal tax credits, depending on the total wattage of the system installed. Still, very few residents have installed this system. I am proposing a different approach to this energy crisis.
2726	3/10/08 11:06	Nathan Skop 23EA4E4F	Bridget Groom 23E37350	Rec	What does rec say for issue 9 (adv payment)
2727	3/10/08 12:35	Nathan Skop 23EA4E4F	Bridget Groom 23E37350		Pin me: Look up allegary energy, call and try to get a number for ann greely Also: Need number for twomey and peoples gas (lew)
2728	3/10/08 15:22	Nathan Skop 23EA4E4F	Bridget Groom 23E37350		Julia might have her #
2729	3/3/08 7:35	Paul Lewis 32B9DCAE	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Good Morning	Hey Bill I understand that ballenger may be making a report on last wk outage at IA.....can you give me an idea on what he plans to say? Thanks Paul
2730	3/3/08 8:12	Paul Lewis 32B9DCAE	Bill Garner 23E2FA7F	Re: Good Morning	Ok thanks Bill! -----Original Message----- From: Bill Garner To: Paul Lewis Sent: Mar 3, 2008 8:11 AM Subject: Re: Good Morning I expect that he will be doing that today. He should just be giving the Commissioners an update on where in the process the event analysis team is, and he may say something about FPL's statement regarding human error. I don't expect anything more than just a factual statement of where we are in the process. Maybe something about what happens next for the EAT. It shouldn't be anything that will cause concern. -----Original Message----- From: Paul Lewis To: Bill Garner Sent: Mar 3, 2008 7:35 AM Subject: Good Morning Hey Bill I understand that ballenger may be making a report on last wk outage at IA.....can you give me an idea on what he plans to say? Thanks Paul
2731	3/11/08 15:38	Paul Lewis 32B9DCAE 23D7D3A3	Roberta Bass 23D7D3A3	Hey	Sorry I missed your call. Alex glenn in town wed and thur. We wanted to stop by and visit thur after lunch on the 2 nuke cases. Maybe around 130 if u r open Paul
2732	3/11/08 15:40	Paul Lewis 32B9DCAE 23D7D3A3	Roberta Bass 23D7D3A3	Re: Hey	Sounds good. C ya then -----Original Message----- From: Roberta Bass To: Paul Lewis Jr Sent: Mar 11, 2008 3:39 PM Subject: Re: Hey 1:30 works for me. Come visit. -----Original Message----- From: Paul Lewis To: Roberta Bass Sent: Mar 11, 2008 3:38 PM Subject: Hey Sorry I missed your call. Alex glenn in town wed and thur. We wanted to stop by and visit thur after lunch on the 2 nuke cases. Maybe around 130 if u r open Paul
2733	3/11/08 15:39	Roberta Bass 23D7D3A3	Paul Lewis 32B9DCAE	Re: Hey	1:30 works for me. Come visit.
2734	3/11/08 15:41	Roberta Bass 23D7D3A3	Paul Lewis 32B9DCAE	Re: Hey	Ok
2735	8/24/09 17:03	Roberta Bass 318025D6	Bill Garner 3183D200		Do you know how late they're planning to go tonight?
2736	2/11/08 13:20	Ryder Rudd 2427C7FE	Lisa Edgar 240B6128	Re: How's it going?	Good we are wrapping up. It really seemed less productive than previous mtgs. They more or less laid out this years game plan. Everything from schedule and what different committees will be doing. -----Original Message----- From: Lisa Commissioner Edgar To: Ryder Rudd Sent: Feb 11, 2008 1:15 PM Subject: How's it going?
2737	2/11/08 13:46	Ryder Rudd 2427C7FE	Lisa Edgar 240B6128	Re: How's it going?	I wish. I am going to see if I can get a flight out of Miami. If not I am stuck here the flights back out of Ft Lauderdale are full. -----Original Message----- From: Lisa Commissioner Edgar To: Ryder Rudd Sent: Feb 11, 2008 1:44 PM Subject: Re: How's it going? So will you make it home this evening? -----Original Message----- From: Ryder Rudd To: Lisa Commissioner Edgar Sent: Feb 11, 2008 1:22 PM Subject: Re: How's it going? Good we are wrapping up. It really seemed less productive than previous mtgs. They more or less laid out this years game plan. Everything from schedule and what different committees will be doing. -----Original Message----- From: Lisa Commissioner Edgar To: Ryder Rudd Sent: Feb 11, 2008 1:15 PM Subject: How's it going?
2738	2/11/08 13:54	Ryder Rudd 2427C7FE	Lisa Edgar 240B6128	Re: How's it going?	Nope neither one. Constantine only talked about the energy bill and who was going to carry it. Matt only mentioned that the PSC will give a presentation to the S. Env comm. re RIM. (That was the only thing he said.). It was really not that detailed. -----Original Message----- From: Lisa Commissioner Edgar To: Ryder Rudd Sent: Feb 11, 2008 1:49 PM Subject: Re: How's it going? That's crummy. Although it will give you time to write up detailed notes from the mtg! :) Anything comment of interest from Ch. Carter or Constantine? -----Original Message----- From: Ryder Rudd To: Lisa Commissioner Edgar Sent: Feb 11, 2008 1:48 PM Subject: Re: How's it going? I wish. I am going to see if I can get a flight out of Miami. If not I am stuck here the flights back out of Ft Lauderdale are full. -----Original Message----- From: Lisa Commissioner Edgar To: Ryder Rudd Sent: Feb 11, 2008 1:44 PM Subject: Re: How's it going? So will you make it home this evening? -----Original Message----- From: Ryder Rudd To: Lisa Commissioner Edgar Sent: Feb 11, 2008 1:22 PM Subject: Re: How's it going? Good we are wrapping up. It really seemed less productive than previous mtgs. They more or less laid out this years game plan. Everything from schedule and what different committees will be doing. -----Original Message----- From: Lisa Commissioner Edgar To: Ryder Rudd Sent: Feb 11, 2008 1:15 PM Subject: How's it going?